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ABSTRACT

Objective: To identify the profile of drugs prescribed via oral and gastrointestinal catheter in a Walk-in Service of a University Hospital. Method: Quantitative cross-sectional study in which data were collected from the medical records of hospitalized patients using medication via oral or gastrointestinal catheter at least once a day between April and October 2015. The analysis was performed through descriptive statistics. Results: Out of 568 prescriptions (total), there were 143 different medications. The pharmaceutical form with the greatest number of prescriptions was solid (95.8%), of which 46.1% were simple tablets. The oral route had the highest number of administrations (97.3%). The most prescribed drug class was of anti-infectives (25.9%), but the Omeprazole drug was the most prescribed in the study (40%). Conclusion: There are indications that enable rethinking the care practice and establishing criteria and norms for contributing to the safety and efficacy of services provided in healthcare, especially regarding the preparation and administration of medications via gastrointestinal catheter.
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INTRODUCTION

The National Patient Safety Program was established in 2013 by the Ministry of Health in order to improve the quality of health services through monitoring and prevention of damages to health care, and through promotion, implantation and implementation of initiatives focused on patient safety (3). The proportion of avoidable events is globally led by Brazil, compared to countries such as New Zealand, Australia, Spain, Denmark, Canada and France (2). Patient safety is an essential component of quality of care, one of the primary concerns in health services.

Even in the face of these efforts, there are difficulties in implanting a culture that encourages and rewards the identification, reporting, and resolution of problems related to patient safety. Unfortunately, in the occurrence of adverse events or errors, the punitive approach is present in daily care. However, this approach should be avoided given its ineffectiveness for combating human failures (3-4).

Among the goals advocated by the World Health Organization are the safe preparation and administration of medicines. In recent years, medication errors have been identified as determinants of potential harm to patients (3). The causes of errors are usually systemic and have multiple origins, namely: disorganized work environment, lack of attention/concentration, communication failure, lack of information about medications and the patient. In this context, a study pointed nursing as responsible for 46.4% of errors in drug therapy, in which administration was considered the phase with the highest incidence of errors (35.5%). However, physicians and pharmacists are also involved in the occurrence of medication errors, and deemed responsible for 32.4% and 19.4% (respectively) of the errors initially evaluated in the aforementioned study. Consequently, the phases of prescription and distribution of drugs corresponded to percentages of 34.5% and 19.6% (6).

Given the complexity of the medication system, errors can occur in the prescription, distribution, preparation and/or administration of medications, and even in patient monitoring (6). Studies have shown the recurring insecurity to which patients are exposed (8-9). The scarcity of information on the subject in the scientific literature and from drug manufacturers themselves, makes it difficult to decide on the appropriate behavior to be adopted.

In medical prescription, the use of illegible letter, incomprehensible abbreviations, and the lack of standardization of the names and doses of medications are concerning factors. Drug dispensing at doses other than those prescribed is also a contributing factor to the occurrence of error in drug administration (8-9). However, in most cases, it is in the final stage of the medication process that the error not detected in previous steps is attributed exclusively to the nursing team.

Among routine activities of the nursing team, medication administration alone deserves special attention. Performing this care requires scientific knowledge and technical skills. In emergency and urgent services, patient safety requires greater visibility and attention. Due to the atypical context of assistance in Walk-in Services (Portuguese acronym: SPA – Serviço de Pronto Atendimento), medication administration by gastrointestinal catheter (GIC) may be an alternative way to oral route given patients’ worsening clinical conditions.

However, if inadequately performed, drug administration by this route may result in catheter obstruction, decreased drug efficacy, increased adverse effects or drug incompatibility with enteral nutrition (EN). These factors cause harm to patients, increase costs for the institution and burden the responsible team’s work (3,5,9-10).

