Mucoepidermoid carcinoma of the retromolar region: report of a clinical case
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ABSTRACT

Although the number of reported cases is low, mucoepidermoid carcinoma is the most common malignant salivary gland neoplasm in the oral cavity. Its etiology is unknown. Clinically, it is described as a painful or painless swelling most often seen in the palate. Due to its great biological diversity, treatment and prognosis depend on the histological grade, location, and tumor stage. The objective of the present study was to describe a clinical case of mucoepidermoid carcinoma in a Brown female patient aged 45 years. Intraoral physical examination revealed a 1.0 cm diameter, bluish bubble with clear boundaries in the left retromolar region. The bubble had been there for roughly four months. An excisional biopsy was performed to confirm the clinical diagnosis of mucocele. Yellowish mucous leaked during the excision. The anatomical-pathological result was mucoepidermoid carcinoma, after which the patient was referred to a head and neck surgeon. Hence, we emphasize the importance of early diagnosis and proper management of this disease. Even when its clinical appearance is not suggestive of malignancy, mucoepidermoid carcinoma diagnosis should be considered in cases of proliferative oral lesions.


INTRODUCTION

Malignant neoplasms of the salivary glands are rare and represent 3 to 5% of all malignant tumors that occur in the head and neck region. They may affect the major or minor salivary glands¹,². Mucoepidermoid carcinoma (MEC), the most frequent malignant salivary gland neoplasm in the oral cavity, was studied and described as a distinct entity for the first time by Stewart et al.³. Although its etiology is unknown, in some cases it may be associated with genetic factors or exposure to radiation and/or smoking². This epithelial tumor derives from the reserve cells of the excretory duct, whose biological behavior varies from low to high grade⁴. Although all grades are capable of metastasis, the low-grade tumors are generally locally invasive but lowly aggressive. The neoplasm can infiltrate in the neighboring tissues or develop distant metastases in the lungs, bones, and brain⁵. The ten-year survival rates for lowly and highly malignant tumors are 90% and 42%, respectively⁶.
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The mean age of affected individuals is 45 years. The most common intraoral locations of the tumor are the palate, buccal mucosa, and alveolar region. Regional lymphadenopathy is uncommon. Clinically, the lesions are nodular, consistent, and stationary, evolve slowly, grow usually asymptomatically, have variable sizes, and may ulcerate. The color of the lesion varies from blue to red or purple.

Histologically, MEC consists of a mixture of mucous, squamous (epidermoid), and intermediate cells whose malignancy is determined by their histological graduation, including cystic and solid lobular arrangements permeated by a fibrous connective tissue stroma.

Based on its histological characteristics, infiltration capacity, local recurrence, and morbidity, MEC is classified into three grades of malignancy: low grade when cell atypia is minimal, cystic formation is prominent, and proportion of mucous cells is high; high grade when there is considerable pleomorphism and mucous activity and high proportion of quickly growing squamous cells, causing early pain, possible ulceration, bone resorption, lymphadenopathy, and even facial paralysis; and intermediate grade when the three types of cells are present but intermediate cells prevail.

The harmless appearance of the neoplasm hinders the differential diagnosis of other benign lesions, such as salivary retention cysts, hemangioma, nevus, or other cystic processes.

The location, histological grade, and tumor stage determine the treatment plan and prognosis. The diagnosis is based on an association between the clinical findings and the complementary tests that include imaging, fine-needle aspiration biopsy (FNAB), and anatomical-pathological examination of the biopsy specimen.

Lesions of the oral mucosa, floor of the mouth, lips, and retromolar region resemble asymptomatic submucous masses, while lesions of the tongue are usually painful.

Generally, treatment consists of total excision of the lesion, including partial or complete removal of the compromised gland, and depending on the extension of the lesion and histopathological grade, possibly postoperative radiotherapy. Radical neck dissection is also performed in patients with clinical evidence of metastasis and in highly malignant cases.

The present study aimed to describe a clinical case of MEC in a Brown female patient aged 45 years seen at the Hospital Napoleão Laureano, João Pessoa (PB).

