PHYSICAL REHABILITATION IN DIABETIC NEUROPATHY

Most prevalent NP symptom is associated to DM and affects approximately 46% of patients. Systemic changes of this metabolic disease affect vascular and nervous tissues enabling the installation of distal symmetric sensory motor polyneuropathy, also described as diabetic neuropathy. There are some

INTRODUCTION

Neuropathic pain (NP) is a complex and heterogeneous condition with negative impact on physical, mental and professional quality of life, associated to high healthcare costs. Described by the International Association for the Study of Pain (2011) in terms of injury or disease affecting peripheral or central somatosensory nervous system, NP affects 1% to 5% of world population. Part of its complexity is due to heterogeneous clinical manifestations with oscillates between constant or intermittent, spontaneous or induced pain, described by words such as shooting, stabbing, electric shock, burning, painful tingling, pressing, itching and pricking. This pathological condition is present in trigeminal neuralgia, radicular NP and thalamic pain.

This pain is associated to other clinical conditions, such as diabetic peripheral neuropathy affecting 46% of diabetes mellitus (DM) patients; post-therapeutic neuralgia affecting 10% of patients 3 months after acute herpes-zoster; chronic postoperative pain which may affect 53% of patients one year after laminectomy; post-cancer NP, such as chemotherapy-induced neuropathy, or neuropathy secondary to tumor antigens, or by neural structures compression; post-stroke (S) neuropathies; and post-spinal cord injury NP affecting 31% of patients. In addition, there are some special cases such as complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS), nervous compression syndrome after burn injuries and phantom limb pain.

NP is difficult to handle and is associated to patients’ dissatisfaction with surgical, pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatments. Several best practices guidelines are proposed to standardize treatments, multirapproaches and to promote better pain management in this population. However, in evaluating treatment models of such guidelines one can see how recent the use of physical rehabilitation is as adjuvant for NP treatment. In summary, documents directed to neuropathic pain in general are almost exclusively concentrated in the pharmacological approach or just mention the participation of physical and mental health professionals, without determining their functions or objectives. Physiotherapy and occupational therapy are addressed in the guidelines to treat post-spinal cord injury neuropathic pain, with broad discussion on physical rehabilitation of this NP sub-population.

Within this context, this article discusses the innovative potential of physical rehabilitation to treat neuropathic pain. There are several therapeutic options, such as electrothermotherapy, manual therapy with muscle energy techniques, mobilization without thrust and manipulation; cold therapy and traction, therapeutic massage, drug and cervical collar, physical strengthening exercise, stretching and aerobic training, constant current transcranial stimulation (CCS), repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (RTMS), visual mental exercises, imagery, mirror therapy, somatonsensory rehabilitation. With neurophysiologic support, some modalities were largely studied, such as physical exercises, and have shown high scientific evidence of their therapeutic effects; however more recent ones, such as mirror therapy have shown low scientific evidence. Therapeutic approaches shall be addressed according to some NP conditions, so authors propose a parallel between specific pathologic mechanisms of some NP conditions and the neurophysiologic mechanism of the proposed therapeutic modality.
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES: Neuropathic pain is disabling, decreases quality of life, impairs professional performance, and limits social participation of patients living with excruciating pain. In this context, it is easy to see physical rehabilitation as facilitator of autonomy and mobility. However, therapeutic action goes beyond these actions. With technological advances, new approaches are proposed and it now is it possible to measure the performance of physical methods for pain modulation.

CONTENTS: The innovative potential of physical rehabilitation to treat neuropathic pain is discussed. Reflections are made on therapeutic options such as: electrothermotherapy, manual therapy, physical exercise, transcranial stimulation with constant current, repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation, visual mental exercises and mirror therapy, among others. Therapeutic modalities shall be addressed according to some neuropathic pain conditions, so the authors propose a parallel between specific pathologic mechanism of some neuropathic pain conditions and the neurophysiologic mechanism of the proposed therapeutic modality.

CONCLUSION: In spite of different pathologic mechanisms and different ways of physical and mental approach with patients, the importance of active participation of patients during the rehabilitation process has to be stressed. Keywords: Clinical practice update, Evidences, Neuropathic pain, Rehabilitation.

