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1. INTRODUCTION

This paper reports a homogeneous series of the rate of surplus value (RSV, hereafter) for the Chinese economy using a Marxian approach. This approach highlights the division between productive labor and unproductive labor, and argues that the value that unproductive labor obtains is a transfer of surplus value. The origin of the approach dates back to classical economists, including Adam Smith, David Ricardo, and Karl Marx; in recent decades, Moseley (1985) and Shaikh and Tonak (1994) developed the approach and made it empirically applicable with statistical data. Some country-specific studies have applied the approach and obtained interesting findings (CRONIN 2001; MANIATIS, 2005; MOHUN, 2005; MOHUN, 2013; PAITARIDIS and TSOUFIDIS, 2011). This approach is not unfamiliar to Chinese economists, who had intensive discussions on the division of productive and unproductive labor from the late 1970s to the early 1990s (for instance, YU, 1981; SUN, 1981; WEI, 1981; LUO, 1990). Chinese economist Luo Gengmo compared the U. N. System of National Accounts and Marxian theories and attempted to establish a statistical system that is consistent with the Marxian division of productive and unproductive labor (LUO, 1990). In an earlier paper, I discussed the theoretical issues about the definitions of Marxian variables in China’s transitioning economy. I also built series of the RSV for the Chinese economy over an extended period from 1956 to 2014 (QI, 2017), which might be the first attempt to build series of Marxian variables for China over such a long period.

In this paper, I replicate the results of the earlier paper and extend the series to 2015 with recently published China’s official statistical data. More importantly, I make use of the series to provide a political economy analysis of the Chinese economic dynamics, in particular, the “new normal” stage of the economy. “New normal” in the West refers to the conditions of financial markets and advanced capitalist economies after the global crisis, which Summers (2015) interpreted as “secular stagnation”. In May 2014, President Xi Jinping proposed that the Chinese economy had entered a “new normal” phase; however, what the concept means for the Chinese economy is ambiguous. The objective of this paper is to understand China’s so-called “new normal” from the dynamics of the RSV and its crucial role in affecting profitability during the reform era. The main findings are: the RSV followed a U-shape from 1978 to 2008, reached the trough in 1997, stagnated and slightly fell after 2008. While the RSV in China was not as high as that in the U.S.1, it reached its historical peak when the global crisis broke out. With a time series analysis, I find that the rising wage pressure and

---

1 The RSV in the U.S. was around 3.5 in 2008 (PAITARIDIS and TSOUFIDIS, 2011), compared to 2.6 in China.
the value composition of capital are the main factors that restrained profitability in the “new normal” stage.

In what follows, this paper is organized into four sections. Section 2 briefly introduces the definitions of the RSV and relevant Marxian variables and describes their historical trends. Section 3 analyzes what factors affected the net rate of profit during the reform era with a time series analysis. Section 4 discusses why these factors have restrained profitability since 2008. Section 5 concludes the paper.

2. DYNAMICS OF THE RSV IN THE ENTERPRISE SECTOR

This section briefly introduces the definitions of the RSV and relevant Marxian variables. For a detailed introduction of the calculation procedures and data sources, please see Qi (2017). Then, this section discusses the trends of the RSV and relevant Marxian variables and discusses the relationship between the RSV and the net rate of profit.

2.1. DEFINITIONS

The division between productive and unproductive labor is a perspective to see how the new value of an economy is circulated and distributed, which enables us to trace the flow of new value and measure critical Marxian variables (e.g. Marxian value added, variable capital, surplus value). Current studies that take the transfer of surplus value into account start with restructuring the economy by a division of productive and unproductive labor (sectors) and then measure Marxian variables using data from input-output tables and GDP accounting. Following this approach, I divide the Chinese economy into productive sectors and unproductive sectors, as shown in Table 1. “Productive sectors” means that there are some productive activities in these sectors, while “unproductive sectors” means that there is no productive activity in these sectors. A kind of labor is productive if it creates objects of use values, while labor is unproductive if it only distributes existing objects of use values or maintains social order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The whole economy</th>
<th>Enterprise sector</th>
<th>Rest of the economy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Productive sector</td>
<td>Unproductive sector</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining, manufacturing, utilities, construction, transportation, post and telecommunications, food and hotel services, social services</td>
<td>Commerce</td>
<td>Self-employment units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Finance</td>
<td>Non-profit institutions for scientific research, education, culture, and medical services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Real estate</td>
<td>The state non-enterprise sector</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author's elaboration.
We focus on the enterprise sector because, first of all, it was the dominant part of the economy over the entire period and, secondly, it was a relatively homogenous sector. Within this sector, the worker-socialist state relation transited to the worker-capital relation as the economic transition proceeded. Commerce, finance and real estate are unproductive sectors in the sense that no productive activity exists in these sectors. In China’s state-socialist economy, a part of the surplus value was transferred from productive sectors to unproductive sectors, similar to the transfer of surplus value in a capitalist economy.