Given this context, knowing the profile of drugs prescribed via oral and GI catheter in an Walk-in Service becomes relevant for patient safety. If medications are accurately checked, protocols can be established in order to assist in the adequate preparation and safe administration of medications via GI catheter according to the reality of each institution. It is reasonable to extend the survey to medications prescribed orally with the view of constructing a consolidated profile of the most frequently used drugs. Therefore, the objective is expanding the specific recommendations to the greatest possible number of drugs of the service routine and that are potentially prescribed via GI catheter if the patient’s clinical situation requires it.

The objective of this study was to identify the profile of the most frequently prescribed drugs via oral and via GI catheter in the Walk-in Service of a University Hospital (UH) in Southern Brazil.

METHOD

TYPE OF STUDY

This is a quantitative cross-sectional study.

SCENARIO

It was conducted in the Walk-in service of a University Hospital linked to a Federal University in the extreme south of Brazil. This is a reference hospital in several specialties and services in the region, and care is provided exclusively through the National Health System (Portuguese acronym: SUS – Sistema Único de Saúde). It is a large hospital with 20 beds available in the Walk-in Service. Despite this number, the unit has an expressive number of beds located in the corridors, because patients stay for a long time in this place waiting for a vacancy in an inpatient unit.

DATA COLLECTION

Prescriptions of medication therapy of patients hospitalized in the Walk-in Service of the aforementioned University Hospital from April to October 2015 are the object of study. The inclusion criterion of prescriptions was having at least one drug administered via oral and/or GI catheter with fixed regimen. Data collection was performed once a week, totaling 27 weeks, with a specific form in which data were gathered regarding the date of admission, medication name, form of presentation, dose, route and administration regimen of the prescribed medication. Descriptive statistics were used to describe and synthesize data (10).
A database was constructed in the SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences), version 21.0, in order to perform descriptive analysis of the absolute and relative frequency of medicines, administration routes via oral and GI catheter, and the most used regimens in this service. The Medication Standardization Manual (2014) prepared at the University Hospital was used to check the standardized drugs in the institution and to classify the prescribed drugs according to their class (group of action).

This study was conducted with approval of the Research Ethics Committee in Health of the local University, approved under opinion number 017/2015, and the ethical principles of Resolution 466/12 of the National Health Council were followed.

During the data collection period, 568 valid prescriptions were found, and an average of 8.5 days of hospitalization in the Walk-in Service, ranging from a minimum of 1 day to a maximum of 62 days. The mean was 2 days of hospitalization, which corresponded to a frequency of 82%.

Of the total of 568 prescriptions, 143 different drugs were prescribed, prescribed at fixed times, via oral or GI catheter. Of these, most were prescribed in the solid form (95.8%), and liquid presentations had a lower frequency (4.2%). The various prescribed forms found in the study are shown in Table 1.

Table 1 – Frequency of pharmaceutical forms of the 143 drugs prescribed for patients via oral or gastrointestinal catheter in the Walk-in Service – Rio Grande, RS, Brazil, 2017.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pharmaceutical form</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simple tablet</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coated tablet</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard gelatin capsule</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft gelatin capsule</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dragee</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral solution</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syrup</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granulated powder</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emulsion</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sublingual tablet</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chewable tablet</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>143</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After analysis, there were 1,742 drug administrations, when considering the different prescribed regimens. All 143 medications were orally administered, and this route accounted for the highest number of administrations. Twenty-four medications were explicitly administered via gastrointestinal catheter. It was not possible to detect the route of administration on 22 occasions (Table 2), which demonstrates an error in this stage of the process. Data available in the prescriptions may differ from the route of drug administration used by patients, since they were not observed. There may be a sudden worsening of the clinical picture, and the medication prescription may not have been immediately updated. Hence there may be divergence in these data, which justifies the care with preparation of medications to be administered via GI catheter.

After this characterization of medicines used in the Walk-in Service according to the form of presentation, route and regimen, these were classified by class (Table 3) according to the Medication Standardization Manual (2014) of the institution under study.

