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Abstract

The National Adolescent School-based Health Survey (PeNSE) is a survey conducted with students from public and private schools, performed in a partnership between the Ministry of Health and the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics, with support of the Ministry of Education. PeNSE is part of the Brazilian Surveillance of Risk and Protective Factors for Chronic Diseases. Three editions were held, with triennial periodicity (2009, 2012 and 2015), which included samples composed of 9th grade students. In 2015, a sample of 13 to 17 years old students was added, making PeNSE comparable to international surveys. Through the three editions, the sample has increased, in size and scope, and the questionnaire has changed. In addition to the risk and protective factors for chronic diseases, the survey covers other topics, such as sexual behavior and violence. PeNSE provides essential information to support public policies aimed at Brazilian adolescents.
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**Presentation**

The National Adolescent School-based Health Survey (PeNSE) is a survey conducted with adolescent students and is part of the Brazilian Surveillance of Risk and Protective Factors for Chronic Diseases. Its first edition was conducted in 2009, and was planned to be held every three years. Since then, there have been two more editions, one in 2012 and another one in 2015. This survey is the result of a partnership between the Ministry of Health and the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE), with support by the Ministry of Education (MEC). The target-population of PeNSE was first formed by students enrolled in the 9th grade of Elementary School; in 2015 students enrolled from the 6th grade of Elementary School to the 3rd grade of High School were also included, with the objective of having a more representative research for students aged from 13 to 17 years old.

According to the 2010 Demographic Census, the population of Brazilian adolescents in that year was of, approximately, 18 million people aged from 10 to 14 years and 17 million aged from 15 to 19 years old. Data of the National Household Sample Survey (PNAD), also conducted by IBGE show that the schooling rate in the country was of 98.2% (2012) and 98.4% (2013) for the population aged from 6 to 14 years, and of 84.2% (2012) and 84.3% (2013) for the age group from 15 to 19 years old, regardless of the family monthly income, highlighting the potential capacity to monitor adolescents’ health.

**The National Adolescent School-based Health Survey (PeNSE) is a survey conducted with adolescent students and is part of the Brazilian Surveillance of Risk and Protective Factors for Chronic Diseases.**

During adolescence, several habits are changed and consolidated. During this period, adolescents go through important biological, cognitive, emotional and social changes. They try new behaviors and experiences, and some of these experiences are risk factors for health, such as smoking, alcohol use, unhealthy diet and physical inactivity, among others. These risk factors are associated to the development of most chronic non-communicable diseases (NCD), such as cardiovascular diseases, cancer, respiratory diseases and diabetes, the main causes of death among adults in Brazil and worldwide. Understanding how adolescent students live and behave enables policy makers to measure the magnitude and distribution of important risk factors in health, producing essential information to guide public policies.

The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends the implementation and maintenance of surveillance systems for risk factors to health aimed at adolescents. Nowadays, the main surveys of this type are the Global School Based Student Health Survey (GSHS), the Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC) and the Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System. In Brazil, in 2004, the Brazilian Center of Information on Psychotropic Drugs (CEBRID) conducted a survey on the use of psychotropic drugs by students from the Elementary and High School. In 2002 and 2003,
the National Institute of Cancer conducted a survey on Smoking among school adolescents (Vigescola), covering students enrolled in the 7th and 8th grades of Elementary School and in the 1st grade of High School of public schools from 12 Brazilian state capitals. In 2003 and 2007 there were surveys on the surveillance of risk factors for non-communicable diseases among adolescent students of public schools in the municipality of Rio de Janeiro. 

PeNSE was developed with the aim of filling the gaps on the knowledge about the health situation of Brazilian adolescents, and to meet WHO recommendations. Its planning began in 2004, with the meeting of a group of specialists, from several academic institutions, called by the General Coordination of Non-communicable Diseases and Conditions and Health Promotion (CGDANT), of the Secretary of Health Surveillance of the Ministry of Health (SVS/MS). Initially, a bibliographic revision and research for documents of similar surveys was conducted in order to subsidize the discussions about what would be the adequate design of the survey.

In 2005, a Technical Group (TG) was formed with the aim of conducting the first National Adolescent School-based Health Survey. The TG assisted the Ministry of Health in the formulation of the methodology, especially the development of the questions, by adapting national and international tools to the national needs and demands. The target-population chosen – adolescent students enrolled in the 8th grade (9th grade, after reformulation of the education system) – considered the age recommended by WHO for adolescent health surveys (13 to 15 years old), besides the fact that this school grade is the last in the cycle of elementary school, having higher coverage of the target-population than the high school. In this age group, the students are able to understand well the questions, texts and topics proposed in the research.