It is noteworthy that Marxian variables describe a capitalist economy. Thus, they are not directly applicable to China. China established a state-socialist economic system in 1956, when it accomplished the Socialist Transformation, and experienced the transition to a capitalism-dominated economic system that started in 1978 and accelerated after 1992. There was no capitalist component in the economy from 1956 to 1978, and the capitalist component did not play a major role until 1992. Given China’s economic transition, can one apply the Marxian conceptions designed for a capitalist economy to the Chinese economy, in particular for the period before 1992?

In a capitalist economy, it is the contested terrain in the workplace where exploitation occurs that generates the division between variable capital and surplus value. The micro-foundation of China’s state-socialist economy was much different: all means of production were publicly owned assets; workers enjoyed job security and various benefits; before 1978, especially during the Cultural Revolution, workers had some rights to criticize cadres in factories; the economic inequality between workers and cadres were small. Although no capitalist-worker conflict existed in the socialist economy, there was a contradiction between workers and the state, between the national products distributed to workers as wages and that submitted to the state as surplus. Raising wages could enhance the living standards of workers; nevertheless, the state aimed at not only enhancing the living conditions, but also accomplishing industrialization, for which accumulation of surplus was obviously a prerequisite. Giving this contradiction, the distribution between workers and the state could reflect the contradiction between workers’ living standards and the aim of industrialization. For the sake of simplicity, admitting the difference between wages and variable capital and that between surplus and surplus value, the rest of the paper uses variable capital and surplus value for the entire period.

The RSV is the ratio of surplus value (SV) to variable capital (VC). The RSV, SV, and VC are given by equations (1)-(3):

\[ RSV = \frac{SV}{VC} \]  
\[ VC = (1 - \alpha)EC_p \]  
\[ SV = (NV_p - VC) + TO_t + RY_p + RY_t \]
In equation (2), $EC_p$ is the employees’ compensation of productive sectors, consisted of all the wages, salaries, and benefits of workers and managers; $\alpha$ is the share of supervisory labor’s compensation in $EC_p$. In equation (3), $NV_p$ is the net value added of the productive sector, which equals to the sum of $EC_p$, operating surplus and net taxes on production; $TO_t$ is the total output of the commerce sector, which equals to the sum of intermediate inputs, depreciation of fixed capital, employees’ compensation, operating surplus and net taxes of the commerce sector; $RY_p$ and $RY_t$ are royalty payments paid by productive sectors and the commerce sector to the finance sector as intermediate inputs, respectively.

Further, we define $u$ as the share of surplus value extracted by unproductive sectors, $\sigma$ as the value composition of capital, $GRP$ as the gross rate of profit, and $NRP$ as the net rate of profit.

$$u = \frac{UP}{SV}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)  
$$\sigma = \frac{K}{VC}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)  
$$GRP = \frac{SV}{K}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)  
$$NRP = \frac{(SV - UP)}{K}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)  

In equation (4), $UP$ is the surplus value extracted by unproductive sectors. In equation (5), $K$ is the non-residential capital stock of the enterprise sector, measured by replacement costs.

### 2.2. TRENDS

Figure 1 shows the long-term trend of the RSV. In the Maoist era (1956-1978), the RSV fluctuated severely in the 1950s and 1960s and became relatively stable after 1970. Those severe fluctuations resulted from the massive accumulation during the Great Leap Forward movement (1958-1960) and the economic contractions in the early 1960s and the early period of the Cultural Revolution (1966-1976). In the reform era (1978 to present), the RSV shows a U-shape before 2009: it had a declining trend from 1978 to 1997 and then entered a rising trend until 2008. This U-shape of labor’s share took place along with fast economic growth and development over the reform era. If one considers the RSV as a proxy for distribution inequality, this U-shape of the RSV contradicts the Kuznets curve that depicts the relationship between inequality and economic development (KUZNETS, 1955). Using top income ratios and distributive shares in the national income, Piketty (2014) shows that the experiences of leading capitalist economies do not support the Kuznets curve. Here the Chinese facts do not support the Kuznets curve either.
Figure 1 – Rate of Surplus Value, 1956/2015

Sources: Data appendix of Qi (2017).

