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ABSTRACT

Objectives: to map the benefits of Augmentative and Alternative Communication in ventilated adults in Intensive Care Unit and identify strategies used. Methods: a Scoping Review was carried out according to the Joanna Briggs Institute Protocol. The research question was: “In adult patients ventilated in Intensive Care Units, what are the benefits of Augmentative and Alternative Communication?” An article research was carried out at PubMed, EBSCOhost and B-On databases. It was held between October and November 2018, from 2013 to 2018, in Portuguese and in English. Results: 61 references were obtained. After selection according to the inclusion criteria, 7 articles were analyzed. Conclusions: studies enunciate Augmentative and Alternative Communication as a strategy to enhance communication, describing methods and tools. There is no agreement on the most effective tool.

Descriptors: Augmentative and Alternative Communication Systems; Artificial Respiration; Critical Care; Nursing Care; Nursing Care; Scoping Review.

RESUMO


Descritores: Equipos de Comunicación para Personas con Discapacidad; Respiración Artificial; Cuidados Críticos; Atención de Enfermería; Revisión Scoping.

RESUMEN

Objetivos: mapear los beneficios de la Comunicación Aumentativa y Alternativa en adultos ventilados en Unidades de Terapia Intensiva e identificar las estrategias utilizadas. Métodos: fue realizada una Scoping Review según el Protocolo Joanna Briggs Institute. La cuestión de investigación fue: “En enfermos adultos ventilados en Unidades de Terapia Intensiva, ¿cuáles los beneficios de la Comunicación Aumentativa y Alternativa?” Una investigación de artículos fue realizada en las bases de datos PubMed, EBSCOhost y B-On, entre octubre y noviembre de 2018, referente al período de 2013-2018, en los idiomas portugués e inglés. Resultados: tras la selección según los criterios de inclusión, se obtuvieron 7 referencias. Conclusiones: los diferentes estudios enuncian a Comunicación Aumentativa y Alternativa como estrategia que potencia la comunicación, descrevendo los métodos e instrumentos. No existe consenso relativamente al instrumento más eficaz.

Descritores: Equipos de Comunicación para Personas con Discapacidad; Respiración Artificial; Cuidados Críticos; Atención de Enfermería; Scoping Review.
INTRODUCTION

In Intensive Care Units (ICU's), there is a high prevalence of mechanically ventilated patients who, due to their specificity, need special care, particularly in the field of communication where increased difficulties arise. According to the document called “Referral Network for Intensive Care Medicine (Rede de Referenciação de Medicina Intensiva)” of September 2016, in Europe, approximately 990,000 to 1,500,000 patients/year are ventilated in ICU's.

ICUs are characterized by the practice of Intensive Medicine that “specifically addresses the prevention, diagnosis and treatment of potentially reversible acute illness situations, in patients who present failure of one or more vital functions, eminent or established”. Within this context, critical patients are the target of our care, which is defined as persons “whose life is threatened by failure or imminent failure of one or more vital functions and whose survival depends on advanced means of surveillance, monitoring and therapy”.

Communication is an essential element in human interaction and, consequently, in nursing care. Several studies show communication difficulties in patients ventilated in ICUs and, as negative consequences for patients, stress, fear and anger stand out and also the feeling of frustration. From the perspective of health professionals, the inability to communicate is associated with feelings of helplessness, frustration and dissatisfaction in the care provided. Feelings of hopelessness and loneliness also often occur in this type of patient.

The consequences of ineffective communication may be evident in the short or long term, and it is also associated with the presence of anxiety and depression after discharge.

Augmentative and Alternative Communication (AAC), as a set of tools and strategies to overcome barriers to communication, when verbal is impeded and/or impaired, can be a resource for nurses and patients in the context of the patient ventilated in the ICU.

AAC can take the form of various forms of communication, such as: speech, text, gestures, sign language, symbols, images, electronic speech-generating devices, among others. The American Speech-Language-Hearing Association (ASHA) praises the multimodal character of AAC, for integrating various modes and forms of communication, both symbolic and non-symbolic.

There is a great diversity of AAC methods and tools, which have to be adapted to the needs and characteristics of the people to whom they are applied. AAC’s can be customized according to the specifics of each person and the team of professionals who use them. The needs of the same patient may vary during hospitalization at ICU, so the same tool can be indicated initially and not later, considering, for instance, the level of sedation to which the person is subject, among other contexts clinical data regarding clinical evolution and treatments.

There are factors that can facilitate the use of AAC tools related to the patient, staff, technology and cost. Regarding patients, AAC’s are easier to use if they are intuitive, simple, requiring little training in their use and user-friendly. Professionals showed to be somewhat resistant to change, and reported the need for training in the use of AAC tools, although they value tools that need less training more. The nurses’ experience with AAC's influences the adoption of these tools in their care practices. Regarding technology, there is existence of familiarization with it facilitates, as well as factors related to cost.

