Colorectal cancer (CRC) represents the third most common malignancy worldwide and the second leading cause of cancer-related death in the U.S., regardless of sex. In 1998, it is estimated that 20,000 cases were newly diagnosed, and 6,000 Brazilians died of the disease. Five-year associated mortality remains unchanged around 50%; advances in the operative technique and adjuvant therapy produced a modest impact on survival.

In this paper, a brief review of aspects of etiology, epidemiology, genetics, histology, and main determinants of prognosis of CRC will be followed by an in-depth evaluation of the role of colonoscopy in the screening, diagnosis, treatment, and surveillance of patients with CRC.

ETIOLOGY AND EPIDEMIOLOGY

The colorectum is the most frequent site for primary cancers in the human body. Adenocarcinomas represent almost all CRCs. There are several etiologic determinants of CRC, since multiple steps are involved in its occurrence. Several genetic mutations have been detected, and exogenous factors interact for the development of the acquired ones.

Sporadic CRC, or CRC not associated with inheritance, occurs more commonly after the age of 60 and has the sigmoid colon or rectum as the primary site in up to 65% of cases.

Known factors related to CRC etiology include:

- **diet:** High insoluble fiber intake seems to protect against CRC. Saturated fat intake in an amount greater than 20% of meal calories result in elevated cancer risk. Some studies suggest that calcium has protecting effects. Elevated refined sugar intake may predispose to adenomas.

- **smoking:** An increase in carcinoma incidence after a tobacco exposure period greater than 35 years has been demonstrated.

- **radiotherapy:** Pelvic irradiation is associated with an elevated risk of rectal cancer.
adenomas:

- inflammatory bowel disease: Patients with ulcerative pancolitis or ulcerative colitis (UC) diagnosed at a young age are more susceptible to CRC. Cumulative incidence of low- and high-grade dysplasia can reach 90% and 25% respectively after 37 years. Biopsy findings of low-grade dysplasia are associated with a 10% cancer-risk; high-grade dysplasia between 30% and 40% and dysplasia associated-lesion or mass is associated with a risk greater than 50%. Von Herbay et al. found that invasive CRC is associated with high-grade dysplasia in 100% of cases. CRCs in UC are more usually infiltrative and exhibit mucinous histology. Sulfasalazine therapy seems to reduce the cancer risk associated with UC. In patients with Crohn’s disease, cancer risk is hard to define. Nevertheless, longstanding disease and a narrowed lumen at colonoscopy may call endoscopists to attention.

- adenomas: Most CRCs arise from preexisting adenomatous polyps. Geographic and topographic distribution of adenocarcinomas is similar to adenomas. There is ethnic conformity. One-third of resected cancer specimens include adenomas in a 6-times elevated prevalence when compared to control groups without cancer. Residual adenoma foci can be usually identified in adenocarcinomas, and the atypia degree of an adenoma is directly related to its size. Nevertheless, the strongest indirect evidence for the adenoma-carcinoma sequence comes from the National Polyp Study in the U.S. In this study, a 6-year follow-up of patients who underwent colonoscopic polypectomy, when all polyps found were excised, there was a 76% to 90% decrease in CRC incidence when compared to 3 control groups matched for age. Cancer risk of an adenoma is related to its size and to villous histology.

- cancer de novo: There is little doubt that CRC can arise from colorectal mucosa without a pre-existing adenomatous lesion, although the clinical significance of this assumption remains unknown. Findings of early CRC, especially in flat-depressed lesions, have been described by several endoscopists, especially in Japan. Flat adenomas appear as reddish plaque-like areas that are raised slightly above the surrounding mucosa, with or without a central depression that exhibit air induced deformation (the shape of the lesion changes after gas aspiration during colonoscopy). Histologically, they are tubular adenomas where the height of the adenomatous component does not exceed twice the height of the surrounding normal mucosa. A high incidence of atypia has been consistently reported for flat adenomas, and there is a general assumption not only in Japan but also in Japan that CRC can rapidly arise from these diminutive lesions, which can be missed in conventional colonoscopy.

