Infraestructura para higienización de manos en un hospital universitario
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RESUMO
Objetivo: Avaliar a infraestrutura hospitalar e o conhecimento dos coordenadores sobre a estrutura da unidade para a higienização

ABSTRACT
Objective: To evaluate the hospital infrastructure and the knowledge of the coordinators about the unit structure for hand hygiene.

Método: Estudo transversal descritivo realizado em um hospital universitário no Sul do Brasil, entre dezembro de 2016 e janeiro de 2017. Foram avaliadas 18 unidades de internação e entrevistados 16 coordenadores. Utilizou-se o questionário sobre estrutura da unidade para a higienização das mãos da estratégia multimodal da Organização Mundial de Saúde. Utilizou-se a estatística descritiva.

Conclusões: Constatou-se que os profissionais recebiam instruções para a higienização das mãos e a existência de protocolos. As dificuldades observadas foram a falta de ilustrações, dispensadores de álcool gel, pias em algumas enfermarias, e pouca disponibilidade de dispensadores ao alcance das mãos próximos ao leito/maca do paciente.

INTRODUCTION

Hand hygiene (HH) in the hospital environment is essential to prevent health care related damages. It is a basic action, which still lacks greater commitment on the part of all the people involved. For it to be effective, it is very important that there is adequate infrastructure, managers’ evaluation of the structure conditions (sinks, faucets, dispensers and supplies), knowledge and the attitudes of professionals regarding the HH practice. The inclusion of patients and companions in this practice is also important.

Faced with the problem regarding this action, the World Health Organization (WHO) launched the first global challenge entitled “Clean Care is a Safer Care”, aiming to guarantee the control of the Infections related to the Health Care (IRAS – “Infecções Relacionadas à Assistência à Saúde”, in Portuguese language)(1). The key action of this challenge was the promotion of HH to raise awareness among health professionals, managers and authorities in the area, to improve HH practices and to reduce IRAS(1).

The WHO has also developed the multimodal strategy as an auxiliary tool to improve adherence to HH(2). It can be applied in different scenarios, and its implementation necessarily follows five steps: preparation of the unit (including planning, material resources and institutional support); basic assessment (professional experience, knowledge and physical structure of the location); implementation (development of planned activities according to the initial planning); evaluation of follow-up and return (periodic evaluations to verify the effectiveness of the strategy)(2).

One form of evaluation is the measurement of adherence to HH. In this regard, the National Agency of Sanitary Surveillance (ANVISA – “Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária”, in Portuguese language) proposes to the Hospital Infection Control Commissions (CCIH – “Comissões de Controle de Infecção Hospitalar”, in Portuguese language) some indicators. Among them, the consumption of alcoholic preparations, the consumption of soap for HH (volume consumed per 1000 patient-days) and adherence to HH (number of HH actions performed by health professionals / number of opportunities occurred for HH multiplied by 100)(3).

Currently, IRAS are considered a public health problem, since they may be associated with a shortage of human resources, interlinking the inadequate physical structure of health services and ignorance of prevention and control measures, which has an impact on patient safety during care provision(4-5).

Among the factors related to the non-adherence to HH, there is lack of motivation and absence or inadequacy of infrastructure for adherence to this practice. The lack of sinks, dispensers of liquid soap and alcohol gel, and paper towels and trash cans near the place of care provision are examples of conditions unsuitable for HH(6).

National(7-9) (54.2%; 56%; 47.8%) and international studies(10) (14.2%) evidenced low adherence rates to HH practice in the hospital setting. However, there is a lack of evaluation and availability of infrastructure for the HH practice at these locations.

In view of the above, the research questions are: “Do the hospitalization units have infrastructure for effective hand hygiene, according to the ANVISA regulations?” and, “What is the coordinators’ knowledge about the structure of the hospitalization units for the practice of hand hygiene?” Thus, the purpose of this study is to evaluate the hospital infrastructure and the knowledge of the coordinators about the structure of the unit for the practice of hand hygiene.

METHODOLOGY

The present study refers to a completion of course work of the nursing graduation course(11). It is an observational study with a descriptive cross-sectional design, developed at a Teaching Hospital in the South of Brazil, specifically in the hospitalization units. This hospital has 403 beds, distributed in 18 hospitalization units, representing different specialties (emergency, medical, surgical, pediatric, psychosocial care, Adult, Pediatric and Neonatal ICU). Two units are headed by the same nurse, so the hospital has 16 coordinating nurses.