Why did the RSV change in such a U manner? In the early stage of the reform era, the reformers undermined the incentive system within the factories of the Maoist era featured by nonmaterial incentives and encouraged the adoption of material incentives, such as bonuses and piece wages. Workers lost some critical political rights, but still enjoyed job security and various benefits. The economic inequality between workers and cadres in factories was small, and cadres tended to pursue higher wages and more benefits for both workers and themselves. In this situation, without the stick of unemployment, material incentives were increasingly ineffective in disciplining workers and absorbing surplus labor, which is an important reason for why the RSV decreased from 1978 to the 1990s (QI, 2015).

After 1997, despite lower than the RSV of the U.S. economy, China's RSV grew much faster than the latter. The RSV fell from 2.45 in 1978 to 1.59 in 1997 and then increased to 2.55 in 2008. The RSV estimated with similar methods for the U.S. economy was generally in a rising trend in the post-WWII period, except for the period 1964-1974 (PAITARIDIS and TSOULFIDIS, 2011; SHAIKH and TONAK, 1994); it increased from 2.2 in 1978 to 3.1 in 1997 and 3.5 in 2008 (PAITARIDIS and TSOULFIDIS, 2011). The growth rate of China's RSV is comparable to that of the RSV in the Greek economy. Tsoulfidis and Tsaliki (2014) show that the RSV in the Greek economy increased from 1.4 in 1990 to 2.3 in 2004 and after that slightly declined. Marina and Moseley (2000) find that the RSV in the Mexican economy grew rapidly from 1982 to 1993 at an average annual rate of 1.6%, which, however, is much slower than the growth of China's RSV from 1997 to 2008.
The upturn of the RSV was possible, thanks to the expansion of the private sector and the reserve army of labor. Private enterprises use harsh management practices and disobey the Labor Law on signing labor contracts and restricting working hours. Thus the RSV of the private sector is higher than that of the state-owned sector. The increase of the RSV echoes the falling share of the state-owned sector in the economy. In 1997, when the RSV reached its trough of the reform era, the 15th National Congress of the Communist Party of China accelerated the reform on the state-owned sector, consisted of laying off workers, privatizing small and medium-scale enterprises, and transforming large-scale enterprises into shareholding companies. Meanwhile, due to market competition and profit motivation, state-owned enterprises tended to adopt management and employment practices similar to those of private enterprises.

The reserve army of labor emerged as migrant workers from rural areas seek jobs in cities and the state-owned enterprises laid off massively. Despite a large rural underemployed population, the formation of a reserve army of labor requires institutional changes in both the rural and urban sectors. The de-collectivization of the rural economy, the stagnation of rural household income, the expansion of the urban private sector, and the tendency of the state-owned sector to replace urban workers with migrant workers prepared the necessary conditions for the influx of migrant workers. Meanwhile, laid-off workers of the state-owned sector also expanded the reserve army of labor. One of the objectives of the drastic reform of the state-owned sector was “increasing efficiency by reducing employment.” In fact, workers had begun to lose their jobs even earlier; from the mid-1990s to the early 2000s, more than 30 million workers lost jobs from state-owned enterprises. Employment of state-owned and state holding industrial enterprises dropped by 6% in 1997, by 7% in 1998, and by about 10% each year from 1999 to 2003.

The loss of wage and job securities is evident from the comparison of the cyclicality of the RSV in different periods. The RSV was pro-cyclical throughout the entire period; however, it was more pro-cyclical in the Maoist era than in the reform era. Both the correlation between real GDP growth and the RSV and that between real GDP growth and the growth of RSV are higher in the Maoist era than in the reform era. Economic contractions in the Maoist era led to a fall in the new value, but also a drop in the RSV due to the relatively stable wages and job security. As the transition proceeded, economic fluctuations were increasingly relevant to wages and employment because workers increasingly lost their wage and job securities after the expansion of the private sector, the influx of migrant workers, and the drastic reform of the state-owned sector.
It is noteworthy that the RSV reached its historical peak in 2008\(^2\), which was even higher than that in 1978. At the beginning of the reform era, the reformers gained support from workers by raising wages and criticizing that the distribution policies of the Maoist era failed to improve the living conditions of workers\(^3\). In 2008, when the RSV was higher than the 1978 level, it lost its momentum. One may expect that today a redistribution of income will also gain support from workers, which is likely to bring major institutional changes.

