ABSTRACT
The aim of this study was to identify therapeutic factors presented in a group of health promotion for the elderly. This is a descriptive, exploratory study with a qualitative approach. Data were collected between December 2010 and April 2011 in focal groups that included participants and their coordinators. Results were submitted to content analysis and thematic approach. Findings showed convergence of answers among participants, who indicated resonance and complementarity in identification of the following therapeutic factors: cohesion, introduction of hope, socialization, information sharing, existential factors, altruism, interpersonal relationships, and universal learning. The identification of these factors indicates the therapeutic potential of focal groups, especially for attending to the needs of elderly people, keeping these patients healthy, and strengthening their feelings of love and life, and being part of a social group.
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RESUMO
O objetivo do estudo foi identificar fatores terapêuticos presentes em grupo de promoção da saúde de idosos. Estudo descritivo exploratório com abordagem qualitativa, cujos dados foram coletados por meio de grupos focais realizados com participantes do grupo e suas coordenadoras, entre dezembro de 2010 e abril de 2011. Os dados foram submetidos a análise de conteúdo, modalidade temática. Os achados mostraram convergência de respostas entre os participantes da pesquisa, indicando ressonância e complementaridade na identificação dos fatores terapêuticos: coesão, instilação de esperança, socialização, compartilhamento de informações, fatores existenciais, altruismo, aprendizagem interpessoal e universalidade. A identificação desses váríos fatores no grupo estudado comprova seu potencial terapêutico, especialmente por atender as necessidades dos idosos, mantê-los saudáveis, fortalecer o sentimento de amor pela vida e pertencença a um grupo social.
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RESUMEN
El objetivo del estudio fue identificar los factores terapéuticos identificados en grupo de promoción de la salud para adultos mayores. Estudio exploratorio descriptivo de abordaje cualitativo, cuyos datos fueron recolectados a través de grupos focales realizados con los participantes del grupo y sus coordinadores, entre diciembre del 2010 y abril del 2011. Los datos fueron sometidos al análisis de contenido, con modalidad temática. Los resultados mostraron convergencia de las respuestas entre los participantes de la investigación, lo que indica resonancia y complementariedad en la identificación de factores terapéuticos: cohesión, instilación de la esperanza, socialización, intercambio de informaciones, factores existenciales, altruismo, aprendizaje interpersonal y universalidad. Los resultados apoyan la conclusión que los factores terapéuticos identificados comprueban el potencial terapéutico del grupo estudiado, especialmente por atender a las necesidades de los adultos mayores, mantenerlos saludables, reforzar el sentimiento de amor por la vida y pertenencia a un grupo social.
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INTRODUCTION

A group, as a tool for health interventions, could be a transformative agent when used as a space to express thoughts and feelings, exchange experiences, provide health education, improve quality of life, and enhance socialization(1-3). A group can be defined as a set of people who interact with the aim of widening their perceptions and change their behaviors, thereby favoring the development of autonomy and confronting situations that cause avoidable suffering(3). It also enables the study of subjects in the social environment into which they are inserted(3-4).

In a group setting, different modes of existences are encountered, which produces subjectivity. Therefore, the group process is done by the meanings of situations experienced by subjects from and in the group; this leads to continuous mobilizing of phenomena of changes and concerns with reality(4). A group setting can attend to the requirements of the participants, allows the professional facilitator to assume a horizontal position in relation to the other participants, and encourages cooperative knowledge building(5-7).

An efficient group that can changes must be organized and developed according to its members’ needs, which are key elements for revision and reorganization of the group’s objectives. Such changes are possible because of therapeutic factors (TFs) that human groups are able to develop. These mechanisms help group members understand the members’ difficulties and common needs and acquire new and more appropriate behaviors(8).

As described in the literature(8), there are 11 Ts: introduction of hope, universality, cohesion, information sharing, altruism, development of socialization technique, mimicking of behavior, interpersonal learning, existential factors, catharsis, and corrective recapitulation of the primary family group. Although these TFs derive from psychotherapy groups, they can be used used to guide groups of differing purposes.