The data were collected through the questionnaire “Unit structure for hand hygiene” validated by WHO and inserted in the multimodal strategy. It is a valid indicator for assessing the units infrastructure for both practice and adherence to HH in health services(12). The questionnaire has two parts. The first part contains 22 questions that assess the knowledge of the coordinators about the hospitalization units regarding the structure for HH. The second part contains items that quantitatively assess the existing infrastructure, materials, inputs and equipment in the units for the HH(12).
Thus, the first part was answered by the coordinators of the hospitalization units (N=16) and the second part by a previously trained collector, avoiding the information bias. All the coordinators of the hospitalization units and all the units that had the care and treatment point were included. By care point, it is understood: the place that presents the three elements simultaneously (patient, health professional and care), involving the contact with the patient\(^2\).

The data collection was performed between December 2016 and January 2017. The study met the ethical precepts of Resolution 466/2012 of the National Health Council\(^1\), obtaining a favorable opinion from the Research Ethics Committee under CAAE: 62643716.0.0000.5346 on December 22, 2016. The coordinators were guided about the objective of the research and agreed, through the signing of the Free and Informed Consent Term in two copies.

The data were organized in the program Epi-info\(^\text{®}\), version 6.4, with double independent typing. After verifying typing errors and inconsistencies, the data was analyzed in the PASW Statistics\(^\text{®}\) program (Predictive Analytics Software, SPSS Inc., Chicago - USA) 18.0. Categorical variables were described using absolute (N) and relative (%) frequencies. For data analysis, which refers to the infrastructure for the practice of HH, it was considered:

- **Rate of adjustment of the general structure:** presence of sinks with clean water, soap and paper towel per bed divided by the total beds of all hospitalization units.
- **Usage rate of pocket bottles of alcohol gel:** total of health professionals divided by the total of health professionals found with bottle of alcoholic preparations in the pocket.
- **Rate of types of faucets present in sinks:** types of faucets divided by the total number of faucets present.

### RESULTS

Of the total number of coordinators (N=16), all participated in the first stage of the research. It should be highlighted that in Table 1 and 2 the data are organized according to the coordinators’ responses.

#### Table 1 - Distribution of inputs and equipment available in the hospitalization units (N=16). Santa Maria, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Always</th>
<th>Sometimes</th>
<th>Rarely</th>
<th>Never</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is water always available?</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there an alcoholic preparation for hand hygiene available in the unit?</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If so, are the dispensers replaced when empty?</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If so, does each health care professional have easy access to pocket bottles of alcoholic preparation?</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are procedure gloves available on this unit?</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


More than half of the coordinators (62.5%, N=10) state that audits exist and are carried out on a monthly basis. As to the types of alcoholic preparations dispensers available in the units have been described: pocket bottles (6.3%; N=1), bottles attached to trolleys/trays (12.5%; N=2), bottles attached to the bed (6.3%; N=1) and on the wall (100%; N=16).

Concerning the illustrative posters on the simple HH technique, seven coordinators (43.8%) report the non-existence of these in the sinks, and one coordinator (6.3%) reported that there is a lack of exposure of posters close to the patient’s bed with regard to the technique for antisepctic hand rubbing, as well as indications for HH. Most of the coordinators (93.8%; N=15) stated that HH promotion posters are available in the hospitalization units.

As for the second stage, of the 18 estimated units, all were duly observed by the collector. In this one, a total of 347 hospitalization beds, 118 health professionals were approached, 213 sinks and taps, 154 alcohol gel dispensers and 112 bottles of soap were evaluated, so that it is easier to understand the rates in Table 3.

