Use of patients’ classification instruments: analysis of the brazilian production of knowledge

ABSTRACT
Objective: To analyze the production of scientific knowledge about the use of patients’ classification instruments in care and management practice in Brazil. Methods: Integrative literature review with databases search in: Latin American and Caribbean Literature on Health Sciences (LILACS), Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System on-line (MEDLINE), Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL) and SCOPUS, between January 2002 through December 2013. Results: 1,194 studies were found, 31 met the inclusion criteria. We observed a higher number of studies in the category care plans and workload (n=15), followed by the category evaluation of psychometric properties (n=14). Conclusion: Brazilian knowledge production has not yet investigated some purposes of using instruments for classifying patients in professional nursing practice. The identification of unexplored areas can guide future research on the topic.
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RESUMEN
Objetivo: Analizar la producción del conocimiento científico acerca de la utilización de instrumentos de clasificación de pacientes en la práctica asistencial y gerencial en Brasil. Método: Revisión integradora de la literatura con consulta a las bases de datos: Literatura Latinoamericana y del Caribe en Ciencias de la Salud (LILACS), Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System on-line (MEDLINE), Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL) y SCOPUS, relativas al periodo de enero de 2002 a diciembre de 2013. Resultados: De las 1.194 publicaciones encontradas, 31 atendieron a los criterios de selección. Se observó un mayor número de artículos en la categoría perfil asistencial y carga de trabajo (n=15), seguidos de la categoría evaluación de las propiedades psicométricas (n=14). Conclusión: La producción nacional todavía no ha investigado algunas finalidades de utilización de instrumentos de clasificación de pacientes en la práctica profesional del enfermero. La identificación de áreas aún inexploradas podrá orientar futuras investigaciones sobre a temática.

DESCRIPTEORES
Pacientes
Clasificación
Cuidados de enfermería
Carga de trabajo
Evaluación en enfermería
Revisión

1 Masters’ student, Graduate Program in Nursing, Medical School of São José do Rio Preto, São José do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil. 2 PhD professor, Department of Specialized Nursing, Medical School of São José do Rio Preto, São José do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil. 3 PhD professor, Department of Specialized Nursing, Medical School of São José do Rio Preto, São José do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil.
INTRODUCTION

The evidence-based practice (EBP) aims to increase the quality of care and safety of patients, and is considered one of the five core competencies of the health professional[1]. Including the use of measurement scales for performing EBP and obtaining reliable results have been increasingly frequent in Nursing. Among them, the patients classification instrument (PCI) is highlighted as a guide to decision-making process in management of care[2].

PCI identifies the demand for care of patients in relation to nursing, allowing monitoring of the workload of the team and its quantitative and qualitative adjustment when necessary. Based upon these data, nurses working in care or managerial functions may further characterize the care planning for patients and adjust their allocation units; planning more effectively care and discharge; supporting the achievement of quality in care and plan care costs. The use of this instrument strengthens the negotiation process for obtaining additional personnel in situations of relocating teams[3-4].

Since the late 90s, PCIs have been developed and validated for use in various specialties in Brazil. Among them we highlight those addressed for adult patients[5-6], that have been most used by hospitals. There are also available PCIs for use in areas such as Neonatology[7], Pediatrics[8] and Psychiatry[9-10]. Despite the existence of PCIs to suit different types of clients, their application in daily nursing practice is not yet a reality in many institutions[11].

The importance of the implementation of PCIs in professional practice was recognized by the Brazilian Federal Nursing Council (COFEN) through Resolution 293/04[11]. According to this document, the calculation of nursing staff must be grounded in the PCI and the proportion of the elements of the nursing team, distributed in percentage determined in different types of care: minimal or self-care, intermediate, semi-intensive and intensive care.

This study is part of a broader research, which aims to investigate how PCIs have been used in hospitals in the State of Sao Paulo. At this early stage, we seek to know the paths of Brazilian production of knowledge on the subject in a 12-year period, in order to identify unexplored areas and guide future investigations. Thus, this study aims to analyze the production of knowledge about the use of PCIs in care and management practice in Brazil.

METHOD

This is an integrative literature review which considered the following steps: identification of the research question, literature search, categorization and assessment of studies, interpretation of results and synthesis of knowledge[12]. The guiding question was: With what purpose have PCI been used in Brazilian literature?

To develop this study, the following databases were chosen: Latin American and Caribbean Literature on Health Sciences (LILACS), Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System on-line (MEDLINE), Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL) and SCOPUS. These databases were selected because they represent the most relevant publications of Nursing in health care and on the subject. Additional searches were also conducted in a nursing database (BDENF), Caribbean Literature on Health Sciences (MedCaribe) and at the virtual Scientific library Electronic Library Online (SCIELO).

