Flexible resins: an esthetic option for partially edentulous patients
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ABSTRACT
Flexible thermoplastic resins have been used as an alternative to partially edentulous patients for decades in the USA. However, they are neither popular nor widespread in Brazil. This material represents an excellent treatment option to solve clinical problems such as compromised esthetics caused by visible metal clasps, and fall fracture of dentures made of conventional resins. Additionally, there is little researching and no controlled clinical studies about the use of flexible resins in prosthodontics. For these reasons, this study presents a case report of a dissatisfied patient treated with a conventional removable partial denture, which was replaced by a modified metal framework without metal clasps in combination with flexible resin, and a literature review about this material focusing on the dental practitioner. The study also reports how these polymers can be used, their indications, and their clinical and laboratory considerations.


INTRODUCTION
Prosthetic rehabilitation should be able to recover patients’ function and esthetics. However, conventional removable partial dentures (RPDs) are fabricated on a metal framework that uses clasps for retention. The clasps are usually visible when the patient smiles. These visible components occasionally cause dissatisfaction, leading patients to reject treatment1 because of how they attribute maximum importance of their smile to their self-esteem and to their personal, social, and professional relationships2.

Some resources are available for promoting better esthetics, such as attachment-retained removable partial dentures. However, this type of treatment has higher biological and financial costs since abutment teeth need to be prepared to receive fixed partial dentures1. Another esthetic solution is the rotational path removable partial denture, which can have excellent results but its indication is very limited and fabrication technique very sensitive3. Careful planning of removable partial dentures, such as the use of the distal surfaces for retention and bar clasps instead of circumferential clasps4, can yield better esthetic results. A favorable esthetic result can also be reached by changing the design of the metal structure by using a lingual retentive arm and leaving the labial side metal free5. This requires the fabrication of a plane guide on the free proximal surface of the abutment tooth such that this plane, parallel to the insertion axis of the denture, receives a proximal plate, which has a reciprocity function1,5 originally performed by the reciprocal arm. Despite all these resources, sometimes it is not possible to provide good esthetics, usually leaving the metal used for the framework visible4.
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Although conventional acrylic resins (polymethyl methacrylate-PMMA) offer appropriate esthetics, they do not meet all the mechanical requirements imposed on removable partial dentures since PMMA is subject to mechanical failures and dimensional changes, and may cause allergic reactions. Many polymers have already been introduced or developed over time to improve PMMA's physical properties. Some such polymers include the flexible resins introduced in the 1950s for denture purposes, considered a material of great potential in dentistry. These resins may be used for replacing PMMA and/or the metal framework, the materials used in the fabrication of conventional RPDs.

The flexible materials used for the fabrication of RPDs are thermoplastic resins. They differ from conventional resins by not undergoing chemical reactions during the laboratory process. Thermoplastic resins undergo only physical changes when heated, as they become soft and can be injected under pressure into a preheated refractory mold, where it solidifies as it cools. Flexible thermoplastic resins used for fabricating RPDs include polyamides or nylons, and acetate or polyoxymethylene resins.

**Polyamide or nylon**

Polyamide was introduced in the market for the fabrication of flexible RPD decades ago, at a time when it did not have the required characteristics for the fabrication of appropriate dentures. Today, polyamide derives from diamine and dibasic monomer acids. It has high chemical, thermal, and physical resistances, is clinically unbreakable, absorbs little water, and is color stable.

Polyamide can be used in many ways: it can replace the metal framework and conventional resin used in totally flexible and metal-free RPDs, bracing the abutment teeth and mimicking gingival tissues; it can be combined with the metal framework, replacing the Co-Cr retentive arms with flexible clasps that mimic the gum and the conventional resins in the base; and as prefabricated clasps in the color of the teeth connected to the metal framework or to conventional resins. Some commercial brands include Flexite (The Flexite Company, Mineola, NY, EUA) and Valplast (Valplast International Corp., Long Island City, NY, EUA).

**Acetate or polyoxymethylene resin**

Formed by formaldehyde polymerization, acetate resin is a very strong flexible material that resists wear and tear. These characteristics make it an ideal material for denture bases, prefabricated clasps, and RPD frameworks, replacing metal and promoting better esthetics. Although acetate resins are flexible, they are harder than polyamide, so an acetate framework can also be combined with PMMA bases. On the other hand, because of the ordered carbon chain, acetate resins are opaque, not having the esthetically desirable translucency and vitality.

According to Arikan et al., acetate resins absorb less water and are less soluble than PMMA, although both polymers meet international specifications (ISO). Acetate resins are similar to PMMA with respect to color stability. Examples of commercial brands include TSM Acetal Dental (Pressing Dental Company, Dogana, San Marino) and Dental D (Biodent, Goodna, Australia).

**Advantages and disadvantages of using flexible resins in RPDs**

Many patients are dissatisfied with their RPDs because of the metal clasps, especially clasps in the anterior region. Dentures made from flexible resins can be totally metal free or contain metal parts. Resin clasps are made in the same color of the teeth or in translucent pink resin, mimicking the gums. These characteristics make such RPDs unarguably more esthetic.

