Three-dimensional geometric model of the middle segment of the thoracic spine based on graphical images for finite element analysis
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Abstract  Introduction: Biomedical studies involve complex anatomical structures, which require specific methodology to generate their geometric models. The middle segment of the thoracic spine (T5-T10) is the site of the highest incidence of vertebral deformity in adolescents. Traditionally, its geometries are derived from computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging data. However, this approach may restrict certain studies. The study aimed to generate two 3D geometric models of the T5-T10 thoracic spine segment, obtained from graphical images, and to create mesh for finite element studies. Methods: A 3D geometric model of T5-T10 was generated using two anatomical images of T6 vertebra (side and top). The geometric model was created in Autodesk® Maya® 3D 2013, and the mesh process in HiperMesh and MeshMixer (v11.0.544 Autodesk). Results: The T5-T10 thoracic segment model is presented with its passive components, bones, intervertebral discs and flavum, intertransverse and supraspinous ligaments, in different views, as well as the volumetric mesh. Conclusion: The 3D geometric model generated from graphical images is suitable for application in non-patient-specific finite element model studies or, with restrictions, in the use of computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging. This model may be useful for biomechanical studies related to the middle thoracic spine, the most vulnerable site for vertebral deformations.
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Introduction

Experimental studies with the spine are usually performed with anatomical parts of elderly individuals. Another alternative is the use of animal spines from monkeys or pigs (Kouwenhoven et al., 2007). However, vertebral deformity such as adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) is not found in quadruped animals. It affects young people who have yet to reach complete bone maturity (Castelein et al., 2005). The availability of a young spine for experimental studies is extremely rare.

Computer modeling is contributing to solving to complex problems that usually do not have analytical solutions or that offer no possibility of experimental study. Many phenomena can be described in terms of partial differential equations, and the finite element method (FEM) is a numerical approach with which these equations can be solved in approximate terms (Fish and Belytschko, 2009). In recent decades, computational studies using FEM have successfully analyzed biomechanical systems and have made important contributions to understanding the mechanical behavior of the human spine (Cheng et al., 2010; Dong et al., 2013; Fok et al., 2010; Ghista et al., 1988; Kakol et al., 2003; Lan et al., 2013; Lodygowski et al., 2005; Meijer et al., 2010; Rajasekaran et al., 2011; Teo and Ng, 2001; Travert et al., 2011; Tyndyk et al., 2007; Van Der Plaats et al., 2007; Wierszycki et al., 2006; Xia et al., 2003). Over time, different geometric models have been used, including everything from simple versions containing beam elements, represented by interconnected cylinders and bars (Ghista et al., 1988), to more comprehensive volumetric models of the spine.

The first step in computer analysis by FEM is generating the structure to be analyzed, which is represented in a three-dimensional (3D) geometric virtual environment (computer-aided design: CAD). In general, biomedical research is conducted with complex geometric structures...
There are a significant number of 3D models of the spine available in the literature. However, some studies may require morphological variations of a same anatomical model, such as changing thoracic kyphosis angles, which are not specified in the literature. These morphological changes may require the creation of specific 3D models for each study.

The aims of this study were: 1) to develop two geometric 3D models for the T5–T10 thoracic segment of an adolescent, showing kyphosis and absence of kyphosis, from graphical images; 2) Generate a finite element mesh from the geometry.

Methods

The software selected for geometric modeling was Autodesk® Maya® 3D 2013, developed by ALIAS in 1998 and acquired by Autodesk in 2006. It enables the expansion of functionalities through its built-in scripting language, Maya embedded language (MEL), or via non-proprietary languages such as Python and C++. The use of box modeling allows the accurate, realistic modeling of tissues, fluids, and anatomical structures.

An SGI Workstation equipped with an Intel Xeon x5600 processor (2.80 GHz), 48 GB of Ram memory, and NVIDIA Quadro4000 video card, was used.

Creation of a 3D geometrical model

The creation of a 3D geometrical model of the middle segment of the thoracic spine begins by selecting the graphical image that most appropriately represents the anatomical components of a real spine. To that end, initial modeling was conducted based on images from a human anatomy atlas (Netter, 1997), which is a benchmark for academic studies. A prototype human spine made of polymer was used to design and detail the structures that were not visible in the graphics.

The basic graphical interface of Maya includes the left side of the menu screen, bar at the top, and central area of the desktop, which can be subdivided into front, side, top, and perspective views. Modeling can be conducted using several techniques, with selection depending on the type of model to be developed. The technique adopted here (box modeling), involves the deformation of an initial hexahedral region (primitive polygon). Modeling began with two graphical images of a thoracic vertebra, in this case, the top and sagittal views of the T6 vertebra. Following the creation of two polygonal plans, the images were imported, as shown in Figure 1.

