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ABSTRACT

Objective: Check the relationship between the users’ contact time in educational programs and self-care and knowledge variables in diabetes mellitus. Method: A longitudinal study with a quantitative approach with the participation, in the initial phase, of 263 users linked to Basic Health Units in Belo Horizonte, Brazil during the years 2012 and 2013. The data were collected with respect to the total contact time of the users’ participation in the educational program as regards knowledge and self-care in acquired diabetes mellitus. The data were analyzed using the Student t-test for comparison of means, considering a 0.05 significance level. Results: The final sample included 151 users. The analysis showed that the improvement in self-care scores was statistically higher during an educational intervention of eight hours or more (p-value <0.05). In relation to the scores for knowledge, there was a statistically significant improvement at the end of the educational program. It was not possible to identify a value for the contact time from which there was an increase in mean scores for the ability of knowledge. Conclusion: To improve the effectiveness of the promotion of skills related to knowledge and self-care in diabetes mellitus, it is necessary to consider the contact time as a relevant factor of the educational program.
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INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus stands out for having low rates of adherence to treatment, mainly because it requires self-care in the long term. However, the carrying out of educational interventions shows that many complications can be prevented through strict control of glucose levels in the blood[1-4].

Thus, it is argued that education for care in diabetes mellitus is relevant, because the user with this condition needs to develop self-care skills, such as following a healthy diet, engaging in physical activities, monitoring blood glucose, and taking medication have a good capacity for problem solving and adopt healthy behaviors to prevent complications[5-7]. In addition, the literature indicates the time of participation in educational programs as an important factor, and it presents studies that prove the effectiveness of interventions of both long and short duration[4,6].

Regarding the forms of measurement of the effect of an intervention, a meta-analysis conducted on eight scientific databases, and which included original studies of randomized clinical trials, revealed that the duration of the intervention influenced its effect. However, as this is a factor that is difficult to analyze, due to difficulties linked to the descriptions of the interventions, it was not possible to deduce whether the intensity of the session was defined by the number of contacts or the number of hours with the user with diabetes[7].

In this context, aiming to promote educational actions for self-care in diabetes, and the consequent improvement in metabolic control and user quality of life, the Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, in partnership with the Basic Health Units, developed an educational program in diabetes mellitus, involving educational interventions and user contact time in the educational program.

Regarding the contact time (duration of educational practice), it is important to clarify that it varies depending on the program objective. In other words, the amount of contact time spent with users with diabetes in order to develop their autonomy is distinct from that which aims to make them responsible for their health[7-9].

Based on what has been presented, it is argued that the present study is justified based on the fact that there is little research in the national and international literature that assesses the impact of contact time on the results of educational programs for diabetes mellitus[4]. This gap was also identified by a meta-analysis study, which stressed that more studies on the frequency and duration of intervention could provide useful information for the effectiveness of educational interventions[9,10]. The study also highlights the benefits generated by educational interventions in the treatment of diabetes mellitus.

Therefore, it is expected that this work will guide interventions for the promotion and prevention of diabetes mellitus, through an educational program with emphasis on the contact time during the educational practice.

In this sense, this study aims to determine the relationship between the contact time of the users in educational programs and the variables of knowledge and self-care in diabetes mellitus.

METHOD

The study reported in this article was of the longitudinal, descriptive and quantitative type. The study population consisted of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, of both sexes, aged between 30 and 85 years, attended in four Basic Health Units (BHU) in the Eastern Region of Belo Horizonte (state of Minas Gerais), during 24 months in the years 2012 and 2013.

Study data derived from an experimental study, generated from a sample formed by the data collected in the intervention group. The study had the participation of 236 users with early-onset diabetes mellitus. The inclusion criteria were: Users could not 1) have participated in a previous education program, which was recorded in the first interview; or 2) have chronic complications (neuropathy, nephropathy, diabetic retinopathy, and/or peripheral arterial disease), which was recorded in the user’s medical records.

Users who met the inclusion criteria were selected and invited to participate. The educational program was developed in four cycles lasting for one month followed by an interval of three months, and featured three educational strategies: group education; home visit; and telephone intervention. The first strategy used was group education. If the user could not attend the meeting, home visits or telephone interventions were carried out, which allowed greater participation of users in the diabetes education program.

However, throughout the educational intervention, there was a loss of 30% of the participants, leaving 151 users in the final phase. Among the main reasons were: a lack of interest in participating in the study; change of address; complications of diabetes mellitus (diabetic retinopathy, diabetic foot, and others); and death. Thus, the analysis presented in this article was conducted with the sample of 151 users with type 2 diabetes mellitus.

