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ABSTRACT

Objective: To identify the composition of the smoke produced by electrocautery use during surgery. Method: Integrative review with search for primary studies conducted in the databases of the US National Library of Medicine National Institutes of Health, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature, and Latin American and Caribbean Health Sciences, covering the studies published between 2004 and 2014. Results: The final sample consisted of 14 studies grouped into three categories, namely; polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, volatile compounds and volatile organic compounds. Conclusion: There is scientific evidence that electrocautery smoke has volatile toxic, carcinogenic and mutagenic compounds, and its inhalation constitutes a potential chemical risk to the health of workers involved in surgeries.

DESCRIPTORS
Occupational Exposure; Occupational Risks; Occupational Health; Electrosurgery; Smoke; Review.
INTRODUCTION

The surgical center can be considered one of the most complex units of the hospital for its specialty and the constant presence of stress and health risk, both for patients who are subject to surgical intervention, as to employees who work in the multidisciplinary team.

Energy generating equipments such as the electrocautery are widely used in the operating room. The use of electrocautery decreases intraoperative bleeding and improves visibility during surgery\(^1\), but the smoke produced because of its use can harm the health of workers.

The smoke produced by the use of electrocautery is formed by gas chemical compounds (gaseous phase) and by components of particles (particulate phase) that can trigger harmful, local or systemic, reversible or irreversible effects in people using this equipment\(^9\).

With regard to the chemical composition of electrocautery smoke, it may contain polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH)\(^3\), volatile organic compounds (VOC)\(^4\), carbon monoxide (CO)\(^5\), among others. These chemicals may trigger genetic mutations\(^6\) and cancer\(^7\) in the human body.

In addition to mutation and cancer, the particles in the electrocautery smoke can be inhaled and retained in the workers’ respiratory tract, causing various respiratory signs and symptoms, including foreign body sensation in the throat, burning pharyngeal, nausea and nasal congestion\(^1\). It can also cause headache and eye irritation\(^2\).

It is estimated that each year 500,000 workers in the United States - including surgeons, nurses, anesthesiologists and surgical scrub nurses - are exposed to the smoke produced by the use of electrocautery\(^8\).

Electrocautery smoke may be removed from the atmosphere through a ventilation system such an exhaust fan, and adequate ventilation, which are essential in operating rooms\(^9\)-\(^10\). It is also possible to reduce risks to workers’ health in the operating room by using the N-95 mask\(^11\).

The N95 mask, a respiratory protective equipment, provides filtration of at least 95% of aerosols, gases and fumes\(^12\), including electrocautery smoke\(^11\)-\(^13\), thus contributing to a healthier work environment.

Although there are recommendations to reduce the risk of electrocautery smoke by removing it through proper ventilation, in practice there is little care with removing it from the operating room environment during surgical procedures\(^14\).

Given the exposed, arises the following research question: ‘What is the scientific evidence about the composition of the electrocautery smoke produced during surgery?’.

To answer this question, this study aimed to identify the composition of the electrocautery smoke produced during surgery.

This study stands out for its unparalleled importance, given the scarcity of research on this issue. Understanding the health risks related to inhalation of electrocautery smoke is also of fundamental importance for the health promotion of surgical team workers, and to prevent injuries and illnesses.

METHOD

This is an integrative literature review that enables the incorporation of clinical practice evidences\(^15\). The development of this review followed six stages: preparation of the research question, sampling or literature search for primary studies, data extraction, assessment of the included primary studies, analysis and synthesis of the results, and presentation of the review\(^16\).

The literature search was organized according to the criteria and manuals for each database. The following controlled descriptors were used (Medical Subject Headings and Descriptors in Health Sciences) – Electrosurgery, Electrocoagulation, General Surgery, Occupational Exposure, Surgical Specialties and Laser Therapy, and the uncontrolled descriptors (keywords) – Monopolar Electrosurgery, Bipolar Electrosurgery, Monopolar Bipolar Electrosurgery, Electrocautery, Monopolar Electrocautery, Bipolar Electrocautery, Surgical Smoke, Smoke Surgical, Surgical Smoke Plume, Electrosurgery Smoke, Electrocautery Smoke, Surgery, Surgery Operative, Occupational Hazards, Occupational Health and Occupational Risk, combined with Boolean operators (AND e OR).

The search using the aforementioned descriptors was carried out between September and October 2014 in the databases of the US National Library of Medicine National Institutes of Health (PubMed), Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), and Latin American and Caribbean Health Sciences (LILACS).