GENETIC FINDINGS AND HEREDITARY CRC

CRC is a genetic disease. Accumulation of hereditary or acquired genetic changes results in the development of adenocarcinoma cells in colorectal mucosa that have a growth advantage since they do not respond to the normal determinants of cell growth, differentiation, and death, leading to dysplasia and invasive cancer. Oncogenes (K-ras), tumor-suppressor genes (APC, DCC e p53), and DNA (deoxyribonucleic acid) mismatch repair genes (MSH2, MLH1, PMS1, PMS2 e MSH6) represent the three classes of altered genes involved in CRC carcinogenesis.

It is estimated that in up to 15% of cases, CRC results from an initial hereditary genetic defect. In these cases, CRC is part of a syndrome and is called hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC). HNPCC patients are unable to repair DNA replication errors, since a MMR gene mutation is detected in these cases. In these patients, CRC develops more rapidly (usually before age 50) in the proximal colon. It is preceded by a few or no polyps, is more frequently multiple, and can be associated with other cancers, such as breast, endometrial, ovarian, pancreas, stomach, and kidney.

In 1% of all cases, CRC is associated with hundreds or thousands of large bowel adenomas, as well as periampullar adenomas or carcinomas and desmoid tumors, as a result of a dominant hereditary pattern or genetic mutation. This phenotype is known as familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP). FAP results from mutation or deletion of the tumor suppressor gene APC (adenomatous polyposis coli). In up to 20% of FAP cases, the genetic defect is not inherited. In these patients, CRC arises from neoplastic changes in one or more of the colorectal adenomas in virtually all cases up to 45 years of age if the entire large bowel is not surgically removed.

HISTOLOGIC AND MACROSCOPIC FINDINGS

Carcinoma in situ refers to the presence of malignant cells exclusively above the muscularis mucosa layer. It is also known as superficial carcinoma or severe dysplasia. Intraepithelial carcinoma refers to malignant cells exclusively inside the epithelium. They are restricted to the crypts of Lieberkühn.
Invasive colorectal cancer (malignant cells invading through the muscularis mucosa layer to the submucosal layer) is observed in up to 9% of colorectal polyps removed in colonoscopy.

More commonly, early CRC presents in three forms: (1) malignant adenoma or polypoid carcinoma; (2) a malignant focus in a sessile adenoma; or (3) a small ulcerated cancer. However, according to several Japanese endoscopists early CRC are more frequently flat depressed diminutive lesions.

The classification of Haggitt for early CRC is familiar to most gastroenterologists, endoscopists, and colorectal surgeons. A polyp is pedunculated when the length of the pedicle is larger than its diameter. In Haggitt’s classification, we define four levels of invasion for pedunculated polyps:

- Level 0 — carcinoma above the level of muscularis mucosa (in situ);
- Level 1 — submucosal invasion limited to the head of the polyp;
- Level 2 — carcinoma invading the level of the neck of the adenoma;
- Level 3 — carcinoma invading any part of the stalk; and
- Level 4 — invasion of the submucosal layer of the bowel wall at the base of the polyp.

According to Haggitt’s classification, all sessile polyps with invasive CRC represent level-4 invasion. However, authors from Japan classified colorectal polyps with invasive CRC represent level-4 invasion.

In spite of these advantages, the need for bowel preparation, sedation, and risks associated with the exam it is awareness that most CRCs and most deaths are preventable through screening.