After some unsuccessful attempts to conduct a public bidding to enable the research, in 2007, IBGE and the Ministry of Health established a partnership to conduct PeNSE. The leadership, capacity for field work and adherence by IBGE researchers contributed so the investigation could be conducted with quality and swiftly. Currently, PeNSE is part of the calendar of researches under the responsibility of the Surveillance System for Risk and Protective Factors for Non-communicable Diseases and Conditions of the Secretary of Health Surveillance, Ministry of Health, and includes telephone surveys, such as the Telephone Survey Surveillance System for Risk and Protective Factors for Chronic Diseases (VIGITEL), household surveys, such as the National Health Survey (PNS), the Surveillance for Violence and Accidents (VIVA survey) and PeNSE. IBGE is the partner of the Ministry of Health and developer of structuring researches, such as PeNSE and PNS, which is a strong element for its sustainability.

In 2009, PeNSE innovated by using the equipment Personal Digital Assistant (PDA) to collect the data and, in 2012, the smartphone, standing out among the main adolescent-based researches worldwide. The Brazilian adolescents approved the new technology which, besides being safety, allows quick information transmission by eliminating data entry.

In the following years, the partnership between SVS/MS, WHO, the Pan-American Health Organization (PAHO) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention of the United States (CDC/USA) enabled a broader comparability between PeNSE and other surveys around the world.

The main objectives of PeNSE are to (i) compose the Surveillance for Risk and Protective Factors for Chronic Diseases in Brazil, (ii) monitor risk and protective factors regarding Brazilian adolescent students and (iii) identify priority issues for the development of public policies to promote adolescents’ health.

Sample

In the three editions of PeNSE (2009, 2012 and 2015) the researchers investigated factors of risk behavior and health protection in a sample of students who, at the moment of the survey, were enrolled in the 9th grade of Elementary School, in morning and afternoon shifts of public and private schools, located in urban and rural areas of the Brazilian territory (Sample 1). The 2015 PeNSE was composed of two independent samples of students; one was the same as those from the previous years (2009 and 2012), that is, of students enrolled in the 9th grade of elementary school (Sample 1), and the other of students who were enrolled from the 6th grade of elementary school to the 3rd grade of high school (Sample 2), the latter with the aim to offer estimates for Brazil and its macroregions about
some ages of interest. Both samples considered only students from regular public or private schools, with at least 15 students enrolled on the corresponding grade for each sample.\textsuperscript{1-3}

The samples of 9th graders (Sample 1) were dimensioned so the population parameters (proportions or prevalences) could be estimated in different geographic locations: each one of the 26 state capitals and the Federal District, for all the editions; the five Brazilian macroregions and the total for Brazil, in the editions of 2012 and 2015; and the 26 federative units, in the 2015 edition.\textsuperscript{1-3}

Thus, the geographical stratification of the national territory for the sample of 9th graders occurred as follows: each one of the 26 state capitals plus the Federal District was defined as a geographical stratum; the other municipalities were grouped into 26 geographical strata, representing each one of the Brazilian states, excluding their capitals, totaling 53 strata. One sample of schools was dimensioned and selected in each one of the 53 strata formed. The sample characteristics are presented in Figure 1, highlighting the increase in the coverage and geographical breakdown of the survey.\textsuperscript{1-3}

The size of the samples was calculated to provide estimates in each one of the geographical strata, with an approximate maximum error of 3%, in absolute values, with 95% confidence level. For that, the sample was dimensioned considering a prevalence (proportion) of 0.5 (or 50%), because, for proportions of this value, the variance of sample estimates is maximum. The sample sizes defined for each geographical stratum were distributed by strata allocation. The strata allocation, formed by comparing the geographical strata and the administrative dependence of the schools and their size – based on the number of 9th grade classes –, were used to allocate the sample to ensure the presence of private and public schools (federal, state or municipal), in the approximate proportion to its real amount in the selection registration.\textsuperscript{1-3}