The methodology was planned to discuss the themes and subjects proposed in each cycle. Educational interventions count on the participation of professionals in the health area (nurses, nutritionists, physiotherapists, physical educators, and doctors) for group education. Home visits and telephone interventions were performed by nurses and nutritionists.

The content covered in the three educational interventions, such as the eating plan, physical activity, feelings, barriers, and goals for self-care practices, were discussed through dialogic practice to stimulate the user’s reflection on their care for their health. This theme was discussed in all cycles following the target plan that was established with the user.

Each strategy was planned with a view to a specific contact time during the educational program, so that the relevant issues were addressed in each cycle. Each user had at least four and a maximum of 12 contacts with the professional from the Health area, totaling 14 hours of participation in the educational program. In addition, all 151 users had at least one contact with the professional in each cycle, especially for those who were part of the cycle for home visits or telephone interventions.
Thus, group education had a 90-minute contact time at each meeting, where the average participation was with 10 members, with three meetings per cycle. The meetings took place in the boardroom of the health units. Home visits had an average duration of 60 minutes, accounting for one contact per cycle, while the telephone intervention was done through one contact via phone call per cycle, with an average duration of 25 minutes. Altogether, there were four contact calls. Thus, at the end of the educational program, the strategies had a total contact time of 14 hours for the operative group, four hours for home visits, and one hour and 40 minutes for the telephone interventions.

To collect data, users answered a sociodemographic questionnaire at the beginning of the educational practice (T0) that addressed age, sex, education, marital status, occupation, duration of treatment, and monthly income. Two instruments were also applied, already translated and validated, both at baseline (T0) and at the end time (TF) of the education program: General Knowledge of the Disease (DKN-A) and Self-Care in Diabetes (ESM).

The DKN-A knowledge test is a self-completion questionnaire with 15 multiple-choice items on different aspects related to general knowledge about diabetes mellitus. The measuring scale ranges from 0 to 15 points and each item is measured with a score for the correct answer and zero for an incorrect one. In order to be considered an improvement in awareness of diabetes mellitus, the user needed to score at least eight points.

The ESM test is a self-care in diabetes mellitus questionnaire that measures adherence to self-care activities in the diabetic user within seven days prior to the questionnaire, referring to physical activity and healthy eating. It has eight closed and self-completing questions, having a total score of eight points. To show a change in behavior on the part of the user, the minimum score is five points.

To analyze the relationship between the contact time and the variables of knowledge and self-care in diabetes mellitus, the contact time was divided into two categories, based on the use of cut-off points in an interval of two to 13 hours. The final cut-off point was defined as one in which the two categories of time had on average statistically different results.

Data analyses were performed using the statistical programming environment R (version 3.0.1). The following statistical tests were performed: 1) Student t-test paired to compare the mean scores in the T0 and TF times; 2) Student t-test for independent samples, in order to compare the mean differences of scores in the two time intervals defined by the cut-off point; and 3) Shapiro-Wilk test to verify the assumption of the normality of the data. For all of the analyses, significance was set at p<0.05.

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee at the Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, under Opinion No. 509.592, from 01/10/2014, having fulfilled all of the requirements established by Resolution no. 466/12, of the National Health Council.

RESULTS

The sample of 151 users with diabetes who remained until the end of the study was characterized as regards the demographic data collected at the end time (T0). The age ranged between 39 and 83 years, with a mean of 64.1 years (SD=9.80). Most were female (76.8%), lived with a partner (52.3%), and had a time of evolution of diabetes mellitus of less than 10 years (56.3%). Regarding occupation, 77.5% of users said they were not working. It was also observed that 16.5% of users said they were illiterate.

In the group of users that were lost, the average age was 58.8 years (SD=10.21), 61.8% were female, 16.8% were illiterate, 71.9% said they were not working, and 52.8% lived with a partner. Comparison of the two groups, in terms of sociodemographic characteristics, showed no statistical evidence of non-random loss, except as regards sex, because the group that remained in the study had a higher proportion of women than the user group that left the study (Pearson’s chi-square test; p-value=0.022).

With respect to the variables of knowledge (DKN-A) and self-care (ESM) at the moments of T0 and TF, the results are presented in Table 1.

