Care in a birth center according to the recommendations of the World Health Organization
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ABSTRACT
Birth centers are maternal care models that use appropriate technology when providing care to birthing women. This descriptive study aimed to characterize intrapartum care in a freestanding birth center, in light of the practices recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO), with 1,079 assisted births from 2006 to 2009 in the Sapopemba Birth Center, São Paulo, Brazil. Results included the use of intermittent auscultation (mean=7 controls); maternal positions during delivery: semi-sitting (82.3%), side-lying (16.0%), other positions (1.7%), oral intake (95.6%); companionship (93.3%); exposure to up to three vaginal examinations (85.4%); shower bathing (84.0%), walking (68.0%), massage (60.1%), exercising with a Swiss ball (51.7%), amniotomy (53.4%), use of intermittent oxytocin during the first (31.0%) and second stages of labor (25.8%), bath immersion (29.3%) and episiotomy (14.1%). In this birth center, care providers used practices recommended by the WHO, although some practices might have been applied less frequently.
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RESUMO
Centros de parto constituem modelo que adota tecnologia apropriada na assistência à parturiente. O objetivo foi caracterizar a assistência intraparto em um centro de parto extra-hospitalar quanto às práticas recomendadas pela Organização Mundial da Saúde (OMS). Estudo descritivo sobre 1.079 partos assistidos de 2006 a 2009 na Casa do Parto de Sapopemba, São Paulo, Brasil. Os resultados mostram ausculta intermitente (média=7 controles); posição materna no expulsivo semissentada (82,3%), lateral (16,0%), outras (1,7%); aceitação da dieta (95,6%); acompanhante (93,3%); até três exames vaginais (85,4%); banho de aspersão (84,0%), deambulação (68,0%), massagem (60,1%), exercícios com bola suíza (51,7%); amniotomia (53,4%); ocitocina na dilatação (31,0%), banho de imersão (29,3%), ocitocina no expulsivo (25,8%) e episiotomia (14,1%). Concluiu-se que os profissionais do centro de parto utilizam práticas recomendadas pela OMS, contudo existem práticas cujo uso pode ser reduzido, tais como amniotomia, administração de ocitocina, episiotomia e posição semissentada no expulsivo.
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RESUMEN
Centros de parto constituyen un modelo que adopta la tecnología apropiada en la atención a la parturienta. El objetivo fue caracterizar la atención intraparto en un centro de parto extra-hospitalario en relación a las prácticas recomendadas por la Organización Mundial de la Salud (OMS). Estudio descriptivo sobre 1.079 partos atendidos del 2006 al 2009 en la Casa de Parto de Sapopemba, São Paulo, Brasil. Los resultados mostraron: auscultación intermitente (media=7 controles); posición materna en el expulsivo - semisentada (82,3%), lateral (16,0%), otras (1,7%); aceptación de dieta (95,6%); acompañante (93,3%); hasta tres exámenes vaginales (85,4%); baño en ducha (84,0%), deambulación (68,0%), masaje (60,1%), ejercicios con pelota suiza (51,7%); amniotomía (53,4%); ocitocina durante la dilatación (31,0%), baño de inmersión (29,3%), ocitocina durante el expulsivo (25,8%) y episiotomía (14,1%). Se concluyó que los profesionales del centro de parto utilizan prácticas recomendadas por la OMS, pero existen algunas prácticas cuyo uso puede reducirse, tales como la amniotomía, administración de ocitocina, episiotomía y posición semisentada en el periodo expulsivo.
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INTRODUCTION

In Brazil, several changes have influenced the care provided to women during pregnancy and childbirth. Access to higher levels of education, urbanization, increased participation of women in the labor market and lower birth rates combined with better access to antenatal and intrapartum care, have positively affected maternal health indicators. Notwithstanding, the overuse of several interventions, mainly in birth-assisted hospitals, such as frequent ultrasound examinations during pregnancy and high rates of C-sections in complementary health services represent remaining challenges. In the Brazilian Health System (SUS) services, episiotomies and intravenous administration of oxytocin are also often routinely used during intrapartum care.

Paradoxically, while the justification for using these more invasive and aggressive procedures is to provide effective care and safety for the mother and her newborn, data suggest that the use of oxytocin, ultrasounds and C-sections may in fact be associated with poorer maternal and neonatal outcomes. A 2008 cohort study in Pelotas (Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil) demonstrated that the increase in C-sections and labor inductions over the last three decades has resulted in a higher number of preterm or low birth weight babies.