To calculate the Sample 1, all the students enrolled in morning or afternoon shifts, in the 9th grade of regular Elementary School, in schools where there were more than 15 students enrolled in that grade, according to the School Census valid at the time of the research planning.\textsuperscript{1-3} To estimate the sample size (number of students) of a conglomerate sampling plan and a selection of proportional probabilities, a 50% proportion estimate was considered, with error margin and confidence level of 95%, using the following formula:

$$n = \frac{epan_{ASS}}{4d^2 + 1.96^2/N}$$

Where:

- $n$ = size of the students’ sample in a specific geographic stratum
- $epa$ = estimate of conglomeration effect
- $n_{ASS}$ = size of an equivalent simple random sample
- $d$ = expected margin of error
- $N$ = total of students recorded in that geographic stratum

1.96 = $Z$ value of the standard normal distribution which corresponds to a 95% confidence level

Sample 2, which represented students aged from 13 to 17 years old, was composed of students enrolled from the 6th to 9th grades of Elementary School and from the 1st to 3rd grades of High School, who studied in morning, afternoon and evening shifts (including multi-grade, integrated and teaching technician), enrolled and attending public and private schools located in the urban and rural areas of the whole Brazilian territory, in the year the survey was conducted.\textsuperscript{3} Sample 2 represented Brazil and its macroregions (Figure 1).

Sample 2 arose from the need to monitor students per age and represent 16 and 17-year-old individuals. The research scope increased and, consequently, its results could be compared with results of researches conducted in other countries. In this sub-sample, the evening shift was included because there is an important proportion of students aged 16-17 who study in this period. The schools with less than 15 students enrolled in the researched grade were excluded, for the reasons described previously. The following modalities of High School were also excluded: youth and adult education, concomitant professional education, subsequent professional education, combined professional education (concomitant + subsequent), 4th grade of regular high school, integrated or teaching technician.\textsuperscript{3}

In 2015, in Sample 2, the same questionnaire was used and the collection of anthropometric data was introduced. Thus, it was possible to identify and monitor factors related to the physical and biological development, and, at the same time, the exposure to risk conditions for the studied age group, which
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample characteristics</th>
<th>Year of research</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2015 (Sample 1)</th>
<th>2015 (Sample 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Target-population</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students enrolled in the 9th grade (former 8th grade) of Elementary School</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Population in the research</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students of the 9th grade of public and private Elementary Schools (morning and afternoon shifts), with at least 15 students enrolled in the 9th grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reference year of schools registration</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sampling plan (stratification)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>State capitals Stratum: state capitals UPA: 1st school USA: 2nd class</td>
<td>State capitals Stratum: state capitals UPA: 1st school USA: 2nd class</td>
<td>State capitals Stratum: state capitals UPA: 1st school USA: 2nd class</td>
<td>Macregions Stratum: macroregion UPA: IBGE agency UPA: 2nd school USA: 1st class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-state capitals Stratum: macroregion, except state capitals UPA: 3rd group of municipalities USA: 4th school USA: 5th class</td>
<td>Non-state capitals Stratum: federal unit, except state capitals UPA: 3rd IBGE agency USA: 4th school USA: 5th class</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sample characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>All the students of the selected classes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of geographic strata</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description of geographic strata</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>27 strata, composed of capitals of each federative unit</td>
<td>27 strata, composed of capitals of each federative unit</td>
<td>27 strata, composed of capitals of each federative unit</td>
<td>5 strata, each one related to one of the Brazilian macroregions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parameters to calculate the sample size</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum error 0.03% in absolute values in 95% confidence level Prevalence: 0.5 Proportional sample according to school administrative dependence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Considerations to calculate the sample size</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Size of the students’ sample in a specific geographic stratum Total of students recorded in that geographic stratum Sampling plan effect – estimate of conglomerate effect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Selection method</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>State capital UPA: 1st probabilities proportional to the size (number of 9th grade classes) USA: 2nd with the list of schools, the classes were selected and the draw was conducted in loco for 1 or 2 classes (if the school had more than two 9th grade classes)</td>
<td>State capital UPA: 1st Idem Non-state capital UPA: 2nd selection of groups of municipalities USA: 3rd with the list of schools, the classes were selected and the draw was conducted in loco for 1 or 2 classes (if the school had more than two 9th grade classes)</td>
<td>State capital UPA: 1st Idem Non-state capital UPA: 2nd selection of IBGE agencies USA: 3rd with the list of schools, the classes were selected and the draw was conducted in loco for 1 or 2 classes (if the school had more than two 9th grade classes)</td>
<td>Macregion UPA: 1st selection of IBGE agencies USA: 2nd probabilities proportional to the size (number of classes for each class) UTA: 2nd with the list of schools, the classes were selected and the draw was conducted in loco for 1 or 2 classes (if the school had more than two eligible classes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Representativeness</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Represents students enrolled who regularly attended the classes. In the calculation, students who missed the class or did not answer the sex were considered.</td>
<td>Represents students enrolled who regularly attended the classes. In the calculation, students who missed the class or did not answer the sex or age were considered.</td>
<td>Represents students aged 13 to 17, enrolled and who regularly attended the classes. In the calculation, students who missed the class or did not answer the sex or age were considered.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) UPA: primary sample unit.  
2) USA: secondary sample unit.  
3) UTA: tertiary sample unit.  
4) IBGE: Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics.