Considering the cost-effectiveness, there is no agreement on the preference for high or low technology, nor on the most effective tool. There are authors who consider that the combination of both types of technology (high and low) is the best compromise.

For an effective communication, the decision process of the AAC tool to be used is favorable to the involvement of the patient, professionals and family.

In order to understand the benefits of AAC and its tools used, we considered it pertinent to carry out a Scoping Review on the subject. We formulated the following research question: “In adult patients ventilated in Intensive Care Units, what are the benefits of Augmentative and Alternative Communication?”.}

OBJECTIVES

To identify the benefits of AAC in adults ventilated in ICU's and the most used strategies.

METHODS

Ethical aspects

The fidelity and veracity of the information contained in the original articles that supported the review were guaranteed, through the rigor of the research methodology, referral, treatment and presentation of data.

Theoretical-methodological framework

The framework used was The Joanna Briggs Institute, Methodology for JBI Scoping Reviews.

Type of study

This is a Scoping Review, which consists of a systematic, exploratory review, which aims to identify relevant scientific production in a given area.

Methodological procedures

Initially, an extensive search was carried out at EBSCOhost, PubMed and B-On databases to identify published documents about AAC in patients ventilated in ICU and to identify the words and terms used in the bibliography. Subsequently, a search was carried out in the same databases, using the following terms: “augmentative” AND “alternative communication”; “ventilated patients” AND “intensive care” OR “ICU” OR “critical care” AND benefits. The research was carried out by two researchers simultaneously, between October and November 2018.

Data source

A search was carried out at EBSCOhost, PubMed and B-On databases, with full text. The selected time interval was from 11/02/2013 to 11/02/2018.
Collection and organization of data

The inclusion criteria established included the acronym PCC were: Participants: ventilated adult patients; Concept: Augmentative and Alternative Communication; Context: Intensive Care Units. Opinion articles, narrative bibliographic reviews, unpublished articles and articles that were not available in full were excluded. Articles of primary investigation and reviews, in English and Portuguese, were considered.

The process of inclusion of the studies is systematized in the PRISMA diagram (Figure 1).

RESULTS

After assessment and selection of articles, 7 were included in the review. Of these, two are systematic reviews, three are quantitative studies, two are quasi-experimental studies and two are qualitative studies.

The data of the studies are summarized in Chart 1, which contains the titles, type of study/methodology, number of participants, benefits of AAC and methods/AAC tools used.

DISCUSSION

By reading the articles, we can see that all refer to benefits of AAC, although there is none that is cross-sectional to all articles. For a better understanding of the benefits identified in each of the studies, Chart 2 was prepared.

There are several benefits that were identified in two of the seven articles analyzed, such as increased frequency of communication/interaction among nurses/patients/family members (E1 and E6); decreased stress levels (E5 and E7); more effective and improved pain communication (E1 and E2); increased level of satisfaction (E3 and E5); and increased patient safety (E2 and E4).

Through AAC it is possible for patients to express their needs, opinions, fears and concerns, thus reducing the levels of stress and anxiety.

Chart 1 - Analysis of selected articles, Lisbon, Portugal, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Type of study/Methodology</th>
<th>Number of participants</th>
<th>Benefits of AAC</th>
<th>Methods and AAC tools used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>Quasi-experimental quantitative</td>
<td>-89 conscious and intubated patients; -30 nurses from two ICU's.</td>
<td>- Increased frequency of communication and positive communication behaviors; - Improved control of pain and other symptoms; - Patients and speech therapists who used more AAC methods found it less difficult to communicate.</td>
<td>- Low tech: papers and pens; Board with alphabet/images/phrases; notebooks; felt tip pens; - Supports for the upper limb to facilitate writing; - Hearing aids; - Electronic communication devices, personalized to each patient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>Qualitative semi-structured interview</td>
<td>- 8 patients; -4 family members; -6 employees (2 doctors and 4 nurses); - 2 speech therapists - 30 conscious and mechanically ventilated patients.</td>
<td>- Improved communication between patients and professionals; - More effective and improved pain communication; - More effective communication is considered a pillar of patient safety.</td>
<td>- Use of tablet/ipads'.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be continued
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Type of study/ Methodology</th>
<th>Number of participants</th>
<th>Benefits of AAC</th>
<th>Methods and AAC tools used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
<td>- Analysis of 12 studies: 1,981 patients; 454 health professionals.</td>
<td>- AAC strategies are effective and allow patients to reduce communication difficulties and improve their satisfaction.</td>
<td>Low technology AAC tools: communication boards/images/books; board with alphabet; board with symbols; Paper and pen; High technology AAC tools: Speech generator (DynaMyte and MessageMate); Speech generator applications; Eye controlled assistive technology (TheGrid, Sensory Software); LifeVoice Technologies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
<td>- Analysis of 31 articles.</td>
<td>- Effective communication with hospitalized patients is essential to improve the quality and safety of healthcare provided.</td>
<td>- Communication boards and other Low Technologies equipment; - High technologies of AAC; - Other devices: Tracheostomy tubes (fenestrated) with inflated cuff (speaking tracheostomy tube); - Speaking valve; - Electrolarynx;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E5</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>- 60 patients.</td>
<td>- AAC methods increase the level of satisfaction and decrease stress in COPD patients.</td>
<td>- Communication boards; - Alphabet boards and/or images.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E6</td>
<td>Qualitative</td>
<td>- 38 ventilated patients; - 24 nurses; It was performed in an in a cardio-thoracic ICU.</td>
<td>- The use of AAC strategies was associated with positive behaviors on the part of the nursing team, which: encourage patients to use the different types of AAC; they are associated with better pain control, less need for sedation; they increase interaction and allow them to communicate and express needs.</td>
<td>- Non-verbal communication (nods, gestures, facial expressions, purposeful look and handshake, pointing to AAC boards); - Drawing/writing; - Trying to spell (lip reading); - Use of dictating board.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E7</td>
<td>Quasi-experimental study</td>
<td></td>
<td>- The use of communication aids in conscious and ventilated patients can facilitate communication and decrease stress levels.</td>
<td>- Communication boards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: AAC - Augmentative and Alternative Communication; COPD – Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; ICU – Intensive Care Unit.

