Abstract

The economics of a mining operation is directly influenced by blasting outcomes, where blasting aims to comminute the rock mass in order to attain smaller grain sizes to be loaded and hauled at a minimum cost for its first processing stage. In order to promote adequate rock breakage, the stemming structure needs to provide proper confinement for the borehole charged with explosives, reflecting the energy released during the detonation in form of shock waves and gases to act throughout the in situ rock mass, enlarging its failures and fractures, and also creating new ones. To build up a stemming column, literature recommends the usage of dry granular materials instead of elements with plastic behavior. However, a study was performed using Gypsum plaster as stemming; a kind of material that exhibits solid-like behavior when it is dry. Following this theory, this test verified improvements regarding confinement effectiveness and energy propagation throughout the rock mass when a non-Newtonian mixture (NNM) was applied as stemming; a material that shows a solid-like behavior when under shear stress. When the stemming arrangement was composed of NNM, it was able to reduce energy and gas losses to the atmosphere, because of the liquid's property of filling voids into the borehole. The NNM yielded high results due to its better confinement effectiveness, a reduction of air overpressure, and an increase of the strain propagation and ground vibration throughout the rock.
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1. Introduction

The blasting operation is considered one of the most important activities in Mining due to its capability to make the fragmentation process considerably more economical. During past decades, stemming, a simple and effective blasting tool, has not received the attention and investments it deserves. Stemming, as highlighted by Rai, Ranjan & Choudhary (2008) "is an important controllable parameter that greatly influences [...] the release of energy from the explosion." Since stemming has a great role in blasting operations, almost on the same level of the explosives, it should be studied and developed in equal proportion.

Over the years, mining engineers and blasting professionals have used drill cuttings or coarse materials, such as gravel or crushed stone, for stemming columns. In fact, since these materials are readily available at the mine site, and, in general, do not add significant cost to the blasting process. However, if the fragmentation process is analyzed in overall, the application of these materials on stemming, directly influences the confinement efficiency of blastholes and, therefore, the quality of rock blasting.

ISEE Blasters’ Handbook (2011) cites that stemming is a column composed of inert material and is used to promote energy confinement within the hole on top of the explosive charge. When stemming provides adequate confinement, the resulting benefits include retention of explosives gases within the borehole, gas pressure, and efficient rock breakage due to maximum energy absorption (RAI et al., 2008). Therefore, when stemming columns are designed to promote maximum energy confinement, they become able to improve the utilization of blasting rock energy instead of losing large amounts through propelling rock to the atmosphere. Consequently, other aspects are positively modified, such as: cost reduction with less...
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2. Methodology

Five rounds of small-scale tests were conducted at the University of Kentucky Explosives Research Team’s (UKERT) laboratory located in an underground limestone quarry in Georgetown, Kentucky. The purpose of this testing was to verify the confinement effectiveness provided by a non-Newtonian mixture used as a stemming component. In addition, the relationship between the material employed in the stemming column was compared to the rock’s absorption of energy provided by the detonation.

A non-Newtonian fluid is a fluid that does not conform to Newton’s law of viscosity, in that viscosity for these fluids is a function of shear rate (MASSOUD, 2005). The fluid behaves as a solid when a shear stress is applied to it. Therefore, its viscosity increases as much as the shear stress applied increases.

2.1 Stemming configurations

Between May 22, 2015 and June 29, 2015, a battery of 23 tests were performed. The tests were divided into five rounds of six different stemming configurations, which are schematically represented in Figure 1.

C1: All NNM (coupling and stemming);
C2: The NNM coupling and moist sand stemming. A fifth round with three shots in this configuration was exclusively carried out on June 29, 2015, in order to compare its results with the others previously obtained in Rounds #1 to #4;
C3: All sand (coupling and stemming);
C4: Air coupling and sand stemming (a rubber plug was placed above the charge and the remainder of the hole was stemmed using dry sand);
C5: Sand coupling and the NNM (non-Newtonian mixture) stemming (a half-inch (12.7mm) thick rubber plug was used to separate the dry sand from the fluid);
C6: No material used for coupling or stemming (open borehole);

The testing of different coupling/stemming combinations allowed comparison of parameters, such as the energy propagation throughout the rock and the borehole confinement. For example, an air coupled charge will transfer less energy into the surrounding rock mass when compared to a fully coupled charge using materials such as sand, gravel or water. Given this knowledge, it can be assumed that the overall energy partitions will be skewed based on the type of coupling material.

The stemming size, as it is known according to the general rule of thumb, should be no greater than 1/8 of the hole diameter, and the stemming height should be at least 24 times that of the hole diameter.

2.2 Borehole

One single hole was drilled in the floor of the test area at a distance of 10.4 feet (3.17m) from a central point. This point would later serve as the geophone and seismograph mounting location during Round #1 of testing. From Round #2 on, the equipment was moved to half of the central point distance toward the borehole (5.2 feet or 1.58m). The hole was drilled in laboratory scale using a hammer drill with a 7/8 inch (0.22cm) bit to a depth of approximately 30 inches (0.762m). Compressed air was used to remove any drill cuttings, and then again after the test to remove any fine material created by the blast. After each shot, the hole was re-drilled in order to guarantee a uniform depth of approximately 30 inches (0.762m).