**CASE REPORT**

The patient is a Brown female aged 45 years from the city of João Pessoa (PB). She visited the Stomatology Outpatient Clinic of Hospital Dr. Napoleão Laureano complaining of “uncontrollable gingival bleeding” that had been going on for one year and eight months. The patient denied having systemic problems but had undergone mastectomy, radiotherapy, and chemotherapy to treat a malignant breast neoplasm seven years earlier. Extraoral physical examination revealed no change or lymphadenopathy. Intraoral physical examination evidenced hyperemic gum that bled when touched and a biofilm on the dental surfaces, the main complaint of the patient. The left retromolar region contained an exophytic, flaccid, bluish, sessile, bubbly lesion with smooth surface, clear borders, and an approximate diameter of 1.0 cm (Figure 1). The painless lesion had been present for four months and did not bleed. A radiograph revealed no bone changes. The lesion was diagnosed as mucocele and excisionally biopsied. A yellowish mucous leaked during the excision (Figure 2). The specimen was sent to anatomical-pathological analysis. The histological cuts were stained with hematoxylin and eosin, revealing a fragment of a malignant salivary gland neoplasm with proliferation of three cell types: polygonal epidermoid cells, eosinophilic cytoplasm, and vesicular nucleus; mucosa exhibiting ample clear cytoplasm and basaloid intermediate cells, scarce eosinophilic cytoplasm, and more hyperchromatic nucleus. These cells surrounded pseudocystic spaces of different sizes and formed agglomerates that projected to the inside of the pseudocystic lumens (Figures 3 and 4). Alternatively, eosinophilic substances and cells with granular cytoplasm filled the pseudocystic spaces. The epidermoid cells were more cohered and sometimes presented numerous and prominent nucleoli. Light-colored cells were present among this neoplastic proliferation. The stroma contained vascularized fibrous connective tissue of variable density, with foci of mostly mononuclear moderate inflammatory infiltrate. Fragments of salivary gland, muscle, and fat tissues, nerve fascicles, and hemorrhagic extravasation completed the histological picture. Thus, the histopathological diagnosis was MEC with unspecified histological graduation since the margins were compromised. The patient was referred to a head and neck surgeon who opted for observation despite the histologically compromised margins because of the lack of neoplastic evidence.
The patient was followed for one year and five months without clinical evidence of tumor recurrence. However, since the patient complained of burning in the region, especially when eating, we opted for surgery. The histopathological result confirmed the excisional biopsy diagnosis, MEC (Figure 5). Radiotherapy was not performed because the tumor was a low-grade MEC. The patient has been followed by the head and neck surgeon for about four months without complaints or clinical evidence of the lesion. The patient signed an informed consent form granting full use of the data reported herein.

Figure 1 - Clinical aspect of the lesion evidencing the presence of a bluish bubble in the retromolar region.

Figure 2. Intraoral aspect of the transoperative period.

Figure 3. Multiple pseudocystic spaces surrounded by mucous, intermediate, and epidermoid cells (HE/100X).

Figure 4. Mucous cell agglomerates projecting toward the lumen of the pseudocystic spaces (HE/400X).

Figure 5. Epidermoid cell agglomerates exhibiting eosinophilic cytoplasm (HE/100X).
DISCUSSION

The embryological, histological, and anatomic characteristics of the oral cavity associated with environmental factors provide numerous opportunities for the development of asymptomatic or symptomatic lesions.

The present article reports a case of MEC in a female patient. Until now, there is no consensus regarding the preferred gender of this neoplasm. Some believe there is no preference, while others believe MEC is more prevalent in women (60.2%).

The clinical diagnosis of mucocele was based on the finding of a bluish, flaccid, bubbly lesion with smooth surface and clear borders accompanied by mucous content seen during the excisional biopsy. MEC is usually bluish and unattached, like mucocele. The presence of mucous secretion in lesions with these characteristics would sustain the diagnostic hypothesis of mucocele.

Some complementary tests are necessary for proper diagnosis, usually an incisional biopsy. MEC’s benign clinical appearance usually leads to a diagnosis of pleomorphic adenoma or other lesions, including mucous retention cyst, hemangioma, pigmented nevus, and cystic processes.

In this case, the patient had no pain, lymphadenopathy, or bone changes in the radiograph, further hindering the clinical diagnosis. The absence of symptomatology can delay diagnosis, making treatment less effective. Additionally, pain is not always present in MEC cases. However, there are reports of symptomatic cases associated with lymphadenopathy, ulceration, or bone involvement.

The present MEC was located in an infrequent location. The major salivary glands are affected more often, usually represented by the parotid. Kolude analyzed 34 MEC patients and found that only 25% of the lesions affected the minor salivary glands, most of which in the palate. The same was observed by Dedivitis. Other oral areas affected in decreasing order are the buccal mucosa, alveolar mucosa, tongue, retromolar region, floor of the mouth, and lips.

Moreira observed that the parotid gland is the most frequent site of malignant lesions, followed by the submandibular and minor salivary glands distributed throughout the oral cavity. However, Ledesma-Montes & Graces-Ortiz reported that the palate was affected most often.

Isolated tumors in the retromolar trigone are rare because of its small space. In general they are squamous cell carcinomas with rare exceptions. The lesions frequently extend to the tonsils, anterior pillar, and soft palate.

In the present study the excisional biopsy specimen was sent to anatomical-pathological analysis. This procedure favors early diagnosis, improves the prognosis, and increases the odds of a successful treatment. MEC, as well as any other lesion of the maxillomandibular complex, should preferably be diagnosed as early as possible, usually improving the prognosis. Therefore, the diagnosis of a malignant lesion in the initial phase has a favorable impact on its treatment.

The stage of the lesion upon diagnosis is important because more advanced cases require more complex treatments, and the prognosis is poor. In most oral tumor cases, the diagnosis is late since patients look for experts only when the lesions are very advanced or inoperable. This is unacceptable since the oral cavity is easy to access and inspect, so late diagnoses are not justified.

Dentist sensitization and training regarding the thorough examination of the stomatognathic system is critical to promote early diagnosis and prevent oral lesions, consequently requiring less invasive surgeries that result in better quality of life for the patient.

CONCLUSION

Given the above, we emphasize the importance of dentists knowing the symptomatology of mucoepidermoid carcinoma for early diagnosis and appropriate treatment. MEC should be considered a diagnostic hypothesis in proliferative oral lesions, even when its clinical appearance does not suggest malignancy.
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