CHRONIC HEPATITIS C VIRUS INFECTIONS IN BRAZILIAN PATIENTS: ASSOCIATION WITH GENOTYPES, CLINICAL PARAMETERS AND RESPONSE TO LONG TERM ALPHA INTERFERON THERAPY

Leda BASSIT (1,2), Luiz C. DA SILVA (1,3), Gabriela RIBEIRO-DOS-SANTOS (1,2), Geert MAERTENS (4), Flair J. CARRILHO (1,3), Luís E.P. FONSECA (1,3), Venâncio A.F. ALVES (1), Luis C.C. GAYOTTO (1), Anaíce N. PEREIRA (2), Kioko TAKEI (1), Dalton CHAMONE (1,2) & Amadeo SÁEZ-ALQUÉZAR (1)

SUMMARY

The present study assessed the clinical significance of hepatitis C virus (HCV) genotypes and their influence on response to long term recombinant-interferon-alpha (r-IFN-α) therapy in Brazilian patients. One hundred and thirty samples from patients previously genotyped for the HCV and with histologically confirmed chronic hepatitis C (CH-C) were evaluated for clinical and epidemiological parameters (sex, age, time of HCV infection and transmission routes). No difference in disease activity, sex, age or mode and time of transmission were seen among patients infected with HCV types 1, 2 or 3. One hundred and thirteen of them were treated with 3 million units of r-IFN-α, 3 times a week for 12 months. Initial response (IR) was significantly better in patients with genotype 2 (100%) and 3 (46%) infections than in patients with genotype 1 (29%) (p < 0.005). Among subtypes, difference in IR was observed between 1b and 2 (p < 0.005), and between 1b and 3a (p < 0.05). Sustained response (SR) was observed in 12% for (sub)type 1a, 13% for 1b, 19% for 3a, and 40% for type 2; significant differences were found between 1b and 2 (p < 0.001), and between 1b and 3a (p < 0.05). Moreover, presence of cirrhosis was significantly associated with non response and response with relapse (p < 0.05).

In conclusion, non-1 HCV genotype and lack of histological diagnosis of cirrhosis were the only baseline features associated with sustained response to treatment. These data indicate that HCV genotyping may have prognostic relevance in the responsiveness to r-IFN-α therapy in Brazilian patients with chronic HCV infection, as seen in other reports worldwide.
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INTRODUCTION

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) is the main etiologic agent of post-transfusion and sporadic non-A non-B hepatitis worldwide. Chronic hepatitis C occurs in at least 85% of patients with acute HCV infection, and cirrhosis develops in 20-30% of these individuals.

The HCV genome is a positive-stranded RNA molecule of ~10 kb and is highly variable. Some regions of the viral genome, such as 5’ non-coding (NC) and core regions are rather conserved, while the envelope (E1 and E2) and the non-structural (NS) 5A regions exhibit marked variability. At present, 11 major types and at least 80 (sub)types can be differentiated according to the classification based upon various genetic analysis procedures. The distribution of different HCV genotypes varies according to the geographic region and seems to be related to their time of divergence (500-2000 years ago). In South America, Europe, the United States and Japan, HCV genotypes 1, 2 and 3 account for the majority of the infections, being (sub)type 1b the most prevalent.

Several studies in European, Asian and North American patients have shown that epidemiological parameters such as age, risk factors and duration of infection may be associated with HCV genotypes. Analyses of HCV transmission routes have shown that infections by (sub)types 1a and 3a are associated with intravenous drug abuse (IVDA), while 1b infections are predominant in post-transfusion hepatitis C.

Evidences from recent studies conducted in Europe and Japan suggest that some HCV genotypes, especially (sub)type 1b, lead to a more severe course of viral infection and appear to be associated with distinct manifestations of the disease. Despite these findings, there have been other reports suggesting that HCV genotypes do not have a significant effect on the severity and outcome of liver disease.
The current standard interferon (IFN) therapy with 3 million units (MU) three times a week, administered for 6 months, is associated with sustained response (SR) in about 10-20% of patients. This rate can be increased by 10-15% using higher doses or longer duration of therapy. Combinations of IFN with Ribavirin seem to significantly increase the SR rate in patients with chronic hepatitis C.

