Conservative dentistry: non-beveled esthetic restorations in anterior teeth

Odontologia conservadora: restaurações estéticas sem bisel em dentes anteriores

Lísia Lorea VALENTE¹
Eliseu Aldrighi MUNCHOW¹
Sonia Luque PERALTA¹
Niélli Caetano de SOUZA²

ABSTRACT

The pursuit of esthetic excellence in dentistry today requires dental practitioners to enhance their knowledge and technique in restorations of the anterior teeth. Due to the high incidence and prevalence of fractures in this dental area, mimicking the optical properties and characteristics of the dental structure in young patients (aged 6 to 15 years) is a major challenge. This case report describes the minimally invasive, non-beveled restoration of two fractured anterior teeth (class IV). After diagnosing fractures of teeth 11 and 21 in a 10-year-old patient, a composite mock-up was performed, followed by a diagnostic wax-up. With the aid of a silicon guide, the restorations were prepared without beveling the enamel surface. We performed color stratification by using different types of composite resins. Finally, finishing and polishing procedures were carried out.


INTRODUCTION

Tooth fracture is a highly prevalent event among children, especially in boys¹. The incisal third of the central anterior teeth is the most frequent area impacted during accidental fractures, mainly due to the protrusive and anterior nature of these teeth. Rehabilitation of the esthetics, shape, and function of fractured teeth can pose a challenge to the dental practitioner².

Mimicking the natural characteristics of the teeth has been always considered one of the major concerns of dental professionals. Taking into consideration that good esthetics are usually the main purpose of restorative dental treatments³, the final quality of the restoration may depend on various factors, including the preparation of a bevel on the dental surface in order to hide the transition between tooth and restorative material⁴ as well as the proper selection of materials and shades, which may vary according to the tooth area to be restored⁵.

For several years, beveling of the cavosurface margin was considered essential for the preparation of esthetically successful, imperceptible restorations. Nevertheless, enamel beveling usually produces excessive substrate removal; this, in turn, could be considered an unnecessary procedure, as non-beveled esthetic restorations can also be easily obtained and thus represent the more conservative treatment option.
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natural teeth are polychromatic, unlike direct restorative materials (e.g., composite resins), which are commonly monochromatic. This optical mismatch may constitute an obstacle to the achievement of an excellent esthetic outcome when using only one shade of resin composite\textsuperscript{4}. Consequently, the “primary” (i.e., hue, chroma, and value) and “secondary” (i.e., fluorescence, opalescence, translucency, and opacity) optical characteristics of restorative materials should be properly observed in an attempt to ensure satisfactory treatment\textsuperscript{7}.

Within this context, the purpose of the present article was to report the restorative treatment of two fractured permanent teeth without beveling of the dental substrate and using a combination of different resins and shades in order to restore the natural appearance of the teeth.

CASE REPORT

N.L.D.V.P., a 10-year-old Caucasian male, was brought to the Dental Clinic of Centro Universitário Franciscano (UNIFRA) with a chief complaint of fracture of both maxillary central incisors (11 and 21). After obtaining written informed consent from the patient’s mother, a history and intraoral examination were performed and revealed two class IV cavities. Tooth 11 was fractured in the enamel only, whereas both enamel and dentin were involved in tooth 21 (Figure 1).

As that the teeth fragments were missing, the suggested treatment option was a composite restoration. First, impressions from the maxillary and mandibular arches were obtained for a subsequent diagnostic wax-up. Next, the shade of the teeth was selected using the Vita shade guide, followed by temporary restoration of both teeth (mock-up) using the stratified technique (i.e., different types and shades of resin composites). Briefly, Adper™ Single Bond Plus Adhesive (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA) was applied, without previous acid etching of the teeth, followed by application of compressed air for solvent removal and light-activation for 20 seconds (s). Resin composites (Filtek Supreme XT, 3M ESPE; and Four Seasons, Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein) of different shades and translucency.opacity ratios were applied and light-activated for 20 s.

Before the next clinical appointment, a diagnostic wax-up was performed on the plaster models obtained and a silicone impression was taken for further use as a guide for the permanent restoration of teeth 9-10. To that end, the temporary restorations were removed and the anterior area was isolated with cotton rolls and gingival retraction cord. Next, 37\% phosphoric acid was applied (Figure 2a) for 30 s and 15 s on enamel and dentin, respectively. The teeth were then rinsed with water for 30 s and dried with absorbent paper points. The dentin was kept moist. Single Bond was then applied (Figure 2b), followed by solvent removal using compressed air and light-activation for 20 s (Figure 2c).

