Mathematical model for body fat percentage of children with cerebral palsy

Eduardo Borba Neves*, Lucas Menghin Beraldo, Stéphanie de Pol, Ana Cláudia Martins Szczypior Costin, Claudiana Renata Chiarello, Leandra Ulbricht

Abstract

Introduction: The aim of this study was to develop a specific mathematical model to estimate the body fat percentage (BF%) of children with cerebral palsy, based on a Brazilian population of patients with this condition. Method: This is a descriptive cross-sectional study. The study included 63 Caucasian children with cerebral palsy, both males and females, aged between three and ten-years-old. Participants were assessed for functional motor impairment using the Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS), dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) and skinfold thickness. Total body mass (TBM) and skinfolds thickness from: triceps (Tr), biceps (Bi), Suprailiac (Si), medium thigh (Th), abdominal (Ab), medial calf (Ca) and subscapular (Se) were collected. Fat mass (FM) was estimated by dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (gold standard).

Results: The model was built from multivariate linear regression; FM was set as a dependent variable and other anthropometric variables, age and sex, were set as independent variables. The final model was established as $F\% = \frac{(0.433 \times TBM + 0.063 \times Th + 0.167 \times Si - 6.768)}{TBM} \times 100$, the $R^2$ value was 0.950, $R^2_{\text{adjusted}} = 0.948$ and the standard error of estimate was 1.039 kg.

Conclusion: This method was shown to be valid to estimate body fat percentage of children with cerebral palsy. Also, the measurement of skinfolds on both sides of the body showed good results in this modelling.
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Introduction

Children with Cerebral Palsy (CP) require constant monitoring of their nutritional status. Limited movements of the upper limbs and difficulty in chewing require time-consuming meals which, combined with the presence of vomiting and reflux, can lead to malnutrition (Neves et al., 2014) while the most committed individuals who make use of gastric tube may have overweight and obesity (Stallings et al., 1995; Stallings et al., 1996).

A useful tool for this monitoring is anthropometry, especially estimated body fat percentage (BF%) by analysis of body composition (Stevenson et al., 2006). However, the use of models developed for healthy individuals provided inadequate results in children with CP (Gurka et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2005; Sproule et al., 2010; Stallings et al., 1995). Some CP conditions such as muscle atrophy, motor disturbances, altered growth pattern, increased body water, lower bone density and greater body fat concentration are seen as factors that block the assessment made by methods developed for healthy individuals (Gurka et al., 2010; Kuperminc et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2005; Neves et al., 2014).

Considering the need for more affordable methods for assessing body composition, models that perform the evaluation through skinfold were proposed (Gurka et al., 2010; Stallings et al., 1995; Van den Berg-Emons et al., 1998). They were based on North American and Dutch populations and all the procedures were developed based on adaptations of their own models for healthy children, especially the one proposed by Slaughter et al. (1988). Although the study by Neves et al. (2014) proposed a specific equation for children with CP, the sample was very small, limiting the applicability of the results.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to develop a mathematical specific model to estimate the body fat percentage of children with cerebral palsy, based on a Brazilian population of patients with this condition.

Methods

This is a descriptive cross-sectional study, whose main intent was to build predictive models from the associations of variables. The study included 63 Caucasian children with cerebral palsy, both males
and females, aged between three and ten-years-old, who participated in the physical therapy intensive program at Vitória Research Center, Curitiba, Brazil. Data collection occurred from 2012 to 2014. All patients with cerebral palsy and whose guardians agreed to their participation in the study were included in the sample. The study protocol was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of Campos de Andrade University Center under CAAE number 20058513.9.0000.5218. Informed consent was obtained from each participant’s parent or legal guardian.

Participants were assessed for functional motor impairment using the Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS), whose levels range from I to V, with individuals at level V having the greatest motor impairment. The sample was composed of children classified into all levels as shown in Table 1.

Total body mass (TBM) was measured by digital scales (Wiso W801) with a capacity of 0-180 kg and graduation of 100g. A stadiometer (WCS Woody Compact) was used for height measurement. Skinfold measurements were made with a scientific caliper (CESCORF) with precision of 0.1mm. All measures were taken by only one experienced assessor (Certificate Level 2 by the International Society of Advancement of Kinaanthropometry - ISAK) and carried out according to the recommendations by the American College of Sports Medicine (American..., 2013). The measures were taken on both sides of the body on: triceps (Tr), biceps (Bi), supra iliac (Si), medium thigh (Th), abdominal (Ab), medial calf (Ca) and subscapular (Se) folds. The skinfolds were measured in this order, and the procedure was performed three times. The average of the measurements for each fold in millimeters (mm) was used.

