Quantification of color variation of restorative materials used on pediatric dentistry after pigmentation
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ABSTRACT

Objective
To quantify the color variation of two glass ionomer cements and a composite resin used in pediatric dentistry, after being immersed in different pigments agents.

Methods
Using two glass ionomer cements (Ketac™ Molar and Photac™ Fil) and a microhybrid composite resin (Filtek™ z250), were produced 40 disks of each material (10 mm in diameter and 2 mm thick). The samples were soaked in artificial saliva (control group), coke, peach Ice Tea® and chocolate milk, for 72 hours in an oven at 37ºC. After this period, the samples were washed in 50 ml of distilled water. Finally, using the spectrophotometer, it was made the reading of results. The color change was measured according to the CIE L* a* b* system. Color changes were statistically analyzed using parametric one-way ANOVA and ANOVA with Welch correction, the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis tests and post-hoc Tukey and Dunnet T3 with p≤ 0.05.

Results
The immersion of restorative materials in different pigmentation agents caused a significant color variation on the samples. The agent who presented higher results was the Peach Ice Tea®. The chocolate milk was the fluid with lowest pigmentation capacity of all restorative materials under study. The greater color variation was found on the Ketac™ Molar submerged in Coca-Cola® and the smallest on the Filtek™ z250 in chocolate milk.

Conclusion
All restorative materials were shown to be susceptible to pigmentation by all agents. The Filtek™ z250 proved to have better color stability, followed by Photac™ Fil and finally by Ketac™ Molar.


RESUMO

Objetivo
Quantificar a variação da cor de materiais restauradores utilizados em odontopediatria, após serem imersos em agentes pigmentantes.

Métodos
Recorrendo aos cimentos de ionômero de vidro Photac™ Fil e Ketac™ Molar, e à resina composta microhíbrida Filtek™ z250, foram efectuados 40 discos de cada material (10 milímetros de diâmetro e 2 milímetros de espessura). As amostras foram imersas em saliva artificial (grupo controlo), Coca-Cola®, Ice Tea® de pêssego e Leite com chocolate, durante 72 horas, numa estufa a 37ºC. Decorrido este período, as amostras foram lavadas em 50 mililitros de água destilada. Por último, através da utilização do Espectrofotômetro, procedeu-se à leitura dos resultados. A variação da cor foi medida de acordo com o sistema CIE L* a* b* e analisada estatisticamente utilizando os testes paramétricos ANOVA one-way e ANOVA com correção de Welch, o teste não paramétrico Kruskal-Wallis e os testes post-hoc Dunnet T3 e Tukey com p≤ 0.05.

Resultados
A imersão das amostras nos fluidos, provocou uma variação de cor significativa das mesmas. O agente que apresentou resultados de pigmentação maiores foi o Ice Tea® de pêssego. O leite com chocolate foi o fluido que apresentou menor capacidade pigmentante em todos os materiais em estudo. A maior variação de cor verificou-se no Ketac™ Molar em Coca-Cola® e a menor no Filtek™ z250 em leite com chocolate.

Conclusão
Todos os materiais restauradores demonstraram ser suscetíveis à pigmentação, por parte dos agentes. O Filtek™ z250 demonstrou ter uma melhor estabilidade de cor, seguido pelo Photac™ Fil e pelo Ketac™ Molar.

INRODUCTION

The teeth are usually identified as an important feature in the beauty of the face, performing a major role in the relationship and interactions between the individuals and the society\(^1\). In modern times we have to consider the color change that teeth are exposed to, since the aesthetic demands by the patients have grown at an exponential level. Due to this growing interest in regard to dental esthetics, it is considered important to carry out investigations with the purpose of studying the characteristics of various restorative materials to subsequently proceed to the improvement of their physical properties, including color stability.

The tooth color is determined by a combination between the intrinsic color of the tooth and the extrinsic pigments that can be formed on its surface\(^2\). Teeth discoloration can be classified as intrinsic, extrinsic or a combination of both\(^3\). The intrinsic pigmentation results from structural changes related to the thickness or composition of the dental hard tissues; the causes can be related to metabolic diseases and systemic factors that alter the tooth development and consequently its pigmentation\(^2-3\). In the extrinsic pigmentation, the responsible materials are located in the surface of the tooth or in the acquired pellicle. This pigmentation can be removed through dental prophylaxis. Among the materials responsible for the pigmentation we can find: food ingredients containing tannis, coffee, tea, red wine, tobacco, iron salts, chlorhexidine and others\(^2-3\).