Another strategy used was the manual search for bibliographic references in the databases of the selected primary studies. The descriptors were combined in different ways to ensure an extensive search. Chart 1 shows the combinations.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Combinations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PubMed</td>
<td>Electrosurgery OR Bipolar electrosurgery OR Monopolar electrosurgery OR Monopolar bipolar electrosurgery OR Electrocautery OR Monopolar electrocautery OR Bipolar electrocautery AND Surgery AND Occupational hazards OR Occupational exposure OR Occupational health OR Occupational risk AND Surgical smoke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Electrocautery OR Monopolar electrocautery OR Bipolar electrocautery OR Monopolar bipolar electrocautery OR Electrocautery OR Monopolar electrocautery OR Bipolar electrocautery AND Surgery AND Occupational hazards OR Occupational exposure OR Occupational health OR Occupational risk AND Surgical smoke plume</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Combinations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PubMed</td>
<td>Electrocautery OR Bipolar electrocautery OR Monopolar electrocautery OR Monopolar bipolar electrocautery OR Electrocautery OR Monopolar electrocautery OR Bipolar electrocautery AND Surgery AND Occupation hazards OR Occupational exposure OR Occupational health OR Occupational risk AND Electrocautery smoke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CINAHL</td>
<td>Occupational Exposure OR Occupational hazards AND Electrocoagulation AND Smoke surgical AND Surgery operative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LILACS</td>
<td>Cirurgia Geral OR Especialidades Cirúrgicas AND Terapia a Laser</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The inclusion criteria for the primary studies were those addressing the presence of chemical compounds in the smoke produced by electrocautery use, published between January 2004 and August 2014 and with the following classifications: randomized controlled clinical trial, clinical trial without randomization, cohort study, case-control study, quasi-experimental studies, non-experimental/cross-sectional studies, studies developed with human beings; and published in Portuguese, English and Spanish. Therefore, the evidence levels 2, 3, 4 and 6 were considered\(^{(17)}\). The established exclusion criteria were studies addressing the presence of bioaerosols (bacteria and viruses) in the electrocautery smoke.

Note that the evidence levels vary 1-7, as follows: level 1 - meta-analysis or systematic reviews; level 2 - randomized controlled clinical trial; level 3 - clinical trial without randomization; level 4 - cohort and case-control studies; level 5 - systematic reviews of descriptive and qualitative studies; level 6 - descriptive or qualitative studies; and level 7 - expert opinion\(^{(17)}\).

The inclusion criteria for the primary studies were those addressing the presence of chemical compounds in the smoke produced by electrocautery use, published between January 2004 and August 2014 and with the following classifications: randomized controlled clinical trial, clinical trial without randomization, cohort study, case-control study, quasi-experimental studies, non-experimental/cross-sectional studies, studies developed with human beings; and published in Portuguese, English and Spanish. Therefore, the evidence levels 2, 3, 4 and 6 were considered\(^{(17)}\). The established exclusion criteria were studies addressing the presence of bioaerosols (bacteria and viruses) in the electrocautery smoke.

Note that the evidence levels vary 1-7, as follows: level 1 - meta-analysis or systematic reviews; level 2 - randomized controlled clinical trial; level 3 - clinical trial without randomization; level 4 - cohort and case-control studies; level 5 - systematic reviews of descriptive and qualitative studies; level 6 - descriptive or qualitative studies; and level 7 - expert opinion\(^{(17)}\).

The flowchart (Figure 1) describes the identification route, selection and inclusion of selected primary studies according to the electronic database consulted.

![Flowchart](image)

Figure 1 – Flowchart of identification, selection and inclusion of integrative review studies – Londrina, PR, Brazil, 2015.

The selection process and the study agreement method were developed by two expert reviewers independently, who selected the studies according to the eligibility and inclusion criteria. In case of disagreement, a third reviewer was consulted.

An adapted instrument of data collection proposed and validated by nursing research authors was used for the data extraction of the sample of selected primary studies\(^{(18)}\). This instrument includes identification of the article, year and place of study, methodological characteristics, assessment of methodological rigor, level of evidence, type of surgery, surgical time, method and analysis of collection, and type of the chemical compound found in the electrocautery smoke.

The evaluation of the selected types of studies was based on the concepts of scientific methodology scholars\(^{(19)}\), who classify the studies into two types: observational or clinical trial; the observational studies, in turn, are divided into cohort, cross-sectional and case-control.

Data analysis was performed in a descriptive way, emphasizing the types and occupational levels of the chemical compounds found in each study, and drawing comparisons between them, highlighting the differences and similarities.