The efficacy of interferon therapy differs among the various genotypes, with genotype 1b emerging as an important predictive factor of non-response. In Brazil, the relationship between HCV genotypes and response to treatment is not well established. We therefore assessed whether HCV genotyping is associated with clinical characteristics and response to long-term recombinant-interferon-alpha (r-IFN-α) treatment in 130 Brazilian patients with chronic HCV infection.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Patients**

We studied 130 consecutive Brazilian patients with chronic hepatitis C between 1994 and 1997 from the Department of Gastroenterology, University of São Paulo. The diagnosis was based on (i) elevated alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels - higher than 1.5-fold the upper limit of the normal range, (ii) liver biopsy, (iii) presence of anti-HCV antibodies in serum - determined by the ELISA INNOTEST HCV Ab III, Innogenetics, Belgium and confirmed by the Immunoblot test INNO-LIA HCV Ab III, Innogenetics or RIBA-2, Ortho Diagnostics, Raritan, NJ, USA - and (iv) HCV-RNA (determined by PCR). Of these, 88 (68%) patients were born in São Paulo state and, of other 42 patients, 19 (14%) were from other regions of Brazil and have been living in São Paulo state. The origin of the remaining 23 patients could not be well defined. The patients were aged between 11 and 75 years, with a mean age of 47 years; 83 (64%) were males and 47 (36%), females.

Fifty (38.5%) out of 130 patients had previously been transfused with blood products, 15 (11.5%) had a history of intravenous drug abuse, and 11 (8.5%) had undergone major surgical procedures. The remaining 54 patients (41.5%) had no known risk factors.

The time of HCV infection was considered to be the date of blood transfusion for the 47 out of 50 patients with a history of transfusion with blood products. Data from the remaining three patients were not available.

Among these 130, there was no patient with hepatitis B virus antigenemia, IgM anti-HAV-positivity, cytomegalovirus, Epstein-Barr virus, alcoholism, autoimmune hepatitis, primary biliary cirrhosis, primary sclerosing cholangitis or with a history of treatment with hepatotoxic drugs.

Seventeen patients were withdrawn from treatment: one was under 18 years of age, two were older than 70 years, and 14 had early side effects. Interferon therapy was administered to 113 patients who showed a positive result in the HCV-PCR just before starting the r-IFN-α therapy. Doses of three MU were taken 3 times weekly for 12 months.

All patients gave informed consent for the study, which was approved by the local ethics committee.

**Liver Histology**

Patients were separated into two groups: patients with chronic hepatitis and patients with liver cirrhosis. Liver biopsy specimens were available for 124 of the 130 patients: 48 (38.7%) with histological diagnosis of liver cirrhosis and 76 (61.3%) with chronic hepatitis, the remaining 6 could not undergo such a diagnosis due to blood coagulation disturbances.

Liver biopsy specimens were assessed according to international criteria and histopathology will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

**Response to Interferon Therapy**

All patients were evaluated before therapy, at the end of the treatment period and 6 months later.

Based on ALT activity and HCV-RNA detection in serum, three categories were used to define response: (i) sustained response (SR) - normal serum ALT levels and negative HCV-RNA at the 6th month after treatment (response was also considered in case of abnormal ALT levels with negative HCV-RNA); (ii) response with relapse (RR) - normal ALT levels and negative HCV-RNA at the end of therapy but with abnormal values during follow-up; and (iii) non response (NR) - abnormal ALT levels with positive HCV-RNA at the end of therapy.

**Detection of HCV RNA**

For HCV RNA detection, whole blood was collected prior to treatment, at the end of treatment and after 6 months of follow-up. Serum samples were prepared within 4 hours after sampling; aliquots were stored at -20 °C.