RESUMO

JUSTIFICATIVA E OBJETIVOS: Dores neuropáticas são incapacitantes, reduzem a qualidade de vida, prejudicam a atuação profissional, limitam a participação social dos pacientes que convivem com dores lancinantes. Neste contexto visualiza-se rapidamente a reabilitação física como facilitador de autonomia e mobilidade. Contudo, a ação terapêutica estende-se além destas. Com avanços tecnológicos, novas abordagens são propostas e tornou-se possível mensurar a atuação de métodos físicos na modulação da dor.

CONTEÚDO: Discute-se o potencial inovador da reabilitação física no tratamento das dores neuropáticas. Reflexões sobre opções terapêuticas como, por exemplo: eletrotermoferapia, terapia manual, exercício físico, estimulação transcraniana com corrente constante, estimulação magnética transcraniana repetitiva, exercícios mentais visuais, terapia do espelho entre outros. As modalidades terapêuticas serão abordadas de acordo com algumas condições de dor neuropática, desta forma os autores propõem um paralelo entre o mecanismo patológico específico de algumas condições de dor neuropática e o mecanismo neurofisiológico da modalidade terapêutica proposta.

CONCLUSÃO: Embora mecanismos patológicos diferentes e várias vias de abordagem física e mental com os pacientes, destaca-se a importância da participação ativa do paciente durante o processo de reabilitação. Descritores: Atualização para prática clínica, Dor neuropática, Evidências, Reabilitação.
hypotheses for the pathophysiological mechanism to justify symmetric de-
generation of sensory A-delta fibers and C fibers during periods of hyper-
glycemia and poor glycemic control16. Hyperglycemia is considered a vector
speeding up the formation of advanced glycation end products (AGEs) in pe-
ripheral nerves and adjacent tissues, facilitating carbonyl and oxidative stress.
These biochemical and metabolic changes induce morpho-functional chang-
es such as (a) increased inflammatory mediators expression in myelinated
or demyelinated neurons and Schwann cells and (b) functional changes in
microvascular beds18,19. Progressive evolution of peripheral DP neuropathy
impairs, among others, plantar sensitivity and skin injuries healing, requiring
from patients excessive care with the health of their feet, otherwise this will
lead patients from injury to necrosis and infection of skin and underlying tis-
ues, the treatment of which is amputation of the injured segment.
It was erroneously estimated that diabetic neuropathy was a protective factor
to phantom limb sensation and pain after amputation. However, the prevalence
of phantom pain complaints in lower limb is not different between diabetics
with peripheral neuropathy (82% of cases) and non diabetics (89% of cases)17.
Therapeutic modalities to treat diabetic neuropathy vary from prescription of
exercises to prevent the disease to the use of technological advances such as
rTMS and CCTS to promote cortical changes in such pain modulation.
In general, exercise routines are major adjuvants associated to medical and
pharmacological treatment for peripheral neuropathy. There are evidences of
benefits such as (a) functional increase in macro and microvascular beds, (b)
 improved endothelial function, (c) decreased vasoconstriction and increased
blood flow, (d) increased muscle strength, (e) increased cardio-respiratory re-
estance, (e) direct increase of glycemia levels and formation of products such
as AGEs and (f) decreased DM-associated comorbidities, such as systemic
hypertension and atherosclerosis18.
In comparing aerobic exercises versus strengthening, a systematic review and
meta-analysis has observed that the former tends to further decrease glycosyl-
ated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels compared to the latter19. Although vast literature showing
beneficial effects of physical exercises on diabetic neuropathy, there are few
studies with outcome on pain intensity. Three important studies have inves-
tigated the effect of physical exercises on diabetic neuropathy pain intensity.
With aerobic and resisted training during 10 weeks with 17 diabetic neuropa-
thy patients, Kluding et al.14 have shown significant decrease in pain intensity
measured by the visual analog scale (VAS) and decreased neuropathic symp-
toms, in addition to increased intraepidermal nervous fibers by skin biopsy.
In spite of methodological limitations (e.g., small sample and lack of control
group) this was one of the first studies to describe improved neuropathic symp-
toms and changes in skin nervous fibers after a program of exercises
with NP diabetic patients18. Another aerobic exercise program lasting 16
weeks (n=14 patients) has shown significant improvement in decreasing
general pain interference (walking, working, social relationship and sleep),