Over the past 50 years there have been several changes in the development and availability of restorative materials used in odontopediatrics dentistry, with the appearance of the Composite Resins and the Glass Ionomer Cement. Thus, currently the dentist has at his disposal several materials, from which he can choose the most appropriate for each type of restoration\(^4\).

The first Glass Ionomer Cement (GIC) was developed by Wilson and Kent in the mid-70's. These so-called conventional Ionomers, present in its composition an aqueous solution of polycrylic acid at a 45% concentration and a powder consisting of Fluoramin Silicate Glass, Silicon, Aluminum, Phosphorus, Fluorine, Sodium and Calcium\(^5\). The conventional GIC are widely used in children and can be used as sealants for pits and fissures, cavity liner, cementing agents and as filling material\(^6\). They are also profusely used in Atraumatic Restorative Treatment, since they have the ability to remineralize the dental hard tissues\(^6\).

In response to the disadvantages related to conventional GIC, the Ionomer glass Modified Resins (RMGIC)\(^6\) were introduced in the late 80's offering improvements with regard to the physical properties and maintaining advantages such as adhesion and fluoride release\(^7\). As in the conventional GIC, the modified resins exhibit polycrylic acid and a basic powdered glass in their constitution. However resinous hydrophilic monomers such as group 2-hydroxyethylmethacrylate (HEMA) and photoinitiator\(^8\) are added in its constitution. This material possess a wide variety of uses and can be applied as a restorative material, cementing material, indirect pulp protector and also as a pit and fissure sealant\(^8\), making it easy to understand why the RMGIC have gained great popularity and currently being widely used in the practice of odontopediatrics dentistry\(^9\).

The Composite Resins were first introduced in the mid-1960's\(^10\). This material possesses several applications such as: direct restorations, cavity lining, sealing of pits and fissures, core buildings, inlays, onlays, crowns, provisional restorations, cementing unit or multiple prostheses and orthodontic appliances, among others\(^11\). In its constitution we can find a polymeric matrix (organic matter), charged particles (inorganic matter), a silane, canforoquinonas that promote or modulate the polymerization reaction, and pigments\(^12\). Despite the fact that the composite resins have been suffering several changes over the time, the pigmentation of material still constitutes a problema\(^11\).

METHODS

The Restorative materials used in this study were a Conventional Glass Ionomer Cement (Ketac Molar, 3M ESPE, Minnesota, USA), a Glass Ionomer Cement Modified by Resin (Photac Fil, 3M ESPE, Minnesota, USA) and a Microhybrid Composite Resin (Filtek z250, 3M ESPE, Minnesota, USA). One hundred and twenty samples in disc form were prepared, using a metallic matrix with 10mm diameter and 2mm of thickness. A sheet of acetate was placed between the glass plate and the metallic matrix. Forty discs were then produced with each restorative material according to the manufacturer’s instructions, photopolymerizing the Glass Ionomer Cement for 20 seconds and the Composite Resin for 40 seconds. The forty discs of each restorative material were then divided into four groups, ten discs from each placed into a different immersion liquid: artificial saliva (control group), Coca-Cola\(^®\), chocolate milk and peach Ice-Tea\(^®\). After immersed in different liquid pigments, in specific containers, the one hundred and twenty samples were stored in an oven at 37 °C, to reproduce the oral environment for 72 hours. At the end of 72 hours, the samples were washed in 50ml of...
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In the matter related with the comparison of solutions in the same restorative material, by statistical analysis, it can be concluded that there is a significant difference between the pigmentante capacity of all agents containing pigment, when applied to the Ketak™ Molar. The same does not apply between Coca-Cola® and the chocolate milk when the Filtek™ z250 is subjected to its action, since the pigmentation values caused by them in this material are quite close. In the case of Photac™ Fil there is only significant differences of pigmentation between the chocolate milk and the peach Ice-Tea®, being Ice-Tea® the fluid who presents the higher value of pigmentation.

In general, it is possible to claim that the peach Ice-Tea® was the fluid who showed the highest pigmentante capacity in the restorative materials, the exception being the Ketac™ Molar. In this case, the pigmentante agent that caused greater chromatic change in the restorative material was the Coca-Cola®. In the opposite perspective, the chocolate milk was the pigmentante agent who showed the lowest pigmentation values.