There was no funding for the study, neither any conflict of interest in the conduction of this integrative literature review.

RESULTS

Of the 14 selected primary studies, one (7.1%) was published in 2004; two (14.3%) in 2007; one (7.1%) in 2009; two (14.3%) in 2010; one (7.1%) in 2011; three (21.4%) in 2012; one (7.1%) in 2013; and three (21.4%) in 2014.

As for the origin of the studies, all were published in English and in international journals, showing the scarcity of studies published in Brazilian journals. It was identified that the authors of the studies belong to departments of surgery, general surgery, public health, occupational health, and urology.

Regarding the location of studies, the majority (28.5%) was held in South Korea. Locations were not reported in three studies (21.4%).

In relation to design, they were all observational studies of descriptive type\(^{(19)}\), with quantitative approach, representing level 6 of scientific evidence\(^{(17)}\).
Among the 14 studies selected and included in this integrative literature review, Chart 2 shows a summary of the primary studies according to title, year, study location, design and level of scientific evidence.

**Chart 2 – Summary of the primary studies according to title, year, study location, design and level of evidence (2004-2014) – Londrina, PR, Brazil, 2015**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Study location</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>Cancer risk of incremental exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in electrocautery smoke for mastectomy personnel(^{(2)})</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Changhua, Taiwan</td>
<td>Observational/cross-sectional</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in electrocautery smoke during peritonectomy procedures(^{(2)})</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Uppsala, Sweden</td>
<td>Observational/cross-sectional</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>Quantitative chemical analysis of surgical smoke generated during laparoscopic surgery with a vessel-sealing device(^{(3)})</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Zurich, Switzerland</td>
<td>Observational/cross-sectional</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4</td>
<td>A single-blind controlled study of electrocautery and ultrasonic scalpel smoke plumes in laparoscopic surgery(^{(4)})</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Scotland, United Kingdom</td>
<td>Observational/cross-sectional</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E5</td>
<td>Surgical smoke may be a biohazard to surgeons performing laparoscopic surgery(^{(5)})</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Daegu, South Korea</td>
<td>Observational/cross-sectional</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E6</td>
<td>Comparative safety analysis of surgical smoke from transurethral resection of the bladder tumors and transurethral resection of the prostate(^{(6)})</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Jeonju, South Korea</td>
<td>Observational/cross-sectional</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E7</td>
<td>A novel inspection protocol to detect volatile compounds in breast surgery electrocautery smoke(^{(7)})</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>Observational/cross-sectional</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E8</td>
<td>Composition of volatile organic compounds in diathermy plume as detected by selected ion flow tube mass spectrometry(^{(8)})</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Unreported</td>
<td>Observational/cross-sectional</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E9</td>
<td>Comparison of harmful gases produced during greenlight high-performance system laser prostatectomy and transurethral resection of the prostate(^{(9)})</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Jeonju, South Korea</td>
<td>Observational/cross-sectional</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E10</td>
<td>Chemical production in electrocautery smoke by a novel predictive model(^{(10)})</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Unreported</td>
<td>Observational/cross-sectional</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E11</td>
<td>Harmful gases including carcinogens produced during transurethral resection of the prostate and vaporization(^{(11)})</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Jeonju, South Korea</td>
<td>Observational/cross-sectional</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E12</td>
<td>Chemical composition of gases surgeons are exposed to during endoscopic urological resections(^{(12)})</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Unreported</td>
<td>Observational/cross-sectional</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E13</td>
<td>Chemical composition of smoke produced by high-frequency electrosurgery(^{(13)})</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Navan, Ireland</td>
<td>Observational/cross-sectional</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E14</td>
<td>Smoke in the operating theater: an unregarded source of danger(^{(14)})</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Aarau, Switzerland</td>
<td>Observational/cross-sectional</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regarding the type of surgery in which the smoke was collected, there was a higher prevalence of transurethral resection of the prostate, followed by surgery of the digestive and abdominal tract, transurethral vaporization of the prostate, mastectomy, peritonectomy, transperitoneal nephrectomy, transurethral resection of the bladder, prostatectomy, mammoplasty and excision of warts and sinuses.

The total number of surgeries in which were collected smoke samples produced by electrocautery ranged from four to 40. The minimum and maximum mean operative time was 53 minutes and 614 minutes, respectively.