however without changing pain intensity19.
In line with these findings, a qualitative focus group study with 47 NP pa-
 tients stresses the biopsychosocial complexity of their complaints, especially
loss of functional capacity (walking, standing up, balance, orthostatism, mo-
bility), decreased daily productivity (leisure activities, work), psychosocial
consequences (anxiety, irritability, fear) and disorders (insomnia, non-restor-
ative/regenerative sleep)18. Data such as these are consistent with reflections of
studies with other chronic pain populations, where the pain relief objective
do not overcome that of quality of life, quality of sleep and less interference
of pain in daily life17.
Among adverse effects of aerobic exercises in NP diabetic population, there
is fatigue, however pain outcome is still poorly explored by protocols applied
to this population18. Fatigue is also reported by non-diabetic patients after
intense aerobic exercises.
In looking for new alternatives for diabetic NP, two studies have evaluated
the eficacy of the association of exercises in Alltherapy platforms to treat dia-
abetic NP20–22. Studies with small sample sizes (n=8 and 10, respectively) and
with low scientific evidence have shown significant decrease in pain intensity
by the visual analog and NP scales18 and improvement in strength and bal-
ance parameters19.
Although some advocate the use of this equipment for NP physical rehabili-
tation, its physiological effect and improvement of biomechanical variables
are still questionable.
Electrotherapy has been described as physical therapy method with poten-
tial analgesic effect on NP, especially diabetic neuropathic pain. Studies have
shown transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) as preferential
method21. In a meta-analysis22, TENS to treat diabetic neuropathy had medii-
um-term beneficial effects (6 and 12 weeks) in pain relief. TENS therapy was
well tolerated and there have been no reports of adverse effects.
Included studies used low frequency TENS (2-4Hz), but analgesic effects of
different parameters were not analyzed. So, TENS may be effective to
handle peripheral NP, but randomized, double-blind studies comparing pa-
rameters are still needed. Possible action mechanisms of electrotherapy would
be related to local release of neurotransmitters, such as serotonin, adenosine
triphosphate (ATP) and endorphins.
Low frequency currents improve microcirculation and endoneural blood
flow, which might be particularly interesting for diabetic neuropathy. Studies
suggest that TENS activates analgesia-producing central mechanisms. There
are evidences that low frequency TENS activates δ opioids in spinal cord
and brainstem, and high frequency currents would produce effect by means of
μ receptors23. Mima et al.24 have observed that high frequency TENS also
decreases motor evoked potential amplitude, suggesting a decrease in corti-
cospinal and motor cortex excitability.
Primary motor cortex (M1) modulation to control pain may also be ob-
tained by noninvasive transcranial neuromodulation25. Most commonly used
resources are rTMS and CCTS. Primary motor cortex excitatory modulation
may be obtained with high frequency rTMS (in general above 5Hz) or anodal
CCTS (anode in M1 and cathode in contralateral supraorbital region).
Stimulation of these areas modulates thalamus and a series of other regions
related to neural networks of brain pain processing, including sensory and
emotional processing regions26,27. Kim et al.27 have carried out a clinical trial
with 60 NP patients divided in three groups submitted to active anodal CCTS
in M1, in dorsolateral pre-frontal cortex (DLPFC) or simulated CCTS, for five
consecutive days. Only M1 modulation was able to significantly decrease pain
and the effect was maintained for up to four weeks after treatment.
Similar result was found in patients with diabetic neuropathy and associ-
 ated plantar fasciitis. After five days of anodal CCTS, patient had clinically
important reduction of heel pain, associated to opioid withdrawal28. To date,
just one study has investigated rTMS to specifically treat diabetic neuropa-
thy patients’ pain. Onesti et al.29 used deep stimulation coil (H-coil), in five
 treatment sessions. Results were pain decrease associated to decrease of a
physiological pain marker, the H reflex.
In summary, physical rehabilitation in diabetic peripheral neuropathy in-
volves: (a) aerobic exercises due to their neurovascular benefits, more than
strengthening exercises, (b) TENS and (c) rTMS. However, treatment pro-
tocols, parameters, intensity, time and duration and, especially studies with
outcomes on pain are necessary to improve understanding and prescription of
such modalities.