In all 130 patients, serum samples were tested for HCV RNA with the use of nested reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) targeted to the 5′ non-coding region and/or Amplicor HCV (Roche Molecular Systems, Somerville, NJ). The detection limit of these assays is around 1000 HCV RNA copies per milliliter of serum.

**HCV genotyping**

The genotyping was performed by a reverse hybridization assay, the Line Probe Assay (INNO-LiPA HCV or INNO-LiPA HCV II -second generation-, Innogenetics, Belgium), based on hybridization of labeled PCR amplification products to specific probes directed against the variable regions of the 5′ NCR of the various genotypes. The genotyping results are from another study of ours.

**Statistical Analysis**

Percentage data were compared with Fisher’s exact test, Fisher-Freeman-Halton test and Likelihood ratio test as appropriate. Distribution of continuous variables was analyzed by the Mann-Whitney U test for two groups (i.e., the mean age between no cirrhosis and cirrhosis groups), or the Kruskal-Wallis test for three groups (i.e., the mean age between genotypes 1, 2 and 3). A probability (p) value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
RESULTS

Clinical characteristics and liver biopsy of patients infected with different Hepatitis C virus genotypes

We compared all of the (sub)types within the major genotypes to clinical and biopsy data. No differences were seen in sex, age, epidemiological risk and liver histology among the (sub)types within each major type. Therefore, the same comparison was carried out in relation to the major types. The HCV genotypes prevalence and distribution are shown in Table 1.

No difference in sex ratio (p = 0.20; Table 2) and mean age (p = 0.57; Table 2) was seen among the 130 patients infected within the various HCV genotypes. However, when comparing (sub)type 1a with the other (sub)types, patients infected with (sub)type 1a (mean age = 42) were younger than patients infected with the other (sub)types (mean age = 50) (p = 0.03).

Of the 47 patients, in which the estimated duration of HCV infection was documented, no difference with this regard was seen among genotypes 1, 2 and 3, suggesting that the distribution of HCV infecting strains has not changed substantially over the period during which our patients acquired HCV (1 to 35 years). Additionally, the duration of infection did not differ between patients with cirrhosis and with chronic hepatitis (p = 0.45).

Risk factors for the acquisition of hepatitis C fell into four groups: blood transfusion (38%), previous intravenous drug use (12%), surgical history with unknown administration of blood products (9%) and sporadic or unknown causes (41%). We found no differences with respect to these features in patients infected with HCV genotypes 1, 2 and 3 (p = 0.36). Similarly, we found no association among HCV genotypes and the patients who had acquired HCV through blood-products transfusion compared with those who had been infected by other routes.

Table 1
Distribution of genotypes in 130 Brazilian patients with chronic hepatitis C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>HCV (sub)type</th>
<th>No. patients</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type 1 (80 / 61.5)</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>33.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 (7 / 5.4)</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2a + 2b</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 3 (43 / 33.1)</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Genotyping of the PCR products of 123 (95%) out of 130 samples were performed by the Line Probe Assay (INNO-LiPA HCV or INNO-LiPA HCV II-second generation-, Innogenetics); the remaining 7 were tested by a *serotyping assay (HCV Serotyping Assay 1-6, Murex Diagnostics, Dartford, UK) at the cessation of treatment (data from another study of ours)3.

Table 2
Epidemiological and clinical data according to HCV genotypes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>HCV Type 1</th>
<th>HCV Type 2</th>
<th>HCV Type 3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 80</td>
<td>n = 7</td>
<td>n = 43</td>
<td>n = 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (female/male) n*</td>
<td>33/47</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>11/32</td>
<td>47/83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age ± SD, y</td>
<td>47 ± 15.1</td>
<td>50 ± 10.4</td>
<td>45 ± 12.1</td>
<td>46 ± 13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median duration of HCV infection (range) y</td>
<td>16 (1-35)</td>
<td>16 (15-16)</td>
<td>12 (1-34)</td>
<td>15 (1-35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidemiologic risk, n (%)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood transfusion</td>
<td>34 (42)</td>
<td>3 (43)</td>
<td>13 (30)</td>
<td>50 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravenous drug use</td>
<td>6 (8)</td>
<td>1 (14)</td>
<td>8 (19)</td>
<td>15 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>8 (10)</td>
<td>1 (14)</td>
<td>2 (15)</td>
<td>11 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>32 (40)</td>
<td>2 (29)</td>
<td>20 (46)</td>
<td>54 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with cirrhosis / number of patients assessed for biopsy, n/n (%)*</td>
<td>28/77 (36)</td>
<td>1/7 (14)</td>
<td>19/40 (48)</td>
<td>48/124 (39)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n = number of patients,
*not statistically different,
y = years,
a no difference among the three major types (1, 2 and 3); patients with subtype 1a were younger than the others (p = 0.03),
a available in 47 patients.