Figure 2 shows the share of surplus value extracted by unproductive sectors, \(u\). Given that commerce and finance under the planning economy were small, they did not play a significant role in the Maoist era. In the early stage of the reform era, the share of surplus value extracted by unproductive sectors rose slightly but was still relatively small before 2000. This proportion had increased substantially from about 20\% to about 30\% after 2000. A fast growing RSV implies that the economy is likely to encounter the underconsumption crisis tendency. The economy had to rely more on not only investment and export but also unproductive activities such as commercial and financial activities to absorb surplus value and avoid an underconsumption crisis.

\[\text{Figure 2 – Share of surplus value extracted by unproductive sectors, 1956/2015}\]

Source: Data appendix of Qi (2017).

\(^2\) The RSV increased slightly from 2.55 to 2.57, from 2008 to 2011.

\(^3\) Chinese economists held five conferences on distribution from 1977 to 1979 to criticize the distribution and incentive system of the Cultural Revolution and labeled the system “egalitarianism”, “big pot rice”, and “doing more work is the same as doing less work” (SU and FENG, 1978).
Figure 3 shows the value composition of capital, $\sigma$. It is noteworthy that the value composition of capital shows a slightly downward trend during the reform era before 2008. One reason for this trend is the changes in the investment priorities of the state from heavy industries to light industries; another is the expansion of the private sector, which concentrates in more labor-intensive industries. After 2008, as the global market stagnated and the Chinese economy relied more on investment to sustain economic growth, the value composition of capital increased substantially, repressing the rate of profit in the overall economy.

![Figure 3 – Value composition of capital, 1956/2015](image)

Source: Data appendix of Qi (2017).

Figure 4 shows the gross rate of profit GRP and net rate of profit NRP. As shown in Figure 4, both the gross and net rates of profit in the 1950s were extremely high, partly because 1952 is the first year in the calculation of capital stock using the perpetual inventory method. From 1960 to 1978, the gross and net rate of profit was on average 33% and 27%, respectively. In the reform era, both rates of profit were stable from 1978 to 1985, quickly declined from 1985 to 1990, continued to decline slowly from 1990 to 1999, increased substantially from 1999 to 2007, and declined again after 2007. In 2014, the gross rate of profit was as low as the level in 2000, and the net rate of profit was the lowest of the reform era.
3. A TIME SERIES ANALYSIS OF THE FACTORS THAT AFFECT PROFITABILITY

Marxian economics sustains that, in a capitalist economy, profitability is crucial to capital accumulation and economic growth. Given that capitalism has dominated the Chinese economy, profitability is the key to answer why economic growth slowed down after 2008 and whether the slowdown is a short-term issue or a long-term trend. The last section presents two measures of profitability, i.e. the gross rate of profit and the net rate of profit. Given that the net rate of profit is the ratio of the surplus value retained by productive sectors and total fixed capital, this measure is more relevant to capital accumulation. Although the gross rate of profit is also relevant to capital accumulation, the numerator of the gross rate of profit (i.e. total surplus value) contains the surplus value consumed by commercial and financial activities. Thus, in this section, we analyze what factors affected the net rate of profit over the reform era.

Using a growth decomposition method, Qi (2017) analyzed the contributions of the RSV, the value composition of capital, and the share of surplus value retained by productive sectors to the growth of the net rate of profit. The main findings of the decomposition are: before 2008, the RSV was the main driving force of the increase in profitability, which offset the effect of capital composition; after 2008, the growth of capital composition became the main driving force, and its effect was much larger than that of the RSV.

Here, we provide a time series analysis on the net rate of profit. Compared to decomposition, a time series analysis can include not only the variables that appear in the definition of the net rate of profit, but also other variables of interest. Nevertheless, the
time series analysis has a clear disadvantage: because the reform era has been a 38-year long period, the data has at most 38 observations; the limited number of observations might affect the robustness of econometric results and the power of unit root tests. In particular, the reform era has experienced several major institutional changes, which might bring structural breaks to the data. Despite the disadvantage, the time series analysis may provide some interesting results, which is comparable to the decomposition results.

We establish the following long-run relationship:

\[
NRP_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 WG_t + \alpha_2 KV_t + \alpha_3 U_t + \alpha_4 CU_t + \mu_t
\]

(8)

In equation (8), all variables are log-transformed. The dependent variable, \(NRP\), is the net rate of profit. \(WG\) is the wage gap between a living wage standard and the average wage, which captures the wage pressure. \(KV\) is the value composition of capital. \(U\) is the share of surplus value extracted by unproductive sectors. \(CU\) is a measure of capacity utilization, which captures economic cyclicality. \(\alpha_0\) is a constant. \(\mu\) is the error term.

