Invasive treatment to control neuropathic pain
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES: Distress, allied to neuropathic pain persistence and its refractory nature, often leads patients to accept invasive procedures. Neuropathic pain control is a major medical challenge requiring approaches and decisions especially based on effectiveness, risks and costs. This study aimed at reviewing these aspects related to major invasive procedures.

CONTENTS: Major invasive procedures to control neuropathic pain are presented. Initially, classically reversible anesthetic blocks; then invasive neuromodulation techniques using electric current application and the magnetic field generated by it becomes a target to be stimulated, inhibited or modified in the nervous system (central, peripheral or autonomic); and, finally, ablative procedures including anesthetic methods administering neurolytic agents rather than anesthetics and neurosurgeries using different methods to injure the nervous system to control painful neuropathic discomfort.

CONCLUSION: Patients eligible to invasive procedures to control neuropathic pain have, in addition to pain itself, a mixed distress including the collection of repeated delusions at every treatment failure. They have reserved prognosis with regard to total cure and, unfortunately, relieve obtained with invasive treatment in general does not reach persistent and high rates. In such adverse situation, these partial results of decreasing original pain intensity may be interpreted as acceptable, provided the impact on final quality of life is positive. Maybe, the rare exceptions are good results obtained with typical idiopathic/cryptogenic neuralgias, which, in part, are good results obtained with typical idiopathic/cryptogenic neuralgias excluded from the stricter interpretation of the new pathophysiological classification of neuropathic pains.
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RESUMO

JUSTIFICATIVA E OBJETIVOS: O sofrimento aliado à existência e refratividade da dor neuropática frequentemente leva seu portador a aceitar tratamentos invasivos. O controle da dor neuropática representa um desafio médico importante, especialmente desde a existência de condutas e decisões baseadas, principalmente, em efetividade, riscos e custos. O escopo deste estudo foi a revisão desses aspectos relacionados aos principais procedimentos invasivos.

CONTEÚDO: São apresentados os principais procedimentos invasivos utilizados para o controle da dor neuropática. Inicialmente, os bloqueios anestésicos, classificadamente reversíveis; depois as técnicas de neuromodulação invasiva que utilizam a aplicação de corrente elétrica e o campo magnético por ela gerado em alvos a serem estimulados, inhibidos ou modificados no sistema nervoso craniano, periférico ou autônomo; e, finalmente, os procedimentos ablativos que incluem o uso de agentes neurolyticos em vez de anestésicos e neurocirurgias usando diferentes métodos para danificar o sistema nervoso para o controle do desconforto doloroso neuropático.

CONCLUSÃO: Os pacientes que se apresentam como candidatos a receberem indicações de procedimentos invasivos para controle de dores neuropáticas, possuem além do inerte à própria dor, sofrimento misto, que inclui a coleção de desilusões reiteradas a cada insucesso de tratamento. Possuem prognóstico reservado no que tange a plena cura, e, infelizmente, o óbito obviado com o tratamento invasivo, em geral, não atinge taxas persistentes e elevadas. Nessa situação tão adversa esses resultados parciais de redução da intensidade da dor original possam ser interpretados como aceitáveis desde que o impacto no qualidade de vida final seja positivo. Talvez, as raras exceções, recaiam sobre os bons resultados obtidos com as neuralgias típicas, idiopáticas/cryptogenéticas, raramente, excluídas da interpretação mais rígida da nova classificação fisiopatológica das dores neuropáticas.

Descritores: Bloqueios anestésicos, Bloqueios neuralíticos, Cordotomia, Dor neuropática, DREZotomia, Fármacos subaracnóideo, Estimulação elétrica cerebral, Estimulação elétrica medular, Neurorcinogia para dor neuropática, Neuromodulação invasiva.

INTRODUCTION

The vast majority of invasive procedures to relief neuropathic pain (NP) aim at symptomatic, rather than etiologic control¹. The idea of controlling pain by solving its cause permeates lay understanding and interferes with the acceptance of exclusively symptomatic treatment, especially when invasive procedures are proposed. Additionally, the search for pain of ZERO intensity is a dreamlike expectation of patients, relatives and caregivers. In chronic, especially atypical, NP treatment, the objective is to improve quality of life (QL) and not the total disappearance of the complaint. In fact, the objective would be close if patients could obtain decreased intensity, long painless periods and a moment of some days when they would forget pain. The exception is the group of typical intermittent neuropathic pains, which may be controlled and ceased for long periods with pharmacological treatment and, when necessary, the same might be obtained with invasive procedures.

Didactic task is necessary in the clinical practice, as well as the conciliation of expectations, that is, between what the medical team can offer and what patients, caregivers and relatives expect and demand. Invasive procedures may be ablative when, fundamentally, do not preserve nervous system (central and/or peripheral); and non-ablative when preserving. The recognition of a functional solidarity uniting neurons² and, more recently, also neuroglial cells, gives subsidies for discomfort following invasive procedures for pain relief. So, non-ablative procedures are being increasingly preferred since ablative procedures are associated to further neuropathic discomfort or to worsening of those symptoms already being treated³.

ANESTHETIC PROCEDURES

Local anesthetics and opioids may be used in the pharmacological blockade of nociceptive pathways with analgesia also for NP⁴. Simultaneous use of two drugs in a blockade may add to and potentiate effects. Commonly used substances for such blocks are procaine, lidocaine, prilocaine, bupivacaine and ropivacaine⁵. Most of the times they are topically applied or administered close to nervous trunks, plexuses, nervous roots, spinal spaces (epidural and spinal) and, in specialized pain therapy centers, also by systemic route in doses close to those used to control arrhythmias.

