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SUMMARY

Canine visceral leishmaniasis (CVL) is recognizable by characteristic signs of disease and is highly lethal. The infection, however, may be quite inapparent in some seropositive dogs, and this has raised the polemic question as to whether or not such animals can be a source of infection for Lutzomyia longipalpis, the vector of American visceral leishmaniasis (AVL). In this study we have examined 51 dogs with acute CVL from an AVL area in Pará State, northern Brazil, and compared the parasite density, amastigotes of Leishmania (L.) infantum chagasi, in the skin, lymph node and viscera of symptomatic with that of nine asymptomatic but seropositive dogs (IFAT-IgG). Post-mortem biopsy fragments of these tissues were processed by immunohistochemistry, using a polyclonal antibody against Leishmania sp. The X2 and Mann Whitney tests were used to evaluate the means of infected macrophage density (p < 0.05). There was no difference (p > 0.05) in the skin (10.7/mm2 x 15.5/mm2) and lymph node (6.3/mm2 x 8.3/mm2), between asymptomatic and symptomatic dogs, respectively. It was higher (p < 0.05), however, in the viscera of symptomatic (5.3/mm2) than it was in asymptomatic (1.4/mm2) dogs. These results strongly suggest that asymptomatic or symptomatic L. (L.) i. chagasi-infected dogs can serve as a source of infection, principally considering the highest (p < 0.05) parasite density from skin (10.7/mm2 x 15.5/mm2), the place where the vector L. longipalpis takes its blood meal, compared with those from lymph node (6.3/mm2 x 8.3/mm2) and viscera (1.4/mm2 x 5.3/mm2).
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INTRODUCTION

In Amazonian Brazil, American visceral leishmaniasis (AVL) is an anthropozoonotic disease, strongly associated with an enzootic cycle of Leishmania (Leishmania) infantum chagasi = Leishmania chagasi Cunha and Chagas 1937 in the fox Cerdocyon thous[5,18,31]. Transmission of the parasite in these animals of northern Brazil is considered to be maintained by the phlebotomine sandfly vector Lutzomyia longipalpis[19,32]. This silvatic enzootic, it has been suggested, was probably the origin of the major foci of human AVL in this region[4], principally in the State of Pará where the ecology and epidemiology of the disease has been studied during the last sixty years[5,13].

Progressive increase in the number of human AVL cases in Pará State during recent years[30] has been facilitated by the steadily increasing population of the highly susceptible domestic dog, Canis familiaris, which has now become the major peridomestic source of human infection[15,16]. As a result, the culling of clinically symptomatic and asymptomatic but seropositive dogs has been regarded as a major control measure against the human disease[5].

There is, nevertheless, a growing opinion that this control measure, particularly the destruction of the asymptomatic, serologically positive dogs, has failed to result in any lasting decrease in the incidence of human and/or canine AVL in Brazil[10], thus placing the Brazilian health authorities in a somewhat delicate situation.

With this in mind, the following study has compared the parasite density (amastigotes) of the acutely infected, sick dog with that of the asymptomatic but seropositive animal, in an attempt to indicate the degree with which the latter may serve as a source of infection for the sandfly vector.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Dogs: Sixty mongrel dogs were randomly examined, thirty-four...
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education: This was based on the classical clinical signs described for canine leishmaniasis, principally lymphadenopathy, splenomegaly, hepatomegaly, weight loss, alopecia and onychogryphosis11,12. Dogs with one or more clinical symptoms were regarded as symptomatic (51-85%) and those with no clinical sign as asymptomatic (9-15%).

Proceedings for collection of tissue samples: Before euthanasia, the dogs were anesthetized with sodium thiopental 25 mg/kg; following that, euthanasia was performed by intravenous injection of 19.1% potassium chloride, and post-mortem biopsies were made from the skin from the upper triangle of the ear (frequently compromised in symptomatic dogs), popliteal lymph node and viscera (liver and spleen) from all sixty seropositive dogs. The tissues were fixed in neutral formalin solution (10%, pH 7.2) and embedded in paraffin wax for the preparation of histological sections, cut at approximately 4.0 µm. Besides these, blood samples were drawn by jugular puncture and serum samples of all dogs were stored at -20 °C for evaluating the final titer of the IFAT (IgG) reaction for each dog.