Figure 1 – Sample characteristics of the National Adolescent School-based Health Survey (PesNE), Brazil, 2009, 2012 and 2015
allowed the monitoring of the target of the NCD Global Plan defined for this age group.  
In Figure 2, we can see the predicted and collected samples, the representativeness and the possible disaggregation level of each edition.

**Participants**

The target-population of PeNSE is composed of students (i) enrolled in the 9th grade of elementary school (former 8th grade) (Sample 1) and (ii) from the 6th to 9th grades of elementary school (former 5th to 8th grades) and from the 1st to 3rd grades of high school (Sample 2, from 2015 onwards). The students should be regularly enrolled in public and private schools located in the urban and rural areas of the Brazilian territory, and attending classes in the years the survey was conducted. With regard to these characteristics, all the students of the classes of the selected schools were considered eligible to participate in the survey and were invited to answer to the questionnaire.

**Data collection**

In all the editions, during the stage before field work, the teams from SVS/MS, IBGE, state health and education departments of the 27 Brazilian federative units participated in video conference meetings. This stage aimed to raise awareness of the local teams and plan previous visits to the schools, assisted by health and education technicians of the respective federative unit. After the schools’ draw, there were visits to the selected units in order to explain to the school directors and professionals the planning and to schedule the data collection.

PeNSE is composed of two data collection tools. One of them is the school questionnaire, answered by the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Years of research</th>
<th>Sample 1</th>
<th>Sample 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Planned/expected sample</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools</td>
<td>1,507</td>
<td>3,004</td>
<td>3,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classes</td>
<td>2,270</td>
<td>4,288</td>
<td>4,418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrolled students</td>
<td>72,596</td>
<td>131,741</td>
<td>128,027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sample researched</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools</td>
<td>1,453</td>
<td>2,842</td>
<td>3,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classes</td>
<td>2,175</td>
<td>4,091</td>
<td>4,159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrolled students</td>
<td>72,782</td>
<td>134,310</td>
<td>124,227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attending</td>
<td>68,735</td>
<td>132,123</td>
<td>120,122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present/attending</td>
<td>63,411</td>
<td>110,873</td>
<td>120,122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondents</td>
<td>63,411</td>
<td>109,104</td>
<td>102,301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Valid Sample</strong></td>
<td>63,411</td>
<td>109,104</td>
<td>102,301</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Representativeness**

Students enrolled in the 9th grade of Elementary public and private Schools in the 26 state capitals and the Federal District

Students enrolled in the 9th grade of Elementary public and private Schools in the 26 state capitals, the Federal District and other municipalities in each one of the five Brazilian macroregions

Students aged from 13 to 17 years old (from the 6th grade of Elementary School to the 3rd grades of High School), enrolled in public and private schools in the 26 state capitals of the five Brazilian macroregions and Brazil

**Possible disaggregation**

Brazilian state capitals and the Federal District

Brazilian state capitals and the Federal District, non-capitals, macroregions and Brazil

Brazilian state capitals and the Federal District, non-capitals, states, macroregions and Brazil

Macroregions and Brazil

Figure 2 – Planned and collected sample, representativeness and possible disaggregation in the National Adolescent School-based Health Survey (PeNSE), Brazil, 2009, 2012 and 2015
director of the school or someone designated by them. The interview was conducted by a technician from IBGE. The other tool, destined to collect students’ data, was an electronic device: PDA, used in 2009; and smartphones, used in the subsequent editions. The IBGE technician distributed the devices to the students who were in class at the day of the interview and explained to them how to use the device. They also presented the Free Informed Term of Consent, and, after they agreed to participate in the survey, the students answered the questions. In this document, the adolescents were informed about their right to (i) not answer to some questions and (ii) leave the survey at any moment.