The expressive group of anti-infective drugs accounted for 25.9% of the total prescriptions. The most prescribed among them were the following: Sulfamethoxazole + Trimethoprim, Azithromycin, Clarithromycin, Fluconazole, Sulfadiazine, Pyrimethamine.

For a more specific and in-depth analysis, the individual frequencies of each drug were identified. Given the significant number of prescribed drugs, those present in at least 5% of prescriptions (Table 4) were highlighted.
Table 4 – Most frequently prescribed medications via oral and gastrointestinal catheter in the Walk-in Service – Rio Grande, RS, Brazil, 2017.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medication</th>
<th>Frequency (n)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
<th>Administration route (n)</th>
<th>Administration regimen (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>GIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omeprazole</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prednisone</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simvastatin</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furosemide</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enalapril</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrochlorothiazide</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captopril</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spironolactone</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactulose</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Losartan</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarithromycin</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfamethoxazole + Trimethoprim</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metformin</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propranolol</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAS Buffered</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrimethamine</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folinic acid</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azithromycin</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral oil</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Omeprazole, an anti-ulcer drug that acts by reducing the acidic secretion of the stomach, was the most prescribed in the service in that period. Of the other most frequently prescribed drugs, four are anti-infective and nine are from the cardiovascular action group.

Because of illegibility, lack of standardization of doses and names in the analyzed prescriptions, 14 medications were not included in the quantitative of individual frequencies. In ten prescriptions, the medication Sulfamethoxazole + Trimethoprim was incomplete in relation to the prescribed dose, which prevented a more detailed analysis. Similarly, the medications Simvastatin (two prescriptions), Enalapril (one prescription) and Sodium Valproate (one prescription) had a prescription error, therefore, it was not possible to identify the prescribed dose.

**DISCUSSION**

The study site attends patients in situations of urgency or emergency with varied pathologies and who undergo several procedures. Even though it is not an inpatient unit, the Walk-in Service is overcrowded because patients remain in this place until they are transferred to another unit. The high number of patients exceeds the tolerated demand, which can compromise the quality of care. The National Health Surveillance Agency (Portuguese acronym: ANVISA – Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária) published the Bulletin of Patient Safety and Quality in Services. It showed that the highest number of incidents in health services has occurred during the category of care provision (93.6%), involving diagnosis, evaluation, treatment or surgical intervention. In this investigation, it was also mentioned that in 2015, hospital incidents corresponded to 93.2% of notifications, and the exclusive urgency and emergency service was in second place with 2.7% of notifications.

In this study, there was a predominance of drugs in solid form, corroborating other studies of drug profiles administered by catheters performed in teaching hospitals in the country. Although liquid formulations are preferred for administration via GI route, publications show the need for adaptation of drugs in the preparation of medicines by this route. The availability of oral medications, associated with low cost compared to the use of parenteral route justifies this choice. However, most solid drugs are not formulated for administration via GI route and this may affect their efficacy and/or cause drug toxicity with potential risk for the development of adverse events.

Authors of a study conducted at a University Hospital of Paraná in 2016 cited the inappropriate medications that are most prescribed for enteral route, many of which are in this study: Lactulose, Captopril, Phenytoin, Ranitidine, Omeprazole, Complex B, Folic Acid, Tramadol, Bromopride and Nifedipine.

For optimization of patient safety, each medication must be evaluated for specific suitability depending on the route to be used. Ideally, all medications should be
presented to nurses in the final dosage formulation for administration, although practice does not confirm this premise. According to the guidelines of the American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ASPEN) (9), some general precautions should be considered, as follows: check correct positioning of catheter, always wash catheter before and after each administration with at least 15 ml of water to avoid obstruction, pause enteral nutrition for at least 30 minutes before and after administration, administer each medicament separately and never add medicaments in enteral formulas in order to avoid physical and chemical incompatibilities, obstruction and altered therapeutic responses, and in cases of solutions or suspensions, dilution may be necessary for reducing the viscosity or osmolarity of the drug (29,10,17,20).