Metal-free flexible-resin RPDs are lighter and more comfortable. Moreover, they are more resistant than the traditional nonflexible RPDs. Polyamide RPDs are clinically unbreakable.

The water absorptivity of resins is important because it changes RPD dimensions and worsens its mechanical properties. Acetate resins absorb less water than PMMA but have the same color stability. Solubility regards the mass of soluble materials in the polymers, such as nonreactive and plastifying monomers, and primers. Acetate resins are less soluble, thus better than conventional acrylic resins. Contrary to PMMA, both acetate and polyamide resins have very little or no residual monomers.

Generally, thermoplastic resins are not very porous, reducing the formation of biofilm and pigmentation, avoiding malodor, and increasing dimensional and color stability. However, a recent study found that after 72 hours, polyamide resin had more microorganism growth than conventional acrylic resin. However, microorganisms were reduced or eliminated in both resins after treatment with chemical cleaners and 0.5% sodium hypochlorite, respectively.

Polyamide does not adhere to acrylic resins in the same way that new increments of flexible resin cannot be added to a finished denture, even if the denture is made from the same material, usually preventing rebasing and
repairs. Nevertheless, some manufacturers state that dentures can be rebased and repaired properly using cyanoacrylate as a bonding agent. Acetate resin can be rebased and repaired when used as framework and combined with acrylic resin bases.

It is important to point out that there are no clinical studies about microorganism colonization and growth on polyamide dentures or about the effect of cleaners on the characteristics of this material.

The absence of longitudinal clinical studies with patients wearing flexible-resin dentures is an important disadvantage. Hence, how oral tissues respond to long-term exposure to these polymers is unknown. Additionally, many resin behavior-related parameters have not been established, such as microorganism growth on flexible resins and the effect of chemical dental cleaners and sodium hypochlorite on their characteristics.

Flexible resin indications

Flexible resins are indicated in cases where esthetic requirements cannot be met by other types of dentures because of biomechanical or physiological reasons or the patient’s will.

Acetate and polyamide resins do not have residual polymers, therefore, flexible RPDs can be indicated for patients who may be allergic to these substances or patients allergic to Co-Cr.

They are also indicated for patients with a bulky torus palatinus, palate cleft, propensity to break dentures, and morphological intolerance to hard acrylic bases, such as knife-edge ridge.

According to Samet et al., flexible PRDs may also be indicated in any situation of microstomia and compromised manual dexterity stemming from a systemic condition, such as patients with systemic sclerosis or patients with severe burn or traumatic scars.

Clinical considerations

A great benefit of flexible metal-free RPDs is the small oral preparation required. Component flexibility reduces the interferences during denture insertion and removal. The fabrication of guide planes and eventual wear on the surfaces of the reciprocal arms are considered inconsequential.

VandenBrink et al. assessed the behavior of RPD clasps made from noble metal alloys, basic alloys, and flexible resin. They observed that flexible-resin clasps change permanently after a deformation of approximately 0.76 mm. Therefore, when flexible-resin denture models are designed, one should use a calibration retainer rest of 0.50 mm, that is, the flexible-resin clasps should be placed in deeper retainer areas because of their greater flexibility.

Furthermore, only the active edge of the retentive arm of a conventional denture should be below the denture’s equator to promote retention. Nonetheless, polyamide dentures require some millimeters of contact between the material and the tooth and gum tissues to promote adequate retention and stability. Thus, sometimes enameloplasty with a diamond burr is required for perfect adaptation on the dental structure, achieving contact between the denture and oral structures.

Flexible removable partial dentures

Flexible removable partial dentures (RPDs) are prostheses that are designed to replace missing teeth in the maxillary or mandibular arch. They are versatile, especially in cases of extensive tooth loss or edentulous situations.

RPDs may be constructed with various materials such as acrylic resin, polyamide, and metal. Each material has its own advantages and disadvantages, and the choice of material depends on the specific needs of the patient.

Flexible RPDs, in particular, are made from materials such as polycarbonate, polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA), and acrylic polymer. These materials are known for their flexibility and are often used in cases where traditional dentures may not be a suitable option. The flexibility of these materials can help reduce the pressure on sensitive tissues, making them more comfortable for the patient.

Flexible RPDs are indicated in cases where esthetic requirements cannot be met by other types of dentures because of biomechanical or physiological reasons or the patient’s will. They are also indicated for patients who may be allergic to Co-Cr.

Flexible RPDs are indicated in cases of microstomia and compromised manual dexterity stemming from a systemic condition, such as patients with systemic sclerosis or patients with severe burn or traumatic scars.

Clinical considerations

A great benefit of flexible metal-free RPDs is the small oral preparation required. Component flexibility reduces the interferences during denture insertion and removal. The fabrication of guide planes and eventual wear on the surfaces of the reciprocal arms are considered inconsequential.

VandenBrink et al. assessed the behavior of RPD clasps made from noble metal alloys, basic alloys, and flexible resin. They observed that flexible-resin clasps change permanently after a deformation of approximately 0.76 mm. Therefore, when flexible-resin denture models are designed, one should use a calibration retainer rest of 0.50 mm, that is, the flexible-resin clasps should be placed in deeper retainer areas because of their greater flexibility.