Colonoscopy with polypectomy represents only one method to find and remove premalignant lesions in the entire large bowel. Although colonoscopy follows and exceeds the principles of screening (since polyps are removed), there is no study in a randomized or case control setting to demonstrate its effectiveness in reducing mortality associated with CRC. Available evidence that favor colonoscopy are: case control studies demonstrated a 60%–70% reduction in CRC mortality in the distal colon from sigmoidoscopy and polypectomy; a cohort of patients who underwent colonoscopy and clearing of adenomas experienced a 76%–90% reduction in CRC incidence compared to reference populations; cross-sectional studies of screening colonoscopy in average-risk population demonstrate a prevalence of adenomas more than twice that detected on average by flexible sigmoidoscopy; currently, near 40% of all CRCs in the US arise proximal to the splenic flexure.

In spite of these advantages, the need for bowel preparation, sedation, and risks associated with the exam itself or polypectomy constitute the main causes for the low population adherence to screening programs including colonoscopy. Nevertheless colonos-
copy may be used as the preferred screening strategy for the average-risk population (persons age 50 and older who have no risk factors for CRC other than age). In this population, full colonoscopic examination may be done every 10 years as an alternative to flexible sigmoidoscopy every 5 years plus annual fecal occult blood testing\textsuperscript{55}. It is estimated that these individuals account for 20\% to 30\% of population\textsuperscript{56}:

- **individuals with a family history of CRC in a single first-degree relative at age > 55 year.** Start colonoscopy at age 50 and repeat at 5- or 10-year intervals;
- **individuals with a family history of CRC in a single first-degree relative at age < 55 year or in two or more first-degree relatives at any age.** Start colonoscopy at age 40 or 10 years before the youngest age that cancer was diagnosed. Repeat at 5 year intervals;
- **personal history of 1 cm- or larger polyp or multiple polyps of any size.** Repeat colonoscopy 1 year after polypectomy and at 5 year-intervals between negative exams;
- **personal history of CRC — see CRC surveillance.**

**ENDOSCOPIC DIAGNOSIS AND STAGING**

In contrast to CRC screening, there is a need for diagnosis when symptoms are observed. In the majority of these cases, the endoscopist can expect findings of advanced colorectal cancer.

When efficacy of endoscopy and barium enema in the diagnosis of CRC are compared with colonoscopy, a significant bias can be expected due to the expertise and medical specialty of the authors. However, it seems reasonable to conclude that colonoscopy would be the most effective exam for the large bowel and terminal ileum, since it permits direct identification of the tumor, histologic examination through biopsy, diagnosis and removal of synchronous polyps, and staging attempts through endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) techniques. Sporadic CRC is associated with synchronous cancer in up to 4\%\textsuperscript{57} and to synchronous adenomas in up to 25\%\textsuperscript{58} of cases. Supplemental colonoscopy may follow barium enema in up to 40\% of cases due to inadequate preparation or reasonable doubt\textsuperscript{59}. Barium enema sensitivity for the diagnosis of CRC in patients with positive fecal occult blood testing remains between 50\% and 75\%\textsuperscript{60}. Nevertheless, for double contrast barium enema, there can be similarity between radiologic and endoscopic methods for the diagnosis of CRC in asymptomatic individuals\textsuperscript{61}. Although this may be true, additional information regarding histology, search for synchronous polyps, and flat lesions through chromoscopy and staging will be lacking with barium enema alone.

Colonoscopy has limitations in the diagnosis of CRC. A lower sensitivity should be expected in conditions of poor bowel preparation as seen in diverticular disease, for some locations in the large bowel known as “blind” regions (behind large bowel folds and in segments where intubation was technically demanding) and in cases when complete examination couldn’t be attempted.