Focusing on the comparison of restorative materials, when subjected to the same pigmentante agent, after statistical analysis, it can be concluded that the difference between the pigmentation caused by the pigmentante agents is statistically significant. This fact applies for all materials when they are submitted to the action of any fluid.

### RESULTS

The mean values of color variation (ΔE) and the standard deviations are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ΔE</th>
<th>Filtek™ z250</th>
<th>Ketac™ Molar</th>
<th>Photac™ Fil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coca-Cola®</td>
<td>1,11 (0,40)</td>
<td>22,29 (1,55)</td>
<td>5,76 (0,83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chocolate milk</td>
<td>1,07 (0,40)</td>
<td>2,28 (0,55)</td>
<td>5,00 (0,41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peach Ice Tea®</td>
<td>2,67 (0,46)</td>
<td>9,33 (0,53)</td>
<td>6,10 (0,47)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the matter related with the comparison of solutions in the same restorative material, by statistical analysis, it can be concluded that there is a significant difference between the pigmentante capacity of all agents containing pigment, when applied to the Ketak™ Molar. The same does not apply between Coca-Cola® and the chocolate milk when the Filtek™ z250 is subjected to its action, since the pigmentation values caused by them in this material are quite close. In the case of Photac™ Fil there is only significant differences of pigmentation between the chocolate milk and the peach Ice-Tea®, being Ice-Tea® the fluid who presents the higher value of pigmentation.

In general, it is possible to claim that the peach Ice-Tea® was the fluid who showed the highest pigmentante capacity in the restorative materials, the exception being the Ketac™ Molar. In this case, the pigmentante agent that caused greater chromatic change in the restorative material was the Coca-Cola®. In the opposite perspective, the chocolate milk was the pigmentante agent who showed the lowest pigmentation values.

Focusing on the comparison of restorative materials, when subjected to the same pigmentante agent, after statistical analysis, it can be concluded that the difference between the pigmentation caused by the pigmentante agents is statistically significant. This fact applies for all materials when they are submitted to the action of any fluid.
In general, the material that suffered the greatest chromatic change was the Ketac™ Molar, however when the restorative materials were submitted to the chocolate milk, pigmentation values were higher for the Photac™ Fil. The Filtek™ z250, proved to be the material that suffered less color variation after being subjected to the different agents of pigmentation.

DISCUSSION

In modern times the general population shows an increasingly concern not only with the oral health but also with their appearance. The teeth are seen as an important feature in the beauty of the face, performing a crucial role in the interactions and the individual’s relationship with society. For the restorative material to be clinically acceptable it is important that this presents a most similar color as possible to the tooth color after the application, in order to give an aesthetic aspect, and it is also essential that it remains over time.

This study evaluates the color stability of three restorative materials used in the practice of pediatric dentistry, two glass ionomer cements (Ketac™ Molar and Photac™ Fil) and a microhybrid composite (Filtek™ z250), after being subjected to the action of different drinks commonly ingested by children and adolescents. The null hypothesis suggested for this study was rejected, since there have been significant changes in the color of restorative materials before and after immersion in different agents of pigmentation.

The color determination of a tooth by visual comparison with color guides is the most often used method in clinical dentistry. However during recent years there has been development of new technologies directed to analysis, communication and verification of color. Since the American Dental Association recommends using the CIE L* a* b* to evaluate possible changes in color, applying the formula of color variation (ΔE) which correlates the coordinates L* a* and b*, this was chosen to be used in this investigation. The coordinate L* represents the lightness of the color, while the coordinates a* and b* refer to the chromatic characteristics of color. The coordinate a* represents the range of color from green until red, while b* refers to the blue-yellow axis.

As it was mentioned before, an essential feature for a restorative material to be clinically acceptable is the stability of its color. Several authors state that ΔE values between 1 and 3 are visible to the naked eye and above 3.3 are clinically unacceptable.

Based on the literature, it is clear that all restorative materials in study, experienced a color change noticeable to the naked eye and apart from this fact, it is still possible to verify that considering the three materials, only the composite resin Filtek™ z250 achieved clinically acceptable results.