As equation (8) shows, we consider four factors that may have an impact on profitability. The first factor is the wage pressure. If the working class gains more bargaining power, profits are likely to be squeezed by rising wages. The fall in the profitability of the U.S. economy in the late 1960s and 1970s resulted from the rising bargaining power of workers in the Golden Age of capitalism (BODDY and CROTTY, 1975). In recent years, China also witnessed rising wages, especially the wages of migrant workers, which had been stagnant for a decade. Relevant studies discussed whether China had reached the Lewis turning point (e.g. CAI and DU, 2011). Demographic changes, rising power of workers, rising minimum wages, and the 2008 Labor Contract Law are all possible reasons for the rising wages. We measure the wage pressure with the ratio of a living wage standard to the average wage. In China, the average wage does not meet the living wage level that is sufficient to sustain the reproduction of labor power, which has been a long-lasting problem (LI and QI, 2014). In many private firms, workers have to work overtime to earn overtime payments and increase their total income, which allows managers to discipline their employees through allocating overtime opportunities among workers. Thus, the gap between the average wage and the living wage can measure the relative power of workers: if the gap expands, workers have to be more obedient to managers and the wage pressure ought to be weak; otherwise, the pressure ought to be strong. We measure the living wage with the average urban unit (danwei) wage collected by the National Bureau of Statistics, which is a normal income level for an urban resident. The unit sector is the formal economy, in which
workers have more job security and obtain higher wages. We measure the average wage with the average compensation of employees in non-agricultural sectors. Thus, the wage gap is the ratio of the average urban unit wage to the average compensation of employees in non-agricultural sectors. The wage gap should have a positive effect on the net rate of profit.

The second factor is the value composition of capital. In “Das Kapital”, volume 3, Marx argued that the rate of profit has a tendency to fall as a result of the rising organic composition of capital (MARX, 1981). The organic composition of capital tends to rise because mechanization is a crucial way for individual capitalists to expand the market share and control the labor process. The value composition of capital, as a measure of the organic composition, should have a negative effect on the net rate of profit.

The third factor is the size of unproductive sectors. We measure the size of unproductive sectors as the share of surplus value extracted by unproductive sectors. Same as the second factor, this factor also comes from the decomposition of the dependent variable. Unproductive sectors can promote the realization of surplus value and accelerate the circulation of capital. However, unproductive sectors extract a part of the surplus value, thus reducing the amount of surplus value for accumulation. Also, the expansion of finance (real estate included) – one of the major unproductive sectors – may give rise to financialization, which has restrained real investments and job creation in major capitalist economies (LAZONICK and O’SULLIVAN, 2000; DAVIS, 2013). With the commodification of housing and the downturn of the real economy, China witnessed bubbles in the housing and stock markets. Although China has not been financialized as the U.S., the overly expanding financial sector has threatened economic stability and growth sustainability. Therefore, the size of unproductive sectors might have a negative effect on the net rate of profit.

The last factor is economic cyclicality. China’s economic growth fluctuated cyclically owing to both internal and external factors. During the reform era, institutional changes had an impact on economic growth; when capitalism dominated the economy, fluctuations of capitalist accumulation also affected economic growth. On the other hand, China has become a country integrated into the global economy since the early 1990s; the Chinese economy was hit by the Asian financial crisis in the late 1990s and the global crisis in 2008 and benefited from the boom of major capitalist economies in the early 2000s. Capacity utilization can capture the economic cyclical-ity; however, China has no official statistics on capacity utilization. Thus, we measure the economic cyclicality as follows: we take the difference between the Marxian value added per worker and its Hodrick-Prescott cyclical component and take the ratio of the Marxian value added per worker and that difference. This measure of capacity utilization should have a positive effect on the net rate of profit.
To avoid spurious regressions, all variables need to be stationary in levels, or all variables are non-stationary in their levels but stationary in first differences, and, meanwhile, they are cointegrated. Thus, the first step of the analysis is unit root tests. Table 2 gives the results of the augmented Dickey-Fuller test and the Phillips-Perron test. The results show CU is stationary in levels and all the other variables are non-stationary in levels and stationary in first differences, i.e. CU is I(0) and all the other variables are I(1). Given that the variables are either I(0) or I(1), the autoregressive-distributed lag (ARDL) approach to cointegration developed by Pesaran et al. (2001) is appropriate. This approach uses the bounds test to examine whether a cointegration relation exists among a group of variables that are either I(0) or I(1), which is widely used in recent empirical studies.