Of the 124 patients, infected with different HCV genotypes and from whom liver biopsy specimens were available, no significant difference was found regarding the presence or absence of cirrhosis (p = 0.22; Table 2). On the other hand, analysis of the presence of cirrhosis was significantly associated with older age (p < 0.001); the mean age in patients with and without cirrhosis was 52 and 43 years, respectively.

Responses to recombinant-Interferon-Alpha (r-IFN-α) therapy

Forty four (39%) out of 113 r-IFN-α treated patients were responders - 20 with sustained response (SR) and 24 with relapsed response (RR). The remaining 69 (61%) patients did not respond to treatment (non response). There was no significant interference of sex, mean age, and mode of acquisition in the response to treatment. However, a trend to a more favorable response was observed in patients without cirrhosis (p = 0.06, Table 3). Furthermore, when patients with a RR and those with NR were considered as a single group, a lower frequency of response was observed in patients with cirrhosis (p < 0.05). The analysis of genotype distribution and response to therapy of the 113 patients, shown in Tables 3 and 4, revealed significant differences (p < 0.005).

Analysis of (sub)types among patients with response to r-IFN-α therapy (108 of 113 patients) is shown in Table 4. Patients infected with (sub)type 1a showed more often response to therapy (n = 9.36%) than patients infected with (sub)type 1b (n = 8.21%). However, a higher frequency of response with relapse was found in the (sub)type 1a group (Table 4). Furthermore, a SR occurred less frequently in patients with (sub)type 1b (13%) than was the case for type 2 (40%) (p < 0.001) and type 3 (19%) (p < 0.05).

DISCUSSION

As far as epidemiological data are concerned - sex, age, mode of acquisition and duration of infection - there was no difference among patients infected with HCV types 1, 2 and 3. According to some studies, HCV (sub)type 1a is more frequently found in IVDA group.

Table 3
Clinical, virological and histological parameters associated with biochemical and virological response in 113 Brazilian patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>SR</th>
<th>RR</th>
<th>NR</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (n = 72)</td>
<td>12 (17)</td>
<td>14 (19)</td>
<td>46 (64)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (n = 41)</td>
<td>8 (20)</td>
<td>10 (24)</td>
<td>23 (56)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean age (years)</strong></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Route of transmission</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood transfusion (n = 45)</td>
<td>6 (13)</td>
<td>12 (27)</td>
<td>27 (60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVDA (n = 12)</td>
<td>3 (25)</td>
<td>1 (8)</td>
<td>8 (67)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery (n = 9)</td>
<td>2 (22)</td>
<td>1 (11)</td>
<td>6 (67)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporadic (n = 47)</td>
<td>9 (19)</td>
<td>10 (21)</td>
<td>28 (60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Time of infection (median, y)</strong></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cirrhosis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 67)</td>
<td>16 (24)</td>
<td>14 (21)</td>
<td>37 (55)</td>
<td>p = 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 43)</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
<td>10 (23)</td>
<td>30 (70)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HCV genotype</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 1 (n = 69)</td>
<td>10 (14.5)</td>
<td>10 (14.5)</td>
<td>49 (71)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 (n = 7)</td>
<td>3 (43)</td>
<td>4 (57)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 3 (n = 37)</td>
<td>7 (19)</td>
<td>10 (27)</td>
<td>20 (54)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: SR, sustained response; RR, response with relapse; NR, non response; NS, not significant (p > 0.05); n, number of patients; IVDA, intravenous drug abuser; y, year.