Anesthetic block provides information for diagnosis and prognosis, in addition to having therapeutic objectives in some cases. Blockade provides reversion, at least temporary, of situations such as alldynia and hyperalgesia. Its usefulness for non-cancer short-lasting pain is unques-
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In the last decades, due to the development of more potent drugs, with more adequate selectivity, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics, indication of surgical procedures to control pain has become naturally less frequent. Surgical procedures, however, are still useful for a considerable number of cases refractory to drug therapy, both by analgesic response failure and adverse effects not tolerated by patients. Improved knowledge about painful syndromes, the development of new techniques and the improvement of existing ones, as well as the refinement of their indications, have contributed a lot for the adequacy and efficacy of surgeries.

Diagnosis review of the pain syndrome or associated diseases is critical before applying a therapeutic, especially surgical, technique. Wrong diagnosis is frequent cause of refractoriness to treatment. Clinical history, detailed neurologic exam and complementary exams should be applied before each proposal. In addition to the diagnosis of each painful syndrome involved in patients’ pain, be it neuropathic, non neuropathic, specific painful syndrome (e.g., trigeminal neuralgia) or the coexistence of many of them; correct pain topography and possible involvement of the central or peripheral nervous system, visceral or somatic, are critical for the adequate choice of the functional neurosurgical procedure.

There are several surgical pain treatment modalities which shall be applied according to painful syndrome diagnosis. Among them, repairing surgeries, such as nervous decompensation; ablation, inactivation or blockade of specific points of projection pathways or nervous centers related to pain processing; spinal drug administration by means of infusion system implants; spinal and supraspinal pain inhibiting systems with neuromodulator implants with spinal or brain electrodes.

Neuropathic pain may be treated with neurosurgical methods, depending on the complexity and etiology of pain. In cancer patients, the indication of more invasive procedures for adequate pain control, including neuropathic pain, shall not be delayed, since this control leads to significant improvement in their QL, especially for those with advanced disease and reserved prognosis.

Neurosurgical technique shall be chosen as from the understanding of the pathophysiology and topography of neural structures involved with pain in the specific case. Neurosurgical treatment by interrupting nociceptive pathways should be performed when pain is predominantly induced by excessive nociceptiv stimulation. This is an ablative method and, as such, brings significant changes to locoregional and distant nervous system.

Currently, with the adequate diagnosis of pain affecting patients, it is possible to select, in most cases, the best clinical or surgical treatment based on reliable review data, that is, based on evidences. Surgical treatment is not necessarily indicated as the last alternative after all conservative treatment measures are depleted.

Neurosurgical interruption of nociceptive pathways may be obtained at any central or peripheral nervous system level. Surgery may be open or percutaneous.

Percutaneous procedures are less invasive, safer and more precise, of low operational cost, are not associated to complications inherent to surgical methods. However, for needing patient’s cooperation during partial or total time of its performance, sometimes the psychoaffective component of the percutaneous and cooperating technique is replaced by tissue trauma of the open and unconscious method.

To minimize lucidity suffering during percutaneous surgeries, drugs are administered to induce anterograde and retrograde amnesia. More and more, procedures are enriched with neurophysiologic and neuroimaging data so as to decrease patients’ participation time.

Pathways may be interrupted with chemical injury with neurolytic agents, thermal of cryoablation or thermocoagulation devices, ischemic by mechanical compression, and even actinic with the combina-
tion of stereotactic and multiloculated radiotherapy methods. Neuroradiological studies, from simple X-rays, computerized tomography radioscopy, and more recently MRI and ultrasound images, may be used in the pre, peri and postoperative periods of such procedures.

ABLATIVE METHODS

Ablative methods aim at interrupting pain pathways by deliberate and selective injury of structures such as peripheral nerves, nervous roots and ganglia, medullary cords and ascending structures and brain structures such as thalamic nuclei or midbrain. Limbic system structures injury also acts to decrease pain-associated cognitive and emotional components, which cause suffering to individuals. Percutaneous neurosurgery under sedation is safer than open surgeries, in addition to allowing the physiological mapping of the desired target and simulation of postoperative situation. Neurolytic substances involve complications (less frequent, such as sympathetomies), being safer the use of physical media such as cold (cryocoagulation) or the most commonly used due to further availability, heat (radiofrequency) to interrupt nervous pathways and centers.

SYMPATHETOMIES

Their primary indications are to treat visceral pain of abdominal, pelvic and chest cavities, and ischemic pain. Predominantly neuropathic pains are not classically included among indications. They should only be indicated when there is significant and temporary pain relief after sympathetic chain blocks with local anesthetics, being contraindicated for CRPS, amputation stump pain, myelopathic pain, cauda equina injury pain, roots avulsion and postherpetic neuralgia.

PERIPHERAL NEUROPATHIES (SOMATIC NERVES)

Neurotomies might be useful to control neuropathic pain. They are indicated to treat occipital neuralgia, genitor-femoral, ilio-inguinal cutaneous-femoral nerve, lesser sciatic and pudendal neuralgia. They are not effective for most patients with deafferentation pain, root avulsion pain, amputation stump pain and postherpetic neuralgia.

Neurotomy of spinal roots posterior recurrent branches consists in their injury where they emerge in conjugate foramen, both by open and percutaneous procedure, by the introduction of an electrode along the external border and on joint facet base, followed by radiofrequency injury of such nerves. It is effective to treat pain secondary to facet arthropathy, paravertebral myofascial painful syndromes refractory to physiatric procedures and apendicular neuropathic pain. However, best results are obtained for axial-type nociceptive pain.

Percutaneous neurotomies have anti-inflammatory effect by decreasing neurogenic inflammation dependent on allogenic substances release in the periphery. Radiculopathy pain is relieved by spinal cord afference inhibition. This inhibition decreases the recruitment of second order of convergence wide dynamic range neuronal units. It promotes pain relief in 50% of cases after 8 to 27 months of treatment, with best results in patients not previously submitted to spinal surgery. They seldom develop additional neuropathic painful discomfort or to replace original pain.