The color changes in dental structures and restorative materials may occur due to intrinsic and extrinsic factors. Several studies suggest that diet is one of the factors that leads to the development of extrinsic pigmentation. Restorative materials being constantly subjected to oral environment and daily exposed to saliva, food and beverage, can therefore suffer changes in their color.

The presence of soft drinks in the diet of the population has increased considerably in recent years, being the children and adolescents the largest consumers of such drinks. In addition to soft drinks also chocolate milk is often present in eating habits of this age group, hence the choice of Coca-Cola®, peach Ice Tea® and chocolate milk to join this study. Despite the existence of several studies testing...
the effect of Coca-Cola® in chromatic stability of various restorative materials13,18,20, the number of investigations using chocolate milk as pigmentante agent is quite limited19.

In this study the peach Ice-Tea® demonstrated a superior pigmentante capacity when compared with the other agents containing pigment, Coca-Cola® and chocolate milk. Several investigations who studied this same characteristic also show that tea has more pigmentante effect on restorative materials than Coca-Cola®18,20.

A study by Tunc et al.19 that as this research evaluated the susceptibility of Glass Ionomer Cements and one Composite Resin to the pigmentation, verified that Coca-Cola® was the more pigmented solution; on the other hand, the chocolate milk was the agent that showed lower value of pigmentation, lying in accordance with what was found in this study.

The susceptibility of resinous restorative materials for pigmentation can be related to the type of polymerization, filler particles, type of pigmentant agents, among others20. This susceptibility also comes from the presence of monomers such as α - glycidyl methacrylate bisphenol (bis - GMA), urethane dimethacrylate (UDMA) and triethyleneglycol dimethacrylate (TEGDMA) on their matrix, making them possess a hydrophilic medium, leading to a greater absorption of water and other solutions, thereby providing a color change in the material18.

The Conventional Glass Ionomer Cements (CGIC) and the ones modified by resin (RMGIC), possess different compositions, so their susceptibility to suffer pigmentation will naturally be different. Cattani-Lorente et al. 21 and Small et al. 22 conducted studies that show that water absorption by RMGIC is higher than that absorbed by the Conventional due to the absorption of this by the HEMA, a resinous component present in the constitution of RMGIC. Another reason that explains this difference may reside in the fact that the CGIC has greater amount of water in its composition as compared to the RMGIC, therefore it will absorb less water and consequently less pigments.

In the present investigation, the microhybrid composite resin Filtek™ z250 proved to be the restorative material less susceptible to pigmentation, and the CGIC Ketac™ Molar the material that suffers the biggest change in the color. However in the study by Tunc et al.19, the results do not match with this investigation because despite the fact that they show lower pigmentation values from Composite Resin Filtek™ z250 in comparison with RMGIC, the CGIC was the restorative material which showed lower values. This may be due to an increase in surface roughness of the material used in this study, thereby retaining a larger amount of pigment.

A study by Austregésilo & Filho23, shows that the RMGIC have a smaller roughness in the surface as compared with CGIC. For a better evaluation of the materials studied, specifically with regard to the color variation after pigmentation, and in order to combat some of its limitations, it would have been interesting to introduce the analysis of surface roughness of different materials.

Another property which differentiates CGIC from RMGIC is the microhardness, since the CGIC presents higher values in that matter24. In the future, it would be interesting to conduct an investigation similar to this one, introducing the analysis of physical property, in order to check if there is any relationship between this and the susceptibility of pigmentation of restorative materials.

Several studies by different researchers, still give us account of pigmentante differences between the same materials but of different brands13,18,20. In a future study it would be advantageous to add several brands of the same material in order to analyze this difference.

**CONCLUSION**

It has occurred change in the color of all materials tested in this investigation, after their immersion in the different agents of pigmentation. The material that has suffered major change in the color after pigmentation was the Ketac™ Molar and the one who suffered minor change in the color after pigmentation was the Filtek™ z250. The Ice-Tea® was the agent containing pigment that, in a general way, caused greater color variation and the chocolate milk proved to be the one who caused less change in all the tested materials. The Microhybrid Composite Filtek™ z250 was the material that showed higher color stability, and although the color variation caused by the three agents of pigmentation is visible to naked eye, It is considered clinically acceptable. In a general way the color change observed in the Ketac™ Molar and Photac™ Fil is not only visible to the naked eye as it is not clinically acceptable.
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