Table 4
Hepatitis C virus (sub)types* of sustained responders (SR), relapse responders (RR) and non responders (NR) to r-IFN-α treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HCV (sub)types</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>IR</th>
<th>RR</th>
<th>NR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>RR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a (n = 25)</td>
<td>3 (12)</td>
<td>6 (24)</td>
<td>16 (64)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b (n = 39)</td>
<td>5 (13)</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
<td>31 (79)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a, 2b or 2a + 2b (n = 7)</td>
<td>3 (40)</td>
<td>4 (60)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a (n = 37)</td>
<td>7 (19)</td>
<td>10 (27)</td>
<td>20 (54)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total (n = 108)</strong></td>
<td>18 (17)</td>
<td>23 (21)</td>
<td>67 (62)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Available for 108 out of 113 patients; ‡IR = initial responders. Likelihood ratio test for: (sub)type 1a versus 1b (p > 0.05); (sub)type 1b vs. type 2 (p < 0.001); (sub)type 1b vs. 3a (p < 0.05).
proportion of such a risk group was rather low (12%) in our studied population, what may explain the lower frequency of (sub)type 1a as compared to other populations. Nonetheless, the frequency of IVDA was evenly distributed among the three HCV types.

It is worth noting that we found no differences in the duration of HCV infection among genotypes 1, 2 and 3, in contrast to data from Pawlotsky and collaborators, who found that patients infected with genotype 3 had a shorter infection than patients with genotype 1. Thus, the former genotype may have been introduced into Europe more recently than the latter, but this does not seem to be our case.

The frequency of liver cirrhosis did not differ among the three genotypes, although the low number of patients infected with genotype 2 does not allow a definite conclusion. Discordant results are found in the literature; some authors have found no significant effect of HCV genotypes on the severity and outcome of liver disease, whereas others have shown a relationship between HCV type 1b infection and degree of fibrosis.

In regard with age, we did find an association between presence of cirrhosis and older patients, as in accordance with other investigators.

When clinical, virological and histological parameters were compared with response to interferon therapy, no association was found between sustained response and young age or gender. Only HCV genotyping and a histological diagnosis of cirrhosis emerged as important predictive factors. A better SR in patients without cirrhosis was observed by us (p < 0.05), as so by other authors. Patients infected with genotype 2 presented a better sustained response rate than the others (Table 3). Furthermore, a better biochemical and virological initial response was observed in patients with genotypes 1a, 2 and 3a as compared with genotype 1b (Table 4), which is in accordance with other reports.

The low rate of SR in patients with (sub)types 1a and 1b infections has led some authors to suggest different therapeutic schedules, such as, higher dose of interferon or its association with Ribavirin.

Although SR in (sub)types 1a and 1b infections was similar, the ratio of initial response was higher for patients infected with the former (sub)type: 36% and 21%, respectively. These results were also obtained by Lindsay and collaborators, who observed a trend for patients with (sub)type 1a to respond better to higher doses of IFN. Therefore, higher doses of IFN and or combined treatment with Ribavirin may provide a means to prevent the degree of relapse and increase the overall SR rate of subtype 1a.

Our analysis of response to r-IFN-α therapy showed that 20 out of 113 (18%) patients had a SR. Similar results were recently documented in a study of patients treated with the standard schedule of 3 MU of IFN thrice weekly for 6 months. Nevertheless, according to the same authors, sustained response ranged from 29% to 22% when given for duration of 12 and 18 months, respectively. This poor response rate - confirmed in our study with a large group of patients also submitted to a long term therapy - suggests that other schedules of therapy, such as initial daily doses of IFN or combination of IFN and Ribavirin, should be tried.

In conclusion, no difference according to sex, age, or mode of transmission were seen among patients infected with HCV types 1, 2 or 3. Moreover, a better sustained response was observed in patients with genotypes 2 and 3a.
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