Neurotomy of trigeminal nerve peripheral branches may be performed in several ways. Avulsion, crushing, interposition of organic material between sectioned stumps, mechanical neurolysis and retrograde folding of amputated nervous stumps may provide benefit to patients, however only temporary due to nervous regeneration phenomenon.

Neurectomy of trigeminal nerve peripheral branches has indication restricted to diagnostic confirmation, to treatment of elderly or debilitated patients or with lateral neuralgia, when there is anesthesia of one hemiface secondary to contralateral rhizotomy. Percutaneous neurotomies of occipital nerves is a useful procedure in cases of greater occipital nerve neuralgia. It is performed by percutaneous puncture of occipital nerves at occipital bone squama, close to occipital artery. Chemical neurolysis is no longer used, being replaced by radiofrequency. However, neurotomy results fall short of those obtained by anesthetic blocks (false-positives).

Pudendal nerve neurotomy is indicated to treat pudendal nerve neuralgia and perineal pain (especially cancer pain). It consists in the percutaneous introduction of electrode in posterior perineal region, followed by stimulation and radiofrequency injury of pudendal nerve trunk. When procedure is bilateral, it may result in urinary incontinence. Chemical injuries (in general with phenol) may be performed by the same anterior route used for local anesthesia in episiotomies.

Genito-femoral nerve neurotomy is indicated in cases of genito-femoral nerve neuralgia, while ilio-inguinal neurotomy is indicated to treat ilio-inguinal neuralgia. They are performed by retro-peritoneum access, similar to that used for lumbar sympathectomy. Cutaneous-femoral nerve neurotomy is indicated to treat paresthetic meralgia. Nerve is accessed by medial incision of the antero-superior iliac spine. Lesser sciatic nerve neurotomy is indicated for neuralgia of such structures. Nerve is accessed by incision of gluteal region and proximal region of thigh posterior face, after gluteus minimus muscle dissection.

RHYZOTOMIES

True rhizotomies are uncommon. Procedures, in their vast majority, are in fact neurotomies. In the spine they are in general spinal neurotomies since the target is distal to sensory ganglia, in mixed nerves. They are indicated to treat pain induced by paroxysmal neuralgias or by tumors, in restricted body areas, especially face, brain, cervical, thoracic and perineal regions. They are contraindicated for amputation stump pain, postherpetic neuralgia, actinic neuropathy, myelopathic pain or cauda equina pain, nervous roots avulsion, atypical facial pain and painful facial anesthesia.

Cervical, thoracic and sacral rhizotomy is effective for selected oligosegmental paroxysmal peripheral NP cases, restricted to superficial body areas and few dermatomes. In limbs, rhizotomies may induce sensory ataxia. Sacral rhizotomy should not bilaterally involve second sacral roots in patients with functional bladder integrity due to the risk of developing neurogenic bladder. Intercostal rhizotomy may benefit some patients with intercostal neuralgia.

Trigeminal, glossopharyngeal and intermediate nerves rhizotomy is effective to treat pain resulting from essential neuralgias and from face, pharynx, tonsillar pouch, tongue base and inner ear pain. Open spinal and trigeminal nerve rhizotomies are seldom indicated today.

Intermediate nerve rhizotomy is still an open procedure by microsurgical technique. Percutaneous procedures are more often used for such objective.

Trigeminal nerve rhizotomy consists in the manual introduction of an electrode, by anterior route, by means of oral foramen, inside Gasser ganglion, under radiological, radioscopic or tompographic control. After puncture, patients are awakened and questioned about the location of the paresthetic sensation evoked by trigeminal structures stimulation. Based on this information, the electrode is moved until paresthetic sensation is located in the pain territory. Thermal injuries are repeated during 60-minute periods with increasing intensity until hypoalgesia or analgesia with preservation of segmental tactile sensitivity is obtained by radiofrequency generators. Temperature monitoring quantifies the level of induced injury. Although concomitantly, the name trigeminal rhizotomy is mistaken for starting from the wrong assumption that the surgical target is a root, when in fact it is cranial nerve division and from face, pharynx, tonsillar pouch, tongue base and inner ear pain. Open spinal and trigeminal nerve rhizotomies are seldom indicated today.

Radiofrequency percutaneous rhizotomies are performed under general anesthesia or sedation. Pneumaneuraldative medication (lorazepam, flunitrazepam) induces amnesia and short-lasting anesthetic agents (propofol) or neuroleptanalgesics (fentanyl, droperidol) are recommended for the procedure. In the last decades, the use of α2-adrenergics, such as dexm的合作

There is face numbness in almost all cases. Paresthesias are observed in
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8% to 10.9% of patients and painful dysesthesias in 0.5% to 5% of cases. In average, there is pain recurrence in 5% of patients in the first year, in 10% in the second year and, progressively, in 5% of cases per year. Recurrence rate may be higher in trigeminal neuralgias associated to demyelinating disease (multiple sclerosis) reaching up to 40% in two years. Trigeminal nerve rhizotomy with embolectomy balloon compression consists in percutaneous Gasser ganglion puncture under trunk, systemic or intravenous anesthesia, with or without artificial ventilation. Then, balloon is inflated on the ganglion by means of a needle which leads the catheter, lasting 60 seconds and with 0.6 to 0.8 mL of iodized contrast.

Glossohypogean nerve rhizotomy is similarly performed and after torn foramen puncture. There might be bradycardia and hypotension during intervention which indicates need to interrupt the injury. Sensory and motor sequelae, including dysphonia, dysphasia and dysphagia are referred in some cases. Pain recurrence is uncommon and there is mortality in 5% of cases.

