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SUMMARY

In order to assess the potential risk of anti-HBc-positive blood donors for post-transfusional hepatitis and to investigate whether other HBV serological markers are capable of identifying the presence of the virus, 1000 first-time blood donors were enrolled between June and July 1997. These donors were screened using routine Brazilian blood center tests (HIV 1 and 2, HTLV 1 and 2, Chagas disease, Syphilis, HCV, HBsAg, anti-HBc and ALT). The 120 (12%) found to be anti-HBc-positive underwent further tests: HBe, anti-HBe, anti-HBs and HBV-DNA by PCR. Ten cases were HBsAg positive and all were HBV-DNA positive by PCR. Three HBsAg-negative donors were HBV-DNA-positive. Two HBV-DNA-positive donors were also anti-HBs-positive. All the HBV-positive donors had at least one HBV marker other than anti-HBc.

Anti-HBc is an important cause of blood rejection. Testing for HBsAg alone is not fully protective and anti-HBc remains necessary as a screening test. The presence of anti-HBs is not always indicative of absence of the virus. The addition of other HBV serological markers could represent an alternative in predicting the presence of the virus when compared with PCR. It is recommended that other studies should be carried out to confirm this finding.

KEY WORDS: Hepatitis B; Transfusion; Serological markers; HBV-DNA.

INTRODUCTION

Blood supply is a major concern all over the world. In Brazil, the introduction of Hepatitis B core antibody (anti-HBc) as a surrogate marker for HIV and viral hepatitis in blood transfusion centers has led to a high donation rejection rate. This rate varies widely over the country, in the Amazonian area as much as 43.7% being discarded (CLEMENS et al., 2000).

Some studies have been carried out in Brazil with different and, sometimes, contradictory results.

The residual transfusion risk for hepatitis B and C in Santa Catarina (Brazil) has decreased in the 1990’s, after the introduction of anti-HBc and anti-HCV in the screening routine (KUPEK, 2001). A recent study shows a high prevalence of anti-HBc (16%) in blood donors, but no HBV-DNA was detected among them (ALMEIDA NETO et al., 2001). MARTELLI et al. (1999) pointed out that there is not enough evidence to discontinue anti-HBc screening in Brazil.

The significance of anti-HBc in the absence of the surface antigen is somewhat controversial. Such finding could represent 1) a situation where despite anti-HBs and anti-HBe being undetectable, the patient is immunized; 2) a case where HBsAg levels are very low to be detected with routine assays; 3) false-positivity or cross-reactivity of anti-HBc; and 4) an immunological window period, in which HBsAg is already undetectable and the surface antibody is not yet detectable (KLEINMAN et al., 1997). In the first three situations no conclusion can be drawn concerning the presence or absence of HBV without referring to molecular biology methods (BONINO et al., 1981).

Molecular methods have demonstrated the presence of the virus in patients with anti-HBc alone with a frequency varying from 0 to 90% (LUO et al., 1991; SHIH et al., 1990; WANG et al., 1991). In Brazil, HBV-DNA positivity ranges from 0.8% among blood donors to 23.4% among individuals attending outpatient clinics in the state of São Paulo (SUCUPIRA, 1997; CRUZ, 1997). Such wide discrepancy, not completely understood, could result from virological, immunological, or methodological factors, besides region HBV endemicity.

In Northeast Brazil, the high prevalence of anti-HBc-positive blood donors has resulted in a very high level of donation rejection, with obvious consequences in the setting of blood scarcity, and very high costs. On the other hand, with the serological markers used in Brazilian blood transfusion centers, one could not assure the safety of a blood transfusion from anti-HBc-positive donor.
The main objective of this study was to assess how frequent is the detection of HBV-DNA among blood donors with normal ALT levels and with negative test results for all safety markers routinely used in Brazilian transfusion centers (syphilis, Chagas disease, HIV, HTLV I/II, HBsAg and HCV) but anti-HBc.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Study population:** Between June and July 1997, 1000 first time blood donors at the City of Recife (Northeast of Brazil) blood transfusion center were ascertained in a cross-sectional study. Among donors, the frequency of anti-HBc-positive was calculated and its concomitance with HBV-DNA investigated.

Furthermore, among these anti-HBc-positive individuals, it was determined whether other HBV serological markers, not routinely used in Brazilian transfusion centers (anti-HBs, anti-HBe, HBe), could be safely used as surrogate markers to predict the presence of HBV-DNA.