The specificities of each drug must be verified in order to perform a differentiated care. Simple tablets, which appeared in greater numbers in this and other studies (13,16), should be crushed until becoming a fine powder that must be mixed with sterile water, or may also be placed in a syringe with sterile water until completely dissolved. Soft capsules may be punctured at one end to remove their contents, which should be mixed with water. However, this method may result in incomplete removal and consequent underdosing. Another way is to dissolve the soft capsule in warm water and administer it with care not to administer the undissolved portion of the gelatin. Medicines of prolonged-release, enteric coating or microencapsulated products are unsuitable for use via GI catheter because they compromise the controlled release of the active substance (17,20-21). In case of changes in drug pharmacokinetics because they were not administered in the planned form, drug absorption may also change, thus preventing the desired therapy (22).

In this study, the highest number of medication were those of the anti-infective class, with 25.9% of total drugs prescribed. These are the second drug class with the greatest financial impact for health institutions (23). Within this class, appeared antibacterial, antiparasitic, antifungal, antiviral, and antiseptic drugs, as well as scabicides and pediculicide. Of this group, Clarithromycin, Sulfamethoxazole + Trimethoprim, Pyrimethamine and Azithromycin were the most frequently prescribed drugs. The pharmaceutical form found in the study of Sulfamethoxazole + Trimethoprim and Pyrimethamine was the simple tablet, which, if prepared correctly, has no restriction. On the other hand, Clarithromycin and Azithromycin were prescribed in the form of coated tablets with no indication for grinding if administering via GI catheter, since loss of the coating could inactivate the active principle and obstruct the catheter (23-24). Nevertheless, these criteria are not observed in the prescription. Note that both Azithromycin and Clarithromycin are medications included in the standards of the institution under study and can be replaced for ampoule for intravenous administration. Azithromycin is also available as an oral suspension.

The antimicrobial sulfamethoxazole + trimethoprim also has a high frequency of administration. It is chosen in the prophylaxis and treatment of pneumonia in patients with HIV. Given its mechanism of action, the risk of bacterial resistance is minimized and this may be the reason for choice. This drug appeared in two prescribed dosages (400 + 80 mg and 800 + 160 mg). It is highlighted because of errors in ten prescriptions due to lack of dosage that made its distinction impossible. Boullata et al. (17) refer the responsibility for prevention of medication errors must be shared between prescriber, pharmacist and nursing, since interdisciplinary action is fundamental for achieving positive results. Both dosages had the form of simple tablet, but the institution also offers the forms of oral solution and ampoule bottle (24). Therefore, it is suggested the substitution in case of administration via GI catheter.

The class of drugs that act in the cardiovascular system was in second place in the total number of medications, which corroborates other studies (15). Data indicate that, out of the 19 most frequent medications, nine are part of this class. For a few decades, Brazil has had cardiovascular diseases as one of the main causes of mortality because of the increased life expectancy of the population, and hypertension is the main risk factor for these more prevalent diseases (25). Among the highest frequencies, this group of drugs is subdivided into classes of antihypertensives (Enalapril, Captopril and Losartan), diuretics (Furosemide, Hydrochlorothiazide and Spironolactone), antiarrhythmics (Propranolol), antiplatelet (AAS Buffered) and antitilipemic (Simvastatin). The latter is the main representative of statins, the drug with the greatest effectiveness in decreasing lipid concentration (25), and found in greater numbers in this study. As in other studies (16,19), Simvastatin was prescribed in doses of 20 mg and 40 mg, and in two prescriptions it was not possible to distinguish the dosage. Its form of presentation was coated tablet, but the simple tablet is already known as an available option for replacement.

