Abstract

In the search for opportunities to decrease operational costs in iron ore mining, waste disposal by conveyor belt has been considered as an alternative. In this work, this form of waste dump is investigated by means of the construction of a test pile and the assessment of geotechnical characteristics such as shear strength and in situ densities. Results were compared with two other dumps built by the traditional methods of bench and layer. The overall conclusion of this research shows that densities are 10% lower and shear strengths measured by a penetrometer are ten times lower in comparison with the other dumps. Therefore, a good understanding of this method and a thorough discussion of the project parameters are suggested before construction.
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DCP was developed by the Transvaal Road Department of South Africa and its use has spread worldwide for quality control of road pavement construction (RESENDE et al., 2013)

The test consists of dropping an 8-kg cylinder (dead mass) into a rod, set at an upright position, and registering its penetration into the terrain every time the cylinder strikes the rod. The penetration measurement is reported in mm per each blow and this is known as DCP index or simply DCP. The plastic and water method was used for in situ density determination. A cubic cave (0.4m side) was dug at the dump surface, wrapped with a sheet of flexible plastic, and filled with water. Both waste material and water were measured to determine density. From the waste material dug for the density test, samples were also taken for water content and basic geotechnical characterization (grading and specific gravity).

2.1 Conveyor belt waste dump

A crusher system facility was used to simulate waste disposal by conveyor belt and spreader. The crusher was bypassed and the screening was sealed, so that the waste physical parameters (particle size) would not be modified. Only conveyor belts were used to produce material pluviation to build the waste dumps, as shown in Figure 1.

The density and the DCP tests were performed on the top of the waste dumps, after they had been flattened to allow performing these tests. Three different piles were constructed, one for each day of tests. As shown in Figure 1, in the first day, the waste dump was built using two conveyor belts to replicate an in-line disposal operation. On the other days, only one waste dump was built, in order to make possible the investigation of density and resistance at different depths.

2.2 Bench waste dump

This test was done at the João Manoel waste dump (Samarco Mineração S.A.). A 5-m high operational bench was constructed. Trucks dumped material 10 m away from the border. Thereafter, a dozer pushed the material over the tip. This end dump operation has a certain similarity with pluviation, producing a loose state structure, but to a lesser extent. The intense traffic of trucks and dozer operations give the bench a certain compaction at least close to the surface.

In order to obtain densities and resistance profiles, a pit was opened to access material behavior at different depths. The tests were performed on the top of small berms, left during the pit excavation. This situation can be seen schematically in Figure 2. Figure 3 also shows a view of the site.
In each berm two densities and 12 DCP tests were performed, which totals 8 densities and 48 DCP tests. At least 2 DCP tests were executed inside all density caves.

2.3 Layer waste dump

This testing structure was also built at the Joao Manoel waste dump (Samarco Mineração S.A.). Trucks dumped the waste at an active platform, forming several small heaps. A dozer flattened those heaps, making a layer 1.5-m high layer. On top of the new layer, the process was repeated. At the end of the process, a layered 5-m bench was completed. In the layer method, as the dozer travels over the material to break the heaps, a certain compaction effort is transferred to the waste dump. Once the layered bench was finalized, the same procedures done at the bench waste dump were performed to obtain the density and resistance profiles. In the open pit, three berms were conformed to make room for 8 density and 48 DCP tests.

2.4 Material geotechnical characterization

Figure 4 presents the particle-size distribution curves corresponding to the waste material used to build all the test waste dumps. In case of the conveyor belt waste dump, only one test was carried out. A coarse texture is apparent, due to the high percentage of gravel and sand. A percentage of fines above 12% (only one curve in fourteen has inferior percentage) is also observed. The specific gravity, which was also determined by the gas picnometer method, averages 4.48 g/cm³. When compared to the specific gravity of most types of soils, this is a very high value. This fact can be explained because a mineralized waste has significant iron content.
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3. Results and discussions

The in situ density and moisture content profiles for the three test waste dumps are shown in Figures 5 and 6, respectively.

In the bench method, higher densities are found near the surface, probably in consequence of the hauling equipment traffic, which causes compaction. However, density decreases with depth, as expected, due to the attenuation of that compaction effort related to the equipment traffic. In the layer method, the density profile is almost uniform with depth. There is a slight increase in density every 1.5 m in depth, which corresponds to the layer thickness. Overall, the density averages of the layer and bench waste dumps are close, around 2.2 g/cm³.

The average density corresponding to the conveyor belt waste dump is about 2.0 g/cm³, which is 10% lower than the density values at the two traditional waste dumps. This is in accordance with the idea of a comparatively looser structure. No conclusion could be made as regards density variation with depth because of the small dimensions of the dump.

Moisture content data (Figure 5) show only a small variation in the three waste dumps, as expected, since they were all executed with material excavated from the same mining front, and at the same time of the year.

The DCP penetration test results obtained at the conveyor belt waste dump is shown in Figure 7. The existence of two DCP normal Gaussian distribution populations is apparent. In 82% of the DCP tests in the conveyor belt dump, DCP averages 316, while in the remaining 18 %, DCP averages 831. This large discrepancy may be explained primarily by the particle-size distribution curve of the very loose waste material, a coarse well graduated soil with more than 20% of fines, and the random distribution of the gravel fraction in the dump mass.

Observing the DCP results for the two other waste dumps (Figure 8), the conclusion that both of them are consistent with the density profiles previously discussed could be drawn. Thus, for instance, bench dump DCP values increase with depth and are lower at the surface (meaning higher strength).
Finally, turning to an overall DCP data analysis, i.e., considering the three waste dumps, a considerable difference of values of the two traditional methods compared with the conveyor belt is observed in Figure 8. The DCP test data regarding the two traditional methods are in the same range, around 30. Nevertheless, when compared to the conveyor belt values (around 300), the difference is very high, that is 10 times.

The overall results allow concluding that large differences in performance for waste dumps built using the conveyor belt and spreader method are expected when compared with the usual waste disposal practice. This fact is really relevant and worth taking into account in the design of those dumps.

4. Conclusion

The available waste disposal construction methods produce different waste dumps, as shown in this experimental research. Three waste dumps were built according to the bench, layer and conveyor belt and spreader methods. Resistance and density measurements were used to compare those structures.

The most relevant finding was that the two traditional disposal methods present no significant differences regarding the structural strength. However, the same cannot be stated for the conveyor belt and spreader system. For the latter, densities could be 10 % lower, and the resistance significantly different, with values more than ten times lower than the traditional methods. This finding means that engineering properties currently used in design for waste dumps should be revised in the case of new dump construction using the conveyor belt and spreader technique.

5. Acknowledgments

Authors thank UFOP and Samarco for funding this research.
6. Reference


Received: 02 June 2014 - Accepted: 21 July 2015.