As a reaction against this model of care, a movement initiated by public health professionals sought to change the paradigms of Brazilian obstetrical care. One of the pillars of this movement was an analysis of the risks and benefits of obstetric practices presented by a group of European experts, which resulted in the publication known as the recommendations of the World Health Organization (WHO). This guide classifies practices into four categories:

A: practices which are demonstrably useful and should be encouraged; B: practices which are clearly harmful or ineffective and should be eliminated; C: Practices for which insufficient evidence exists to support a clear recommendation and which should be used with caution while further research clarifies the issue; and D: Practices which are frequently used inappropriately.

In Brazil, these recommendations have been used as guidelines for programs whose aim is to humanize the care given during the normal birthing process and are outlined in a guidebook distributed by the Ministry of Health to all obstetric healthcare professionals in the country. In this guidebook, the classification of practices has been updated, and some practices have been listed in a different category. The liberal or routine use of episiotomy, for instance, was moved from category D to category B (the latter category is identified as 2 in the Ministry of Health guidebook).

In addition to influencing the type of care provided to women and babies, the humanization movement has impacted how women are assisted during labor. By the late 1990s, Birth Centers (BC) were created and, under the regulation of Ordinance 985/99, they aimed to provide obstetric care with the appropriate use of technology while also valuing childbirth as a normal physiological and family centered event. These services have been disseminated throughout Brazil and are part of the proposed Stork Network, an initiative of the Ministry of Health that was established in 2011 to ensure improved access, coverage and quality of maternal and neonatal care.

However, few Brazilian studies have described the care provided exclusively by nurse-midwives and midwives in the BC. These professionals are generally in charge of providing care to women during childbirth in healthcare settings and are entrusted to make judicious use of obstetric interventions. Therefore, it is important to evaluate whether these professionals are using evidence-based practices that are recommended by the WHO in caring for women and their newborns during childbirth. Moreover, new studies may help to improve the criteria utilized by these centers and may provide information for women seeking an out-of-hospital environment to in which to give birth.

Within this context, this study aimed to characterize intrapartum care in a freestanding BC in light of the practices recommended by the WHO.

METHOD

This is a descriptive study with a retrospective data collection that was performed in the Sapopemba Birth Center (SBC), which has the Vila Alpina State Hospital as the referral center. This BC is located in the southeast of the city of São Paulo, Brazil.

The study participants were all women who gave birth in the SBC between 2006-2009, for a total of 1,079 births. Data were obtained by retrospective manual collection, using a pre-coded form, named CPNet. This instrument has been part of the maternal records of the SBC since 2006 and is completed by the nurse-midwives and midwives who attend the birth.

The variables were categorized into the following categories: demographic data (age, education, skin color, marital status, employment status, origin, and coverage area); clinical obstetric characteristics (number of previous births, cervical dilation at the time of admission, status of membranes on admission, gestational age, and uterine activity); characteristics of the intrapartum care (mode of rupture of membranes, oxytocin use, fetal electronic monitoring, frequency of evaluation of uterine activity and frequency of vaginal examinations, oral intake, practices to provide comfort and pain relief during labor, matenal position at...
delivery, condition of the perineum, removal of the placenta and companionship during labor) and characteristics of the newborn care (airway aspiration, gastric aspiration and washing, use of inhaled oxygen and Apgar scores).

These data were double entered into a database. A descriptive analysis of the data was performed to calculate the absolute and relative frequencies of the categorical variables that are presented in the tables or the text. We used the statistical package STATA version 17. This study is part of the project Maternal and neonatal transfers from the Sapopemba Birth Center and was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Municipal Health Department (Process number 223/2006/CEP/SMS).

RESULTS

During the four years of the study, 1,079 births were assisted in the Sapopemba Birth Center. Less than 20% of women were adolescents, and more than 70% of them had eight or more years of schooling. Most of the participants were white, had a partner, were employed, spontaneously sought the service in order to give birth and belonged to the area of the SBC (Table 1).

Regarding the maternity care practices used during the intrapartum period, most women had artificial rupture of the membranes. Approximately a third of the women received intravenous oxytocin for augmentation of labor, and a quarter of the women received oxytocin in the second stage of labor. During labor, slightly more than a quarter of the women had electronic monitoring for fetal assessment. Most of the women used non-pharmacological methods of pain relief and comfort, accepted food during labor and had a companion present (Table 3). Intermittent auscultation of the fetal heart was performed on average 7 times for each woman.
Most of the women adopted a semi-sitting position when giving birth, and maneuvers to remove the placenta were not required. More than 70.0% of the women had an intact perineum after delivery or had minor first-degree lacerations (Table 4). An episiotomy was performed in 25.8% of nulliparous and in 4.3% of multiparous women.