Evaluation of the parasite density: The genus Leishmania is an obligate intracellular parasite of the mononuclear cells (principally the macrophage) and the parasite density was, therefore, estimated by determining the infected macrophage density in the above-mentioned tissues from all of the seropositive dogs examined. This was obtained by the use of an immunohistochemical study of histological sections using a polyclonal antibody for the recognition of L. (L.) i. chagasi-amaestigotes in parasitized macrophages24, as well as for the cellular analysis of immuno-labeled macrophage density9.

Immunohistochemistry procedure: This followed the procedure described by MOREIRA et al.25. The paraffin-wax embedded sections were de-waxed and rehydrated, and the antigen retrieved by steaming in 10mM citric acid solution (pH 6.0) for three minutes in a pressure cooker. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked with 3% hydrogen peroxide and nonspecific interactions with a solution of powdered skimmed milk, 60g/L in distilled water. Immuno-labeling was performed with mouse anti-Leishmania polyclonal antibody diluted 1/800 in 0.01M PBS containing 1% bovine serum albumin: incubation was carried out in a humid chamber, at 4 °C, overnight. After washing, the sections were incubated with a biotinylated secondary antibody, and then with a streptavidin-peroxidase complex from LSAB kit (Dako, USA); both incubations were performed at 37 °C for 30 minutes. Colour reaction was developed for five minutes at room temperature using, as substrate,
**Distribution of infection in the tissues of dogs related to clinical state:** Lymph node was the most commonly infected tissue (100%), in both the symptomatic (51) and asymptomatic (nine) dogs. The viscera were 100% parasitized in the symptomatic animals and 98% in the asymptomatic. The skin was the least commonly parasitized tissue, 81.2% in the symptomatic dogs and 55.5% in the asymptomatic.

**Comparison of the parasite density (infected macrophage density) in the skin, lymph node and viscera (liver plus spleen) between asymptomatic and symptomatic, seropositive dogs:** This diagnostic approach allowed the use of two types of analysis: in the first analysis there was no significant difference ($p > 0.05$) in the parasite density of the skin ($10.7$/mm$^2$ vs $15.5$/mm$^2$) and lymph node ($6.3$/mm$^2$ vs $8.3$/mm$^2$), between the asymptomatic and symptomatic dogs, respectively. In the viscera, however, a difference ($p < 0.05$) existed ($1.4$/mm$^2$ vs $5.3$/mm$^2$) between these two groups of dogs (Fig. 1A, 1B and 1C). In the second analysis, the parasite density of different tissues was compared amongst dogs within the same clinical category: this indicated that the parasite density in the skin of asymptomatic dogs ($10.7$/mm$^2$) was higher ($p < 0.05$) than that in the lymph node ($6.3$/mm$^2$) and viscera ($1.4$/mm$^2$). In addition, the parasite density in the skin of symptomatic dogs ($15.5$/mm$^2$) was also higher ($p < 0.05$) than that in lymph node ($8.3$/mm$^2$) and viscera ($5.3$/mm$^2$) (Fig. 2A and 2B).

**Comparison of the total parasite density (Tpd) between the asymptomatic and symptomatic, seropositive dogs:** In order to complete our comparative analysis between asymptomatic and symptomatic dogs, it was shown that the Tpd of symptomatic dogs ($8.5$/mm$^2$) was higher ($p < 0.05$) than that of asymptomatic ones ($4.3$/mm$^2$) (Fig. 3).

**Evaluation of the total parasite density (Tpd) related to the antibody response:** This evaluation showed a clear association between the Tpd and the humoral response (IFAT-IgG) of infected dogs: namely, those dogs with low (80-640) serological titers presented a Tpd ($8.9$/mm$^2$) which was lower ($p < 0.05$) than the Tpd ($17.1$/mm$^2$) of dogs with a high ($\geq 1280$) serological titre (Fig. 4).

---

**Fig. 1** - Comparison of the parasite density (parasitized macrophages density) from the skin (A), lymph node (B) and visceral (liver plus spleen) (C) tissues between symptomatic and asymptomatic dogs, Santana do Cafezal village, municipality of Barcarena, Pará State, Brazil.

**Fig. 2** - Comparison of the parasite density (parasitized macrophages density) amongst the skin, lymph node and visceral (liver plus spleen) tissues from symptomatic (A) and asymptomatic (B) dogs, Santana do Cafezal village, Barcarena municipality, Pará State, Brazil.
in the skin of dogs is lost due to bacterial or fungal contamination and several months may be needed before infection can be detected in the hamster. Among the modern molecular biology techniques, the PCR is highly sensitive, but its high cost limits its use to well-equipped reference laboratories.