Subsequently, the silicone guide, which had been previously cut into two halves, was placed on the back of the anterior teeth. Tooth 11 was restored using Filtek Supreme XT, shade YT, in the palatal area (Figure 3a) and Four Seasons, shades T. Blue and B1E, in the incisal area and buccal surface, respectively (Figure 3b). Tooth 21
was restored using the aforementioned composites, as well as Four Seasons, shade B1D, for reconstruction of the dentinal mamelons (Figure 3c). The last layer of resin composite was applied with a brush so as to hide the transition between substrate and material (Figure 3d). Each composite increment was light-cured for 20 s.

An occlusal test was performed using carbon paper. Next, finishing and polishing procedures were performed using the Sof-Lex™ Finishing and Polishing System (3M ESPE) (Figure 4). The enamel perikymata were reproduced by drawing small transverse ridges on the surface of the teeth with the aid of a diamond bur (2135FF, KG Sorensen, Cotia, Brazil) (Figure 5a). To facilitate visualization of the final texture, a fine silver powder was applied to the buccal surface of the teeth (Figure 5b,c). One day later, the restorations were polished with a felt disc and diamond paste (Diamond Flex, FGM, Joinville, Brazil). Figure 6 shows the final appearance (after 1 week) of the restorations: frontal (a), lateral (b), incisal (c), and smile (d) views.
Coronal fractures of the permanent teeth are very common in young individuals, and mainly involve the anterior area of the maxillary teeth\textsuperscript{8-11}. Regardless of cause, tooth fractures compromise masticatory function, the health of oral tissues, and the smile esthetic. With the advent of the adhesive dentistry, dental esthetic restorative treatments became easier and faster. Moreover, the use of resin composites enables a more conservative treatment, with minimal removal of remaining dental structures. Although the first choice for fracture restoration is bonding of the original fractured fragment back onto the tooth, which may restore the natural characteristics (color, translucency, shape, and texture) of the tooth, the fragments were missing in the present case, thus ruling out this treatment option.

Despite several available techniques, direct composite restoration is a conservative alternative. In addition, taking into account the young age of the patient and subsequent incomplete maturation of his maxillary bones, composite restoration constituted the best option for rehabilitation of the esthetic problem presented\textsuperscript{12-13}. Even though the bevel technique is still widely used in the clinic\textsuperscript{14}, especially because dental practitioners believe it prevents marginal leakage and leads to better retention of the restorative material\textsuperscript{15-16}, countless restorations have been performed without beveling the substrate. Indeed, excellent esthetic and functional results can be achieved using the non-beveled technique, and its use precludes administration of anesthesia, thus producing less discomfort to the patient. Therefore, the restoration of both teeth in the present case was performed without beveling the substrate.

Among the various factors that influence the appearance of a restoration, correct shade-matching and successful simulation of the anatomical shape are by far the major concerns of treatment\textsuperscript{13}. As shown in Figure 6, the restorative treatment performed yielded a satisfactory esthetic outcome, confirming that substrate beveling is not essential. It bears noting that a very conservative treatment was obtained with the technique described.

The use of a silicone guide to build up the palatal surface of anterior teeth makes the restorative process easier\textsuperscript{9}. In the present case, the silicone guide was obtained from the diagnostic wax-up. Although this technique involves a laboratory stage, the patient’s chair time during the restoration procedure is usually lower as compared to the conventional technique (i.e., without the silicone buildup guide)\textsuperscript{17}.

The esthetic result obtained in this case was also dependent on the stratification technique performed, since the combination of different color shades and resin composites of distinct translucency/opacity was used to mimic the natural appearance of the teeth. Indeed, translucent incisive halos as well as opaque mamelons could be restored. In addition, the nanofilled composite materials used led to an optimal polished, smooth surface, thus contributing to the esthetic outcome of the restorations\textsuperscript{18}. 
CONCLUSION

Current practice in operative dentistry advocates that, regardless of the procedure, dental practitioners should choose the most conservative treatment modality. Taking this into account, the present case report outlined the restoration of two coronal fractures involving the maxillary central incisors without substrate beveling. The esthetic quality of the restoration was considered satisfactory and was approved by the patient.
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