**PHYSICAL REHABILITATION FOR NEUROPATHIC PAIN AFTER CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM INJURIES**

Injuries or dysfunctions affecting the CNS may induce difficult to control
pain, known as central pain. Most common causes are traumatic spinal cord
injuries or diseases coursing with myelopathy, brain injuries, especially those
involving the thalamus, multiple sclerosis and CNS tumors. In such condi-
tions, injuries may be themselves the source of symptoms. It is also possible
that endogenous inhibitory mechanisms are affected, generating pain by in-
hibitory failure.
In all these situations, patients shall have different NP presentations and
physical treatment is part of the list of therapeutic possibilities. Depending
on the case, it will be possible to interfere with dysfunctional mechanisms
with techniques stimulating endogenous pain inhibition such as neuromodu-
lation with electric or magnetic transcranial or peripheral stimulation, acu-
puncture, exercises and mental practices. Next, a specific approach for each
possibility where there are evidences of clinical use shall be described.
Noninvasive transcranial neuromodulation with transcranial electric stimula-
tion with direct current was initially clinically observed in patients with pain
secondary to spinal cord injury. Fregni et al.30 have shown that five days of
anodal CCTS in M1 decreases patients’ pain without interfering with the
neuropsychological condition or being associated to the presence of anxiety
and depression.
Two recent meta-analyses have shown that anodal CCTS in M1 has moderate
analgesic effect on spinal cord injury pain31,32. The review of Boldt et al.33 has
also involved other noninvasive neuromodulatory resources such as rTMS and
acupuncture, which however have not shown effect on these patients’ pain.
CCTS was studied as a way to control multiple sclerosis pain in 2010\(^3\). This study has shown that five consecutive days of anodal CCTS in M1 were able to decrease pain and improve quality of life of multiple sclerosis patients. No subsequent study has directly addressed pain in these patients, but rather fatigue and psychiatric disorders.

High frequency rTMS is the most common modality to control pain. In case of spinal cord injury, this modality has shown controversial effects for pain control. Ylmaz et al.\(^4\) have shown significant pain decrease in these patients, however statistical analysis used in this study has not compared interaction between group and time. Both hand and lower limb stimulation seem to have better effect as compared to simulated stimulation\(^5\), however this was not shown in an initial study\(^6\). An important factor might be the number of administered pulses, since studies with around 500 to 1000 pulses by session have not shown analgesic effect\(^6,7\). Low frequency TENS, another electrical stimulation modality, however for peripheral nerves, may also have analgesic effect\(^8,9\).

Exercises were studied in some clinical trials as ways to control pain in spinal cord injury patients. A systematic review with meta-analysis\(^10\) has shown that this physical intervention modality had the best effect on pain decrease, among a series of non-pharmacological interventions involving neuromodulation, acupuncture, TENS, self-hypnosis and cognitive behavioral therapy.

### PHYSICAL REHABILITATION IN RADICULOPATHIES AND PERIPHERAL NERVE ENTRAPMENT SYNDROMES

Nervous compression is an underlying cause of some neuropathic pains. Several anatomic areas are described as being more vulnerable to vascular-nervous compression, such as: osteofibrous channels of the distal pathway of brachial plexus nerves (e.g., carpal tunnel), lumbar plexus (sciatic pain) or in the entrance of trigeminal nerve root in the cerebellopontine cistern. Compensative neuropathies have a central component, in addition to a biomechanical cause by compression. Among neuropathic pains involving compression components there are: trigeminal neuralgia, radicular NP and NP in burned patients.

Trigeminal neuralgia is an excruciating, allodynia pain with high intensity. Vascular compression of trigeminal nerve dorsal root may be caused by superior cerebellar artery, by intracranial vascular abnormality, internal carotid artery aneurysm, as well as by tumors, foreign body, bone injuries or osteomas. Although these results justify trigeminal paroxysmal pain, some studies describe exacerbating facial pain without compression, as well as there are reports of compression without facial pain\(^11\). So, the bioresonance theory is proposed\(^12\) where changes in vibration frequency of structures adjacent to the trigeminal nerve resound and may induce nervous fibers injury, change impulse transmission and finally result in facial pain. Other findings include nervous root demyelination, as in the case of multiple sclerosis\(^13\). Other trigeminal neuralgia causes would be trauma, viral infection such as postherpetic neuralgia, and genetic causes\(^14\). Most prevalent surgical approach would be Gamma Knife surgery, microvascular\(^15\) or radiofrequency\(^16\) decompression. Studies describing the effects of conservative non-pharmacological treatments are few, so they have still low scientific evidence.