Besides the questionnaire, the technicians collected the students’ anthropometric measurements to calculate their body mass index (BMI). In 2009 and 2015, they collected weight and height. In 2009, this measurement covered the whole sample. In 2015, the measurements were conducted in Sample 2, and for Sample 1, the weight and height were self-reported. In 2012, these data were not collected.

Quality control

A pilot test was conducted during the research planning, for adjustments and adequacy. Before data collection, IBGE state supervisors were trained so they could replicate the same training course to the interviewers, in each federative unit. The main objective of this strategy was to ensure the quality and standardization of the procedures for data collection. The supervision conducted by the team of the national management of PeNSE with the field teams tried to ensure consistency in the procedures, besides collecting suggestions of the field experience, aiming to improve the future editions of PeNSE.

During the data collection period, the data recorded on smartphones were sent daily, by the local teams to IBGE head office. When the data collection was concluded, IBGE treated the variables, by checking inconsistencies and cleaning the database. The sample weights to be used in the data analysis were calculated by IBGE.

Variables

The school questionnaire contains data related to (i) administrative organization (education level offered, working shifts, number of enrolled students), description of the (ii) school environment (library, computer lab, kitchen, cafeteria, sports court, schoolyard, accessibility structure) and of (iii) local policies (adherence to the Programs School Health and More Education, policy against tobacco, alcoholic beverage, and bullying).

The students surveyed by PeNSE informed about: sociodemographic and economic aspects; family contexts; eating habits; practice of physical activity; tobacco, alcohol and other drugs; sexual and reproductive health; violence, safety and accidents; habits of personal hygiene; oral health; perception of body image; mental health; use of health services; and asthma. The dictionaries of variables for each edition of PeNSE are available at IBGE website: http://www.ibge.gov.br.

Uses

PeNSE data support the development of indicators to characterize the adolescents’ health profile. These indicators are mainly calculated according to sex and administrative dependence of the school. The main indicators monitored by the National Adolescent School-based Health Survey are presented in Figure 3. PeNSE supported the School Health Program, as well as other approaches of the Ministry of Education and Ministry of Health to promote health within schools. Its data subsidized the publication of several scientific articles, and academic studies.

Updates

PeNSE is revised every new edition so it can improve and be updated to answer to the demands related to adolescents’ health. According to Figure 4, throughout its three editions, the main changes were:

a) questionnaire review, inclusion and adjustments of questions, besides making it more comparable to international surveys – currently, seven modules can be compared to the GSHS;

b) change in the data collection tool — first the PDA, then the smartphone;

c) sample expansion, so the data could be more representative of Brazil, its macroregions, federative units and state capitals; and

d) inclusion of a sub-sample representing students according to the age (13, 14, 15, 16 and 17 years).
Epidemiol. Serv. Saude, Brasília, 26(3), Jul-Sep 2017

Household and school contexts
- Percentage of students whose parents or guardian knew what they did during their free time
- Percentage of students who misses classes or did not attend school without permission from parents or guardian
- Percentage of students who used to eat their meals in five or more days a week with their parents or guardian

Eating habits
- Percentage of students with healthy eating habits (beans and fruits) and unhealthy eating habits (treats and soft drinks), at least five out of seven days before the data collection
- Physical activity and body image
- Percentage of students with self-perception of body image, in the categories ‘skinny or thin’, ‘normal’, ‘fat or very fat’
- Percentage of students who reported spending two or more hours a day watching TV (physical inactivity)
- Attendance to physical education classes – percentage of students who had attended two or more physical education classes at school, in the previous seven days
- Percentage of students who had practiced a total of 300 minutes or more of physical activity, in the previous seven days

Use of psychoactive substance
- Percentage of students who had tried cigarette at least once in their lives
- Percentage of students who reported having smoked at least once in the 30 days before the data collection
- Percentage of students who had tried alcoholic beverages at least once in their lives
- Percentage of students who reported having drank alcoholic beverages at least once in the 30 days before the data collection
- Percentage of students who had tried, at least once, illicit drugs, such as marijuana, cocaine, crack, solvents mix (cola, lólô, lança perfume), ecstasy, oxy, etc.
- Percentage of students who reported having used illicit drugs, such as marijuana, cocaine, crack, solvents mix (cola, lólô, lança perfume), ecstasy, oxy, etc., in the 30 days before the data collection