Carcinoma *in situ* is more commonly a histologic diagnosis of a polyoid lesion excised during colonoscopy. Reports of lymph node metastasis for *in situ* or intramucosal carcinomas are anecdotal\textsuperscript{61}. Therefore, for lesions with clear histologic margins, complete resection by endoscopy remains a sufficient curative treatment\textsuperscript{44,62,63}. Symptomatic individuals usually present with advanced lesions. Endoscopic findings may easily follow Borrmann’s nomenclature. Advanced lesions are usually greater than 2 cm, indurated, present with irregular surface and spontaneous ulcerations, and usually bleed after biopsy. There is little mobility following manipulation with the snare, and for those lesions eventually considered for total excision through endoscopic mucosal resection, little or no elevation may be observed after submucosal injection of saline. Advanced CRC represents a lesion with detected invasion into the *muscularis propria*. Oncologic colon resection is recommended treatment for colon lesions. For advanced rectal lesions, surgical treatment is indicated. This approach encompasses local resection, anterior resection, or abdominoperineal resection. For advanced rectal lesions, pre- or postoperative adjuvant treatment is recommended for cure.

The presence of early CRC remains the challenge for diagnostic colonoscopy. Since endoscopic resection may be sufficient treatment in some situations, there is a need for precise endoscopic diagnosis of the level of invasion. EUS, chromoscopy, and magnifying colonoscopy (MC) are useful tools in the diagnosis of CRC as well as for immediate staging.

**Chromoscopy**

Using a conventional endoscope, the surface of the colon appears smooth. But when looking more closely, the mucosa would actually appear granular and be divided by innominate grooves into “colon areas”. The granular appearance of these colon areas is due to numerous pits in the colonic mucosa, which represent the crypts of Lieberkühn (intestinal glands). These minute pits are arranged regularly and are round in shape. The diameter of each pit is 40 to 50 \( \mu \)m. Two methods
—chromoscopy and MC — are currently used to see the colon surface more clearly and are being used together or separately.

Tissue staining can be accomplished during colonoscopy by injection, spraying with a catheter, ingestion of a capsule, or enema. Tissue stains may be divided into three categories. The first uses absorptive stains that identify specific cells or cell components. Methylene blue may identify colorectal neoplastic lesions since absence of staining usually indicates neoplastic change. Another absorptive stain is cresyl violet, which stains the margins of the pits on the mucosal surface allowing a very clear definition of the pit pattern. The second category is reactive stains that identify cellular products through color change as a result of a pH shift. Congo red changes from red to dark blue when pH < 3 and can be used to enhance visualization of diminutive colorectal lesions. The third category is contrast stains. Not absorbed by the epithelium, they highlight tissue topology by pooling in epithelial crevices and depressions. Indigo carmine 0.4% to 4% is the best example in this category.

Since chromoscopy highlights perception of the epithelial surface, there may be potential to enhance endoscopic diagnosis of diminutive colorectal lesions when stains are used in an orderly fashion. Nevertheless, it has not yet been possible to reliably predict the histologic diagnosis using chromoscopy and conventional endoscopy. George et al. used contrast chromoscopy with 0.2% indigo carmine dye and conventional colonoscopy could correctly predict histologic diagnosis in only 47% of 89 diminutive colorectal lesions (< 5 mm). Polypectomy may routinely follow diagnosis of all lesions through chromoscopy.

**Magnifying colonoscopy (MC)**

MC consists in the utilization of an optical system assembled to a video-colonoscope. This endoscope has the ability to produce a magnified view (3X to 170X) of the entire colorectal mucosal surface. Magnification can be automatic or may follow manual activation.

The ability to predict the histology of polyps on endoscopic examination remains a fascinating challenge that could reduce CRC screening and surveillance costs. The final goal of MC is in vivo histologic diagnosis through magnified observation of pit patterns in identified lesions. During MC, the ordinary detection of a lesion is followed by mucus washout with water jet and contrast or absorptive chromoscopy. Magnified observation permits pit pattern analysis in the lesion and subsequent classification. After stereomicroscopic observation of 1676 lesions, Kudo proposed 5 categories in his pit pattern classification. According to his experience, neoplastic lesions were adequately differentiated from non-neoplastic ones. Kudo states that correct pit pattern evaluation only can be done at 100X magnification at which adequate focus is hard to obtain, so the procedure becomes technically difficult. Another interesting property of MC is the ability to define the degree of invasion of a colorectal lesion. According to Kudo, the fifth category of his classification (V) would indicate massive invasion of the submucosal layer. It seems reasonable to admit that pit pattern analysis correlates to the histologic diagnosis. However, we understand that the sensitivity of the qualitative diagnosis, as well as the accuracy of diagnosis by MC of submucosal invasion, remains to be determined.