Regarding neonatal practices, warm fields and early skin-to-skin mother-child contact were used to prevent hypothermia. Among the newborns assisted in the SBC, 75 (7.0%) required airway aspiration, 40 (3.7%) required gastric aspiration, 30 (2.3%) required gastric lavage, and 60 (5.6%) required inhaled oxygen. Most of the neonatal Apgar scores were equal to or higher than 7 at the 1st and 5th minutes of life (1070 - 99.2% and 1079 - 100%, respectively). Eight women (0.7%) and 21 newborns (2.0%) were transferred to the referral hospital.

### Table 3 - Distribution of intrapartum maternity care practices in the SBC. Sapopemba Birth Center, São Paulo, SP, Brazil, 2006-2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maternity care practices</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rupture of the placental membranes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artificial</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>53.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Spontaneous</em></td>
<td>503</td>
<td>46.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Oxytocin use</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilution phase</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expulsive phase</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placental expulsion phase</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st hour after birth</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fetal electronic monitoring</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>72.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Uterine activity assessment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>45.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 3</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of vaginal examinations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>37.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>36.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 4</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oral intake</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluids</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>39.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluids and solid food</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>56.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pain relief and comfort practices</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shower bath</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>84.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>734</td>
<td>68.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massage</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>60.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swiss ball</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>51.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immersion bath</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Companionship</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1,020</td>
<td>94.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*213 women admitted in the SBC with ruptured membranes were also included

*Oxytocin was used during one or more phases of labor

*One or more pain relief or comfort practices were used by the birthing women

Note: (n = 1.079)

The following practices were not used in the SBC: enemas, perineal shaving, routine insertion of an intravenous catheter, routine oxytocin use, rectal examination, use of X-ray pelvimetry, pain control by epidural anesthesia and systemic agents, fundal pressure (maneuver Kristeller), routine use of the supine position during labor, shortening of the 2nd stage of labor if the recommended duration (one hour) is exceeded, early clamping of the umbilical cord, use of ergometrine in the third stage, washing and examination of the uterus after the delivery.

A partograph was used to register the progress of labor for all women in the SBC. The sustained breath-holding (Valsalva) method of pushing, management of the perineum during the second stage of labor and controlled traction on the umbilical cord were all eventually performed. However, these practices were not investigated in this study.

All of the material used during the deliveries was sterilized according to the sterilization and decontamination protocols used for hospital supplies. Standardized guidelines for universal precautions were used during all interactions with the mother and the newborn.

### DISCUSSION

This study investigated the care offered to women and their newborns in a freestanding birth center according to the WHO recommendations on maternal and neonatal care practices. Not all of the women attending the SBC come from the geographical area covered by the service, which suggests that this type of assistance has attracted women from other areas of the city of São Paulo. The proportion of women assisted in the SBC with eight or more years of schooling was similar to that found in another study on BC.
performed in Brazil. The proportion of nullipara assisted in the SBC was similar to those observed in the present this study (46.0%)\(^{(10)}\).

Discussion of the practices used in the care offered to women and newborns in the SBC is presented according to the categories A, C and D that have been proposed by the WHO. No practice used in the service was classified in Category B (Practice clearly harmful or ineffective and should be eliminated).

**Category A**

The birthing woman care protocol in the SBC included appointments from 37 weeks of pregnancy, at which time obstetric and clinical risk factors were assessed based on the individual birth plan. This assessment was performed and documented on an ongoing basis for all women, i.e. at the time of admission, throughout labor and during the postpartum period. Although the birth plan in SBC primarily involved an assessment for the purpose of risk classification, this instrument was also used to register women’s preferences for the care they received during labor and delivery. Moreover, the involvement of the health service users has been recommended in the clinical decision making process during labor and delivery\(^{(10)}\).