When movement and breakage are eliminated and the hole has just one degree of freedom, the explosive’s energy is transferred to the rock through ground application of explosives and time saving with reduction of the secondary blasting; increasing the operational efficiency due to fragment size adequacy for loaders and trucks specifications; reduction of obstructions on the primary crusher causing better performance of the processing plant.

Figure 1
Boreholes layout.
vibration. Airblast, stemming ejection and heat are all uses of the explosive energy or results of the blast.

For the other four rounds, the test procedure was modified to double the explosive weight used in Round #1 (50 grain per foot detonating cord), with the exception of using 16 inches (0.41m) of detonating cord folded into four inch (0.10m) sections to give more stemming depth, increase charge weight, and increase the stemming height. This time, the detonating charge yielded 0.0095 lbs. (0.0043kg) of explosives.

### 2.4 Non-Newtonian fluid mixture preparation

The non-Newtonian mixture (NNM) ingredient was selected to be tested due to three main reasons: first, because the mixture created using this material has adequate physical properties that are able to hold high levels of shear stress. Second, the material used to make this mixture is very inexpensive and easy to find. Third, this ingredient is largely employed in mining for the flotation process of some ores, such as iron, and therefore is readily available.

The NNM was prepared until it reached a true solid-like behavior, when after applying a shear stress to the mixture, it behaves like a solid. The NNM needs to be mixed before hole charging in order to have a uniform concentration and viscosity.

### 2.5 Instrumentation

To accurately capture as many variables as possible, a wide array of sensors were deployed for data collection during the blasting events. The instrumentation used to measure the various blast pressures, velocities, and vibrations are listed in the Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Serial Number</th>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>Distance from Hole</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCB Piezotronics Pencil Pressure Sensor</td>
<td>9870</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 (0.61)</td>
<td>Measure pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB Piezotronics Pencil Pressure Sensor</td>
<td>9871</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4 (1.22)</td>
<td>Measure pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB Piezotronics Pencil Pressure Sensor</td>
<td>5878</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4 (1.22) - (above hole)</td>
<td>Measure pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB Piezotronics Pencil Pressure Sensor</td>
<td>5877</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6 (1.83) - (above hole)</td>
<td>Measure pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB Piezotronics Strain Sensor</td>
<td>3865</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2 (0.61)</td>
<td>Measure strain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB Piezotronics Strain Sensor</td>
<td>3867</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3 (0.91)</td>
<td>Measure strain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Mini-Seis Seismograph</td>
<td>5595</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.2 (1.58)</td>
<td>Measure vibration &amp; sound</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The free-field pressure sensors were mounted co-linearly on a frame at distances of 4 and 6 feet (1.22 and 1.83m) high from the borehole collar as shown in the Figure 2 below.
The pencil pressure sensors were set up on the hole at a 45-degree angle as shown in Figure 3.

The frame was positioned at a 45 degree angle to the borehole based on the assumption that the air overpressure shell would expand hemispherically, as is commonly witnessed in explosive charges detonated at ground level, and then be captured by the sensors at this inclination. Strain sensors were placed transverse to the orientation of the force of the explosion as it propagates through the rock in order to measure the expansion of the rock due to blast pressure. The surface of the rock floor was cleaned using acetone at the locations for the strain sensors, and these were attached to the rock using a specific super glue brand recommended by the sensor manufacturer, as shown in the Figure 4 below.

The seismograph was used for vibration measurements. The hole geophone array was connected to the rock via a steel plate, as shown in Figure 5.

The seismograph was positioned at the center of the test area, 10.4 feet (3.17m) from the borehole for Round #1, when the instrumentation was being calibrated. From Round #2 on, this equipment was moved 5.2 feet (1.58m) closer to the borehole. A high-speed camera, as shown in Figure 6, was used to record each blast.
3. Procedure

i) The instruments of measurement (high-speed camera, sensors, seismograph, laptop...) and the illumination were set as described in the Instrumentation section.

The hole was charged using detonating cord and blasting caps. The explosive was placed at the bottom of the hole.

ii) The hole was charged by the blaster that used explosives, specified in the Charges section.

iii) After the hole was charged, the coupling and stemming material were placed into the hole, following the general rule of thumb specified in the Stemming Assays section. In each shot a different coupling and stemming combination was tested, which means that for each round, five different shots were carried out.

iv) Following the standard detonation procedure, the blaster shot the hole, while an auxiliary worker triggered the high-speed camera through wireless remote control. After the detonation, the blaster checked the test area and finally checked if the equipment recorded the data and the images. Meanwhile, the hole was re-drilled and cleaned out after each shot to prepare for the next test.