Identification and analysis of these factors can help health professionals to manage groups, particularly by enabling them to monitor group achievements and limitations. Evidence in the literature indicates that study of TFs helps in guiding coordination in order to achieve more efficient results, with the objective of reaching the group’s highest therapeutic potential(9-11).

In Brazil, especially in primary health care (PHC), health promotion groups have been used as strategy in government programs that guide professional behavior(9,12). The main focus of these groups is to develop autonomy(2-5), exchange experiences, provide health education,(13) and strengthen treatment adherence for chronic diseases(2,5).

Elderly people are a target audience for these actions(14). A literature review of the use of group activities in the aging population shows the potential of this strategy for health promotion for this age group in PHC, mainly in countries such as Brazil and others from Latin America(17-20).

Considering evidence on group setting theme and its relevance, we identified a group of elderly patients being coordinated by a community health agent (CHA). We chose to study this group because of the positive results it had achieved, mainly with regard to cohesion, adhesion, and member satisfaction. Taking into account the good results, we sought to identify the presence of TFs that could help us understand how a group formed for health promotion could be effective in elderly people.

Study results could help coordinators of groups for elderly patients reinforce aspects considered positive by the participants and minimize the negative ones and improve understanding of what is essential for an efficient and efficacious group.

METHOD

This descriptive, exploratory study with a qualitative approach included a group of elderly people from a Family Health Unit in Goiânia/GO, Brazil. The group had existed for 13 years and was founded in 2000 by CHAs, who are still coordinators of the group. Every week the group has 90-minute meetings, with regular participation of around 30 individuals. Meetings are coordinated by CHAs, and the main focus is health promotion for elderly people through physical, recreational, and socialization activities. The group is remarkable for its high participation rate, high productivity, constant updating of proposed activities, and dynamic and nondirective conduction and participation not influenced by benefits such as medicine and medical consultations.

Twenty-three aging individuals and six CHAs participated in this study. Participants (group member and coordinators) were included only if they had been in the program for more than six months. Exclusion criteria were occasional participation or withdrawal from the group during data collection. We did not include CHAs whose activity was interrupted for any reason during the data collection period.

Invitation to participate in the study took place after researcher field immersion for six months. During a meeting of the group, the study objective, strategies for data collection, and consent form were presented. All participants read and signed the consent form.

Data were collected from December 2010 to April 2011 using the focal group (FG) technique(15). Two researchers conducted FC sessions; one was the mediator and the other...
the observer, who was also responsible for recording and registering the meeting. Both researchers had basic training in group dynamics and were supervised by experts in group management and coordination.

To achieve the objective and obtain consistent data, we conducted five FGs. Four of them were conducted with elderly patients (eight participants per group were present on average), and one meeting included all coordinators (six persons present). Meetings were scheduled ahead of time and took place in a private room of the health unit that accommodated all participants comfortably.

FGs with elderly patients started with a theme: *The elderly group in my life*, and the questions that directed the research were: *Why did I become a member of the group? How does the group help me? How do members help the group? What maintains the group’s existence?* To the CHAs, the theme presented was *The group mission*, and the following questions directed the research: *How does the group help members? How do members help the group? What maintains the group’s existence?*

For data analysis, we used the content analysis technique, thematic approach (22). Specifically, we used the procedure known as *boxes* (22), adopted with predefined categories for the FGs and elements that characterized them, as described in the literature (6). To identify characteristics of the FGs, transcriptions of FG records were analyzed in detail; next, we conducted a preanalysis by an exhaustive, comprehensive review of available reading. For this phase of data organization, we used Atlas.Ti 6 software, which is specific for qualitative data analysis. Finally, the results were assessed and interpreted in the context of the theoretical framework of the study.

**RESULTS**

Based on analysis of the FG record transcription, we identified 8 of 11 TFs presented by Yalom e Leczcz (8). The same TF appeared only once in the discussion of FGs. Chart 1 describes the TFs registered during sessions, identified by numbers: FG1, FG2, and FG4 corresponded to FGs conducted with elderly patients and FG CHA concerns the FG performed with coordinators.