**Lissauer Tractotomy and Spinal Cord Posterior Horn Injury (SCPH) or Injury of Dorsal Roots Entry Zone (DREZ or Drezotomy)**

This is radiofrequency lysis of Lissauer tract and of spinal cord posterior horn gray matter where there is neuronal hyperactivity in cases of deafferentation or spasticity pain. It is performed to treat phantom limb pain, pain resulting from actinic plexular neuropathies, cancer and trauma pain, postherpetic neuralgia, myelopathic pain, cauda equina injury and spasticity pain, nervous roots avulsion pain and atypical face neuralgia. It has no satisfactory results in complex regional pain syndrome and in patients with multiple sclerosis-associated pain.

The procedure decreases hyperactivity of nociceptive pathways of spinal cord ascending tracts because it destroys hyperexcited neurons of SCPH laminae I, II, III, IV, V and VI and Lissauer tract which are involved in facilitation and inhibition mechanisms of SCPH neurons activity, as well as ascending pathways traveling through spinal cord posterolateral quadrant. This allows changing the balance between excitatory and inhibitory pathways of deafferented segmental neuronal circuits. Lissauer tract and SCPH injury is more effective and safer when indicated to treat patients with extensive deafferentation areas, such as brachial plexus roots avulsion, actinic plexular neuropathy, segmental pain in paraplegic patients with myelopathy or with cauda equina and conus injury.

General anesthesia and lamincetomy are needed for spinal procedure, to expose roots penetration zone corresponding to innervation of areas where pain is referred, as well as neighbor rostral and caudal dermatomes. Dura is opened in the medial line and root entry zone is exposed by means of surgical microscope. In cases of brachial plexus avulsion, disposition of contralateral roots and of ipsilateral roots penetration line are the anatomic repairs to locate spinal cord areas to be injured. Dermatomes are located by motor roots (contralateral, if necessary) monopolar electrical stimulation. Electrodes are implanted in spinal cord and directed with 25 degrees inclination from outside to inside and from back to forth, in transversal plane, penetrating 2 mm in the depth of each pain reference segment. Then, thermal injuries are performed by radiofrequency at every 2 mm. In case of traumatic myelopathy, they are performed in the entry zone of the three roots located above the anatomically abnormal segment. Preoperative sensory deficit extension and intensity are systematically increased after Lissauer tract and SCPH injury. Motor deficit, in general mild, is present in approximately 10% of patients submitted to Lissauer tract and SCPH injury.

Discrete and transient posterior cord syndrome homolateral to the injury is initially seen in 2/3 of cases, being permanent in 10% to 30%. Paresthesia in neighbor dermatomes region, and hyperesthesia in the transition area between normal and impaired regions are also observed. There is higher risk of long tracts injury in cases of avulsion due to spinal cord atrophy as consequence of traumatized tissue healing scar. There is higher possibility of neurological function impairment when injury is performed in spinal cord thoracic segments. Numerous neurological complications have been described after this procedure indicated to treat post-lumbar laminectomy syndrome, among them genitral region and lower limbs hypoesthesia, motor deficits, sphincter incontinence and sexual impotency.

For nervous roots avulsion, immediate excellent and good results were observed in 64.7% to 100% of patients, and regular results in 8.3% to 24%. During follow up period varying between 5 and 108 months, there is decrease in good and excellent results to 50% to 81% and increase in the number of regular results of 9.5% to 40%. Initial improvement is maintained after treatment in most patients with actinic neuropathy-associated pain. There is 75% to 100% improvement in patients with postherpetic neuralgia immediately after procedure. With time, there is partial or complete pain recurrence in up to 50% of patients in 6 months, in 38% in one year and in 26% in 18 months. Recurrent pain after surgery in these cases is different from original pain in 50% of cases. Initial burning sensation is replaced by aching, throbbing or cold sensation.

For phantom pain there is immediate improvement in 50% to 100% of patients soon after procedure and in the long term in 50 to 66%. Results seem to be more unsatisfactory to treat amputation stump pain. The procedure chronically benefits 45.5% to 80% of patients with traumatic myelopathic pain with good initial results in 85% to 100%. There is significant segmental myelopathic pain improvement in 80% of patients, of unilateral pain in 90% and of distal and sacral pain in just 32%.

There is also relief of pain generated by trigger-zones stimulation. Results are considered unsatisfactory in less than 41% of patients. No significant improvement has been observed in multiple sclerosis patients.

**Stereotactic Nucleotractotomy of Trigeminal Nerve Spinal Tract**

This is stereotactic injury of the trigeminal nerve spinal tract oval portion, being indicated for deafferentation facial pain which does not improve after caudal nucleotractotomy. The technique is performed with patients in the sitting or lateral position, under local anesthesia. It consists in bone fixation of the stereotactic device to the cephalic segment, followed by stereotomograph and reconstruction of bulbo-spinal transition images, with merging of obtained images with the stereotactic atlas. Stereotactic target is placed 4 to 6.5 mm laterally to median line, according to the affected territory of third or first trigeminal nerve division, upwards and from outside to inside, with 20 degrees inclination with relation to transversal and sagittal planes.

Location is confirmed with monopolar stimulation. When the electrical stimulation induces discomfort in referred pain site, radiofrequency sessions are performed to coagulate neural tissue, with 2 mm diameter. This procedure is markedly effective for trigeminal postherpetic neuralgia and other deafferentation pains located in the face and for orofacial cancer pain.

Trigeminal nucleotractotomy may be an open procedure. Surgical and anesthetic size is higher and might not be indicated for elderly or debilitated patients. However, vascular injuries may be efficiently prevented by direct view. Postero-inferior cerebellar artery inferiorly surrounds cerebellar hemispheres and may be injured by the procedure causing bleeding, spasms or coagulation. Arterial injury is more common in stereotactic procedures. Open injuries, on the other hand, do not allow physiologic mapping and control of its magnitude. Injuries extension may lead to impairment of posterior funiculi and spinothalamic tracts. Deficiencies are often permanent, but not disabling, in 40% of patients treated with open trigeminal nucleotractotomy, and in 20% of patients treated with the stereotactic technique. The association of microscopy and stereotaxis has increased the advantages of this method as compared to open procedures. A recent study confirms the long duration (mean of 4.3 years) of good results obtained in atypical trigeminal chronic pain, brachial plexus avulsion, postherpetic neuralgia and phantom limb pain.