Physiotherapy, occupational therapy and other therapeutic approaches acting by movement, as well as using electric and thermal stimuli, tend to promote physical function improvement and functionality gain. The success of combining these therapeutic approaches with drug therapy would be indicated in early pain stages, within a multimodal context, although some patients benefit from this functional approach to treat pain\(^17\). Burst TENS during 20 to 40 days on the affected nerve, with evaluation after one and three months, has shown significant decrease in pain intensity evaluated by VAS, without report of adverse effects\(^18\). Similar effects are identified when applying TENS in refractory trigeminal neuralgia or with partial response to drugs, with slightly better effect of the constant current as compared to burst mode\(^18\). Although results of these studies are beneficial, both have some methodological limitations which weaken the effect for generalization of results. Hagenaker et al.\(^19\) have shown that anodal CCTS in M1, 20 minutes per day for 14 days, decreases pain of trigeminal neuralgia patients in 18%, result with low clinical effect. As opposed to trigeminal neuralgias, cervical and lumbar radiculopathies have better prognosis with conservative methods. Radiculopathy is nervous root injury caused by space obstruction, caused by intervertebral disc herniation, spondylisis or osteophytes. This bone and ligament compression triggers pain irritated to upper and lower limbs, weakness, paresthesia and sensation of edema\(^20\).

The objectives of the conservative treatment are movement amplitude gain, strengthening, coordination and balance. Manual therapy is used in radiculopathies with muscle energy techniques, mobilization without thrust, manipulation; cold therapy and traction modalities, therapeutic massage, medication and cervical collar\(^21\).Manual therapy and exercises present high scientific evidence for short term pain relief, moderate evidence for improved quality of life and low scientific evidence for long term effect in decreasing pain and incapacity or function gain\(^22\).

A randomized study with 42 cervical radiculopathy patients has compared the effect of mechanical cervical traction to manual cervical traction, both associated to segmental mobilization and therapeutic exercises. Frequency of intervention was three weekly sessions for six weeks. At treatment completion, both groups had improved pain and incapacity, without significant difference between groups, although there is a clinical trend toward better effect of mechanical as compared to manual traction\(^21\).

A systematic review estimates that 57% of patients improve when submitted to manual therapy or neural mobilization and 46% when submitted to muscle energy technique. This systematic review was included just four studies. Authors emphasize the lack of randomized studies, control groups and comparison among therapeutic resources. Another important limitation of the studies on manual therapy is the lack of description of the techniques used in tested protocols\(^21\).

Regardless of treatment of cervical radiculopathies being exclusively conservative or associated to surgery, prevention of recurrences and functional recovery involve muscle training, medication, cervical traction, manual therapy or cervical collar. Exercises are becoming popular due to their promising effects in function and mobility gain. Muscle training involves strengthening, in general by isometric exercises of deep cervical flexor muscles, shoulders retraction and scapular muscles. Stretching exercises especially address neck, shoulder girdle and chest. Some studies combine aerobic exercises to this analytical training. The effectiveness of this modality may be identified by body function and structures gain, by increased social participation and levels of activity and by improved personal factors, such as mood and satisfaction\(^22\).

Clinicians and researchers discuss the level of evidence of such therapeutic modalities. On the one hand, researchers aim at identifying the therapeutic effect of each technique independently. On the other hand, clinicians advocate the combination of techniques and manifest perceptions of effect enhancement by interaction among them. There are studies investigating combined treatments and which have confirmed clinicians’ perceptions, however without assessing the level of efficacy of each modality and their interactions. Improved functionality and pain relief are significant findings of treatment with combined therapeutic modalities\(^21\).

Most accepted mechanism for lumbar radiculopathies is propulsion of nucleus pulposus with breakage of intervertebral disc fibrous ring, causing immune irritation in adjacent nervous roots. This change in intervertebral disc induces biomechanical imbalance in lumbar spine and promotes neurologic deficit associated to the involvement of the nervous root, impairing joint alignment of lumbar spine vertebrae. Physiotherapists tend to consider this change in vertebral alignment as a key-point for the pathologic mechanics of radiculopathies. A reaction of joint protection inducing peripheral nerve irritation, or vice-versa, is described.