Furthermore, only the active edge of the retentive arm of a conventional denture should be below the denture’s equator to promote retention. Nonetheless, polyamide dentures require some millimeters of contact between the material and the tooth and gum tissues to promote adequate retention and stability. Thus, sometimes enameloplasty with a diamond burr is required for perfect adaptation on the dental structure, achieving contact between the denture and oral structures.

In addition to the material used for fabricating the clasps, other aspects should be considered, such as arm length, width, cross-section, and curvature radius.

To obtain hardness similar to a Co-Cr clasp with a length of 15 mm and diameter of 1 mm, an acetate resin clasp should be shorter, approximately 5 mm in length and 1.4 mm in diameter. Polyamide dentures are extremely stable, retentive, and almost unbreakable, and usually made in shades of pink. Clasps made from this polymer surround the neck of the tooth and because they are not very thick, they are translucent, mimicking the gum and promoting the desired natural look.

An important fact reported by Quagliatto et al. is that metal-free acetate-resin RPDs should be fabricated very carefully following a number of criteria. Naturally, conventional dentures should also be fabricated in this manner, but in the case of metal-free acetate-resin RPDs, the laboratory receives the model made by the dentist mounted on a semi-adjustable articulator and returns a RPD ready for insertion.

It is important to always bear in mind that flexible resins are polymers that differ from conventional acrylic resins, so they should be treated differently. For example, it is often necessary to make adjustments when inserting a RPD for the first time and performing maintenance. These adjustments should be made by rubber burrs as recommended by the manufacturers, and not by tungsten carbide burrs, like those used for PMMA-based acrylic resins.

CASE REPORT

This is the case report of a 53-year-old, partially edentulous female patient who was very dissatisfied with a recently installed upper removable partial denture. She was mainly dissatisfied with the metal clasp that was visible when she smiled (Figure 1). Hence, a polyamide RPD was indicated to satisfy the patient's needs. Since only
the elements 13 and 23 were present in the upper arch, a metal structure was indicated to provide more support to the teeth and ridge, combined with polyamide base and clasps to ensure better esthetics (Figure 2). To obtain the desired flexibility, the material would have to be thin, conferring translucency and a natural appearance (Figure 3). The patient was very satisfied with the final result.

The patient signed an informed consent form agreeing with the proposed treatment and the disclosure of her photographs.

**Laboratory considerations**

Inexperienced technicians take longer to fabricate polyamide RPDs than PMMA RPDs using injection. After proper training, both RPDs required similar times to fabricate, sometimes less time to fabricate polyamide RPDs\(^\text{25}\). More dexterity is required to adjust and polish polyamide RPDs\(^\text{10}\). Better polishing is achieved with rubber burrs and polishing paste\(^\text{4}\).

**DISCUSSION**

Flexible RPDs are an excellent treatment option for partially edentulous patients not only because of their unarguably better esthetics provided by materials that mimic teeth and gums, but also because they are more comfortable for patients with oral tissue changes, severe microstomia, and low motor function\(^\text{12}\). Therefore, flexible RPDs can adequately meet the expectations of patients dissatisfied with the conventional rehabilitation treatment since they provide a good solution for the patients’ esthetic or physical limitations.

A study investigated partially edentulous patients who alternately used conventional RPDs (metal framework and PMMA base) and metal-free polyamide RPDs; after six months, both RPDs had similar function, stability, and retention, but patients subjectively preferred the polyamide RPDs\(^\text{25}\).
Dimensional precision and stability\textsuperscript{9,10,13,25,26} as well as the physical behavior of acetate and polyamide resins regarding elasticity\textsuperscript{27}, fracture resistance, and shock resistance\textsuperscript{17} favored their use in RPD fabrication.

Arda & Arikan\textsuperscript{23} reported that Co-Cr clasps had deformed significantly after six months of clinical use, and continued to lose retention strength until the 36th month of simulation. On the other hand, acetate clasps do not deform after the same period\textsuperscript{23}; the elasticity of flexible clasps last indefinitely\textsuperscript{10}, showing that their mechanical behavior is also very favorable. Yet, the retentive force of Co-Cr clasps remained significantly higher than that of acetate clasps during the entire study period\textsuperscript{23}.

A patient with oral and manual dexterity limitations due to systemic disease rehabilitated with a flexible RPD was very satisfied with the treatment, handling the denture well and presenting satisfactory function and excellent condition of the tissues adjacent to the RPD after three years of use\textsuperscript{12}. Vacek\textsuperscript{25} also did not find adverse effects on the anatomic structures of any patient who used polyamide RPDs for six months. However, there are no studies with enough evidence to indicate flexible RPDs as unconditional replacements for conventional RPDs made from Co-Cr and PMMA.

**CONCLUSION**

RPDs made from acetate and polyamide resins have a high potential for the rehabilitation of partially edentulous patients, and their favorable characteristics allow them to be used without concerns when well indicated.
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