As for the form of collection and analysis of the chemical compounds, in 50% of studies, a vacuum pump was used for the collection. Most studies (78.5%) analyzed the chemical compounds by gas chromatography. Regarding the chemical compounds found, there was a predominance of naphthalene, toluene and benzene.

Chart 3 shows a summary of the primary studies according to objective, surgical type and mean surgical time, collection and analysis of compounds, and main results found.
to investigate the concentration of particle number and concentration of PAH in the electrocautery smoke
Mastectomy/ 96.3 minutes
Collection carried out by a special bag (unreported type of bag)/ gas chromatography
Naphthalene was the most abundant compound with maximum concentration of 1055 ng/m³

To correlate PAH levels with the perioperative variables
Peritoneectomy/ 614 minutes
Vacuum pump/gas chromatography
Naphthalene had the highest average maximum concentration of 178.66 ng/m³

To investigate the composition of the surgical smoke
Laparoscopic colorectal resection/ 195.83 minutes
Collection occurred through a polypropylene bag connected to a sterile gas tube/ spectrometry
Sevoflurane had the highest average concentration of 110 ppm (parts per million)

To analyze the concentration of volatile hydrocarbons in the electrocautery smoke in laparoscopic intra-abdominal surgeries compared with cigarette smoke and the air of an urban city
Laparoscopic digestive tract surgeries/ unreported surgical time
200 ml of gas were aspirated by the surgeon of the epigastric region at the end of surgery/gas chromatography
The maximum concentration found in the smoke was of 9652 ppm (toluene)

To analyze the surgical smoke generated by electrocautery
Transperitoneal laparoscopic nephrectomy/135.5 minutes
A polypropylene bag was used for collection/gas chromatography
Among the main VOC, benzene was the most abundant, with a maximum concentration of 231 µg/m³

To analyze the electrocautery smoke composition in two types of surgeries
Transurethral resection of the prostate and transurethral resection of bladder tumors/ unreported surgical time
Vacuum gas pump 0.05 L/ gas chromatography
Maximum concentration of VOC found: isobutylene (35869.31µg/g)

To quantify the potentially dangerous chemical compounds produced by electrocautery smoke
Mastectomy/unreported surgical time
Vacuum pump/gas chromatography
Maximum concentration of toluene found (5.50 mg/m³)

To analyze the composition of VOC in the electrocautery smoke
Digestive tract surgery/ unreported surgical time
Portable gas collector (‘hand-held Yankauer Suction’)/mass spectrometry
Among the identified VOC was found the maximum concentration of 0.69 ppm for 1,3-butadiene

To compare the gases generated in prostate surgeries
Prostatectomy, transurethral resection and vaporization of prostate/unreported surgical time
Vacuum pump/gas chromatography
Maximum concentration found: isobutylene (30662.62 µg/m³)

To quantify the gases produced by electrocautery smoke
Mastectomy (119 min) and abdominal surgery (143.3 minutes)
Vacuum pump/gas chromatography
Maximum average concentration found: 0.463 mg/m³(toluene)

To determine the chemical composition of the electrocautery smoke
Transurethral resection and vaporization of prostate/unreported surgical time
Vacuum pump/gas chromatography
Maximum concentration found: 1,3-butadiene (8652.44 µg/g)

To identify the potentially harmful chemical components of the surgical smoke
Transurethral resection and vaporization of prostate/53 minutes
Vacuum pump/gas chromatography
The maximum concentration of VOC found was 18.8 µg/m³ or 0.005 ppm (toluene)

To quantify the toxic compounds present in the electrocautery smoke
Excision of warts, sinus surgery, and abdominal surgery/unreported surgical time
Charcoal tubes connected to a smoke evacuation system/gas chromatography
The maximum concentration of VOC found was 4.39 µg/m³ (toluene)

continued...
In the case of electrocautery smoke composition, the studies were grouped into three categories, namely: PAH, volatile compounds and VOC. Chart 4 presents these groupings taking into consideration that studies E1 and E2 were grouped into the first category; the second category included the study E3; and in the third category were grouped the studies from E4 until E14.

**Chart 4 – Summary of the primary studies according to category and compounds found in the electrocautery smoke (2004-2014) – Londrina, PR, Brazil, 2015.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Compounds found in the electrocautery smoke</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E1(1) and E2(18)</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>PAH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3(7)</td>
<td>Second</td>
<td>Volatile compounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4(21), E5(6), E6(22), E7(31), E8(24), E9(29), E10(26), E11(27), E12(28), E13(29) and E14(36)</td>
<td>Third</td>
<td>VOC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

The results of this integrative literature review indicate a modest increase in scientific productions on the composition of electrocautery smoke and its effects on workers’ health. In addition, all studies were international productions, that is, there is not any research on this subject in the Brazilian context yet.