**Virtual colonoscopy (VC)**

Computed tomography (CT) colography, or VC, generates high resolution two- and three-dimensional images of the entire colorectal structure. It represents an attractive alternative for diagnosis and screening for CRC. VC involves data input from a helical CT scanner, which is then processed by advanced computer software. The main related advantages are: 1. VC foregoes colon intubation and results in no risk of colon perforation; 2. there is no need for sedation and better acceptance may be accomplished; 3. full colon evaluation can be expected even for patients where conventional colonoscopy cannot be attempted; 4. VC provides the exact location of diagnosed lesions. However, VC still demands full bowel preparation in order to enhance sensitivity and specificity, since fecal residues may be interpreted as elevated lesions. Experimental evidence shows that irradiation is less than half of the amount used during barium enema. Initial reports state that sensitivity and specificity are 100% for polyps > 1 cm; for polyps > 0.5 cm, sensitivity was 100% and specificity was 80%, and for polyps < 0.5 cm, sensitivity was 42%. Several technical problems and diagnostic issues must be addressed before VC is incorporated into practice: 1. enhancing image resolution to detect diminutive and flat lesions; 2. refining image subtraction technology to minimize misdiagnosis due to fecal residues; 3. evaluating collapsed colon segments even after pneumocolon; and 4. reducing costs mainly related to image analysis.

**Endorectal (ERUS) and endoscopic ultrasound (EUS)**

Introduced by Dukes in 1928 for rectal adenocarcinoma, pathologic staging still remains the strongest predictor of survival for patients with CRC. CRC staging refers to the exact knowledge of the degree of tumor infiltration in the bowel wall, the extent and location of lymph node involvement and the presence of distant metastasis.
CRC does not lead to lymph node metastasis if malignant cells are above the muscularis mucosa. For early CRCs, lymphatic spread can be expected in 4% of cases if the cancer is well or moderately differentiated. For CRCs invading through the muscularis propria, lymph node metastasis are expected in up to 20%. When CRC reaches pericolic or perirectal tissues (complete invasion of the bowel wall), lymph nodes metastasis are observed in up to 58% of cases.

Rectal cancer staging can be accomplished with ERUS, since the tumor is accessible by the probe. ERUS may follow a distal washout enema and foregoes sedation and x-rays. Accuracy for diagnosis of depth of penetration in the rectal wall reaches 93% and for lymph node involvement is 84%. It has been demonstrated that preoperative chemoradiation therapy induces significant and sometimes complete tumor regression. Therefore, postchemoradiation staging is necessary in order to evaluate tumor response and further treatment. However, the accuracy of ERUS for the diagnosis of penetration depth after chemoradiation as first line treatment for low rectal cancer is only 8.3%.

The development of high-frequency and small-size EUS probes has stimulated endoscopists to use this imaging modality to produce a significant improvement in the correct diagnosis of suspected submucosal lesions or extrinsic masses, as well as, most importantly, in ruling out invasive submucosal layer invasion or advanced cancers, since both findings preclude any attempt of endoscopic therapy of suspected benign or early malignant colorectal lesions. The accuracy of EUS for the diagnosis of invasive cancer is around 76%. For intramucosal carcinomas, accuracy was 83%, for early invasive cancers, 90%, but for advanced cancer, 50%. Overall accuracy for the diagnosis of bowel wall depth of invasion using EUS is between 70% and 90%.

ENDOSCOPIC TREATMENT OF CRC

The malignant polyp

Complete endoscopic excision with clear histologic margins is sufficient treatment for in situ and intramucosal carcinomas. Incomplete resection leads to invasive cancer. Contrast chromoscopy enhances definition of resection margins.