The assessment by DXA was performed with the device Lunar Prodigy Advance (GE Healthcare - General Electric Company) with Encore 2011 GE Healthcare software, which use NHANES III Table for body composition assessment of the CETAC laboratory, Curitiba, Brazil. This equipment has a coefficient of variation less than 1%, as indicated in the equipment’s user’s guide. The evaluated subjects were positioned supine with the legs kept in medial rotation through an acrylic nib and remained immobile during the evaluation. Children who could not stand still were sedated for this assessment. From the DXA whole body evaluation, the gold-standard method (Giachetti et al., 2015; Gurka et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2005) was established; fat mass (FM) and fat-free mass (FFM) were both presented in kilograms. Another variable that was measured was body fat percentage (BF%).

Descriptive data are presented as mean and standard deviation (s). The model was built from multivariable linear regression. The data were entered into a single hierarchical level through the stepwise forward method, and FM was established as the output variable (dependent). For determination of the predictor variables (independent), first Pearson’s correlation (R) was applied between the variables, and the ones most strongly correlated with FM were inserted in the model; the other variables were selected in descending order of correlation with FM, since they did not show a high correlation (R>0.900) with any variable already present in the model. This procedure was used to avoid multicollinearity. Sex was entered as a dichotomous variable as a result of the possible morphological differences between sexes.

Cook’s distance was used to verify influential values in the model, and those with value>1 were established as influential (Cook and Weisberg, 1982). The selection of outliers was performed by analysing the standardized residuals, with expected maximum of three individuals (~5.0%) presented for > |1.96s|, one (~1.0%)> |2.58s| and none for (~0.1%)> |3.29s|.

The validity of the model was tested through the square of the correlation between the predicted values and the FM (R²) in order to verify the relationship between the model and the observed values by DXA; ANOVA was performed to test the relationship among the sums of squares; the standard error of estimate (SEE), to determine precision; and t-test, to check if the predictor coefficients and the constant are different from zero. The predictor variables are described by regression coefficient (B); standard error for each parameter (SE B); standardized values for each coefficient (β); and the partial correlation coefficient (PCC). The applicability of the model was assessed using the adjusted value of the correlation squared (R² adjusted). The normal distribution of errors was verified by applying the Shapiro-Wilk test (Razali and Wah, 2011). The analyses were performed on the software Statistical Package for Social Sciences 21.0. The confidence interval was 95.0%, and significant results are indicated as levels *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GMFCS Level</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>2 (2.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>15 (22.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>22 (32.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>5 (7.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>24 (35.29)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results

The sample presented age of 5.6±1.8 years and was composed of 63 individuals; 65.1% (n=41) were male. As for body composition, FM sample ranged between 0.9 and 18.4 kg and BF% was 21.6±11.9 (Table 2).

Table 3 contains the correlation values between the variables. All analyses were significant (p<0.001). Based on these values, we selected the variables that would be included in the model. TBM had the highest correlation with FM (R=0.939) and was the first inserted variable. Then, we selected the folds Si (R=0.915), Th (R=0.909) and Bi (R=0.873) and age (R=0.729). The variables Se and Ab were excluded because they have a high correlation with Si (R=0.914 and 0.937, respectively); Tr and Ca were excluded because they have a high correlation with Bi (R=0.930 and 0.910, respectively).

The stepwise regression yielded three models, according to the analysis by ANOVA, and all three of them were significant (p<0.001). In the first model, only TBM was entered. Then, with the inclusion of Th there was an increase of 0.059 in modification of $R^2$ and F were significant (p<0.001). In the last model Si was inserted, increasing $R^2$by 0.009 and promoting another significant change in the F value (p=0.001). This was the last generated model resulting in Equation 1 (Table 4). Based on this model (Equation 1), the final equation was proposed to estimate BF% (Equation 2).

Table 2. Anthropometric characterization of 63 Brazilian children, from 3 to 10 years, with cerebral palsy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>5.6±1.8 (3.0-10.9)</td>
<td>6.4±2.4 (3.1-10.9)</td>
<td>5.2±1.2 (3.0-7.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBM</td>
<td>17.6±5.4 (11.0-32.0)</td>
<td>21.5±6.7 (15.0-32.0)</td>
<td>15.4±3.0 (11.0-22.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM</td>
<td>4.6±4.5 (0.9-18.4)</td>
<td>8.4±5.9 (3.2-18.4)</td>
<td>2.6±1.3 (0.9-5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFM</td>
<td>12.9±1.9 (9.4-17.4)</td>
<td>13.2±11.1 (11.1-16.7)</td>
<td>12.8±2.2 (9.4-17.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF%</td>
<td>21.6±11.9 (6.7-51.6)</td>
<td>32.7±12.3 (20.2-51.6)</td>
<td>15.7±6.2 (6.7-29.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TBM: Total body mass; FM: Fat mass; FFM: Free fat mass and BF%: Body fat percentage.