The inclusion criteria were: studies published from January 2002 to December 2013, in Portuguese, English and Spanish. We excluded studies that did not explore some form of application of PCI in professional practice (theoretical) and that focused exclusively on its use for calculating personnel. It is important to emphasize that the application of this instrument allows the identification of the workload, which is one of the variables to be evaluated in the method of estimation of the nursing staff.

The sample size calculation used was snowball (snowball sampling), which uses referral chains, a kind of network to extract the maximum of information on all its members. In other words, people from a target population selected by the researcher indicate, through their contacts, other individuals to complete the sample[13]. In this research, new studies were identified by checking the references of studies that met the inclusion criteria.

Data collection was conducted from 07/10/2013 to 07/02/2014 by two researchers independently (agreement level of 100%). In order to search in LILACS database, controlled descriptors of the Regional Library of Medicine (BIREME) and Health Sciences Descriptors (DECS) were used; in MEDLINE, CINAHL, and SCOPUS the applied descriptors were not controlled (Figure 1).

The data extracted from included studies were organized, categorized and summarized using the Matrix method[14]. The Matrix topics were structured before data extraction and used as guides for analysis. It included information about authorship and source of study setting, objective and study design, main results, conclusions and recommendations.

In order to assess researches quality, the Research Appraisal Checklist (RAC)[15] was used, a scale designed to quantitative research, which shows a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.91, good construct validity and recommended in the literature[16]. It consists of 51 assessment criteria grouped in 10 categories, such as: Title, Abstract, Methodology, Data Analysis, Discussion and Form & Style. Each criterion may score from 1 (Not Met) to 6 (Fully Met). The scores allowed the evaluation of strengths and limitations of the studies, classifying them into superior (205-306), average (103-204) and below average (0-102).
RESULTS

From the 31 studies analyzed, ten were published between 2002 and 2005, eight between 2006 and 2009 and thirteen from 2010 to 2013. The state of São Paulo accounted for 23 studies, four studies were from Rio Grande do Sul and one study was from Parana and another one from Piauí. Two studies in the international literature were found.

The studies were classified into three categories according to purpose of application: Psychometric Properties Evaluation (PPE; n=14), care profile and workload (WL; n=15) and care planning (CP; n=2). In the category PPE, the tests performed with the instruments to assess validity and reliability were included in various specialties (n=8): Pediatrics (n=3), Psychiatry (n=2) and Neonatology (n=1) (Table 1).

Table 1 - Summary of the characteristics of the studies in the category Psychometric Properties Evaluation – São José do Rio Preto, 2014 (n=14)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic assessed</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Instrument (CPI)</th>
<th>Results and Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inter-rater reliability</td>
<td>Kappa Coefficient</td>
<td>Perroca (original)</td>
<td>K = 0.68-0.90 ( (^{(16-20)} ) , 0.17 – 0.76 ( (^{(10)} ) ; 0.69-0.84 ( (^{(10)} ) ; 0.41-0.74 and ≥ 0.75 ( (^{(10)} ) r = 0.87-0.95 ( (^{(7)} ) α = 0.87; CCI= 0.89-0.90 ( ^{20} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pearson Correlation ( ^{(7)} )</td>
<td>Psychiatry ( ^{(7)} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Between nurses ( ^{(7)} )</td>
<td>Pediatrics ( ^{(9)} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nurses, technician and physician versus Pediatrics PCI ( ^{(9)} )</td>
<td>Perroca (new version)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal reliability and users’ opinions</td>
<td>Cronbach’ alpha ( ^{(21)} )</td>
<td>Perroca original</td>
<td>α=0.94 ; rs = 0.08 to 0.87 ( ^{(21)} ) Satisfied users; tendency to underestimate the care category ( ^{(21)} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content validity</td>
<td>Delphi technique ( ^{(7,9,22)} )</td>
<td>Neonatology ( ^{(7)} )</td>
<td>16 areas/ 3 categories( ^{(7)} ); 11 areas( ^{(9)} ); 11 areas/3 categories( ^{(9,22)} ); 5 categories( ^{(22)} ); Relevance, clarity and increasing level of complexity( ^{(7)} ); Agreement: 86-100%( ^{(7,22)} ); ≥ 90 (structure) and 80-96 (care areas)( ^{(2)} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agreement between instruments</td>
<td>Spearman</td>
<td>Perroca original</td>
<td>First two factors explained 75% of the total variation ( ^{(22)} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predictive ability</td>
<td>Ordinal Logistic Regression</td>
<td>Perroca original ( ^{(9)} )</td>
<td>Importance of all areas of care ( ^{(29)} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>明記数</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Success rate: 99.8% ( ^{(29)} ); 99.6% ( ^{(29)} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

K: kappa; WK: Weighted kappa; r: Pearson correlation; α: Cronbach’alpha; ICC: intraclass correlation coefficient; CI: confidence interval.