The tests were performed again following the abovementioned steps until six stemming combinations (totaling a round of test) were tested. A fifth round of three shots (of the NNM coupling and sand stemming) was carried out on June 29th, 2015, in order to compare its results with the others previously obtained in Rounds #1 to #4. At the end of each round, the equipment was stored, except for rounds 3 and 4, which were done on the same day.

4. Results

From Round #1 conducted on May 22, 2015, five shots were carried out. For shots 1, 2, 3 and 4, the stemming columns were blown out from the hole, and in shot 5, no stemming and coupling were applied. The subsequent rounds were performed using double charge explosives (16 inches (0.41m) of 50 grain per foot detonating cord) folded into four inch sections to increase stemming length and charge weight. Table 2 contains the average results calculated from 18 shots performed in Rounds #2 to #5. The results are classified according to the type of coupling and stemming material tested.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Explosive charge</th>
<th>Pressure (Pa)</th>
<th>Strain (με)</th>
<th>Seismograph Data (1.58m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH 1</td>
<td>CH 2</td>
<td>CH 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shots</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Coupling</td>
<td>Stemming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9, 14, 17</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>NNM</td>
<td>NNM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21, 22, 23</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>NNM</td>
<td>Sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8, 11, 16</td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>Sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7, 13, 20</td>
<td>C4</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>Sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6, 12, 19</td>
<td>C5</td>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>NNM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10, 15, 18</td>
<td>C6</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>Air</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Round #2 was conducted on May 27, 2015. Through the image analysis taken by the high-speed camera, it was observed that the stemming structures were blown out from the borehole in all shots, and shots 8 and 9 (configurations C3 and C1, respectively) had quieter audible airblasts.

When the explosions have low air overpressures, it means that the stemming structure held the shockwave and the gases within the borehole, allowing for more energy to be transmitted into the borehole wall and thus, the surrounding rock.

Also, when air overpressure was compared between those shots (captured by channels 1, 2, 3 and 4), it can be inferred that the hole with all NNM (arrangement C1) had the lowest values, while the shot with sand stemming and air coupled (arrangement C4) had the highest air overpressure values (excluding the non-stemmed hole). Moreover, when the strain distributed to the rock was analyzed, the NNM, applied in arrangements C1 and C2, was the material with the highest values for channels 5 and 6, which means that the borehole was better confined with this mixture, distributing more energy and vibration around the rock mass rather than letting it escape to the atmosphere.

Rounds #3 and #4 were conducted on June 1, 2015. As before in Round #1, through the image analysis taken by high-speed camera, it was observed that the stemming structures were blown out from the borehole in all shots. In shots 14 and 17 (arrangement C1), lower noise was observed from the detonation than from shots 12 and 19 (arrangement C3). In the laboratory analysis it was observed through the seismograph analysis that shots 14 and 17 had the lowest air overpressures.

On shot 17 of Round #3, when the NNM was used for coupling and stemming, it was observed that the stemming blew out of the hole and also some crack-
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5. Conclusion and future works

From the results and data collected, the non-Newtonian mixture presented the best option in terms of confinement performance in two different arrangements: the non-Newtonian mixture coupling and stemming (C1), and non-Newtonian mixture coupling and sand stemming (C2).

Both presented the two lowest air overpressure measurements and highest strain values. In other words, the stemming column reduced the energy loss to the atmosphere due to the better confinement given to the explosive charge, and consequently, this energy was better transferred to the surrounding rock mass. In addition, the audible airblasts emitted by the detonation of both configurations were noticeably lower in intensity.

Considering the energy propagation, the NNM allowed a maximization of energy transmission to the rock, and at the same time reduced the loss of energy to the atmosphere through air overpressure, which affirms that this mixture gives more confinement to the borehole and is capable of holding the gas pressure and shock wave to act throughout the rock mass and direct more ground vibration in larger distances. The mixture can also be considered the best coupling material due to a better shock reflective effect over larger distances.

Since the NNM was able to reduce considerably the air overpressure created by the explosion, this product could be highly recommended for surface mines located near urbanized regions, considering the large number of complaints from mine neighbors due to the loud noises created by blasting operations.

When considering the maximizing of blasthole confinement, it could allow the explosive charge to be increased, permitting the length of burden and spacing to be increased as well, and consequently reduce the number of drilled holes and minimize drilling costs (CEVIZCI, 2013).

In addition, as the mixture application provides higher strain propagation through the rock, it also would allow the reduction of explosive charges per hole, giving blasters higher control in energy distribution. It could be an advantage in mines where fragmentation needs to be avoided – dimension stone quarrying, for instance.

For future studies, it is recommended to perform tests in real scale (mining operations) and apply different types of explosives, such as ANFO, emulsions, and dynamite. Also, it is recommended to verify the effectiveness of this material applied to blast operations where fragmentation is occurring. Additionally, it is recommended to test this material as a delay deck column in order to apply more explosives into only one borehole. Finally, it has been proven that a deeper study of the configurations of sand and the NNM switched between coupling and stemming was necessary.
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