The compounds identified in the first category refer to the PAH, which were identified in studies E1(3) and E2(20). The PAH is a broad class of compounds and hundreds of chemicals that can be released by the incomplete burning of charcoal, oil, gas, garbage or pyrolysis of organic substances such as tobacco. These organic compounds contain two or more aromatic rings composed of carbon (C) and hydrogen (H)(7,31) atoms. It has also been reported that electrocautery smoke contains PAH among the substances and toxic gases generated by its use(9).

PAH chemicals can be harmful to health because they have high carcinogenic potential, and cause effects on the skin, liver and immune system(7,31). Several compounds, including benzo[a]anthracene, benzo[a]pyrene, benzo[b]fluoranthene, benzo[j]fluoranthene, benzo[k]fluoranthene, chrysene, dibenz[a,h]anthracene, and indeno[1,2,3-c,d]pyrene have caused tumors in animals when inhaled or during skin contact. They can also develop cancer in human beings(9).

In the study E1(3) were collected 10 samples of smoke during mastectomy surgeries. The greatest quantities found in these samples were of naphthalene in the volatile state (1055 ng/m3 or 0.001055 mg/m3)(3). Its levels did not exceed the recommendations of international agencies, which advise a limit of up to 50 mg/m3(13-14).

In the study E2 were identified and quantified 16 different types of PAH during 40 peritonectomy surgeries(20). As in the study E1, naphthalene(3) was the most abundant, with a maximum average value of 178.66 ng/m3(20). Its levels also did not exceed the limits recommended by an international agency(24).

This brings us to disturbing reflections in case the exposure occurs in larger quantities and longer periods of time because the international agency does not determine the time of workers’ exposure to naphthalene. Moreover, it is important to pay attention to the presence of this chemical compound, because the international agency classifies naphthalene as a possible human carcinogen(35).

The second category included only the study E3(5). In this study many volatile compounds were found in the electrocautery smoke during laparoscopic colorectal resection surgeries(5). Among them are methane, ethane, ethylene, hydrogen fluoride, carbon oxide traces and the sevoflurane anesthetic. This anesthetic presented the most abundant average concentration (110 ppm) of all the mentioned compounds(5), exceeding the permitted limits of 2 ppm(36).

A study with rats found that long-term exposure to the sevoflurane volatile anesthetic affects fertility(37). Note that the effects of long-term exposure to this anesthetic in human beings are still unknown(5).

In the studies of the third category (E4 to E14)(4,21-30), several gases were identified, but the VOC were the chemical compounds present in all articles. Therefore, the predominant VOC identified and quantified were the following: benzene(4,21-22,28), ethylbenzene(4,21-23,26,28-30), toluene(4,21-22,25,28-30), styrene(4,21,26,28) and butadiene(22,24-25,27,30).

This diversity of compounds can be justified by the different types of surgeries in which were collected smoke samples, the body mass index of different patients, and the duration, and energy of electrocautery use because these factors can alter the compounds production(20,26), increasing the risk of occupational exposure even more, since professionals can work in many surgical rooms and various surgi-
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Furthermore, it was classified as a carcinogen for humans in the human body. Benzene is highly hazardous to the health of workers, and considered a regular myelotoxic, leukemogenic, neurotoxic, carcinogenic and mutagenic, even at low concentrations. The repeated and prolonged occupational exposures may cause benzene poisoning and trigger various complications, including irritation of the ocular and respiratory mucous membranes, pulmonary edema, hematologic abnormalities, chromosomal alterations in lymphocytes and bone marrow cells, and toxic effects to the central nervous system depending on the amount absorbed, causing drowsiness, headache, tachycardia, convulsions, loss of consciousness and death.

The benzene compound can also trigger onco-hematological diseases such as the non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma. It is classified by an international agency as a chemical substance with sufficient evidence of carcinogenic effect in humans.

Ethylbenzene is another VOC identified in the primary studies of this integrative review. When present in high levels, even if for short periods, it can cause dizziness and irritation in the throat and eyes, and vertigo. According to the international agency, this compound was classified as a possible human carcinogen.

Toluene is also present in the electrocautery smoke and can affect the cardiovascular system and the nervous system. In low to moderate amounts, the occupational exposure can cause fatigue, mental confusion, memory loss, nausea, and lack of appetite. These symptoms usually cease with interruption of exposure.