Table 3. Correlation between the anthropometric variables of 63 Brazilian children, from 3 to 10 years, with cerebral palsy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TBM</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Se</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Ab</th>
<th>Th</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Bi</th>
<th>Tr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FM</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>0.897</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>0.862</td>
<td>0.909</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td>0.873</td>
<td>0.852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>0.895</td>
<td>0.900</td>
<td>0.887</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi</td>
<td>0.805</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.910</td>
<td>0.879</td>
<td>0.893</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>0.546</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td>0.810</td>
<td>0.937</td>
<td>0.897</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>0.820</td>
<td>0.569</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>0.830</td>
<td>0.872</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ab</td>
<td>0.805</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.827</td>
<td>0.603</td>
<td>0.788</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td>0.516</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.809</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Model parameters and variables inserted in a specific mathematical model to estimate the body fat percentage (BF%) of children with cerebral palsy, based on a Brazilian population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>$R^2_{adj}$</th>
<th>SEE</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE B</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>PCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.880</td>
<td>1.575</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBM</td>
<td>0.787</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.939***</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.592***</td>
<td>0.812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.424***</td>
<td>0.706</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B: Slope; SE B: Standard error for the slope; β: Standardized slope; PCC: Partial correlation coefficient; SEE: Standard error of estimate; TBM: Total body mass; Th: Medium thigh; Si: Suprailiac; *: Average Model ≠ (ANOVA); †: β ≠ 0 (t test); ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001.
All variables excluded from the final model showed no $\beta\neq 0$; the significance values were $p=0.548$ for gender, $p=0.889$ for Bi and $p=0.096$ for age.

\[ FM = 0.433 \times TBM + 0.063 \times Th + 0.167 \times Si - 6.768 \quad (1) \]

\[ BF\% = \frac{0.433 \times TBM + 0.063 \times Th + 0.167 \times Si - 6.768}{TBM} \times 100 \quad (2) \]

Cook’s distance range from 0.000 to 0.322 and two cases (3.2%) had standard residue $> 1.96s$, with the values $-2.68$ and $1.96$, which justifies the non-exclusion of any individual. The final $R^2_{\text{adjusted}}$ value was 0.948, and the difference from $R^2$ was 0.002. The residuals were normally distributed ($p=0.791$).

**Discussion**

The determination of body composition through the use of equations developed with a basis on healthy children has been shown to be inadequate. Using the Slaughter equation (Slaughter et al., 1988) in American children showed correlation $p=0.58$ to fat mass in the study by Liu et al. (2005) and $R=0.690$ in the study by Stallings et al. (1995). By contrast, Van den Berg-Emons et al. (1998), compared the results obtained from this equation with BF% values of Dutch children obtained by the deuterium dilution technique and found a correlation $p=0.820$. Other equations achieved reasonable quality statistical parameters, but still lower than those obtained in the present study ($R^2=0.950$). The Durnin and Rahaman (1967) equation was developed from the body density values obtained by underwater weighing correlated by the order $p=0.406$. Gurka et al. (2010) developed an adaptation to the Slaughter equation that presented a correlation of agreement with the BF% determined by DXA of $R=0.910$.

One of the error factors in the evaluation of children with CP may lie in determining the side to be evaluated. There is no consensus on the criteria to be used, but most researches do not adopt any specific protocol due to the imbalance in body mass observed across hemibody (Liu et al., 2005; Stallings et al., 1995; Stevenson et al., 2006). Some protocols indicate the conclusion of the measure in the less affected side (Gurka et al., 2010) or in the non-dominant side (Tomoum et al., 2010; Van den Berg-Emons et al., 1998). The protocol developed in this study was adequate by performing the evaluation on both sides and taking the sum of the average of measures, and no study has been conducted performing the evaluation in this way.

The value of $R^2_{\text{adjusted}}=0.948$ suggests that even in other samples of children with CP the developed model is appropriate. Still, the measures taken to avoid multicollinearity tend to avoid the presence of errors in the application of the elaborated equation.

Age and gender were not significant enough to enter the equation. This suggests that the changes due to sexual maturation may already be represented in the variables present in the proposed equation. The absence of age and gender in the final model has been observed in other models for children with CP (Gurka et al., 2010; Stallings et al., 1995; Van den Berg-Emons et al., 1998). Although these factors affect fat mass, these variables are already associated with total body mass and inserted into the folds in the model.