After the images were imported to the two planes, modeling began based on the primitive form. Primitives represent the building blocks of 3D modeling and are used to simplify the creation of more complex forms (Riddell, 2004). A cube was created on the vertebral body, as shown in Figure 2.
The vertex extrusion process was employed and modeling followed until the limit of the graphical image of T6. The vertebral body was modeled by distinguishing the cortical bone shell on the outer portion of the vertebra and the inner portion of the cancellous bone. The thickness of the cortical shell varied for each vertebral body and was set to range from 1.5 to 2 mm. These values were based on the CT data obtained in the literature (Tyndyk et al., 2007). Due to the complexity and low thickness of the posterior part of the thoracic vertebrae, the cortical bone shell was not modeled separately from the inner portion cancellous bone. For modeling the intervertebral discs, a vertex expansion and contraction process in three perspectives was applied, following the contour of lower vertebral plateau T6. The design followed the scaling parameters available in the literature (Panjabi et al., 1991) (Figure 3). The disc was modeled distinguishing the structures of the fibrous ring and pulposus nucleus, where the latter occupied 40% of the center of the disc (Figure 4). The main challenge in creating the geometric model lies in modeling the 10 facet joints. Since it is a small anatomic structure, approximately 1 cm high, detail in this region depended on the superior ability of the modeling process. The joint surfaces were considered to exhibit smooth concavity, in addition to apophyseal joint face angulation with a coronal plane, allowing the interline of these apophyses to be contained on a cylindrical surface with an axis at the center of the vertebral body (Meijer, 2011), and contact, without overlap, with the mesh generated. Accurate and symmetrical contact is necessary for a successful simulation with FEM. To achieve geometric symmetry, one of the hemiphases was replicated for all the structures of the final model.

Subsequently, the T6 vertebra was resized to the geometric parameters of T5, T7, T8, T9, and T10 vertebrae based on quantitative studies of the 3D anatomy for thoracic vertebrae of Panjabi et al. (1991) (Table 1). A T5-T10 thoracic segment was created with a kyphosis angle of 31.25°, and, another, with rectified kyphosis, see Figure 5.
Table 1. Vertebral dimensions [mm] - Transverse process width (TPW), End-plate depth upper (EPDu), End-plate depth lower (EPDl), Vertebral body height (VBH), Pedicle width (PDW), Pedicle height (PDH), Spinous process length (SPL), End-plate width (EPW). Adapted from Panjabi et al. (1991).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vertebral</th>
<th>TPW</th>
<th>EPDu</th>
<th>EPDl</th>
<th>VBH</th>
<th>PDW</th>
<th>PDH</th>
<th>SPL</th>
<th>EPW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>29.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T10</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>35.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. MAYA screen image of complete modeling T7-T8 and its intervertebral disc.

Figure 4. Image in X-ray mode where the cortical and cancellous bone of the vertebral body, and the fibrous ring and nucleus pulposus of the intervertebral disc are observed.

**Meshing procedure**

After the geometric model was prepared, a 3D FEM model was generated using a specific meshing procedure. This pre-processing was performed using the computer program HyperMesh version 14.0 (Troy, Michigan, USA). For more complex anatomical structures, such as facet joints, fine adjustments were required using MeshMixer (v11.0.544) to ensure that the vertebrae were in contact through these joints. Facet plane joints exhibit complex structures, such as joint capsules, cartilage, the synovial membrane and a cavity filled with synovial fluid lubricant, which reduces friction between articular cartilages to levels close to zero. This joint structure is uniaxial and only allows gliding movement. All these structures were removed from our model and replaced by a sliding type contact that does not allow separation. Joints were edited to ensure intersecting geometry. Later, through Boolean operations, surface contact between the facets was guaranteed. A size of 1.0 mm was used for most of the elements of the structure, and a refinement of 0.3 mm was applied to the elements from the joint contact region. A transition region was designed so that there was no abrupt variation in element size, which could create numerous deformed elements. In this region, 0.5 mm elements were used (Figure 1). HyperView (v14.0, Altair) was used for post-processing. The hardware used in this study was the HP Z820 Workstation with an Intel Xeon E5-2620 2.00 GHz processor with 64 GB of RAM and an NVIDIA video card (model QUADRO K2000D).
Results

The 3D geometric model of the T5-T10 middle thoracic segment, based on graphical images, is presented with its passive components (bones, intervertebral discs and flavum, intertransverse and supraspinous ligaments), in X-ray, posterior frontal and sagittal views (Figure 5). It represents the anatomical features found in the actual structure of the middle thoracic spine. The model presented in the X-ray mode shows the distinct parts of the bone and intervertebral disc materials required for simulations with FEM. The Figure 6 presents the solid models of the six anatomically different thoracic vertebrae, included soft tissue such as intervertebral discs and spinal ligaments.

Discussion

The present study proposes a 3D geometric modeling of the adolescent thoracic spine segment, T5-T10, with kyphosis and absence of kyphosis, based on graphical images for finite element analysis. The T5-T10 segment is important for its vulnerability to spinal deformity in young people. Experimental studies have reported the importance of this thoracic segment in the initialization and progression of the spinal deformity adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) (Castelein et al., 2005; Kouwenhoven et al., 2007).