We have shown that immunohistochemistry, such as that used in the present study, can provide a definite diagnosis, using a simple stained histological section of dog tissues and the common optical microscope. By this method parasites were readily detected in sections of tissues from 10 randomly selected seropositive dogs, whereas in Giemsa-stained sections it was possible to detect the parasites in only 70-80% of these animals. Using the same immunohistochemical staining, TAFOURI et al. detected small numbers of amastigotes in the tissue of dogs with CVL in Minas Gerais State, south-eastern Brazil, and the same method has been used to detect other parasites such as Trypanosoma cruzi, Toxoplasma gondii and Leishmania (L.) donovani.

In our study, it was of particular interest that 100% of the lymph node biopsies contained abundant amastigotes; and that although the viscera of symptomatic dogs contained detectable parasite in 100% of these animals, the liver and spleen tissues were also parasitized in 98% of asymptomatic dogs; and, finally, that the lowest detectable infection-rate was in the skin (81.2% in the symptomatic animals and only 55.5% in the nine animals showing no signs of infection). These findings strongly suggest the support of other authors that the best diagnostic method in screening dogs for evidence of visceral leishmaniasis, in both symptomatic and asymptomatic animals, is the staining of lymph node tissue by immunohistochemical staining. SANCHEZ et al. studied the parasite density in Giemsa-stained smears of mesenteric lymph node and concluded that it was similar in both symptomatic and asymptomatic dogs.

In contrast to the similarity of the parasite density of both skin and popliteal lymph node in symptomatic and asymptomatic dogs, that of the viscera showed the significant difference \( p < 0.05 \) of 5.3/mm\(^2\) and 1.4/mm\(^2\) respectively: in other words, symptomatic dogs had a visceral parasite density which was almost four times greater than that of the asymptomatic animals. According to SANCHEZ et al., obtained similar results, this is due to a higher immunological resistance in asymptomatic dogs. At the same time, however, they failed to explain why a similar parasite density was found in the mesenteric visceral lymph node of both symptomatic and asymptomatic animals. To us, it would seem more reasonable to conclude that the considerable difference in the number of parasitized cells in the symptomatic and asymptomatic dogs was due to a difference in the duration of infection: namely, that the viscera was quite likely that after a few months some of our nine asymptomatic dogs would have become symptomatic and with a higher visceral parasite density. This was borne out of a clinical follow-up of three asymptomatic, seropositive dogs; and, finally, that the lowest detectable infection-rate was in the skin (81.2% in the symptomatic animals and only 55.5% in the nine animals showing no signs of infection). These findings strongly support the suggestion of other authors that the best diagnostic method in screening dogs for evidence of visceral leishmaniasis, in both symptomatic and asymptomatic animals, is the staining of lymph node tissue by immunohistochemical staining. SANCHEZ et al. studied the parasite density in Giemsa-stained smears of mesenteric lymph node and concluded that it was similar in both symptomatic and asymptomatic dogs.

In considering a comparison of the average parasite density in the different tissues of dogs in the symptomatic and asymptomatic groups, it was surprising to find that in both groups it was higher in the skin (15.5/mm\(^2\) and 10.7/mm\(^2\)) than in the lymph node (8.3/mm\(^2\) and 6.3/mm\(^2\)) and the viscera (5.3/mm\(^2\) and 1.4/mm\(^2\)). This suggests that immunological resistance to infection with L. (L.) i. chagasi in the skin of dogs is less efficient than that in the lymph node and visceral tissues, even in asymptomatic animals.
In our final comparative analysis, i.e. difference in the Tpd between the symptomatic and asymptomatic dogs, it was not surprising that this was higher in the former (8.5/mm²) than the latter (4.3/mm²), although this seems not to have been previously indicated.

Regarding the possible association of the Tpd and humoral response (IFAT-IgG), our findings clearly indicated that the higher the Tpd, the higher the humoral response will be - the tendency of infection behaviour is thus modified by the immune response of the infected host.

Although the skin of both symptomatic and asymptomatic, seropositive dogs had the lowest infection prevalence (81.2% and 55.5%, respectively), there was no significant difference in the two groups (p > 0.05). The mean parasite density for symptomatic animals was 15.5/mm² and that for the asymptomatic seropositives 10.7/mm². This suggests that a dog of any clinical category may serve as a source of infection for the sandfly vector.