It should be noted that the BF% measurement has limitations, even in healthy individuals. The direct measurement of the amount of body fat is possible only on cadavers and this measurement is practiced indirectly, mainly by densitometry. In this sense, knowledge of the density of different body tissues to determine the BF% is required. Among healthy children, these benchmarks are not yet fully established and among children with CP, it is not known how the morphological differences alter these values. Thus, DXA is indicated as the best technique for the measurement of BF% in children with CP, since other indirect methods such as underwater weighing and air displacement plethysmography require apneas and individuals must remain immobile for a long time (Oeffinger et al., 2014; Silva et al., 2013). Regarding the DXA technique used in this study, it was included as a form of indirect assessment of body composition in the 80s (Toombs et al., 2012). Although exposing the subject to a source of X-rays, it can be used in people of all ages (Hussain et al., 2014). The DXA Technology has been tested and validated in several populations, in different age groups, becoming recognized as a reference method in the body composition analysis (Albanese et al., 2003; Prior et al., 1997; Toombs et al., 2012).

Authors (Heymsfield et al., 1990), comparing the analysis of body composition by DXA with a 4-Compartment model (4-C) by neutron activation in 31 healthy adults, reported that the DXA presented error of about 1.6% to estimate the fat mass compared to 4-C. Kuriyan et al. (2014) evaluated the accuracy and precision of the estimated fat mass by DXA, BIA, skinfold thickness, and plethysmography, comparing with the reference method 4-C. The study evaluated 39 adults aged 20-40 years, and the results showed that the DXA provided the fat mass estimation with less bias and slight overestimation compared to other methods.
Williams et al. (2006) published a study in which they compared the accuracy of DXA, with a model of 4-C based on deuterium dilution to estimate fat mass and fat-free mass in 215 subjects aged from 5 to 21.3 years. The authors reported overestimation for fat mass in adult men and women, as well as for obese children and underestimation in non-obese children. The overestimation of fat mass was also detected by Svendsen et al. (1993), but the authors pointed out that the estimated standard error (EPE) was below 3% (1.9kg) associated with a correlation r>0.97, acceptable value for a reference method. Low EPE were also found by Prior et al. (1997) (SEE=2.8%) who evaluated 172 young people aged between 19 and 22 years and Van der Ploeg et al. (2003) (SEE=1.6%) that evaluated 152 individuals of both sexes, between 18 and 59 years.

Specifically in children and adolescents the applicability of DXA has also been widely discussed in the literature, being considered as a great method for estimating body composition (Elberg et al., 2004; Hussain et al., 2014). However, Sopher et al. (2004) conducted a study with 411 children and adolescents aged six to 18, in order to compare the fat percentage measured by DXA and the model of 4-C, they found overestimated values for DXA in those with a high fat percentage and underestimated in individuals with low fat percentage. Similar results were reported by Williams et al. (2006) and Wells et al. (2010).

Wong et al. (2002) evaluated the agreement in predicting the fat percentage between DXA and the model of 4-C in girls with a mean age of 13±1.9 years. The results showed an average overestimated fat 3.9%. According to the authors, this error stems from the adoption of the value of 73% for the hydration of fat free tissue which is the reference used by DXA software. Such values can be adopted for adults, but have limitations for teens because this value can vary from 67% to 85% according to individual characteristics (Helba and Binkovitz, 2009).

Silva et al. (2013b) conducted a systematic review of 12 studies involving the assessment methods of body fat in children and adolescents. The authors report that the DXA tends to underestimate the fat mass when compared to other methods in this population. And yet, the method should be used with caution because variables such as moisture levels, gender, ethnicity and type of equipment used may influence the results. Moreover, Testolin et al. (2000) argue that the errors found in this method are small and without significant impact on clinical practice or research. Even the 4C model which is admittedly the best gold standard for assessment of body composition according to Silva et al. (2013b), presents limitation on its use in young people. The authors justify this restriction because most equations were developed in adults. This fact reinforces the relevance of this study, which proposes equations developed with children.

The devolved equation was: \( \text{F}^\% = (0.433 \times \text{TBM} + 0.063 \times \text{Th} + 0.167 \times \text{Si} - 6.768) / \text{TBM} \times 100 \); the \( R^2_{\text{obtained}} = 0.950, R^2_{\text{adjusted}} = 0.948 \) with standard error estimated of 1.039kg. This appeared valid and proves to be suitable for application in different groups of children with cerebral palsy. Also, the measurement of skinfolds on both sides of the body showed good results in the construction of the model.
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