Eight of 11 TFs were identified in at least one FG, catharsis; corrective recapitulation of primary family group and mimicking of behavior (8) were not identified in any FG.

Chart 2 shows TFs from the perspective of the study participants presented during the FGs.

**DISCUSSION**

Chart 1 shows the agreement of TFs perceived by both the elderly participants and the CHAs. All TFs identified in FG CHA were also seen in the elderly FGs. The exception was the TF for universality; this was observed in FG1 but not in FG CHA. The lack of the TFs catharsis, corrective recapitulation of primary family group, and mimicking behavior could be attributed to the approach to the group, which was not done with a psychotherapeutic perspective. Studies that included groups with similar characteristics also found that this result was common (8-12).

The agreement between TFs identified in the FGs for the elderly patients and FG CHA revealed accordance and cohesion among the participants and group coordinators; this aspect is sometimes a source of conflict and misunderstanding among users and professionals (5, 19).

---

**Chart 1** – Therapeutic factors identified in focal groups with elderly patients and group coordinators: Goiânia, GO, 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Therapeutic factors</th>
<th>FG1</th>
<th>FG2</th>
<th>FG3</th>
<th>FG4</th>
<th>FG CHA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cohesion</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction of hope</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of socialization techniques</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information sharing</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existence factors</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altruism</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal learning</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universality</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mimicking of behavior</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catharsis</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrective recapitulation of primary family group</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
Chart 2 – Therapeutic factors that emerged in testimonial of group members and group coordinators: Goiânia, GO, 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Therapeutic factor</th>
<th>Group members</th>
<th>Group coordinator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cohesion</strong> (Attraction of members among themselves and within the group)</td>
<td>(...) I really like to be part of the group, I like the environment (...) It's like our second family, because when you go there, someone knows you and shows sympathy. When someone dies, the group is sharply affected; it's an extremely sad moment, it leaves a empty space in our heart and memories forever—you know, people won't come back. So, the group is something very good, very satisfying.</td>
<td>It is friendships that we create. I think it really is just like a family. Come rain or come shine, people are respectful, very friendly, lovely, and very dedicated. Even on days that you are not feeling well, when you get there you feel better.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Introduction to hope</strong> (Belief and trust in group efficacy)</td>
<td>(...) All the stress and nervousness had just gone. The problems with our joints that are due to lack of movement, all of that is resolved. I am very happy with the benefits I achieved with the meetings. It's great for us; it helps a lot.</td>
<td>People who participated in the group had depression and many were in pain. Now, they have a totally different life (...). So, the group is different, and the members will tell you how good it is to be part of the group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Socialization</strong> (Development of basic social skills)</td>
<td>Because you just stay at home, you feel as if your life has come to an end, and you notice that. When you get old, you spend more time quietly at home, don't go out for walks, don't go to parties. So, you begin to think about sad and bad things, all the things just get you down. But here with coordinators you play, laugh, shout, sing, and talk. They really make you feel good. This group is a blessing of the Lord.</td>
<td>There is a place for gathering together, where people meet each other, gossip, talk, talk about others life, fight [LOL], and play.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information sharing</strong> (Didactic instruction or direct counseling)</td>
<td>(...) If one doesn't know something, there is always someone to help you, and everyone becomes aware of things (...). People who have knowledge teach things to us, and the health agencies help us with physical activity because without them we could not do anything. We do many things, but we want to learn more and more things.</td>
<td>We perceived that they don’t like lectures. (...) We talk about smoking, about their civil rights. Each of us talks about something very briefly so that people don’t become bored. We talk about dengue, we educate them on health and nutrition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Existence factors</strong> (Help to deal with facts of the human condition)</td>
<td>To be with this team is purely positive. They teach us how to live, how to love; they teach me to be more patient with my life and job. I'm not feeling the heavy things I used to; now things are lighter.</td>
<td>We see the group members helping each other. In my point of view, this is shown in people's testimonial; most of them talk about how things have changed in their life.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Altruism</strong> (Satisfaction in helping others)</td>
<td>(...) We help to animate things, give people support. (...) For example, a friend of mine in the group wanted to go on our trip, but she did not have cash, so I helped her (...). I paid for her trip ticket, and I ended up buying her a swimsuit (...). We feel happy when we can help someone to become part of the group.</td>
<td>(...) To be part of the group is not only an issue of earning a salary; it is personal satisfaction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interpersonal learning</strong> (Learn by interaction with other people)</td>
<td>(...) I learned to be happy, to be more dedicated, and I left that sadness.</td>
<td>I use to say that if my job were only the group, everything would be great. There, you learn with them. You teach things and you learn things as well. That life lessons you learn from the group are astonishing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Universality</strong> (Other persons sharing the same dilemmas in life)</td>
<td>I thought I was the only one who suffered in the world. I believed that nobody suffered more than me. In the group I learned that there are people in very bad situations, and I could help them, so I became more comforted about with own situation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cohesion is fundamental for the development of group 
that seeks to achieve positive results because to be 
accepted in the group requires trust in the other members; 
the support of others, in turn, helps improve self-esteem and, 
as a consequence, motivates members to participate, 
collaborate, and show each other mutual support[8-10].