Ninety four percent of women in the SBC had companionship during labor. This rate is similar to that of the BC study, which reported a companionship rate of 92.2\(^{(12)}\). These rates are higher than those reported in a national survey, in which the verifiable presence of a companion was noted in 16.0% of the deliveries in general, which is less than 10.0% for women assisted in the SUS and 35.0% for those in the private sector\(^{(11)}\). A study conducted in 13 hospitals in the city of Goiânia, Brazil, found that 19.5% of women had a companion present during labor\(^{(15)}\). In the Philippines and Malaysia, one study reported that a companion was present during labor in 10.0% and 61% of deliveries, respectively\(^{(13)}\). A study that examined the care practices in hospitals in Jordan reported that a companion was present during approximately 1.0% of deliveries\(^{(14)}\).

The importance of the presence of a companion during labor was confirmed in a systematic review that included 22 clinical trials with 15,288 women. The presence of continuous intrapartum support increased the probability of a faster labor and spontaneous vaginal delivery and was associated with a decreased likelihood of intrapartum analgesia, instrumental delivery and C-section, a low Apgar score at the 5th minute of life and dissatisfaction with the birth experience\(^{(15)}\).

Among the pain relief practices used, the shower bath was the most utilized, though the phase of labor during which this method was used was not specified. Similar data were found in Brazil, where up to 71.0% of birthing women used the shower bath in an alongside birth center\(^{(10)}\) and 88.0% of those assisted in an in-hospital center\(^{(16)}\). In a study from Jordan, additional care practices used to minimize the discomfort associated with uterine contractions included changes in maternal position (3.0%), back massage (2.0%) and therapeutic touch (1.0%)\(^{(10)}\).

Intermittent auscultation of the fetal heart was the method of choice for monitoring fetal wellbeing during labor in the SBC. A systematic review involving 18,561 pregnant women and their 18,695 infants compared the use of continuous cardiotocography with no fetal monitoring, intermittent auscultation and intermittent cardiotocography and found no difference in the overall rate of perinatal death between these methods of fetal monitoring\(^{(17)}\).

Most women who gave birth in the SBC walked during labor (68.0%), which is a rate lower than that found in a Brazilian study in which 88.0% of the women used this practice. In contrast, the aforementioned Jordanian study reported that 94.0% of women were restricted to the bed\(^{(14)}\).

In a systematic review involving 3,706 women, those who adopted the upright vs. lying down position shortened the active phase of labor by one hour. These women were also less likely to receive epidural anesthesia, with no differences noted in the second stage of labor or in the mode of delivery and no impairment of maternal and fetal well-being\(^{(18)}\). In a study conducted in 29 hospitals in Malaysia with 280 women, 79.3% of the women were informed about the positions for childbirth, and 83.4% chose a position other than supine\(^{(19)}\). The present study revealed that most women in the SBC adopted the semi-sitting position during the second stage of labor.

Oxytocin was used at the discretion of the nurse-midwife and generally only in women with risk factors for post-partum hemorrhage, such as multiparity, macrosomia and prolonged labor, among other situations. Approximately one third of women in the SBC received oxytocin, representing a higher rate than that found in a freestanding birth center (23.5%)\(^{(10)}\) though lower than those found in hospitals (45.8%)\(^{(12)}\). The practice of breastfeeding infants immediately after the delivery, which was systematically adopted in the SBC, is a measure that prevents postpartum hemorrhage.

**Category C**

The immersion bath, with or without whirlpool, was used by 29.3% of women. A systematic review of 3,243 women suggested that the use of this practice during the first stage of labor reduced the use of epidural anesthesia, with no adverse effects to either the mother or baby. The immersion bath was also associated with greater satisfaction with the childbirth experience\(^{(20)}\).

Amniotomy, or artificial rupture of placental membranes, was performed in 53.4% of women, which is a finding similar to the aforementioned study that was performed in an in-hospital birth center (55.2%)\(^{(16)}\). In another study from our institution, this practice was used in 62.6% of women\(^{(10)}\). A study that examined the maternal and...
neonatal outcomes of an alongside birth center and an in-hospital birth center found that amniotomy rates were significantly lower in the former setting (71.3%) compared to the latter setting (84.5%). Indications for performing amniotomy in the SBC were not assessed.

Despite the fact that perineal and fetal head management practices during the second stage of labor were not quantified in the present study, reports from SBC professional staff indicated that these maneuvers were used in some cases. A randomized clinical trial conducted in Iran compared the effect of two types of perineal management (hands on and hands poised) on perineal trauma in nulliparous women. The women in the group in which the hands on technique for perineal protection was used had twice as many episiotomies compared to women in the other group (84.0% vs. 40.0%, respectively). However, further studies are needed to confirm these findings. One systematic review found that the decrease noted in the rate of episiotomy did not encompass third and fourth degree lacerations.