### Table 2 – Unit Root Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test</th>
<th>Phillips-Perron Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intercept Level</td>
<td>First difference Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intercept and trend Level</td>
<td>First difference Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRP&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>-0.987</td>
<td>-3.426**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WG&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>-1.252</td>
<td>-6.682***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KV&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>-4.508***</td>
<td>-1.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>-5.908***</td>
<td>-2.706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>-4.098***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *** and ** denote rejection of the null hypothesis of a unit root at 1% significance level and 5% significance level, respectively.

Source: Author’s elaboration based on the estimation results. For the data sources of the variables, please see the data appendix of Qi (2017).

According to the ARDL approach, equation (8) should incorporate the short-run adjustment process:

\[
\Delta NRP_{t} = \beta_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_{1i}\Delta NRP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{q_{1}} \beta_{2i}\Delta WG_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{q_{2}} \beta_{3i}\Delta KV_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{q_{3}} \beta_{4i}\Delta U_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{q_{4}} \beta_{5i}\Delta CU_{t-i} + \beta_{6}\Delta NRP_{t-1} + \beta_{7}\Delta WG_{t-1} + \beta_{8}\Delta KV_{t-1} + \beta_{9}\Delta U_{t-1} + \beta_{10}\Delta CU_{t-1} + \nu_{t}
\]

(9)

In equation (9), \(\Delta\) means first differences; \(p\) and \(q_{i}\) are lag lengths; \(\beta_{i}\) are coefficients; and \(\nu_{t}\) is the error term. The bounds test uses the OLS result of equation (9) to test the hypothesis \(\beta_{6} = \beta_{7} = \beta_{8} = \beta_{9} = \beta_{10} = 0\) by comparing the F-statistic with the
lower bound and the upper bound of critical values. If the F-statistic is smaller than the lower bound, then there is no long-run relationship between levels of the variables; if the F-statistic is greater than the lower bound but smaller than the upper bound, then the result is inconclusive; if the F-statistic is greater than the upper bound, then there is a long-run relationship between levels of the variables.

According to the Schwarz-Bayesian information criterion, lag lengths are \((p, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4)\) for \((p, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4)\). A key assumption of the bounds test is that the residuals of equation (9) must be serially independent. With these lag lengths, there is no serial correlation in the residuals (the p value of the Breusch-Godfrey LM test is 0.028). The results of the bounds test in Table 3 support that there is a long-run relationship between levels of the variables. The F-statistic is greater than the upper bound at the 1% level of significance. The t-statistic of the error-correction term is also greater than the upper bound at the 5% level of significance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>F-statistic</th>
<th>7.190***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Critical Values</td>
<td>I(0)</td>
<td>I(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1%</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>5.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>4.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>3.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>t-statistic</th>
<th>-4.148**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Critical Values</td>
<td>I(0)</td>
<td>I(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1%</td>
<td>-3.43</td>
<td>-4.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>-2.86</td>
<td>-3.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>-2.57</td>
<td>-3.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: ** and *** denotes 5% and 1% significance levels, respectively. The null hypothesis is there is no cointegration. Critical values are from the appendix of Narayan (2005).

Source: Author’s elaboration based on the estimation results. For the data sources of the variables, please see the data appendix of Qi (2017).

Table 4 gives the ARDL regression results. All the signs of explanatory variables are expected. The wage gap has a statistically significant and positive effect; the value composition of capital has a statistically significant and negative effect; the size of unproductive sectors has a negative effect, but the coefficient is not statistically significant; the contemporary capacity utilization has a statistically significant and positive effect. The unit root test shows that the residual of the regression is stationary at the 5% level of significance, which confirms that there is cointegration relation among the variables.
Table 4 – ARDL Regression Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dependent variable: NRP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NRP&lt;sub&gt;t-1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.752***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(12.565)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WG&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.256**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2.619)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KV&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>-0.354***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-4.721)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>-0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.862)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.524***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3.153)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU&lt;sub&gt;t-1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>-0.555***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-3.613)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>0.224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.060)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period</td>
<td>1984-2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: ** and *** denotes 5% and 1% level of significance, respectively. The t-statistics are in parentheses. The lag lengths of regressors are selected according to Schwarz-Bayesian information criterion. According to the ADF test and PP test, the residual of the regression is stationary. According to the Breusch-Godfrey LM test, there is no serial correlation. According to the Breusch-Pagan test, there is no heteroskedasticity.

Source: Author's elaboration based on the estimation results. For the data sources of the variables, please see the data appendix of Qi (2017).