Table 1 – Comparison of the scores for the questionnaires (DKN-A, ESM) between the initial time (T0) and the end time (TF) – Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil, 2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questionnaires Scores</th>
<th>T0 Mean (SD)</th>
<th>TF Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Mean difference, TF-T0 (SD)</th>
<th>p-value* CI 95%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DKN Knowledge</td>
<td>7.36 (2.77)</td>
<td>8.83 (2.50)</td>
<td>1.47 (2.87)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 1.01 to 1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESM Self-care</td>
<td>3.46 (0.44)</td>
<td>3.88 (1.38)</td>
<td>0.42 (1.44)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 0.19 to 0.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Prepared by the authors. Note: n=151

* Test conducted: Student t-test.

From the analysis of the data for the differences between the scores presented, it can be said that the mean scores for the measuring instruments (DKN-A and ESM) at the T0 and TF times can be considered statistically different at a significance level of 5%. Data analysis also showed that there was an average increase in scores between T0 and TF, for both the knowledge variable and the self-care variable.

Table 2 presents the analysis of the relationship between the contact time and the self-care variable (ESM). In the interval of eight to 14 hours, a statistically significant increase was detected in the ESM mean score between the T0 and TF times (CI of 95%, 0.31 to 1.04). However, in the time interval of up to eight hours, a statistically significant change in the ESM mean score was detected. The interval of eight to 14 hours, in turn, had a statistically different mean effect (TF-T0) in relation to the mean effect obtained in the interval from one to seven hours (0.67 ± 1.55 against 0.19 ± 1.30 respectively).

Unlike the self-care variable, it was not possible to identify a cut-off point for the contact time from which the mean effect (TF-T0) in the knowledge scores (DKN-A) was different from the mean effect before this cut-off point.
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Table 2 – Ratio between the contact time and the self-care variable in the ESM questionnaire – Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil, 2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (hours)</th>
<th>N (Total users)</th>
<th>ESM score Mean difference (SD)</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
<th>CI 95% *</th>
<th>p-value**</th>
<th>CI 95% **</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – 8</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0.19 (1.30)</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>-0.10 to 0.48</td>
<td>0.0399</td>
<td>0.02 to 0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 – 14</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.67* (1.55)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.31 to 1.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Prepared by the authors. Note: n=151

#Effects (TF-T0) means are statistically different between the time intervals (p-value=0.0399). *Test conducted: Student t-test. Confidence intervals and significance test for the mean of the difference between the beginning and end of the study (T0-TF). **Confidence interval and significance test for the difference between the means of the effect (TF-T0) in the first and second time interval.

DISCUSSION

This study differs from others, as it examines the relationship between the contact time and the variables of knowledge and self-care to control diabetes mellitus. In this sense, it is observed that the participation of users in short and long-term educational practice shows favorable results for the control of diabetes mellitus. Other studies also indicate, as a basic principle, that the greatest effect of education is observed immediately after the end of the intervention with the reduction of glycohemoglobin. Moreover, it is argued that repetition of the information is necessary because it allows users to reflect on their attitudes toward confrontation and their knowledge about their chronic condition. This is a relevant question, given that behavioral changes do not occur quickly and vary from user to user⁴,12².

In relation to the user’s knowledge of diabetes mellitus, there was an improvement in the average of the scores for knowledge, noting that this variable corresponds to a set of information that users need to have in order to be able to take care of their health⁴,12-13. However, unlike the self-care ability, it was not possible to identify a value for contact time between one and 14 hours, from which there was a greater increase in average scores for the knowledge ability.

It is important to know the cutoff points for the contact time from which an improvement in the skills of the users takes place, as this will enable health educators to determine emphases during the education process, especially in the case of users with permanent low knowledge or low self-care regarding their chronic condition.

On the other hand, one could argue that the educational intervention using the face-to-face and individual approach strategies may have contributed to the improvement of self-care with diabetes mellitus due to a detriment of knowledge, by engaging the practice of the users more in their day-to-day lives.

As a complement, a study that involves the participation of users for in a five-year educational program is cited, in which the researchers recommended that education encompass an ongoing effort to acquire knowledge with the aim of getting users to adopt lasting self-care behavior. Therefore, it is believed that the educational process should be permanent in order to ensure strengthening of the knowledge acquired³,13-14.

In this sense, this study enabled us to verify that, for self-care ability, the longer the time of contact with the user during the educational program the better the outcome of care in the treatment of diabetes mellitus. The time interval between eight and 14 hours showed, on average, better user response to the educational program with respect to the self-care of diabetes.

Regarding the relationship between the contact time and reducing glycohemoglobin, there are studies that show a lack of relationship between these variables⁵,⁶,⁹. These studies, however, have determined what features of interventions have the greatest effect on glycemic control, and among them is the contact time.