**Pontine Trigeminal Nucleotractotomy**

Effective procedure to treat deafferentation facial pain which has not improved after caudal nucleutractotomy. It improves pain in approxi-
mately 60% of patients with atypical facial pain18-20,22. Trigeminal nerve spinal tract nucleotomy and stereotactic pontine trigeminal nerve nucleotomy are effective to treat deafferentation facial pain (Wallenberg syndrome, trigeminal neuropathy pain). Immediate excellent results in cases of postherpetic neuralgia in the trigeminal nerve territory were observed in 57% to 100% of cases. During the follow up period, from 6 to 72 months, pain was absent in 25% to 50% of patients and had improved in 31% to 50%. Results seem to be less satisfactory with increasing number of impaired divisions. There are evidences that paroxysmal pain is more easily controlled as compared to constant weight pain. There is improvement in actinic trigeminal neuropathy in most treated patients. There is also symptoms improvement in more than 50% of patients with painful facial anesthesia. Results are unsatisfactory for patients with weight pain.

Pontine trigeminal tractotomy was used as adjuvant method in a series of 50 patients with typical trigeminal neuralgia refractory to conservative treatment, where neuroimaging investigations have not shown evident vascular conflict, and the same findings were observed in intraoperative retroscopygmoid exploration. Just one patient (2%) has not improved, while 18% have reported partial improvement and 80% total pain remission22. Trigeminal neuralgia and multiple sclerosis patients have reported 87.5% of good results21.

CORDOTOMY

It consists in spinothalamic tract interruption in spinal cord antero-lateral quadrant, contralateral to that where pain is referred21,22. Antero-lateral cordotomy is indicated to treat cancer pain with less than one-year survival, which unilaterally affects distal to cervical rostral segments. It should be avoided in patients with ventilation abnormalities. It may also control mixed cancer pains (by increasing nociception and neuropathic)22. It has unsatisfactory results when performed in patients with actinic pain, postherpetic neuralgia, nervous roots avulsion, phantom pain and amputation stump pain21. Percutaneous cordotomy is performed in the cervical region (between C1 and C2, or C3 and C4 or C5 and C6) under local anesthesia complemented, if necessary, with intravenous agents for patients' comfort. After perimyelographic or stereotomomymyelographic procedure, to delineate spinal cord and dentate ligament, an electrode is introduced by lateral or anterior route in spinal cord antero-lateral quadrant. After confirming location with electrical stimulation, spinothalamic tract is submitted to radiofrequency lysis. Endoscopic tools provide less surgical time, less radiological exposure and the performance in patients allergic to iodized contrasts24-26.

Open procedure consists in laminectomy and exposure of first and second cervical spinal cord segments or of second and third thoracic spinal cord segments and section of the antero-lateral quadrant of this nervous structure. In children, the procedure is in general performed under general anesthesia and as open procedure; however computerized tomography may offer safe conditions for the percutaneous method27. In rare situations where there is indication for bilateral cordotomy, an interval of at least three weeks is recommended between procedures. Eventually, there might be pain contralateral to original pain after unilateral surgery, being very often necessary indication for contralateral procedure. Motor, sphincter and sexual deficits are present in less than 10% of cases. Cordotomy may induce myelopathic pain in up to 20% of patients followed up for long periods. Respiratory paralysis during sleep syndrome is uncommon and is manifested after bilateral cordotomies, especially when analgesia reaches higher dermatomes (brachial)21,22.

EXTRALEMINSICAL MELOYOTOMY

It consists in the interruption of spinoreticulothalamic fibers crossing the midline toward spinal cord antero-lateral quadrants and going to supra-segmental structures. It is indicated for pelvi-perineal bilateral cancer pain or lower limbs pain in patients in whom bilateral cervical cordotomy poses risks. It results in bilateral suspended analgesia. It is also indicated to treat myelopathic pain, brachial plexus roots avulsion pain and postherpetic neuralgia. Median longitudinal myelotomy, performed two to three segments above the level of the injury in cases of spinal injury pain, may temporarily relieve radicular pain and pain in the transition territory. It may be an open procedure after thoracic and lumbar rostral laminectomy, followed by spinal cord sagittal division, or percutaneously, with stereotactic technique28. The latter consists in fixing the stereotaxis device to the skull and of imaging exams (cranio-cervical perimyelography, stereotomography, stereoresonance, or even combination by merging more than one). After delineating cervical cord contour, an electrode is introduced in the midline of the central portion of the transition between both nervous structures. Stimulation generates ascending heat sensation from the perineum to dorsal body areas. Interruption by radiofrequency of extraleminiscal spinothalamic pathways which project in brainstem reticular formation provides pain relief with preservation of superficial discriminative sensitivity.

MESENCEPHALOTOMY

Also known as midbrain rostral reticulotomy, it aims at interrupting spinoreticulothalamic pathways involved in paresthesia and dysesthesia in patients with both benign and malignant NP21,22,23,24. There might be prolonged improvement in 50% to 77.8% of NP patients submitted to mesencephalotomy during periods varying from 2 months to 8 years. In the long term, there is 20% to 66.7% relief and 30% improvement. Mesencephalotomy may provide relief in 50% to 70% of patients with painful facial anesthesia, of patients with thalamic syndrome, of those with brachial plexus roots avulsion, in cases of phantom pain and amputation stump pain25-29.