After the ARDL regression, we further estimate the following error correction model:

\[
\Delta NRP_t = \delta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^p \delta_i \Delta NRP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{q_1} \delta_{i1} \Delta WG_t + \sum_{i=0}^{q_2} \delta_{i2} \Delta KV_t + \sum_{i=0}^{q_3} \delta_{i3} \Delta U_t + \sum_{i=0}^{q_4} \delta_{i4} \Delta CU_t
\]

\[+ \delta_6 EC_{t-1} + \omega_t \tag{10}\]

Equation (10) is same as equation (9), except it replaces the level variables in equation (9) with the error correction term \( EC_{t-1} \). The coefficient \( \delta_6 \) in equation (10) should be negative, which means that \( \Delta NRP_t \) will respond to the deviation from the long-run relationship by offsetting the deviation. Thus, a negative \( \delta_6 \) will support that there is a long-run relationship between levels of the variables. Table 5 gives the OLS regression results of equation (10), which indicates that \( \delta_6 \) is negative and its absolute value is smaller than one.
**Table 5 – Error-Correction Representation Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Short-run effect</th>
<th>Long-run effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ΔWG&lt;i&gt;_&lt;i&gt;_t</td>
<td>0.256**</td>
<td>WG&lt;i&gt;_&lt;i&gt;_t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2.619)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔKV&lt;i&gt;_&lt;i&gt;_t</td>
<td>-0.354***</td>
<td>KV&lt;i&gt;_&lt;i&gt;_t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-4.721)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔU&lt;i&gt;_&lt;i&gt;_t</td>
<td>-0.051</td>
<td>U&lt;i&gt;_&lt;i&gt;_t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.862)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔCU&lt;i&gt;_&lt;i&gt;_t</td>
<td>0.524***</td>
<td>CU&lt;i&gt;_&lt;i&gt;_t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3.153)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC&lt;i&gt;_&lt;i&gt;_t-1</td>
<td>-0.248***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-4.148)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.060)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjusted R²: 0.617  
Period: 1984-2015  
N: 32

Notes: ** and *** denotes 5% and 1% level of significance, respectively. The t-statistics are in parentheses. The lag lengths of regressors are selected according to Schwarz-Bayesian information criterion. According to the ADF test and PP test, the residual of the regression is stationary. According to the Breusch-Godfrey LM test, there is no serial correlation. According to the Breusch-Pagan test, there is no heteroskedasticity.

Source: Author's elaboration based on the estimation results. For the data sources of the variables, please see the data appendix of Qi (2017).

Table 5 also gives the long-run effect of each factor. The long-run effects of WG and KV are 1.031 and 1.426, respectively, which means that 1% increase in WG (KV) will raise NRP by 1.031% (1.426). During the period from 2007 to 2015, WG dropped by 10% and KV increased by 47%, which has considerably reduced the long-run net rate of profit. These results show that rising value composition of capital was the main driver for the slowdown of profitability in the long run, which is consistent with the decomposition results of Qi (2017). The results also show that the wage pressure had considerable impacts on the long-run profitability, although it is not the most effective factor. Finally, the size of unproductive sectors and economic cyclicality had no significant effect on the long-run profitability.

### 4. UNDERMINED CONDITIONS FOR THE PROFITABILITY AND THE “NEW NORMAL”

The “new normal” of the Chinese economy witnessed the lowest net rate of profit of the reform era. The growth decomposition in Qi (2017) shows that the stagnation of the RSV and the rise in the value composition of capital were the driving forces for the decline in profitability after 2008. The time series analysis in the last section gives similar results since the stagnant RSV is related to the rising wage pressure. Then, the
question is: why has the RSV been stagnant (or the wage pressure been rising), and why has the value composition of capital been rising?

The stagnation of the RSV and the rising wage pressure is a result of the shrinking reserve army of labor. As we discussed in Section 2.2, the formation and expansion of the reserve army of labor are a key reason for the growth of the RSV in the late 1990s and early 2000s. The two primary components of the reserve army of labor are migrant workers and laid-offs of the state-owned sector. For the laid-offs, after 2004, the employment of the state-owned sector turned to be stable, which implies that there was little increase in the number of laid-offs. Meanwhile, many of the workers being laid off in the 1990s quit the labor force as they reached retirement ages. The other main component of the reserve army of labor did not continue to grow after 2008 as fast as it grew before 2008. The growth of migrant workers from 2008 to 2014 continuously declined (NATIONAL BUREAU OF STATISTICS, 2013; 2015). The share of migrant workers in total urban employment was increasing in the 1990s and 2000s; by contrast, this proportion became stable after 2008, and slightly declined after 2010. Regarding wages, the economy witnessed fast real wage growth. Nevertheless, this increase does not imply that workers afford decent living conditions (LI and QI, 2014). Workers still have to rely on overtime work to meet the living wage standard and cover the wage gap. Given the shrinking reserve army and the wage gap, it is unlikely to reverse the rising trend of the real wage.