Currently, at the same time, several studies have shown favorable results with regard to glycemic control and the ability to control diabetes mellitus. Educational programs, either carried out in the short or long term, have been described in the literature as an important strategy to promote this control, although most references only contemplate the results obtained. Thus, it is argued that the implementation process needs to be better described, as well as its impact on the variables of evaluated control⁸,15.

Education, in turn, allows one to transmit information to help users in the acquisition of skills for the control of diabetes and their self-care, and it allows the appreciation of the need to sensitize the user to the risk factors. However, it is possible that self-care behaviors vary over time in response to changes in personal and environmental factors³,16.

This is because the self-care ability of the user is linked to multiple factors, such as planning goals and confronting barriers. Among the barriers, the challenges are in adherence to healthy eating, in the practice of physical activity, in glucose monitoring, and in drug therapy¹⁷. Therefore, this aspect allows for strengthening the participation of health professionals in the development of educational programs, which is an important factor, because studies point to the existence of a direct influence of the total contact time between the user and the educator in terms of optimal program results⁸,16,18.

In addition, studies have pointed out, among their key findings, the benefits obtained by educational interventions in the treatment and control of diabetes mellitus. The importance of considering the knowledge, attitudes, and care practices with diabetes as constituting skills also stands out, so that one can understand the behavior observed and guide its change⁵,⁹. Thus, the contact time variable becomes feasible for measuring the association with the skills needed to control diabetes mellitus, thus verifying the influence on users’ self-care practices.

For this study, the duration of contact time between health professionals and users was 14 hours. The results reveal that the amount of time spent in the educational program is associated with the users’ acquisition of knowledge and the improvement of their self-care. This result, coming from a long-term educational program, can be an indicator...
RESUMO

Objetivo: Verificar a relação entre o tempo de contato de usuários em programas educativos e as variáveis conhecimento e autocuidado em diabetes mellitus. Método: Estudo longitudinal de abordagem quantitativa, com a participação, na fase inicial, de 263 usuários vinculados às Unidades Básicas de Saúde de Belo Horizonte, Brasil, durante os anos de 2012 e 2013. Foram coletados dados com relação ao tempo de contato total de participação dos usuários no programa educativo, quanto aos conhecimentos e ao autocuidado em diabetes mellitus adquiridos. Os dados foram analisados por meio do teste t-Student para a comparação de médias, relacionados às variáveis conhecimento e autocuidado em diabetes mellitus. Resultados: A amostra final resultou em 151 usuários. A análise revelou que a melhora nos escores de conhecimento e autocuidado foi estatisticamente significativa para a amostra total. Para comparar a melhora em termos de conhecimento, com o nível de significância de 0,05, foi verificado um aumento dos escores médios para a habilidade do conhecimento, contribuindo para a mudança no comportamento do usuário. Conclusão: Para melhorar a efetividade da promoção das habilidades relacionadas ao conhecimento e autocuidado em diabetes mellitus, é necessário considerar o tempo de contato como fator relevante do programa educativo.
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RESUMEN

**Objetivo:** Verificar la relación entre el tiempo de contacto de usuarios en programas educativos y las variables conocimiento y autocuidado en Diabetes mellitus. **Método:** Estudio longitudinal de abordaje cuantitativo, con la participación, en la fase inicial, de 263 usuarios vinculados a las Unidades Básicas de Salud de Belo Horizonte, Brasil, durante los años de 2012 y 2013. Fueron recogidos datos con relación al tiempo de contacto total de los usuarios en el programa educativo, en cuanto a los conocimientos y el autocuidado en Diabetes mellitus adquiridos. Los datos fueron analizados mediante el test t de Student para comparación de promedios, considerando un nivel de significación del 0,05. **Resultados:** La muestra final tuvo como resultado 151 usuarios. El análisis reveló que la mejora en los puntajes de autocuidado fue estadísticamente mayor durante la intervención educativa de 8 horas o más (valor-p < 0,05). En lo que se refiere a los puntajes de conocimientos, hubo mejora estadísticamente significativa al final del programa educativo. No fue posible identificar un valor para el tiempo de contacto desde el que hubiera un incremento de los puntajes medios para la habilidad del conocimiento. **Conclusión:** Para mejorar la efectividad de la promoción de las habilidades relacionadas con el conocimiento y el autocuidado en Diabetes mellitus, es necesario considerar el tiempo de contacto como factor relevante del programa educativo.
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