Manipulations (therapeutic maneuvers in high velocity and low movement amplitude) and segmental mobilizations (low velocity maneuvers) are popular for promoting biomechanical adjustment with movements directed to recovery of lumbar spine movement amplitude and nervous root decompression. In parallel, they foster discussions on the challenge of such techniques to assure safety and efficacy of acute radiculopathy treatment, because there would be risk of injury with joint involvement in the intervertebral disc\(^23\).

Meta-analyses and systematic reviews highlight the low risk and equivalent efficacy to conventional treatments such as analgesics, physiotherapy, exercises and posture/spine schools\(^24,25\). Physical exercise is also part of the list of therapeutic options for radiculopathies. Regular exercises of moderate intensity tend to favor sensory motor recovery involve muscle training, medication, cervical traction, manual therapy or cervical collar. Exercises are becoming popular due to their promising effects in function and mobility gain. Muscle training involves strengthening, in general by isometric exercises of deep cervical flexor muscles, shoulders retraction and scapular muscles. Stretching exercises especially address neck, shoulder girdle and chest. Some studies combine aerobic exercises to this analytical training. The effectiveness of this modality may be identified by body function and structures gain, by increased social participation and levels of activity and by improved personal factors, such as mood and satisfaction\(^22\).

Clinicians and researchers discuss the level of evidence of such therapeutic modalities. On the one hand, researchers aim at identifying the therapeutic effect of each technique independently. On the other hand, clinicians advocate the combination of techniques and manifest perceptions of effect enhancement by interaction among them. There are studies investigating combined treatments and which have confirmed clinicians’ perceptions, however without assessing the level of efficacy of each modality and their interactions. Improved functionality and pain relief are significant findings of treatment with combined therapeutic modalities\(^21\). Physiological exercise is also part of the list of therapeutic options for radiculopathies. Regular exercises of moderate intensity tend to favor sensory motor functions and the regeneration potential of injured axons. In summary, results of animal model studies propose this effect of exercise by increased neurotrophin levels, neural activity recoding, peripheral sensory reorganization,
supraspinal neuronal excitability change and cortical sensory projections. For example, a study by Cobianchi et al. has compared two treadmill running protocols in mice after chronic sciatic nerve constriction injury. Brief protocol of exercises (1h per day in the 5 days following experimental nerve injury) has decreased NP symptoms (decreased allodynia, decreased microglia and astrocytes expression). A brief running protocol has promoted acceleration of sciatic nerve regeneration process. A different animal model study with treadmill walking protocol in low intensity complements the mechanism of exercise-induced analgesia by treadmill exercise with serotonergic involvement, in addition to decreasing pro-inflammatory cytokines. Although evidences of physical exercise effect in animal models being attractive, similar studies in humans are scarce in the literature. Some techniques aim at rebalancing body structures by neural and adjacent tissues mobilization (neural mobilization), however still showing low therapeutic effect to treat peripheral nerve injury or compression. High frequency rTMS was better than anodal CCTS or simulated treatments in decreasing pain secondary to lumbar radiculopathy. Cervical collars are in general prescribed to decrease foramen compression and, as a consequence, nervous roots inflammation by means of limiting vertebral movement amplitude. Kuiper et al. have evaluated cervical collar or physiotherapy versus expectant therapy in patients with recent cervical radiculopathy and have concluded that, during the acute phase, both approaches promote short-term relief. Zarghooni et al. have reviewed the use and indication of cervical and lumbar orthoses to treat acute and chronic spinal diseases highlighting the lack of high quality studies and observing that with regard to lumbar vests there are no scientific evidences offering support to their therapeutic use, as well as those proving their ineffectiveness.

A clinical randomized and controlled study has evaluated the effect of contrast baths in the pre and postoperative treatment of carpal tunnel syndrome, having hand volume as studied variable. Although not having evaluated pain in studied groups, authors concluded that contrast baths were not effective to decrease hand edema, and discuss the lack of randomized trials to support the clinical use of this therapeutic technique, including standardized protocols.