Procedure consists in fixation, under local anesthesia, of a stereotaxis device to patients' cephalic segment. After stereotomography or stereMR, anatomic targets are identified. By means of frontal or occipital perforation, an electrode is introduced and directed to the target. By means of this electrode, deep brain cellular activity is recorded and next there is electrical stimulation to delineate the structure to be surgically treated. Thermal radiofrequency injuries are then performed. Mortality is around 7% to 8%. Most common mesencephalotomy complications are sleepiness and dys-synergy of eye mobility and are, in general, temporary. During the first two or three days after surgery stimulants such as methylphenidate are extremely useful to decrease sleepiness. Complication which may be permanent in 30% of patients is paresis of conjugate gaze up. Dysesthesias are present in 4.3% to 50% of cases22,23,24.

THALAMOTOMY

This procedure consists in injuring spinothalamic and paleospinothalamnic units by injuring nonspecific thalamic nuclei. It is indicated for nociception and deafferentation pain in broad body areas, especially when located in the cranio-cervical and brachial segment in patients were cordotomies are contraindicated25,26. Injuries involve paleospinothalamic pathways and units, are broad and located in thalamic center-median, parafascicular, liman and intralaminar nuclei (nonspecific thalamic nuclei), related to dyesthesic pain component. Procedure consists in fixing the stereotaxis device to the cephalic segment and performance of stereotomographic or stereoMR exams for spatial delineation of brain structures, and of images merging with those of the stereotaxis atlas. Perioperative electroencephalogram allows the reading of thalamic-induced brain activity according to current and frequency intensity. Established targets are stimulated and injured by radiofrequency. In patients with unfavorable clinical conditions, some suggest radiosurgery27.

Thalamotomy temporarily relieves NP (peripheral neuropathies, myelopathies and encephalopathies) in 40% to 70% of cases, and in 90% in cancer-induced pain. Results, however are often unsatisfactory in the long term. Complications, in general temporary, are present in 48% of cases, being primarily represented by sleepiness and dyssynergie of eye mobility. In 18% of cases there are permanent complications, especially after basal thalamotomy28. Cognitive abnormalities were observed in 36% of cases and oculomotor abnormalities in 52%. In 16% of cases they were permanent.
NEUROSURGICAL TARGETS TO CONTROL PAIN AND PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS

Neurosurgical targets injury to control psychiatric disorders were also used to treat pain only in the late 1940s and early 1950s. It was believed that decreasing pain emotional aspects was more effective to relieve pain than decreasing perception and sensory discrimination. So, there was pain persistence, but it would lose its annoying character. However, in addition to indifference to pain, other behavioral aspects were changed by lobotomy. The method has become more selective and with less behavioral interference, and procedures were developed consisting in brain cortex resection, called topectomies. These consisted in frontal cortex removal (Brodmann areas 9, 10 and 46) and would decrease anxiety and exaggerated responses to pain without inducing memory and initiative changes, indifference or affective disorders. Frontal cortical resections in patients with rebel thalamic pain in general produce modest effects. Postero-medial hypophalatomy, cingulotomy and anterior capsulotomy are indicated in patients with disabling anxious, depressive and obsessive components not controlled with psychotropic drugs and psychotherapy. Procedures follow the principles of stereotactic surgery. Complications are uncommon, especially after cingulotomy, being that this procedure provides good results in cases of myelopathic pain, spinal cord and cauda equina injuries and nervous roots avulsion. Anterior capsulotomy may relieve 50% to 75% of predominantly neuropathic pains in upper limbs. Anterior cingulotomy controls approximately 84% of neuropathic cancer pains and approximately 60% to 66% of non cancer pains. Best results are obtained in patients with associated psychiatric morbidity. Recurrence is a constant after the fourth post-cingulotomy year. Reoperation with anteriorly located target (4 to 5mm ahead of initial injury) tends to recover analgesia obtained with the first procedure. Some authors advocate the performance, already in the first approach, of three injuries in line, anteriorly separated by approximately 5mm. The appearance of painful and psychiatric symptoms is slow and progressive, giving time for the scheduling of new cingulotomy, although there are reports of suicide as from the first 12 months after surgery.

HYPOCHYSECTOMY OR NEUROADENOLYSIS

Microsurgical hypophysectomy by transfrontal or transphenoidal route, by radiofrequency transnaso-sphenoyd stereotactic, by cryocoagulation, by chemical agents or by radiation is indicated to treat pain in injuries in line, anteriorly separated by approximately 5mm. The latter seems to be more stable in the epidural space and is better in terms of system battery wear. Energy is supplied by a pulse generator implanted and connected to electrodes by subcutaneous cables. Technological developments have progressively offered longer life to pulse generator batteries, and some today may reach 25 years.

Worldwide, the specialty that has implanted and still implants more spinal cord electrical stimulation systems is anesthesiology; however, only percutaneous implant was performed and patients remained without the benefits of plate-type electrodes, until technology could allow it. Another research objective is compatibility of SCES implanted elements and MRI. New generation electrodes and neurostimulators already allow the use of MRI in patients with such implants.