The TF introduction to hope was also identified in all 
FGs (Chart 1), and the testimonials in Chart 2 show belief in 
the group as a space for improvement in health and physi 
FGs (Chart 1), and the testimonials in Chart 2 show belief in 
common problems[12]. 

The TF introduction to hope was also identified in all 
FGs (Chart 1), and the testimonials in Chart 2 show belief in 
the group as a space for improvement in health and physi 
FGs (Chart 1), and the testimonials in Chart 2 show belief in 
common problems[12].

Another TFs identified in all FGs was the development 
of socialization techniques, represented by opportunities 
mentioned by elderly patients and coordinators for making 
new friendships, keeping active, and engaging in activities. Because this TF was seen in all FGs, it indicates that 
this group satisfied the common need of elderly people 
to overcome social isolation, lack of friends, and minimal 
leisure opportunities[18-20]. This TF enables the development 
of more spontaneous and authentic relationships[8,10] and 
changes in the elderly patients' lifestyle because it provides 
an understanding of behavior characteristics or standards 
that can lead to difficult social interactions. Thus, it enables 
elderly persons to rethink these characteristics and change 
their attitudes[10,12].

The TF information sharing appeared in all FGs and is 
related to any change in knowledge that occurs in a group 
context[8-10]. Both members and coordinators emphasized 
the strategic role of the group in elderly participants’ lives, 
mainly for access to information. When individuals shared 
their knowledge with others in the group, this sharing improve 
their self-esteem and the feeling of being important and useful to others.

Information sharing includes didactic instruction, counseling, suggestions, and practice guidance. Other studies have also evaluated this as a tool for effective evaluation of group intervention[6-12]. Information could be offered by coordinators or by other members of the group, and they are related to learning that occurs in a group context[8-10]. In the ACH FG, the coordinators emphasized the carefulness with which they offered information that would be appropriate for the elderly participants' life experiences and needs. This aspect is often little valorized by health professionals when dealing with groups of elderly people[5,18].

Existence factors constitute a TF related to life changes 
that a group provided for its members; in general, this 
change is related to feelings that relate to life, friends, family, and existence itself[8,10]. This TF shows that the group 
process helps the members deal with issues that concern 
human existence, such as death, freedom, isolation, and feelings of lack of significance[8-10]. Only one elderly FG did 
not identify this TF, but Chart 2 indicates that for both eld 
erly group members and coordinators this is an important 
factor because it enables them to review aspects of life 
that can be improved with interaction. Elderly participants 
showed acceptance of difficulties and yet sensitivity, love 
for others, and satisfaction with life. A study carried out with 
institutionalized elderly patients showed that a supportive 
group reinforces satisfaction with life among participants[26].