Violence
- Percentage of students whose classmates had treated them well and/or had been helpful to them, in the 30 days before the data collection
- Percentage of students who reported having felt humiliated by bullies in the 30 days before the data collection
- Percentage of students who had been into any fight in which someone used firearm or melee weapon in the 30 days before the data collection

Accidents
- Percentage of students who had not used seat belt when they were in motor vehicle driven by another person, in the 30 days before the data collection
- Percentage of students who had not worn seat belt when they were in motor vehicle driven by another person, in the 12 days before the data collection

Sexual behavior
- Percentage of students who have had sex at least once in their lives
- Percentage of students, among those who have had sex, who used condom in the last intercourse

Dental Health and search for health services
- Percentage of students who had been to the dentist in the 12 months before the data collection
- Percentage of students who searched for any health service or professional for assistance related to their own health, in the 12 months before the data collection

Limitations

When using PeNSE data, some limitation must be taken into consideration to interpret the analyses results.

Firstly, it is important to highlight that this survey was conducted with adolescents who attend school and were there the day the questionnaire was applied. So, two main issues should be considered: (i) school absenteeism may be related to the outcome studied; and (ii) this survey does not represent the Brazilian adolescents, but the adolescents who go to school. However, we must consider that the elementary school level is universalized throughout the country.

The information collected about the adolescents is self-reported, and is likely to underestimate or overestimate the indicators studied, depending on the lower or higher social acceptance level.
of the asked behaviors. Since this is a cross-sectional survey, it is necessary to be cautious when interpreting the results, because it is not possible to establish time and causal relation to some of the associations found.\textsuperscript{23}

We should also be aware to the comparison between indicators obtained from data collected in different editions: some questions are not comparable anymore, and the samples have also changed, especially in 2015, when we compare to the two first editions – 2009 and 2012.\textsuperscript{1,3}

**Data analysis**

Since this is a complex sample survey, the data analysis of PeNSE must consider weights for each sample, calculated with the objective of representing the students belonging to each sample.

The development of the sample weight took into consideration the weights for the schools, the classes and the students. The students’ weight was corrected by the number of students in the class with valid questionnaires (students who accepted participating

\*Sample 1: students of the 9th grade of Elementary School.
\**Sample 2: students from the 6th grade of Elementary School to the 3rd grade of High School.

**Figure 4 – Timeline of planning and development of the National Adolescent School-based Health Survey (PeNSE), Brazil, 2004-2015**
in the research and informed their age and sex). The sample weights enabled to estimate the number of students enrolled who were present in classes.1-3

The analyses must be conducted with specific statistical programs for data originated in complex samples. Before the beginning of the analyses, the sample weights and the strata must be stated: (i) for 2009, ‘pesoaju_sexo’ and ‘estaloca’; (ii) for 2012, ‘peso’ and ‘estratog’; and (iii) for 2015, ‘peso’ and ‘estrato_exp’.

The analyses can be stratified, mainly by sex and administrative dependence. For geographical disaggregation, the representativeness of the sample for each edition must be observed, as previously described.

Ethical aspects

In all the editions of PeNSE, their respective projects were submitted and approved by the National Committee of Ethics in Research (CONEP) – reports No. 11.537/2009, No. 16.805/2012 and No. 1.006.467/2015 –, and met the Resolution of the National Health Council (CNS) No. 196, dated October 10th 1996.

The Free Informed Term of Consent was included in the PDA (2009) and in the smartphones (2012 and 2015), depending on the edition, and the participants marked that they were aware of their participation in the research. The participants could withdraw the research at any moment, with no loss of any type. Anonymity and privacy were fully guaranteed to the participants; IBGE does not provide variables that can identify the participants.

Access

PeNSE data are of public domain and can be accessed at IBGE website (http://www.ibge.gov.br). In the icon ‘population’, you can select the ‘National Adolescent School-based Health Survey’. In the chart ‘Summary of the profile of the National Adolescent School-based Health Survey – PeNSE. Brazil, 2009, 2012 and 2015’, you can find the links to access each edition of PeNSE and the information available: book with the analyses results; tables with the main indicators; files with the school and students’ data, in the formats ‘.csv’ and ‘.sas7bdat’; and variables dictionaries, in ‘.xls’.
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