The use of anatomical parts of the human spine for experimental study is rare, primarily in young individuals. In some cases, computational models seem to be the only alternative. Thus, the generation of realistic spinal models is of great importance for computational analyses aimed at studying vertebral deformation in adolescents. A possible advantage in the use of computer graphics and digitization software, from graphic images, is the geometric control provided by the program, including solving contact problems, or reducing excessive detail before exporting the .stl mesh. Additionally, smaller and more complex anatomical structures, such as the cortical shell and facet joints, are below the resolution of techniques such as biplane or CT imaging and cannot be indicated in vivo due to high doses of radiation (El Masri et al., 2012).

There is no precedent in the literature regarding the generation of geometric models based on graphs for use in FEM simulations. A geometric model of the thoracic spine was created with proper orientation of the joint facets and geometry of adolescent vertebrae, in addition to pre-tension in the flavum and intertransverse ligaments. This model is a close representation of the actual model when compared to those depicting cylinders and bars (Ghista et al., 1988), typical volumetric models with a single mobile segment (Meijer et al., 2010) or volumetric models that omit the back portion of the vertebrae (Fok et al., 2010). In this respect, the model developed will enable a good quantitative assessment of displacement and rotation in the system, but may exhibit differences in stress and strain levels. An accurate
model to evaluate stress and strain should consider the imperfections, porous, viscous and elastoplastic behavior of the material, considered complex parameters for accurate modeling. Additionally, the computational costs of complex models should also be taken into account.

A FEM study need to be constructed based on age-specific biomechanical data. In a review study, Wang et al. (2014) reported that all the models surveyed used material and biomechanical data derived from adults. According to the authors, there is little biomechanical information concerning young vertebral columns owing to the difficulty in obtaining anatomical parts of the human spine from fresh cadavers. This issue was a challenge for this study. The scarcity of studies that reference the modulus of elasticity of the vertebral tissues of younger individuals varies greatly with regard to values. Another challenge is the anatomical complexity of the human thorax, where the thoracic spine is located. It is known that the vertebral thoracic segment is encased in a closed system composed of bones from the rib cage, internal thoracic organs, and a complex system of fascias and muscles, the action of which increases the critical load and, consequently, vertebral stability. However, the complexity of the structure and the diversity in the orientation of muscular actions makes it difficult to include in the study. Few computational models include the ribs and posterior elements of the spine. According to Van Der Plaats et al. (2007), improving the model by incorporating the ribs and muscles is a major challenge to be overcome. However, the comparison between two different positions of the same model allows reaching relevant conclusions concerning the structural behavior of the adolescent thoracic segment.

In an FEM simulation using the geometric model proposed here, tetrahedral linear elements were used due to software limitations in generating the mesh of quadratic elements. To compensate for this limitation, we opted to control the mesh by increasing the density of elements in regions where more complex behavioral stress are assumed.

Although not included among the objectives of this paper, some preliminary results of the analysis with the FEM are presented. In an assessment of the rotational stability of vertebra under kyphosis of 31.24°, absence of kyphosis and ligament imbalance, it was found that the vertebra below the imbalance (T9) showed displacement similar to the deformity of scoliosis, in agreement with the study of Van Der Plaats et al. (2007), that is, an axial rotation of the vertebral bodies towards the convexity of the lateral curve. The facet joints were less requested in absence of kyphosis. Shear stresses values between 5 and 12.5 MPa were observed in the upper facets of T9; whereas the lower facets yielded values between 2.5 and 7.5 MPa, in kyphosis. In the case of absence of kyphosis under the same loading conditions, values of 7.5 MPa were observed in the upper facets of T9 and values close to zero were observed in the lower one. In addition, there were more pronounced vertebral rotations in the vertebral segments subject to imbalance of ligamentous forces, when compared to the spine with preserved kyphosis. The study demonstrated that the rotation of T8 in relation to T9 was greater in the case of absence of kyphosis and in the absence of an axial load (0.12° in kyphosis, and 0.22° in absence of kyphosis). These findings corroborate the hypothesis of Castelein et al. (2005), in which the authors describe a greater demand on the facet joints associated with greater efficiency in the containment of the rotation of a vertebra in relation to the adjacent vertebra. In an experimental study, Panjabi et al. (1976) evaluated the coefficient of rigidity and flexibility in the thoracic spine segments. The authors concluded that a thoracic functional unit is more flexible in traction than in compression. This could justify the greater rotational displacement of the T9 vertebra in this study when the axial load was removed. These findings contribute to the search for preventive actions of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. The models analyzed in the study were sensitive to the action of loads on the spinal structures. This may have important clinical implications, such as the association of the spinal morphology of children and adolescents and the risk of vertebral deformation and spinal damage. Considering the complexity of biological structures and risks involving in vivo studies, the continuous improvement of computational modeling techniques using FEM is relevant.

The results obtained in this study reveal a versatile methodology able to vary the parameters of the structures described, such as using data from the 10-year-old girl spine geometry obtained from Meijer (2011) studies, or vary the morphology of a particular structure. Moreover, it exhibits good graphic quality and allows visualization of models in different perspectives. Other models for different populations and age groups, and different morphologies should be proposed. The use of three images, in three planes, likely increased the quality of the final model generated by graphical images. Further studies are needed to improve alternative geometric modeling methods to MRI and graphical images. Further studies are needed to improve alternative geometric modeling methods to MRI and CT, especially for application in non-patient-specific finite element model studies.
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