Category D

Cardiotocography was routinely performed on admission for all women in the SBC, most likely because of the nurse-midwives had previous professional experience in hospitals. This record also served as documentation of fetal well-being at the time of admission.

A statistically significant association has been reported between the use of fetal electronic monitoring (FEM) and the decrease of neonatal seizures. No significant difference was observed in the one minute Apgar (with scores between 4 and 7), the rates of admissions to neonatal intensive care units, perinatal deaths or cerebral palsy rates. An increase in forceps and C-section delivery rates was associated with continuous FEM. Intermittent FEM was also used in the SBC, though the reasons for its use during labor were not assessed. SBC staff members reported prolonged labor and the need for documentation of fetal well-being as the reason for this examination, before transferring the woman from the SBC to the hospital.

The recommended interval between vaginal examinations varied from between one to four hours. In the SBC, the interval between these examinations was not measured. However, 85.5% of the women were examined between one to three times during the intrapartum period.

Nearly 30.0% of the women in the SBC received oxytocin during labor, a finding which is higher than that found in an alongside BC (23.6%) and lower than that in an in-hospital BC (47.2%). Higher rates were reported in hospitals in Goiânia, Brazil, during labor (45.8%) and delivery (53.5%).

The rate of episiotomy in the current study was 14.1%, with approximately one quarter of the women receiving an episiotomy and 43.7% sustaining an intact perineum during birth. A study in Asian countries revealed that episiotomy rates during vaginal deliveries were between 31.0% and 95.0% among the evaluated hospitals. A Brazilian study reported an episiotomy rate of approximately 70.0%. In contrast, in Jordan, 53.0% of women who had a vaginal delivery underwent an episiotomy, and of these women, 76.0% were primiparous. A longitudinal study that followed nulliparous women with a history of a previous episiotomy found it to be an independent risk factor for receiving another episiotomy: Odds Ratio (OR) 2.84, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.62 to 4.99 as well as perineal lacerations in the following births (59.2% versus 23.4%, p <0.05).

A systematic review on episiotomy that included 5,541 women noted that the restricted use of episiotomy resulted in less severe perineal trauma: RR (relative risk) 0.67, 95% CI 0.49 to 0.91; less suturing (RR 0.71; 95% CI 0.61 to 0.81) and fewer healing complications (RR 0.69, 95% CI 0.56 to 0.85). There was no difference in the occurrence of severe vaginal trauma (RR 0.92 95% CI 0.72 to 1.18), dyspareunia (RR 1.02, 95% CI 0.90 to 1.16) and urinary incontinence (RR 0.98, 95% CI 0.79 to 1.20). However, restricting the use increased the risk of anterior perineal trauma, which was generally not clinically significant.

In summary, no current scientific evidence supports the routine use of episiotomy, although in Brazil this procedure is still commonly performed. The difficulty surrounding the incorporation of evidence-based guidelines into clinical practice is not only evident with the persistent use of episiotomy, but is also seen in the management of perineal trauma. This phenomenon was highlighted in a cross-sectional study of British midwives who reported difficulties identifying and repairing perineal trauma.

The retrospective data collection in this study did not allow for the evaluation of women’s opinions about the care received during labor and childbirth. However, studies in which postpartum women were interviewed revealed that a lower level of interventionist care is associated with greater satisfaction with the birth experience as well as enhancing the autonomy of nurse-midwives and midwives.

A limitation of this study is that the research results were compared with those obtained from national and international studies that evaluated practices in alongside and in-hospital birth centers and not with those in freestanding birth centers. It is also known that health services in which professionals support the physiological process of childbirth have lower rates of intervention, but this study did not aim to explore the differences between different birth settings, i.e. birth center, hospital and home births.

CONCLUSION

This analysis of the maternal care practices in the SBC revealed that the WHO recommendations are commonly used by the SBC staff. The judicious and non-routine use of interventions such as oxytocin, episiotomy and...
resuscitation of the newborn are in line with scientific evidence, thus indicating that birth centers not connected with surgical environments. Furthermore, in environments where nurse-midwives and midwives are in charge of maternal care, maternal and perinatal outcomes are good as measured by the low rate of maternal and neonatal transfers and the good condition of the newborn.

The variables that require further investigation include directed pushing efforts, use of oxytocin during labor, and management of the perineum. No record is available of either the use of these interventions or their justification, making the analysis of their prevalence in this population problematic. Furthermore, in future studies, the satisfaction of women should also be evaluated.
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