**PHYSICAL REHABILITATION IN SPECIAL CASES OF NEUROPATHIC PAIN**

**Burned patients**

Generalized neuropathy after burn injuries is a common morbidity, however of difficult diagnosis and handling of nervous compression syndromes after thermal or electric burns, however poorly documented for chemical burns. Of early manifestation in the first months following burn injury, to late manifestations more than four years after injury, it requires systematic evaluations as well as early NP diagnosis in burned patients. It affects between 2% and 84% of patients and the cause is difficult to evaluate due to the complex metabolic nature in burned patients, to subsequent use of neurotic antibiotics and other numerous iatrogenic neuropathy causes. Peripheral neuropathy is one of the most common neuromuscular complications in burned patients and probably the less diagnosed and inadequately treated. Nervous compression is manifested by electric and thermal shock sensations which are described as pain worsening with signs of allodynia, hyperalgiesia and itching. Males tend to have more neuropathy signs as compared to females, and patients with body surface burns above 10% have higher prevalence of neuropathic pain. Surgical intervention for nerve decomposition is required for most patients. Nerve decomposition is considered an effective procedure promoting motor and sensory dysfunction improvement after late burn injury in limbs, although patients remain with paresthesia and "drop foot", morbidities affecting a small number of patients. In an observational longitudinal study with burned patients, 46% of cases had carpal tunnel nervous compression. Hands integrity is critical for daily activities with special attention to their rehabilitation by the importance of highly affected precision and functionality by the risk of injury. Contractures are most common complications identified by physiotherapists. Functional, post-burn injury treatment concentrates in the use of splints, long physiotherapy sessions to prevent edema, contractures and to maintain or improve movement amplitude, recover function, prevent keloids, regain muscle strength and esthetic and functional results.

In a report of four years of experience with rehabilitation after burn injuries, these gains are highlighted, however authors do not address NP treatment in burned patients. In general, there is a gap in burned patients’ care on part of professionals acting on function and motor autonomy gain. There are long descriptions and discussions on movement amplitude gain and contractures prevention without addressing the frequent morbidity of peripheral neuropathy. The reflection on the subject is important since these are professionals with daily contact with patients, whose maneuvers for mobility gain in general induce pain and they should be alert for late, post-burn injury nervous compression signs.

Severe burns may produce scars with excruciating pain difficult to handle due to poor response to conventional treatments. In search of therapeutic alternatives, Cuignet et al., in Belgium, have applied an analgesia protocol with electroacupuncture in 32 patients with signs and symptoms of NP and pathological burn scars, without favorable response to previous treatments. Following the protocol and according to Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM), 30-minute sessions three times a week, they have observed decrease in pain intensity, relevant only for patients with localized burn injuries, without significant effect on patients with generalized hyperalgesia. Somatosensory rehabilitation in post-burn injury NP patients has different effects, in some cases improving sensitivity and in others not. Somatosensory rehabilitation aims at addressing hypoesthetic zones, based on somatosensory system neuroplasticity concepts and proposes that mechanical allodynia masks sensitivity and could be initially treated by hypoesthetic areas. A protocol has tested 17 burned patients for discrimination of touch, textures perception and vibratory stimulus only in hypoesthetic regions, tested with monofilaments. Six patients had no allodynia after treatment of their hypoesthetic regions. However, study results have not shown significant effect of the protocol in this sample. Further studies should be carried out to answer to several methodological gaps of this study.

A different potential approach to treat burned patients with NP would be rTMS. Aiming at evaluating neuroplastic changes associated to chronic NP in this population, Portilla et al. have carried out a double-blind study of a session with sham excitability and primary motor cortex anode, contralateral to worst body pain symptom. However, this first study has not shown clinical changes in a single session. As well as previous studies, there are early evidences with this case series that, similar to chronic pain patients, burned patients have central mechanism with decreased cortical sensitivity and could benefit from rTMS.

**Phantom limb pain**

Referred severe pain in amputated body segment by surgical procedure, be it by disease, such as in diabetic neuropathy, by trauma or electric shock, it is estimated that this complaint reaches 50% to 90% of amputees and that only 5% to 10% of them complain of severe phantom limb pain. The prevalence of phantom limb pain varies according to characteristics of the population and pre, peri and post-amputation anesthetic procedures. Phantom limb pain phenomenon was described in the 16th Century by Ambroise Paré and its mechanism is still not clear. Since its description, several hypotheses were proposed, since peripheral causes, such as neuroma, increased peripheral axon excitability, trigger-points; spinal cord mechanisms, spinal cord reorganization after peripheral nerve injury changes; to CNS system changes.