The TF altruism was also absent in one group. Its presence 
appeared in testimonials as eagerness to help others 
and satisfaction in being useful for other members of the 
group[8,10]. Elderly participants showed availability to help 
others through friendship, attention, active listening, and affection. It is meaningful that one participant provided 
financial help so that another could attend a trip. This 
action showed availability to help others, as well as the 
accompanying personal satisfaction, and shows that this TF 
is an indicator of good interactions among members. The 
group context enables a close relationship among members, 
which stimulate the mutual support[8,10,12,23].
Chart 2 shows that from the coordinators’ perspective, altruism is identified by the selflessness and total involvement of CHA in conducting the group. Their responses indicate how such an attitude is valuable for the work developed in the group and for therapeutic potency. Perhaps this is responsible for the high cohesion, adhesion, and satisfaction of participants. Such an indicator should be used by coordinators to guide their planning and development of the group, especially those directed at an elderly population.

Interpersonal learning is represented by changes in the way that members related among themselves and of learning something never experienced before.[8,10] Findings showed that the group is a space for changes, and it was evident through the elderly participants’ and coordinators’ availability to learn by interactions.

Evidence points out that the same behavior occurs both in psychotherapy groups and in that do not use a psychotherapy perspective.[11-13] Interpersonal learning was the most evident factor in a study that indicated an action should be first linked to the owner and then related to the other participants. This approach would lead to learning with others or from others.[23].

Universality was identified in one elderly FG. The testimonial showing this TF reveals that group participation enabled the understanding that the person’s problems were not unique and that when he or she looked around, there were persons with similar problems. After that, the person became more resilient with his or her own situation. Universality enables the perception that someone is not unique in dealing with a specific situation, that others are facing similar difficulties and reasons for being unhappy, and that no one is completely different from the others.[8-10].

Because the TF of universality is more common in homogeneous groups[8] but was absent in three of the four elderly FGs, we believe that this indicator was neglected during data collection (which used specific questions to identify TFs) because of inappropriate direction. The presence of TFs was identified only by dialogue from the group for interpretation and its relation with each TF characteristic. Another possible reason is the type of approach; TFs can present in different ways according to dimensions such as therapeutic approach and type of disease.[13].

CONCLUSION

From the objective of identifying TFs present in a health promotion group for elderly people, we expected to find evidence on aspects that characterize TFs in this context and that signal how to conduct this type of group efficiently and effectively.

Testimonial analysis in FGs that referred to TFs enabled us to conclude that the studied group is therapeutic because it attended to the needs of elderly participants, kept the participants healthy, strengthened their feelings of love, and enhanced their feelings of being part of a social group. These aspects are important for maintenance of life activity.

The TFs not identified in this study (catharsis, corrective recapitulation of primary family group, and mimicking behavior) are ones more common in psychotherapy groups; however, the fact that they were not identified during the FGs does not mean they do not exist in this group. Their absence in testimonials could represent a limitation of the study methods, which were limited to content expressed from questions presented for discussion in the FGs and did not present direct question that would help individuals identify several TFs.

The strong presence of TFs in testimonials of both the elderly participants and coordinators show that although TFs had been described as therapeutic elements of psychotherapy groups, they also can be identified in other types of groups. In addition, they are important parameters for evaluating and revising the group process; their presence indicates that conduction of the group is in the right direction, whereas its absence indicates the need for change in group management.

These findings, although confined to a specific group, could be generalized to other groups assembled for PHC because they make evident the therapeutic benefits of this care modality. A limitation of this practice is the possibility that the group coordinator may lack the ability to plan and systematically evaluate the group or is unprepared to develop the activities.

Another relevant aspect of the analysis that confirms the therapeutic potential of the group concerns agreement and convergence of content of the FGs with elderly participants and coordinators. This fact could be related to the real efficacy and therapeutic potency of the group, as well as adequate coordination, which refers to the efficacy of community health agent.

We emphasize that a difference in this group from other health services groups (which often offer benefits and require maintenance of attendance)—is the coordinator’s posture toward the group. When coordinators connect the real needs of the group members, listen to the members, and consider their opinions when planning activities, they show how good practices can be applied for group conduction.

REFERENCES