It should be emphasized that the psychosocial care unit has specificities regarding the presence and distribution of the sinks and dispensers for HH, due to the care and safety needs of the population served.
Table 2 - Availability and refill of the bedside dispenser, accessibility and specific instructions on HH protocols. (N=16). Santa Maria, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>If all the dispensers are available, are they placed at hand close to the patient's bed? (N=15)</td>
<td>10 62.5</td>
<td>5 31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a person indicated as responsible for refilling or changing the dispensers?</td>
<td>16 100</td>
<td>- -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a person indicated as responsible for refilling or changing the empty bottles?</td>
<td>15 93.8</td>
<td>1 6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are protocols with hand hygiene recommendations accessible on this unit?</td>
<td>16 100.0</td>
<td>- -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there stock of gloves stored in this unit?</td>
<td>16 100.0</td>
<td>- -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have the nurses in this unit been given specific instruction on hand hygiene in the past two years?</td>
<td>16 100.0</td>
<td>- -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have health care professionals at this unit been given specific instruction on hand hygiene in the last two years?</td>
<td>15 93.8</td>
<td>1 6.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 3 - Distribution of sinks (S), dispensers (D) and dispensers/bottles (DB) available per unit searched, according to the number of beds (B) of the unit. Santa Maria, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Sinks (S)</th>
<th>Dispensers (D)</th>
<th>Dispensers /bottles (DB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rate* (S:B)</td>
<td>Rate† (D:B)</td>
<td>Rate‡ (DB:DB in perfect conditions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Touch-gynecological</td>
<td>12:38</td>
<td>5:38 AM</td>
<td>28:28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical clinic I</td>
<td>4:25 AM</td>
<td>10:25 AM</td>
<td>2:14 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephrology</td>
<td>4:11 AM</td>
<td>8:11 AM</td>
<td>2:14 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General surgery</td>
<td>4:52 PM</td>
<td>5:52 PM</td>
<td>33:32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical clinic II</td>
<td>4:27 AM</td>
<td>7:27 AM</td>
<td>3:15 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult ICU</td>
<td>4:10 AM</td>
<td>5:10 PM</td>
<td>9:21 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult UCI</td>
<td>3:6</td>
<td>9:6</td>
<td>12:12 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatrics</td>
<td>8:29 AM</td>
<td>6:29 AM</td>
<td>3:15 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTMO</td>
<td>6:7</td>
<td>6:7</td>
<td>12:10 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTCriaC</td>
<td>7:18 AM</td>
<td>4:18 AM</td>
<td>2:14 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRPA/SRI</td>
<td>3:20 AM</td>
<td>10:20 AM</td>
<td>6:17 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatric ICU</td>
<td>4:8</td>
<td>5:8</td>
<td>10:9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial Care Unit</td>
<td>12:30 AM</td>
<td>12:30 AM</td>
<td>0:0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstetric Center</td>
<td>5:13 AM</td>
<td>5:13 AM</td>
<td>10:9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB ICU</td>
<td>4:24 AM</td>
<td>25:24</td>
<td>29:29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatric Emergency Room</td>
<td>2:6</td>
<td>1:6</td>
<td>4:4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Emergency Room</td>
<td>6:23 AM</td>
<td>7:23 AM</td>
<td>5:17 PM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *(S:B) Corresponds to the number of sinks: Bed; †(D:B) Corresponds to Alcohol Gel dispenser number at hand: Bed; ‡(DB:DB) Corresponds to the total number of dispensers/bottles in this room: Total number of dispensers/bottles in perfect conditions and replenished.
The adequacy rate of the general structure for the HH practice was 26.2% (91:347), and the use of alcohol gel pocket bottles was 2.9% (118:4). Regarding the rate of types of faucets present in the sinks, faucets with automatic closing (39.4%, 84:213) prevailed; followed by manual closure (35.7%, 76:213); faucets with a lever that allows the activation/closing by the elbow (11.7%, 25:213); faucets operated by pedal (7.5%, 16:213) and sensor activated (5.6%, 12:213).

The lack of posters illustrating the guidelines for the correct practice of HH near some sinks and dispensers of alcohol gel in the infirmaries were some of the inadequacies found.

**DISCUSSION**

According to the coordinators, the hospitalization units have water available and clean. This data corroborates the study developed in a hospital in Africa, which mentions the constant supply of running and clean water[13]. However, in Bangladesh it was shown that only two of the 12 HH stations had running water[14]. The availability of water in health services and dispensers for alcoholic preparations at the care/health treatment point, including the replacement of these when empty, are critical to ensuring a quality HH practice.

In this study, all the coordinators mentioned availability of the alcoholic preparation and stated that they are replaced when empty. This shows management co-responsibility as inputs are delivered uninterrupted and changed as needed. Different results were evidenced in a hospital in the northwest of Paraná. Of the 15 health care units evaluated, 13.3% (n=2) of them were always refilled when empty, and in 86.7% (n=13) they were replenished sporadically[15].

As for the alcohol gel dispensers at hand and close to the patient’s bed, the coordinators responded positively to their availability. During the data collection, it was observed that only the Adult ICU had bottles attached to the wall, at the bedside. There is evidence in the literature that infrastructure alone is not considered the greatest barrier to the practice of HH among health professionals. Trainings are also necessary, since despite the high percentage of running water (96%), liquid soap (72-96%) and alcohol gel (18% to 51%), of the 4676 HH opportunities observed, the rate of HH adherence with water and soap was 48%, and with alcohol was 29%[16].

Regarding the alcohol gel pocket bottles, about half of the coordinators highlighted difficulties in access. This data corroborated the collections performed in loco, where the rate corresponding to the number of health professionals found with pocket bottles was 2.9%. This evidence was found in the Psychosocial Care Unit, where there are no available care points (sinks, dispensers of liquid soap and paper towels), due to the clinical history of the patients hospitalized in this unit (serious mental disorders or resulting from the use, abuse or dependence of alcohol and other drugs).

Health professionals are advised to have pocket bottles for antiseptic hand rubbing. This measure aims to reduce the microorganisms load in the absence of visible dirt, besides contributing to the prevention of IRAS, increasing the quality of care and patient safety[15]. The use of pocket bottles to increase adherence to HH is considered a valid and successful intervention in institutions that do not have an adequate infrastructure[13]. In this sense, the pocket bottles may be a strategy used by the institution to encourage health professionals to HH.