The fast growth of the RSV in the decade before 2008, on the one hand, underpinned the growth of profitability; on the other, it could jeopardize profitability by repressing the domestic consumption demand. Thus, the accumulation model in the decade before 2008 had to resolve the value realization problem. Export and investment played a crucial role in maintaining aggregate demand. Zhu and Kotz (2010) observe that the economic growth has increasingly relied on export and investment. After 2008, as the global capitalism entered into the great recession or secular stagnation, demand for China's export dramatically declined. As a result, the role of investment became crucial. The state launched the four trillion yuan stimulus package in response to the economic slowdown. The state-controlled banking system supported massive investment that concentrated in infrastructure, which could expand aggregate demand for enterprises in the short run and enhance productivity in the long term. However, infrastructure investment is less profitable, and its positive effect on profitability might concentrate in a few relevant industries (such as steel industry) in the short run. As a result, the massive investment greatly increased the value composition of capital, which further depressed profitability.

Meanwhile, although the state-controlled banking and the relatively large non-financial state-owned sector are favorable to carry out massive investment (LO and LI,
2011), the rising debt-income ratio along with massive investment might jeopardize growth sustainability. The debt-income ratio of the non-financial enterprise sector quickly rose from 195% in 2007 to 317% in 2014. The debt of the non-financial enterprise sector as a proportion of GDP was stable from 1996 to 2008, but increased from 98% in 2008 to 149% in 2014. The debt-income ratio of the non-financial sector has been the highest among major economies of the world (LI et al., 2015).

To sum up, the massive reserve of labor underpinned the RSV from the late 1990s to 2008, which was a result of institutional and power shifts during China’s economic transition; in recent years, as the reserve army declined, the RSV stopped increasing, although it is still high. The high RSV required the economy to rely more on investment and export; after 2008, investment became crucial to expanding the aggregate demand, leading to a rising value composition of capital. The stagnant RSV and the rising value composition of capital jointly caused the slowdown of profitability. To recover profitability, China has to make consumption replace investment as the main source of aggregate demand. With a still high RSV, it is unlikely to raise the consumption ratio of the economy (unless by increasing household debts, which is unsustainable in the long run). Therefore, the low profitability and the “new normal” will last until China manages to lower the RSV and restructure the aggregate demand.

5. CONCLUSION

This paper has constructed homogenous series of the RSV using a Marxian approach and analyzed the relationship between the RSV and China’s “new normal” featured by falling profitability. Dynamics of the RSV resulted from changes in power relations determined by a series of economic and institutional factors such as the ownership structure of the economy, the formation of the reserve army of labor, the reform of the state-owned enterprises and so on. The main findings of this paper are: over the reform era, the RSV decreased from 1978 to 1997, increased from 1997 to 2008, and then stagnated after 2008. The stagnant RSV (or the rising wage pressure) has been an important factor that restrained profitability. Also, given the still high RSV, investment has been crucial to sustain aggregate demand after 2008, which gave rise to the drastic increase in capital composition. The rise in capital composition has been another important factor that restrained profitability.

The RSV has been stagnant or slightly falling after 2008. Some important events took place along with this change. First of all, the financial and economic crisis that initiated in the U.S. led to a recession and stagnation for leading capitalist economies, which affected the Chinese economy through the contraction of the demand for Chi-
China’s economic growth fell behind the increase of wages, leading to the decline in the RSV. Secondly, as the decade-long increase of the RSV constrained workers’ capacity to maintain decent living conditions, struggles of workers for a living wage surged against this background, and the reduction in the reserve army of labor also enhanced the bargaining power of employees. Given that the massive investment led to a rising value composition of capital, which repressed profitability, and a rising debt-income ratio, which jeopardized the growth sustainability, the Chinese economy might encounter serious problems to maintain profitability in the “new normal.” Furthermore, the current accumulation model has also challenged the limits of environmental resources as air pollution has become a serious problem for the Chinese people. Capital accumulation has overused not only labor power but also environmental resources. Under this circumstance, maintaining the current accumulation model may prepare conditions for not only an economic crisis but also an ecological crisis. Major institutional changes favorable to pro-labor distribution, a wage-led growth model, and sustainable development might be a prerequisite to achieving prosperity in the future.
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