When considering curative endoscopic treatment of early CRC, it is critical to recognize that oncologic colectomy is the only method for demonstrating and harvesting lymph node involvement. When dealing with cancer, cure and survival remain central objectives. Therefore, any attempts at endoscopic treatment on a day-by-day basis should be done considering results of surgical options available after a multi-specialty (pathologist, endoscopist, and surgeon) consensus.

For pedunculated polyps with invasive cancer, the presence of malignant cells invading the submucosa at the polyp’s head (Haggitt’s level 1), neck (level 2), or stalk (level 3) results in overall low risk of lymph node involvement. Cranley showed in a review of 17 experiences, including 589 pedunculated malignant polyps (polyps with invasive cancer), that only 1% of the polyps with “good” histology (good/moderate differentiation, 2 mm-clear margin at the stalk, and no vascular invasion) resulted in cancer diagnosis at laparotomy or led to recurrence. Nevertheless, pedunculated polyps with invasive cancer at Haggitt’s level 4, as well as sessile polyps with invasive cancer at the submucosal layer, may exhibit lymph node involvement in up to 10% of cases. According to Muto, the risk of lymph node involvement for early invasive sessile cancers of the sm level of submucosal invasion is between 27% and 69%. For pedunculated polyps with neoplastic involvement observed at the stalk, an adverse outcome may be observed in up to 13% of cases. Almost all of these patients had poorly differentiated cancers with lymphatic or blood vessel invasion.

The precise definition of clear margins (2 to 3 mm between lesion margins and diathermy margins according to Muto) is a problem that remains to be solved, and incomplete resection is a well known cause for recurrence or invasive cancer. Some authors believe that a portion of the therapeutic failures associated with sessile lesions come from incomplete resections due to underestimating the position of the margin of the lesion. Endoscopic tattooing is unequivocally a wise routine decision in the management of larger sessile lesions, since it allows histologic processing and definitive diagnosis followed by a guided endoscopic, laparoscopic, or either open salvage procedure if necessary.

When dealing with advanced CRC, a representative tumor fragment must be taken from central area of the lesion since marginal specimens may be frequently re-epithelized or exhibit pure adenomatous component.

For pedunculated lesions, snare diathermy polypectomy remains adequate treatment. For large stalks, use of heater probe or 1:10 000 epinephrine injection may optimize resection through avoidance of bleeding. For sessile lesions 2.5 cm-size or less, endoscopic mucosal resection assisted with saline submucosal injection is recommended. For larger lesions, piecemeal or sequential resections are the best alternatives.

Endoscopic findings that may anticipate elevated risk of bowel perforation or raise the possibility of dealing with an advanced cancer are: lesions
larger than 40 mm; central depression observed after chromoscopy, non-lifting signal after submucosal saline injection; diagnosis of pit patterns III and V at MC, and, obviously, EUS diagnosis of massive invasion of the submucosa.

Equal or greater care must be taken when dealing with specimen conservation. Immediately before formalization, sessile lesions must be fixed with pins, pedunculated lesions must be longitudinally sectioned, and the stalk must be clearly identified with a pin.

**Endoscopic palliative treatment for obstructive CRC**

Intestinal obstruction is a frequent clinical presentation of left-sided tumors. Radical treatment through an oncological operation is the treatment of choice when cure is attempted, and palliative resections may be done through laparoscopy or conventional access with the aim of symptomatic relief and prevention of obstruction. When cure cannot be achieved, avoiding a colostomy may optimize quality of life. Colonoscopy may have a role in these situations.