**Data Collection:** For each participant in the study, the following data were obtained: 1) gender, age, schooling and donation model; 2) history of jaundice or hepatitis, sexual habits, arterial hypertension or hypotension, medication in use, recent alcohol intake, body mass index, symptoms and signals suggesting Dengue Fever or anemia and skin lesions.

According to these latter variables, the donor was included in or excluded from the study. From the donors included, three samples were collected, two for routine blood transfusion center screening tests and one for centrifugation and freezing at –22°C. In this last group, when the sample was positive for anti-HBc, serological markers not routinely used (anti-HBe, anti-HBs and HBeAg) and a PCR for HBV-DNA were performed.

Prior to inclusion standard written informed consent was obtained from all participants and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of HEMOPE (Hemocentro de Pernambuco).

**Laboratory Studies:** The samples were tested in accordance to the Brazilian Health Ministry recommendations for blood transfusion centers. One thousand samples were tested for Chagas Disease, HCV, HBV (HBsAg and anti-HBc), HIV 1 and 2, HTLV 1 and 2, and with negative test results for all safety markers, i.e., HBeAg, anti-HBe and anti-HBs remaining (12%). Three samples were lost and could not, for that reason, be tested for non routine tests, i.e., HBeAg, anti-HBe and anti-HBs negative blood donors were the great majority. Some characteristics of the serologic profile of the 117 anti-HBc positive donors are shown on Table 1.

**RESULTS**

Among the 1000 blood donors, there were 120 anti-HBc-positive (12%). Three samples were lost and could not, for that reason, be tested for non routine tests, i.e., HBeAg, anti-HBe and anti-HBs remaining 117 anti-HBc positive patients. Male and less educated blood donors were the great majority. Some characteristics of the serologic profile of the 117 anti-HBc positive donors are shown on Table 1.

**DISCUSSION**

This study showed insufficient effectiveness of HBsAg screening for protecting blood recipients from HBV infection. Three HBsAg-negative blood donors were HBV-DNA-positive and if it were not for the screening of anti-HBc recipients would have been infected. These findings have been broadly supported by previous studies (CARLONI et al., 1986; LEON et al., 1999). On the other hand, in our study detection of anti-HBs did not rule out presence of the virus.
Anti-HBs, as a marker of recovery from HBV infection and protective immunity, is generally believed to be so strong that COELHO & NOGUEIRA (1995) claimed that it would be safe to release anti-HBc and anti-HBs-positive blood donations. However, in this study, two anti-HBs-positive donors were also HBV-DNA-positive. This apparent paradoxical immuno-virological pattern might be due to the presence of HBV quasi-species, often responsible for unusual serological patterns (BLUM, 1993). It did not seem to be the case in this study. The surface genes of samples from the two unusual cases were sequenced and revealed genotype F (common in South America) and genotype A (common in Europe). No mutations that would account for the failure of surface antigen detection was found (TONG, 2001). If the absence of HBsAg does not always indicate the absence of the virus and anti-HBs seropositivity does not always indicate its presence, one could conclude that relying entirely on the serological pattern to rule in or out the virus might be risky.

This phenomenon could be ascribed to limitations of the screening test in terms of specificity and sensibility, to an as yet incomplete knowledge of HBV pathogenesis, or to its wide genetic variation (HARRISON, 1996). In anti-HBs positive subjects it has been claimed that the virus is totally cleared by both cellular and humoral immunity. Of interest, not a single HBV-DNA-positive case was solely anti-HBc-positive.

Our study offers strong support to the maintenance of anti-HBc, in order to minimize the chances of post-transfusion HBV infection. However, the introduction of other HBV markers in blood transfusion centers should be considered as an alternative to reducing the possible excessive disposal of blood bags in Brazilian blood banks, possibly due to a great quantity of false-positive results. In this study no solely anti-HBc-positive blood donor was HBV-positive when assessed by HBV-DNA PCR. All HBV PCR positive blood donors were positive also to at least another HBV serological marker.

In conclusion, this study offers some evidence that the presence of two HBV serological markers may be an indication of a positive HBV PCR. Nonetheless, larger studies are warranted including individuals from different groups, mainly subjects who are HBV PCR negative, together with patients with positive seromarkers, and the PCR and anti-HBs positive subjects before defining new strategies for blood transfusion safety policies based on these findings.
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