Based on technological imaging diagnosis advances, recent studies have shown primary somatosensory cortex reorganization after amputation, being these findings correlated to phantom limb pain magnitude. This reorganization is due to maladaptive changes in different neuroimaging levels and may be associated to poor body representation in patients by the lack of afferent signal due to limb or segment amputation. Another curiosity is that, in addition to increased gray matter in motor cortex of amputees, there is increased gray matter in visual field regions, suggesting the hypothesis of compensation of sensory motor loss with visual adaptation mechanisms to maintain body function and integrity.

Therapeutic modalities for phantom limb pain management lack scientific evidences and are clinically classified as unsatisfactory. Patients self-evaluate their therapeutic experience and establish a success rate to treatments. Pharmacological approaches vary from 67 to 21%, for opioids and steroids, respectively. Interventionist treatments vary from 58% for subarachnoid opioid pump to up to 20% for contralateral anesthesia. Among non-pharmacological options, relaxation is associated to 41% suc-
cess, TENS to 28%, and hypnosis with the lowest success rate, 19%. New therapeutic approaches based on neurophysiologic concepts use discriminative sensory training\(^8\), virtual mental exercises\(^9\) and mirror image projection\(^10\) and renew patients and health professionals hope.

Mirror therapy for phantom limb pain patients stresses the importance of establishing the illusion of the phantom limb in the mirror projection of the intact limb. Those bilaterally amputated cannot be submitted to this therapeutic option. Treatment effect depends on the virtual sensation of "having back the amputated limb" in the mirror projection. While patients look at the mirror and visualize their phantom segment and by means of motor commands for both limbs they perform symmetric movements and notice that their phantom limb "obeys" to their commands, this allows the reconstruction of the body image and in some cases partial pain decrease\(^5\). Therapy consists in developing voluntary movements ability of the phantom limb and several protocols are described, from light to complex movements, performed slowly or rapidly, association of tactile stimuli to movement, supervised or not exercises.

Patients are oriented to stop the activity in case of adverse effects, such as dizziness and emotional discomfort by visual sensation of the phantom limb, in addition to pain intensity increase. Some patients have described cramps when "performing voluntary phantom limb movement (mirror projection). Due to the risk of worsening pain, some physiotherapists prefer evolving to voluntary movements only after reaching painless movement amplitude in the phantom limb mirror projection\(^6\). This phenomenon has also been described in CRPS patients\(^3\). In comparison of movements are used with phantom segments, associated or not to mental imagery and potentially reorganize phantom pain\(^4\). Similar to phantom pain approaches, mirror therapy, mental imagery and complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) therapy will potentially reorganize phantom pain\(^4\). Visualization and observation when "performing voluntary phantom limb movement" (confirmed by mirror approach of CRPS patients. Few studies have evaluated primary motor cortex modulation with noninvasive brain stimulation techniques to treat CRPS. Pleger et al.\(^15\) have shown a transient effect during repetitive EMT in this condition. Picarelly et al.\(^16\) have used high frequency transcranial magnetic stimulation applied to this region in CRPS type I patients and have shown pain decrease during a period of 10 consecutive sessions, with improvement in affective pain components. Peripheral stimulation with surface electrodes (TENS) seems to be more effective when associated to exercises\(^4\). However, physical treatments, including exercises, mental simulation of movements (motor imagery), mirror therapy, manual lymphatic drainage, sensory discrimination training, stellate ganglion block with low intensity ultrasound or the use of pulsed electromagnetic fields have not shown clinically significant effects on these patients\(^3\).

**CONCLUSION**

NP theories and mechanisms complement each other. Opting for just one hypothesis induces health professionals and researchers to lose action potential to reverse clinical presentation or provide relief. There are several therapeutic options to treat central and peripheral neuropathic pain. One should stress old approaches which remain with good level of evidence such as TENS. Other old methods are no longer used in research, but persist in the clinical practice, such as contrast baths. In addition to questionable neurological and/or physiological effect, this modality poses a potential risk in cases of sensitivity deficit, that is, especially in the NP population. As with chronic pain, there is a trend to improve active approaches, those requiring patients' physical and mental effort, such as: exercises, imagery, tactile discrimination and mirror therapy.

Technological advances, such as TMS and CCTS currents, also gain space in the therapeutic approach of this population although needing further studies. Rehabilitation can and should be included as adjuvant to treat NP patients. Rehabilitation provides further autonomy and functionality to daily lives of these patients and these are, in some cases, patients' motivational objectives, being above pain relief.
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