Laser (Nd:YAG) ablation was proven to be safe and effective for avoiding intestinal obstruction from advanced colorectal lesions. In a 7-center, 60-patient European experience, an 80% rate of response was observed, and mortality associated with laser therapy was 3.3%. Schulze and Lyng evaluated the Nd:YAG laser for endoscopic tumor ablation in 74 disseminated CRC patients. Symptomatic relief was observed in 74%. There was no mortality; however, the authors detected 5 cases of perforation and 1 of bleeding. The main advantages of laser ablation include the possibility of multiple sessions and no need for anesthesia. Perforation is the primary concern, and stenosis may occur leading to urgent colostomy. Laser ablation may be used in the preoperative setting for patients with partial or complete intestinal obstruction. Laser ablation may reduce tumor size and alleviate obstruction so careful bowel prep can be delivered. Laser-associated costs remain uniformly elevated, and financial burden remains main reason for its limited availability in most centers.

Photodynamic therapy (PDT) is a treatment modality in which limited cell death and tissue necrosis result from interaction between a photosensitizing agent taken up by a neoplastic tissue and a defined wave-length low-power light. There is no thermal effect, so perforation risk is decreased. Target tissue cells exposed to the agent (more commonly, a hematoporphyrin derivative administered intravenously) undergo selective death in the presence of oxygen. The mucosal layer is more affected as result of agent-affinity and light penetration. Associated cutaneous photosensitivity may be severe, persists for up to 6 weeks, and represents the main drawback, since severe burns may occur from solar exposure.

Insertion of expandable metal stents for non-surgical palliation in patients with symptomatic near-obstructive colonic tumors may be achieved through a single endoscopic examination, as opposed to laser therapy in which multiple sessions are required, and thus representing an attractive alternative. Rey et al. described their experience with 12 patients with rectosigmoid carcinoma. In this series, there were no complications associated with the prosthesis, although migration occurred in 3 patients, necessitating stent removal. Saida et al. published results of a 15-patient series in which stent insertion was undertaken prior to bowel prep and elective resection for obstructive CRC. There were 2 perforations and 1 migration. In the remain-

**SURVEILLANCE**

Patients who undergo endoscopic mucosal resection of an invasive carcinoma may need endoscopic re-examination up to 6 months after resection, although there is no available evidence of benefit from this approach.

For patients with non-early CRC who underwent radical surgery, there is reasonable doubt about the role of surveillance colonoscopy in the diagnosis of potentially curative recurrences, since most of them occur as an extrinsic growth. For 113 cases of recurrence reviewed by Virgo et al., colonoscopy could first demonstrate recurrence in only 3 cases.

Although definitive evidence is still lacking, there may be some survival benefit associated with dedicated follow-up, since colonoscopy combined with clinical, laboratory, and image evaluations, and more recently with the aid of positron emission tomography, remain the only way to diagnose resectable recurrences.

Major attention should be paid to the need of at least one full colonoscopic evaluation when the first diagnosis of CRC is done. For obstructing lesions, postoperative examination may follow an interval not greater than 6 months. Virtual colonoscopy may have a role in this setting. According to the American Cancer Society, American College of Gastroenterology, and American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons, further examinations are recommended on a 3- to 5-year basis.
RESUMO


O câncer colorretal (CCR) é a terceira neoplasia maligna mais frequente no mundo. No entanto, pouco ou nenhum benefício de sobrevida foi obtido nos últimos 50 anos. Quanto à doença genética, crescente investigação epidemiológica e de genética molecular apontam para a definição de grupos de risco, específicos para o CCR, favorecendo a aplicação de protocolos de rastreamento e possibilitando maior diagnóstico precoce. O CCR é melhor diagnosticado pelo exame colonoscópico que possibilita diagnóstico histológico através da biópsia. Lesões pré-malignas e cânceres precoces são diagnosticados preferencialmente pela colonoscopia em nosso meio. No entanto, significativa redução de custos se faz necessária à sua aplicação no rastreamento da população de risco normal para CCR. A polipectomia e a mucosectomia endoscópicas representam tratamento curativo para casos selecionados de CCR invasivo. Da mesma forma, adequada paliação pode ser alcançada utilizando alguns recursos da colonoscopia.
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