The technique was initially based on spinal cord gate theory for providing preferential stimulation of large and myelinated fibers which in theory would inhibit spinal cord nociceptive afferents. However, experimental studies have excluded this analgesic action mechanism on SCS involving the effect of inhibitory and modulatory spinal cord posterior horn neurotransmitters, in addition to mobilization of posterior spinal ascending pathways to pain-inhibiting brain centers. There are also described effects of allodynia control, anti-ischemic effects by improving peripheral and heart perfusion, and effects in diseases related to neurovegetative nervous system, such as complex regional pain syndrome. Peripheral nerves injury with consequent distal sensory loss do not exclude its effect, but ascending dorsal spine integrity is probably necessary. Temporary stimulation test with implanted electrode with external tip is widely used to identify patients in whom pain remains refractory in spite of correct somatotopy of evoked stimulus. Those with satisfactory result are referred to permanent implant. However, this test is not a warranty of long term success for chronic pain. Patients submitted to different previously failed surgical treatments have collected periods of hope followed by delusions and may not want two more procedures (one test and the other for removal or permanent implant). Most systematic reviews, as well as studies with their own cases, have concentrated in patients with post-laminectomy painful syndromes (PLS) with good results, reaching 67% good results. Reviews show evidence level II in these painful syndromes favoring the technique. There has also been significant improvement in functional capacity and QL. Undesired events were primarily device malfunction, migration (13.2%) or electrodes breakage (9.1%). Clinical complications were uncommon and mild, in general resolved by removing the device. General infection rate was 3.4%.

Evidences of cases with positive results were found in CRPS II, peripheral nerve injury, diabetic neuropathy, postherpetic neuralgia, peripheral brachial plexus injuries, amputation (stump and phantom limb pain) and partial spinal cord injury. However, there are also negative evidences of central pain of brain origin, nervous root avulsion and complete spinal cord transection. However, all reports are class IV, thus not allowing final conclusions.

Invasive neurostimulation involves controlled electric pulses as interaction method with central or peripheral nervous system neuronal circuits by means of implantable stimulation system. This method is used to control untreatable chronic pain especially of neuropathic origin. It is important alternative to ablative surgery or to long term use of analgesics, including opioids. Simplicity and current availability of small devices with diversified and complete controls have brought comfort and efficacy to neurostimulation methods.

**Spinal cord electrical stimulation (SCES)**

This technique consists in the insertion of electrodes in the posterior epidural space of thoracic or cervical spine ipsilateral to pain (if unilater-) at the spinal cord level corresponding to the affected dermatome, to topographically evoke paresthesia sensations in the same region. Topographic adjustment was considered pre-requisite for the effect of spinal cord stimulation; however, recently, hybrid high-frequency currents with intermittent peaks, allow desired analgesic responses without paresthesia sensations. There are two fundamental techniques: cylindrical electrodes inserted by percutaneous route in general under local anesthesia or by means of plate-type electrodes with open posterior surgical access (interlaminar microflavectomy). The latter seems to be more stable in the epidural space and is better in terms of system battery wear. Energy is supplied by a pulse generator implanted and connected to electrodes by subcutaneous cables. Technological developments have progressively offered longer life to pulse generator batteries, and some today may reach 25 years.

Implantable spinal cord stimulation is the most currently studied neurostimulation method, with more relevant evidences of comparative clinical trials. Most studied syndromes have shown positive results with this technique. Recently, there has been major advance in implantable devices with the acquisition of new technologies both for electrodes.
and pulse generators. Although not having final studies using these new technologies, their availability for physicians and patients is a promising land where in the near future we shall have better results and lower complication rates for this therapy.

Electrical stimulation of posterior roots entry zone
Neuropathic pain is associated to hyperactivity of second order wide dynamic range spinal cord neurons - WDR. A recently published study used animals (rats) submitted to experimental NP model (radiculoopathy) based on fifth lumbar root ligation and compared them to a control group (rats submitted to simulated/false without root ligation surgery). Both groups were submitted to electrical stimulation of dorsal root entry zone – DREZ. There has been decreased injury-induced cell hyperactivity. Attenuation of WDR neuronal activity obtained by DREZ electrical stimulation supports the idea of adopting the method and respective target to treat NP.

Motor cortex electrical stimulation
Motor cortex stimulation (MCS) is considered promising, especially to treat trigeminal NP and post-stroke central pain syndromes, such as thalamic pain and brachial plexus avulsion, among others. Most studies involving MCS focuses on post-stroke and atypical trigeminal neuropathy, for which there are few effective treatments. Post-stroke pain responds well to MCS, because approximately two thirds of patients have obtained satisfactory relief. MCS results for trigeminal NP are very interesting, because they show that 75% to 100% of patients have obtained good to excellent pain relief. Other groups have also shown pain improvement in less studied syndromes, such as complex regional pain syndrome, with encouraging results in very severely ill patients with therapeutic failure to spinal cord stimulation.

Motor cortex stimulation effect depends on electrodes implant target, which apparently should be implanted in motor cortex region corresponding to body segment affected by pain. There are several methods, both for anatomic location of prefrontal gyrus and for motor cortex functional mapping. It is possible to use imaging methods to locate pre-central gyrus by stereotactic method or intraoperative navigation. Functional MRI may locate motor cortex area related to the area affected by pain, by means of functional activation.

In the intraoperative period, neurophysiologic somesthetic evoked potential methods are used to locate central sulcus as well as to confirm the target. Additionally, transdermal electrical stimulation to map implant site also may be used and gives functional refinement to motor cortex location. In general, facial pain and upper limb pain representation is in cortical convexity of easy access, while lower limb representation is in general located in inter-hemispheric fissure medial face.

Stimulation electrodes may be placed in the epidural space, by craniotomy or trepanation, connected to the pacemaker by an implantable extension. Adjustments are performed by telemetry during ambulatory visits. In the postoperative period, there is in general a test period of stimulation with external generator and, after favorable results, permanent implant is performed as already described. Stimulation parameters described in the literature are very different with amplitudes varying from 0.5 to 10V, frequencies between 5 and 130Hz and pulse width from 0.5 to 10V, frequencies between 5 and 130Hz and pulse width of 60 to 450ms. When pulse width and frequency are optimized, most investigators increase stimulation intensity during the evaluation period up to 80% of motor threshold. Others use fixed intensity stimulation without changes along time.

Among described complications, there are intracranial hemorrhages, infection and permanent neurologic deficits. Seizures induction has also been reported depending on stimulation intensity and frequency. However, in general there is no progression or development of epilepsy.