One of the analyzed articles (E6) identifies the increase in the expression of needs as a benefit of AAC. The favor of pain communication improves therapy adequacy, which results in a decrease in pain levels related to the use of AAC (E6); and lower sedation levels (E6). Decrease in pain favors decrease in anxiety, corresponding to calmer patients with less need for sedation. The reduction in anxiety levels is also identified as a benefit (E7). Once patients are calmer, they will not need such a high level of sedation. The use of lower levels of sedation allows less incidence of side effects related to it, namely hemodynamic changes and delirium. Ventilatory weaning is also positively influenced by the communication skills between multidisciplinary team and patient, as it allows asking for their collaboration.

Increase in the quality of health care provided is highlighted (E4), with emphasis on the reduction of difficulties/breakdowns in communication among nurses/patients/family members (E2) and the development of positive behaviors by the team. This constitutes a stimulus for the use of different types of AAC by patients and nurses (E6), in accordance with the existing literature.

In the analyzed articles, thirteen AAC methods/tools were identified. Communication boards (alphabet/images/words/phrases/symbols) were the most mentioned method, and six of the seven articles mention it (E1, E3, E4, E5, E6 and E7). The second most mentioned method contemplating the use of paper/pen/notebook/writing board (E1, E3, E4, E6 and E7). High-tech tools were mentioned in four articles (E1, E3, E4 and E7), consisting of the use of tablet/iPad, Speech Generator devices (DynaMyte and MessageMate), Eye Controlled Assistive Technology (TheGrid, Sensory Software) and personalized electronic communication devices. The use of technology to enhance communication is growing and with high cost-benefit. However, its development and impact is still unclear.

The dictating picture, gestures, facial expressions, lip reading and non-verbal communication were only mentioned in one article (E6).
Study limitations

We consider that the fact that the research was carried out only in Portuguese and English, and that only articles in full text were considered, may have led to the exclusion of any study potentially relevant to this theme.

Contributions to nursing, health or public policies

For nursing practice, the benefits are cross-sectional to patients, nurses, family members and other multidisciplinary team members. Therefore, its application translates into an increase in the quality of health care provided to critically ill patients ventilated in an ICU.

Several AAC tools have been identified, many of them with low cost and easy access, which can easily be instituted in several ICU’s. It is important to implement AAC in care contexts, given its benefits for different users such as patients, family members, nurses and other multidisciplinary team members.

For the development of nursing research, we suggest the development of further studies on AAC application in other contexts, namely in Portugal, since no studies were found in the period included in the study. It is important to know the needs of teams and ventilated patients, relating them to their specific characteristics, such as gender and age to select and use the AAC tools (high tech and/or low tech) to the patient’s needs. In a more comprehensive way, it would be important to assess the AAC tools’ cost-benefit, and their organizational impact and on the multidisciplinary team.

CONCLUSIONS

Communication with patients is essential for adaptation and collaboration during treatment, which motivates health professionals to develop effective communication strategies. Patients in mechanically ventilated intensive care are unable to communicate verbally, which favors the emergence of stress, fear, anger, frustration, and hopelessness, with short, medium and long-term repercussions.

Effective communication establishment can be obtained through AAC, with several benefits for patients, family members and health professionals. There is an improvement in communication among nurses, patients and family members, as well as an increase in frequency. Also important are the improvement of the ability to express pain and its control, the increase in safety and the increase in the level of satisfaction, generating positive behaviors for the patient and the nursing team.

There is no agreement in the literature as to the most effective type or tool, both low tech and high tech, or even the combination of both.
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