Among the asymptomatic animals there will probably be some with a high parasite density equal to that of symptomatic dogs, and it is to be admitted that we examined only nine asymptomatic animals compared with a large number (51) of dogs with clear signs of infection. The successful infection of laboratory-bred L. longipalpis fed on two of three randomly selected dogs from our asymptomatic group, however, tends to support the conclusion that dogs with an apparent CVL are of importance in the maintenance of AVL foci. A similar conclusion was reached regarding the transmission of the closely related Leishmania (L.) infantum in the Mediterranean region of the Old World, where the vector Phlebotomus perniciosus was successfully infected when fed on asymptomatic dogs. All these findings together suggest it best to continue the culling of all seropositive dogs in the Brazilian AVL control programme, regardless of their clinical status. In this respect it is significant that in our present study on canine AVL in the Cafetézal village there was a significant reduction in the infection rate from 43.8% at initiation to only 29% one year later, after the euthanasia of the 60 seropositive dogs.

The frequently lethal outcome of American canine visceral leishmaniasis due to L. (L.) i. chagasi is in striking contrast to the consistently inapparent infection in the native fox, C. thous. Thus, none of the 14 parasitologically positive foxes found in Pará State displayed any outward signs of disease. It is of particular interest that infection was transmitted experimentally to an uninfected fox by the bites of infected L. longipalpis, that this animal also showed no visible signs infection, and that it was possible to infect further L. longipalpis fed on it. Finally, the presence of amastigotes in the animal’s skin was demonstrated by the infection of hamsters inoculated with a triturate of this tissue.

The future control of AVL needs a network of trained personnel to make extensive and rapid collection of canine blood samples in endemic areas and promptly deposit these in laboratories for serology. Results need to be quickly made available in a central database in order to indicate the dogs that should be culled. This clearly must be accompanied by the diagnosis and treatment of human cases of AVL and attempts to eradicate the sandfly vector from its peridomestic habitat. The examination of dogs to detect enlargement of the popliteal lymph node is a useful additional diagnostic procedure, and Giemsa-stained smears of aspirated or biopsied lymph tissue will usually confirm diagnosis of infected animals.

It is to be hoped that future, improved control measures may considerably diminish the incidence of this important disease. It is unlikely, however, to eliminate it entirely in those areas where the silvatic enzootic cycle of L. (L.) i. chagasi still exists.

RESUMO

Leishmaniose visceral canina causada por Leishmania (L.) infantum chagasi na Amazônia brasileira: comparação da densidade parasitária da pele, linfonodo e vísceras entre cães soropositivos, sintomáticos e as sintomáticos

A leishmaniose visceral canina (LVC) é reconhecida pelas características clínicas da doença e é altamente letal. A infecção, entretanto, pode ser totalmente as sintomáticos em alguns cães soropositivos, o que tem levantado questão polêmica sobre a possibilidade desses animais, serem ou não uma fonte importante da infecção para o febrotomíneo, Lutzomyia longipalpis, o principal vetor da leishmaniose visceral americana (LVA). Neste estudo foram examinados 51 cães com LVC aguda, provenientes de área endêmica de LVA no Estado do Pará, Brasil, e a carga parasitária, formas amastigotas de Leishmania (L.) infantum chagasi, na pele, linfonodo popliteo e vísceras (fígado e baço) foi comparada com a de nove cães sintomáticos soropositivos (IFAT-IgG). Fragmentos de biópsia desses tecidos obtidos post-mortem foram processados para análise através de imunohistoquímica, usando um anticorpo policlonal contra Leishmania sp. Os testes do Qui-quadrado (X²) e Mann Whitney foram usados para avaliar as médias da densidade de macrófagos infectados (p < 0.05). Os resultados mostraram que não houve diferença (p > 0.05) na densidade de macrófagos infectados da pele (10.7/mm² x 15.5/mm²) e do linfonodo (6.3/mm² x 8.3/mm²) entre cães sintomáticos e sintomáticos. Entretanto, a densidade de macrófagos infectados da víscera de cães sintomáticos (5.3/mm²) foi maior (p < 0.05) que a de cães assintomáticos (1.4/mm²). Estes resultados sugerem, fortemente, que cães naturalmente infectados por L. (L.) i. chagasi, assintomáticos ou sintomáticos, podem servir como fonte de infecção, principalmente, considerando-se que a densidade de macrófagos infectados da pele (10.7/mm² x 15.5/mm²), local onde o febrotomíneo vetor Lu. longipalpis realiza a hematofagia, foi maior (p < 0.05) que as do linfonodo (6.3/mm² x 8.3/mm²) e vísceras (1.4/mm² x 5.3/mm²).
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