To date, there are no prospective studies with final conclusions on gen-
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Deep brain stimulation
Deep brain stimulation – DBS was the method used to identify intra-
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Intraforaminal dorsal root sensory ganglion electrical stimulation
Neuropathic pain may be localized, needing stimulation concentrated on neural structures related to affected territory innervation. The location of poles on the desired region needs consciousness preservation and patients’ cooperation. Laminctomy with awaken patients has technical difficulties and, in such conditions, cylindrical electrodes, implanted by percutaneous route were imperative, however with major chance of migration and consequent loss of ideal somatotopy.

Analytic responses obtained with pulsed electrical stimulation applied to dorsal root ganglion suggest the possibility of persistent analgesia by chronically stimulating this structure.

Epidural catheter insertion has always been performed by punctures cranially oriented to prevent their tips to be inserted in foraminous outputs of roots.

Using reverse punctures with cannula and electrode tip caudally oriented, it is feasible to locate poles on dorsal root sensory ganglion with few chances of migration. There are still few publications on the subject, not allowing a more accurate evaluation, although preliminary results are promising.

Peripheral nerves or field stimulation
Peripheral nerves stimulation for pain relief was based on the idea derived from popular knowledge that painless stimulation, such as friction or massage, close to skin painful area, would relieve baseline discomfort which, in the 1960s, has received the theoretical support of the Gate Theory, proposed by Melzack & Wall in the 1960s and afterward the refining of the sensory interaction theory77. Peripheral electrical stimulation for pain treatment is applied worldwide.

Most widely known technique is transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS). By means of surface electrodes placed on the affected site or on the pathway of the nerve corresponding to the region, stimulation is performed in high frequency and low intensity (below pain threshold), to predominantly activate large and densely myelinated fibers and induce local paresthesias. Responses of this technique are variable with stimulation sessions lasting 20 to 30 minutes and daily repeated. Pain relief, if present, is immediate but short-lasting, and sometimes is only present during stimulation application77,78.

For continuous and more effective application, percutaneous implant of electrodes adjacent to the nerve (peripheral nerve stimulation) or just in its vicinity (subcutaneous field stimulation) has been proposed.

Drug release system implants in the nervous system

Implantable systems for analgesic drug release in the central nervous system (intraventricular, cisternal and lumbar spine) for refractory pain are available, including those of neuropsychiatric predominance80, are less complex and require less specific training as compared to stereotactic methods (exceptions are uncommon cases needing intraventricular needle placement in patients with ventricular clef)81,82.

Pumps have a drug reservoir with volumes varying from 12 to 80 mL. They may be mechanically driven, with permanent gases expansion pressure, and by computerized and telematically commanded electronic pumping. They may release agents in the spinal, cisternal, intraventricular and epidural space. Epidural release is not routinely used, because although safe with regard to infections, has disadvantages such as the need for higher drug dose, frequent obstruction and frequent catheter displacement83,84.

Indications for spinal or intraventricular drug infusion are patients refractory to conservative treatments who have: chronic nociceptive or neuropathic pain85,86, complex regional pain, cancer pain, post-laminectomy syndrome (failed back surgeries), myelopathies, pain pelvic and peripheral neuropathies. Spinal opioid administration associated to adjuvant drugs promotes more than 200% decrease in the amount of administrated oral or parenteral drugs87,88.

Targets for spinal route are virtually the same as for oral, sublingual, parenteral or transdermal indications; however, adverse effects are dramatically decreased by spinal route and needed titration for pain control may be reached within hours rather than days decreasing toxicity risk with shorter hospital stay89. When pain is predominantly nociceptive, therapeutic targets are opioid receptors, especially type μ, and latent drugs of choice are opioids. To treat NP, therapeutic targets are type N calcium receptors (ziconotide83,89), unspecific calcium receptors (mexiletine) gamma amino butyric acid or GABA receptors (baclofen, midazolam), alpha-2 adrenergic receptors (clonidine, desmethylmidine), dopamine receptors (droperidol) and NMDA receptors (methadone, ketamine), among others83,89. Drugs association or mixtures are used to improve results89.

Successful treatment with pump implants depends on careful patients’ selection: estimated survival rate above 6 months, moderate to severe chronic pain (VNS 6-10), exclusion of severe psychological disorders, lack of analgesic response to high oral opioid doses together with adjuvants and analgesic techniques, and previous spinal test with analgesia above 50% maintained for more than 10 hours83,90. Implant technique for lumbar spine drug release consists in putting patient in the lateral position, preferably the right side, a spinal cannula entry point is marked in the lumbar region, cannula is introduced in the spinal space until CSF is observed. With radiosocial control, the catheter is introduced through the cannula and is fixed in the muscle and subcutaneous (to prevent catheter displacement), tunnelization by the subcutaneous until its connection to the pump lodged in the abdominal region. Implant technique for intraventricular release is similar, being the cannula distal to the pump, implanted inside lateral ventricle (in general right ventricle) by forward extrapolation or over the coronary suture, between 2.5 and 3cm from the midline91.

Most frequent complications of the surgical technique are infection, CSF fistula, catheter disconnection, system malfunctioning, wrong programming, seroma formation, pressure ulcers and granulomas92.

CONCLUSION

Patients eligible for invasive procedures to control neuropathic pain have, in addition to suffering inherent to pain itself, mixed suffering, which includes a collection of repeated delusions at every treatment failure. They have reserved prognosis with regard to total cure and, unfortunately relief obtained with invasive treatment in general does not reach persistent and high rates. In such adverse situation, these partial results of original pain intensity decrease could be interpreted as acceptable provided impact on QL is positive. Probably, rare exceptions are good results obtained with typical, idiopathic/cryptogenic neuralgias, ironically excluded from the stricter interpretation of the new pathophysiological classification of neuropathic pains.
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