When the daily occupational exposure to toluene occurs in the long-term, it can cause loss of hearing, vision, muscle control and balance, as well as dizziness and unconsciousness. If exposure to this compound is not interrupted, it can cause permanent brain damage and even death. The combination of exposure to toluene and alcohol intake can affect the liver, and the combination of this compound with medications such as aspirin and paracetamol may increase the compound effects on the hearing. By contrast, there is no published evidence on the carcinogenic effect of toluene.

With respect to styrene, it was classified as a possible human carcinogen. The inhalation of high levels of this compound can cause central nervous system effects, including changes in the colors of vision, tiredness, decreased reaction time, and problems of concentration or balance.

The 1,3-butadiene or butadiene, another VOC found in studies of the third category, is a highly flammable gas and for being heavier than air, may accumulate in closed and poorly ventilated environments such as the operating room.

In human beings, the inhalation of 1,3-butadiene in very high concentrations may result in effects on the central nervous system, including headache, somnolence, ataxia, loss of consciousness, coma, respiratory depression and death. Furthermore, it was classified as a carcinogen for humans.

In relation to the acceptable levels of VOC, the recommended acute inhalation (short time) for benzene is up to 0.09 ppm; 5 ppm of ethylbenzene; 1 ppm of toluene; 5 ppm of styrene, and 1ppm of butadiene or 1,3-butadiene.

According to the international agency, the acceptable levels of VOC are given in ppm hence, when comparing the occupational limit values with the results of the third category of this review in ppm, were found changes in values, including the studies E4 and E14.

In the study E4, in laparoscopic intra-abdominal surgeries, the levels of benzene and toluene exceeded the allowed limits. The maximum levels of toluene were 9.652 ppm, and the limit is 1 ppm. The values of toluene found were higher in the electrocautery smoke when compared with cigarette smoke and the air of an urban city.

Furthermore, in the study E14 of the third category, during mammoplasties, were found concentrations of 1.5 ppm for 1,3-butadiene and 17 ppm for toluene. These levels were higher than allowed and the recommendation for these two compounds is 1 ppm.

The toxic effects of the chemical compounds mentioned in this integrative review refer to the ideas and concerns in relation to surgical center workers, including the nursing, surgical and anesthetic teams, which are constantly exposed electrocautery smoke in their working environment. They face the risk of developing respiratory symptoms, several cancers, genetic mutation, and depression of the central nervous system. There are also the effects that have not been identified in humans, but proven in animal experiments.

The amount of compounds generated by the use of electrocautery is noteworthy, as within five minutes of the beginning of its use the amount of particles increases significantly in the operating room, going from about 60,000 particles/m3 to more than 1 million particles/m3. Another study showed that the cauterization of 1g of tissue releases the same degree of mutagenic toxins as if the person smoked three to six cigarettes per day, increasing the risk for smoking workers even more.

Therefore, it is necessary to install suitable fans and exhaust fans for operating rooms in order to protect the surgical team workers that face smoke inhalation. These air extraction systems can reduce the number of germs and particles, the generated heat, and any hazardous substance emitted. Furthermore, the use of respiratory protective equipment (N-95 mask) is able to protect workers and filter at least 95% of microorganisms in the form of aerosols, as well as non-biological particles such as the electrocautery smoke.

Therefore, based on the critical analysis of studies and recognizing that the chemical compounds present in the electrocautery smoke are harmful to the health of workers exposed to this risk, we suggest the conduction of experimental studies to identify cause and effect in the development of occupational diseases, as well as the conduction of protocols to support working conditions improvements in the Brazilian perspective and reality. Moreover, to alert the health workers and managers about the risks to which the intraoperative team is exposed.
Although the objective of this review has been achieved, it has limitations because the studies did not show methodologies characterized by the levels 2 and 3 of scientific evidence, but only level 6.

CONCLUSION
From the analyzed studies it is possible to confirm the presence of PAH, various volatile compounds, and VOC in the electrocautery smoke, both in acceptable occupational levels as in high and harmful levels for surgical team workers. There is also scientific evidence that electrocautery smoke and its inhaling constitute potential chemical risks to the health of workers involved in the surgery due to the presence of toxic chemicals in this smoke.

The lack of Brazilian studies on the smoke produced by electrocautery stands out, allowing the conclusion that there are no national protocols for workers on the prevention of risks and harms caused by electrocautery smoke. Health managers and workers need to be aware of this occupational hazard and the essential preventive measures for health workers of the intraoperative team.
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