In this study, the coordinators reported that the protocols with recommendations for HH are accessible in the units, and that they had been trained on them in the last two years. In a research from Paraná[15], it was observed that only seven of the 15 hospitalization units surveyed had HH manuals available for consultation. Other evidence from the study was the disparity in training in the last two years between nurses and doctors (92% and 20%, respectively)[15]. The low adherence to training was also observed in another study, with ICU nurses (33.3%) and technicians (51.8%)[17].

It is known that permanent education actions should be used by institutions and that they can contribute to the motivation of professionals. A study[18] showed an increase in the median score of 16 (interquartile range, 12-20) to 20 (interquartile range, 15-24) after an intervention with training on the knowledge of HH performed with doctors, nurses, nursing technicians and medical students.

However, there are other factors that interfere with non-adherence to the HH practice. Among them: behavioral, institutional and material ones. Regarding the material factors, a study evidenced, through reports of professionals, inadequacies in the supply of supplies (paper towel, 70% alcohol and liquid soap) in adult, pediatric and emergency hospitalization units. These findings were corroborated during the observation[18]. Therefore, it can be reflected that the low adherence of health professionals can be related to the deficiency in the structural conditions of the services, informative materials (posters, folders) and also, the lack of permanent education about HH. Institutions can play a key role in motivating professionals to develop appropriate HH practices[19].

In a hospital in Paraná, 258 dispensers, 81.8% (N=211) were present at the care and treatment point to the patient; however, far from the hands of professionals; 18.2%
(N 47) were located in the corridors of the unit and only one dispenser was attached near the patient’s bed(15). Inadequacies were also found in another hospital in the south region of Brazil, where there were no antiseptic dispensers at the bedside of the patients(5). This lack of access can make it difficult for the HH practice of the professionals during the care provided to the patient, which may favor the development of IRAS.

The presence of illustrative posters for both the promotion of HH and the technique of simple hygiene with soap and water were evaluated as positive by the coordinators. They were exposed beside the sinks, both in the corridors and in the infirmaries. The presence of these can assist the companions and patients in the correct practice of HH. In the same way, it can favor so that the professionals can be constantly reminded of the HH technique. However, studies conducted in the south region of Brazil(5,15) found lack of posters related to the technique and the promotion of HH attached in the units.

According to the coordinators surveyed, audits on the HH adherence in hospitalization units are performed monthly. On this, there is no consensus in the literature, while some institutions perform this measure(10), others do not(15). It should be emphasized that audits can be a positive factor to increase the rate of adherence to HH among health professionals, since feedback from the follow-up can be provided from them.

In loco when assessing the physical structure, there were inadequacies such as: sinks that had rust and faucets spilling out of the sink when activated. In addition, it was identified the presence of liquid soap dispensers next to alcohol gel, which may cause inappropriate use of these inputs during the practice of HH. However, dispensers of liquid soap, alcohol gel and paper towel were in perfect conditions, replenished and properly distributed by the units.

Regarding the types of faucets per sink, in relation to the institution researched, these are in accordance with RDC No. 50(20). It was observed that in 39.4% (84:213) the faucets had automatic closing; however, in 35.7% (76:213) they had manual closure. According to this Resolution, sinks should have faucets that do not demand the contact of the hands for closure. When this is not possible, paper should be used, avoiding direct contact with the faucet(5,20).

HH is an individual, simple and less costly measure to prevent IRAS. Therefore, in order for the HH practice to be performed, it is necessary, initially, that health institutions provide adequate infrastructure in the infirmaries, corridors and points of care/treatment. In addition, professionals also need to do their part by being proactive, co-responsible and positive influencers (role-model) regarding the HH practice.

**CONCLUSION:**

The infrastructure for the HH practice at the institution surveyed is in part in accordance with what governs the RDC 50. Some inadequate sinks and faucets, lack of illustrative posters and gel dispensers near the patients’ beds were found in the infirmaries. However, in a positive way, it was observed that in the institution there is a refill and exchange of the appropriate empty dispensers/bottles, illustrative posters next to the dispensers located in the corridors, as well as institutionalized protocols and provision of training on HH. The coordinators demonstrated adequate knowledge of the existing infrastructure.

A report with the results was prepared for the researched institution, which could serve as a basis for the implementation of measures that meet the standards recommended by ANVISA. In order to encourage health professionals regarding the adherence to HH, it is suggested to plan actions with active methodologies, such as realistic simulations that contemplate the HH institutional protocol.

Regarding the limitations of the study, the following stand out: reduced population, despite being the totality of coordinators, making it difficult to generalize the findings; the impossibility of doing association analysis by observing different variables (observation of the infrastructure and the knowledge of the coordinators).
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