Omeprazole was the most prescribed of all drugs in the study in the form of a hard gelatin capsule containing gastro-resistant microgranules. When these microgranules are crushed, they lose the enteric coating hence the gastric acid inactivates the drug. In administration by GI catheter, “the capsule may be opened and the intact granules can be diluted in acid fruit juice, as this will ensure the granules arrive intact in the intestine” (16). Furthermore, drug administration should be done one hour before enteral nutrition, as its absorption is decreased in the presence of food (16,19,21,25).

The anti-inflammatory Prednisone was the second most frequently prescribed drug, present in 13.2% of prescriptions in simple tablets and for oral use in all administrations. If there is a need for adaptation of the pharmaceutical form to the catheter route, tablet grinding is allowed as long as followed by administration with 15 to 30 ml of water. However, we suggest evaluating the “alternative use of injectable solution of Methylprednisolone or oral solution of Prednisolone according to the equivalence conversion” (15).
Lactulose in the form of syrup was another medication among the most prescribed ones. This osmotic laxative is an example of a liquid formulation with osmolarity of about 3,000 mOsm/kg, which needs to be diluted in an appropriate volume of water (10 to 100 ml), for easier administration via GI catheter. Normally, syrups are considered as physically incompatible with enteral nutrition and require separate administration. Another important care is the monitoring of patients’ blood pressure and hydration. As the medicine may contain galactose or lactose, glyceremia should be monitored in diabetic patients.

Despite the several barriers — prescribing, scheduling, dispensing, preparing and administering medication —, the error can reach the patient, given the lack of knowledge of the professionals involved. In recent years, medication errors have been identified as determining factors for potential harm to patients. Therefore, it is highlighted the importance of discussing the theme from the present study results, as well as developing continuing education programs for the updating of professionals responsible for such care. In this context, the importance of nurses’ role as leaders of the health team is emphasized. They should provide training to professionals and integrate the multidisciplinary team in the stages of care. Labels with warnings that certain medicines cannot be grinded, and tables with alternatives for replacing solid forms with liquid forms are some possible ways of preventing errors when GI catheter route is required. Risk situations related to patient care must be highlighted and always reviewed with all those responsible for the medication process.

Data collection only with records from prescriptions without checking each patient is considered a fragility of the present study. Thus, many outdated prescriptions might not present the actual route of administration in use. In addition, due to the illegibility, incompleteness and incomprehension of some prescriptions, it was not possible to distinguish the data referring to some medications, thus characterizing a prescription error.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study demonstrated that all drugs prescribed for catheter administration were in solid form, which, according to the literature, is not considered preferential. Even though there is little record of use of catheter route, this can be the route of choice in case of worsening of patients’ clinical conditions in Walk-in Services, particularly when the patient is under a temporary hospitalization system until finding a place in the respective treatment unit. Hence, this shows that the care recommended in the literature is not always performed in direct patient care.

Anti-infective drugs were the most prescribed, along with drugs acting in the cardiovascular system. The most prominent drug, however, was omeprazole with the highest number of prescriptions, most of them in the once a day regimen.

The study showed the need for greater legibility in medical prescriptions, substitution options available in the pharmacy, and institutional protocols with exclusive recommendations for the preparation and administration of medications via GI catheter. Manufacturers should also have the obligation to better explain the care and restrictions that can be found in the different routes of administration, since it was difficult to find more specific recommendations.

Regarding the applicability and implications of the study results in hospital care practice, this study can contribute to the multiplication of knowledge by enabling a better performance of the nursing team activities, and assisting health teams with maintaining patient safety in the hospital environment.

The health team involved in the entire medication process needs to be constantly updated through continuing education about scientific and technical knowledge in the steps to be followed. There must be multiprofessional commitment in this task that requires cooperation from different areas.
(40%). Conclusão: Hay indicativos que posibilitam repensar a prática do cuidado e plantear critérios e normas que contribuyan a la seguridad y la efectividad de los servicios prestados en los servicios sanitarios, en especial, en lo que se refiere a la preparación y la administración de los fármacos por vía sonda gastrointestinal.
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