Figure 1 - Flowchart of identification, exclusion and selection os studies.
Out of the 15 studies investigated in the category WL, eight were conducted in medical-surgical units and seven in specialized units (hemodynamics, emergency room, post-anesthesia recovery, pediatrics, psychiatry, and geriatrics). To identify the demand for patient care in relation to the Nursing, PCIs were used in the original version of the Braden Scale and PCI in the original version of the instrument to analyze the predisposition to pressure ulcers and the other to guide the planning of discharge.

### Table 2 - Summary of the characteristics of the studies in the Care Profile and Workload category – São José do Rio Preto, 2014 (n=15)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Results and Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fugulin et al. (25-27)</td>
<td>PCI and nursing notes (admission, through the admission and discharge) - 19 nurses (25) Daily classification (5, 26-27)</td>
<td>4 Wards - N= 74 (25); 9 Wards - 31,081 assessments (27); 1 Ward - 1,080 assessments (27) ERP - N=1.228 assessment (26)</td>
<td>Minimal care for the majority (medical and surgical clinics), high dependency (pediatrics) and intermediate (rooming) (27) Predominance minimal care (26); intermediate (27); High dependency (26) Treatment of patients who do not require hospitalization or observation (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perroca original version (28-31)</td>
<td>Classification in 48h, 5th, 10th and 15th day in hospital (28) Daily classification (29-31) Three classifications: admissions, average length of hospital stay and discharge (29)</td>
<td>2 Wards - N=31 and N=35 (29); 1 Ward - 968 assessments (29); 14 Wards - N=318 (30) Hemodynamic - N=167 (31) 4 Wards - 40 surgical and medical patients - 642 assessments (30) PAR - N=402 (30) 4 Wards - 229 classifications (31) Geriatric Ward - 483 assessments (31)</td>
<td>Predominance of care patients: minimum (26, 29, 31); intermediate (31, 32) Existence of semi-intensive patients (29, 29) and intensive (29, 31) in Wards Demand the same attention in admission and discharge (29). Patients in the clinical care category identified on admission; variation between surgical care categories (32). Significant relation between degree of dependency and the ASA classification scale (31). Insufficient hours to meet demand (34) Associated age group (80-100 years) and intermediate and semi-intensive care (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatry (36-37)</td>
<td>Daily classification (35-37)</td>
<td>2 psychiatry Wards - N=43 (36)</td>
<td>Predominant category of discreet care (36-37) without the need for institutionalization (37). Insufficient hours to assist and meet the needs of patients (37) Most high dependency (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatrics (38)</td>
<td>Daily classification (38)</td>
<td>3 psychiatry Wards - N=105 (37) Pediatric Ward - N=40 (38)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3 - Summary of the characteristics of the studies in the category Care planning – São José do Rio Preto, 2014 (n=2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Results and Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perroca</td>
<td>PCI classification and application of the Braden Scale - every</td>
<td>2 Wards - N= 40 (39)</td>
<td>Predominance of patients in intermediate, semi-intensive care and high-risk care (39) No regular use of instruments such as the Braden scale and PCI (39) Increase of 71.3% in identifying the needs of care through the use of the instrument (40) Recommends the use of PCI to guide nursing discharge planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original version (39-40)</td>
<td>48 h until discharge (40)</td>
<td>2 Wards - N=50 (40)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ER- Emergency room; PAR – Post-anesthesia Recovery.

In the third category, care planning (CP) (Table 3), two studies were included, both applying PCI in the original version. The first used the instrument to analyze the predisposition to pressure ulcers and the other to guide the planning of discharge.

### DISCUSSION

According to the RAC, 21 studies were considered superior (PPA 11, WL 9 and CP 1) and 10 average (PPA 3, WL 6, CP 1). No studies were found below the average. The average score was 252.5 (SD= 32.8, range= 193-287). The area that least scored was methodology 79.5/102 (77.9%) due to very low sample, no presentation of data reliability and validity of the instruments used and inadequate design and statistical analysis of the research question.

Through this research it is possible to identify the panorama of Brazilian scientific production related to the use of PCIs in care and management practice in Brazil from 2002 to 2013. Thirty-one eligible studies were found, verifying an average of two to three publications per year and gradually increase over the years. A predominance of productions coming from the Southeast regions (n= 23)
also in public institutions were observed, especially those focused on education, which were the most published ones.

Care profile and workload category had the highest number of studies (n= 15), demonstrating the concern of researchers in knowing their clientele and workload. Conducting situational diagnosis of inpatient unit or service involving its philosophy, objectives, characterization of patients and health care proposals have been highlighted in the literature(41). Studies describing the percentage of agreement between the raters after the training program for the use of PCI were not found. The assessment that all nurses interpreted the instrument in the same way, with levels of agreement ≥80%, ensures the reliability of the data generated(2).

Most studies were descriptive-exploratory and cross-sectional, highlighting the need to improve the allocation of patients to different degrees of care complexity and the importance of using PCIs for reassessment of staff. Such studies allow individualized identification of needs of patient care and the demand for care in relation to staff (care category). Above all, they make it possible to estimate and adjust the volume of the proposed work to the availability of nursing staff (Quanti-qualitative adequation); recruiting and selecting nursing staff in accordance with the care profile from the patients and further strengthen the argument regarding the need for additional staff(3-4).

As regards the application of PCI to obtain care profiles, a higher number of studies that used the original instrument was observed(8), followed by the PCI in adult patients(9). Probably this can be explained as they were built and made available for longer and thus being well known by the scientific community. There was unanimity regarding the use of PCI to assist in the planning and management of nursing staff.

A certain concern in assessing the psychometric properties of the proposed PCI (category 1: 14/31) to obtain reliable and valid results to be used in professional practice was observed. To become reliable, psychometric measure is conditional to validation. Validating an instrument requires the study of two main features: the validity and reliability(42). The validity consists in knowing whether the instrument actually measures what it proposes, while reliability refers to the degree of accuracy, in other words, how their results precisely reflect the actual measurements of the attribute investigated(43).

The researchers used diverse tests to prove reliability and validity of the instruments: inter-rater reliability(8,10,17-20), predictive ability(6,20), users’ opinion(21), content validity(2,7,9,22) and construct validity(10,20,21,23). The original PCI and its new version were the most subjected to a validation process(2,6,20,21,23,24). The psychometric properties of the PCI allow the generation of legitimate and reliable data, allowing its use in clinical practice to measure the workload of the nursing staff and to guide management decisions(20).

The low number of published studies in the category Care Planning in Nursing(19-40) demonstrates that, although the PCIs are applied for classifying the complexity of patient care in the wards the results seem to be used and reported in the literature to support the management of care in its many stages.

The application of RAC assessed the quality of 31 published studies which were considered superior (67.7%) and average (32.3%). The major weaknesses of the studies were methodological limitations (77.9%), being found scores higher than other studies(16), applying the same scale (67.3%).

Although the use of a PCI is recommended by COFEN(11) (Brazilian Federal Nursing Council) as an important management instrument, there is little dissemination in the literature regarding the work developed in hospitals. It is noteworthy that the use of PCI for the investigation was chosen for purposes of estimating individuals to understand that this category is clearly demonstrated in the daily life of managers and is the subject of several studies.

The findings of this review showed that the Brazilian literature on the use of PCI is still restricted to the identification of the demand for patient care in relation to nursing and more focused on the quanti-qualitative staff working on wards. It was evidenced that there are so many unexplored areas, among them the use for managing costs, improving the quality of care provided, productivity and worker health.

In Sweden, in 1997(44) there was concern in determining the costs of nursing care and related it to the needs of staff for caring. The application of PCI called Zebra allowed the calculation of the cost of nursing staff per patient/day replacing the standard fixed costs.

Finland has been highlighted by the construction, validation and use of PCI Rafaela for various purposes, with several published studies. Among them, a study that aimed to investigate the feasibility of this instrument to measure the utilization of nursing staff in the process of care and its associated costs, as well as productivity is emphasized(45). Another study used it to assist in the process of decision making on allocation of staff based on evidence(46). More recently, a research with 877 nurses(47) analyzed whether the overload of work associated with patient was related to sick leave and lost work days.

This review presents limitations for not including universities’ productions (theses and dissertations) indexed in databases, conference proceedings and unpublished material in digital databases.

It is expected that the critical review of the studies can incorporate evidence for improving clinical practice and assist in the process of decision-making by nurse managers.

**CONCLUSION**

Brazilian production of knowledge has not yet investigated some outcomes of using PCI in professional nursing practice. The identification of unexplored areas can guide future research on the topic.
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