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ABSTRACT

Objective
This study sought to highlight the different types of challenges for the integral care of overweight individuals in primary care based on the perception of nutritionists.

Methods
This is a qualitative study with primary care professionals from the Federal District, Brazil. Semi-structured interviews were conducted to understand the perceptions regarding the organization of integral care. The analysis of the data was based on the theoretical framework Theoretical Domains Framework.

Results
Challenges related to 10 of the 12 different domains proposed by the theoretical framework were identified. These challenges are related to the capability, opportunity and motivation of workers to act to offer more effective care.

Conclusion
The different nature of the barriers identified were overlapped, which reveal the need for professional qualification along with the consolidation of an appropriate care model for chronic noncommunicable diseases such as obesity.
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**RESUMO**

**Objetivo**
Este estudo buscou compreender, a partir da percepção dos nutricionistas, as diferentes naturezas dos desafios para o cuidado integral dos indivíduos com excesso de peso na atenção básica.

**Métodos**
Trata-se de um estudo qualitativo com profissionais da atenção básica do Distrito Federal, Brasil. Foram realizadas entrevistas semiestruturadas, buscando compreender percepções quanto aos desafios para a organização do cuidado integral dos indivíduos com excesso de peso. Para interpretação dos dados, foi utilizada a análise de conteúdo, a partir do quadro teórico denominado Theoretical Domains Framework.

**Resultados**
Foram identificados desafios relacionados a 10 dos 12 diferentes domínios propostos pelo quadro teórico. Os referidos desafios estão relacionados à capacidade, à oportunidade e à motivação dos trabalhadores em agir, no sentido de ofertar um cuidado mais efetivo.

**Conclusão**
As diferentes naturezas das barreiras para a oferta de um cuidado integral dos indivíduos com excesso de peso se mostraram bastante imbricadas, apontando para uma necessidade de qualificação profissional de forma conjunta com a estruturação de um modelo de atenção adequado às condições crônicas, como o excesso de peso.


**INTRODUCTION**

Being Overweight (OW) has become a chronic health problem characterized by excessive accumulation of body fat, and it is seen by some institutions as a risk factor for other complications and the disease itself [1]. Due to its multifactorial nature, its determinants are not only biological and individual, but they are also deeply related to the food environment of individuals and include a set of social, cultural, economic and historical interactions that impact the way of life of individuals and determine their habits [2,3].

Effective coping with OW in the context of health services requires innovation in care delivery strategies based on multi-professional work and the design of more comprehensive care models in addition to guidance for caloric deficits. However, it is still common for professionals with low qualifications who deal with the issue to express distorted concepts and perceptions about the patient and have poor training to work with multidisciplinary teams, which leads to unsatisfactory results for both health professionals and overweight individuals [4].

The structuring of intra and intersectoral actions and strategies that promote comprehensive care are some of the measures needed to ensure more effective care. According to Mattos [5], the perspective of comprehensiveness is far beyond the attitude of professionals who assume a broader view of health needs in view of the complexity of human beings and the food environment in which they live. Understanding the etiology of problems and implementing prevention and control strategies (vertical comprehensiveness), the organization of health services (horizontal comprehensiveness) and the comprehensiveness of public policies through intersectoral actions are important aspects to consider.

Primary Care is a fundamental locus for prevention and care for overweight individuals due to its high degree of scope and connection with the population. Health care relationships established
between teams and healthcare users allow a better understanding of the determinants of weight gain and the organization of prevention and control actions of OW [6]. Several guidelines have been published by the Ministry of Health seeking to qualify health care, however, its implementation still faces barriers in the daily routine of healthcare services that put at risk the effectiveness of strategies developed by health teams [7,8].

The perception and attitudes of health professionals regarding OW are crucial for effective care. However, it has been observed that knowledge and practices are still surrounded by stigma and misinterpretation [9,10]. The degree of knowledge on the subject and motivation, beliefs, and attitudes of the professionals as well as the organization of work are factors that impact professional practice, bringing elements of social psychology closer to understand the reality of health care [11].

The healthcare approach for overweight individuals is still focused on changing eating habits and increasing physical activity practice. It also identifies an expectation of responsibility focused on the nutritionist, which poses challenges both in achieving results and the possible action between different professionals of the health team. The barriers and challenges faced by the nutritionist for the prevention and treatment of OW reveal complex scenarios that are also probably experienced by other health professionals [4,6].

Given the complex etiology and care of OW, the aim of this study was to identify the main barriers and challenges for the achievement of comprehensive care for overweight individuals in primary care from the perspective of nutritionists by using a methodology that allows a more thorough evaluation of the phenomenon.

**METHODS**

This is a qualitative study. An invitation letter was sent by email to all nutritionists working in primary care in the Federal District who, upon agreement to participate, were interviewed using semi-structured interviews. Participants who agreed to participate in the study signed an informed consent and an “authorization term for the use of sound and image for research purposes”, and they were interviewed face-to-face. The interviews, conducted between May and July 2016, were recorded and later transcribed for analysis.

The interview script was pre-tested with five primary care professionals from other cities in the country to refine the instrument before applying it to the participants of the research. The interview began by reading the elements of primary care for comprehensive care for overweight individuals as established by the Ministry of Health [7,8], as follows: adequate embracement of individuals, continuous analysis of food and nutritional status and its determinant factors, health promotion and obesity prevention, self-care support strategies, multi-professional care, multi-professional care for individuals undergoing bariatric surgery and referral to other healthcare services.

After reading the elements, the professionals were asked a series of questions about the main barriers and challenges in their current work context. The Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF), proposed by Michie et al. [11], was used as a theoretical reference to prepare the questions. This model aims to analyze the main factors that influence behavior and professional performance from the perspective of different behavior theories. These factors, initially grouped into 14 domains and later regrouped into 12 domains after the validation study, represent the different natures of these factors: related to intentions, individual capacity or the professional’s work environment [12].
The questions were prepared within each domain following Michie’s proposal [11] in which constructs are related to each domain (Chart 1) and relevant content examples are expected in each domain, as proposed by Heslehurst [13].

The 12 proposed domains can be concisely analyzed from the “COM-B” model proposed by the author who proposed the TDF, which groups the domains into three main dimensions: capability, opportunity to act and motivation to act. The model was used to optimize the interpretation of results and guide discussion [14]. Another author, Schwartz [15], states that the way people engage in managing work goals is a dynamic process that implies an integration between “knowing how to act” – knowing former norms –, “wanting to act” – feeling motivated or joining a collective project –, and “being able to act” – the ability to deal with the environment. These elements correspond to the dimensions and domains of TDF and COM-B. The domains, their definitions, research use and related constructs are described in Chart 1.

Chart 1. Theoretical Domains Framework definitions, constructs and use in research with primary healthcare nutritionists in the Federal District, Brazil, 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Constructs</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Use in research</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge</td>
<td>Knowledge; procedural knowledge; knowledge of task environment.</td>
<td>Knowledge of the existence of something, an evaluation based on the expression of having or not knowing about procedures or certain behaviors.</td>
<td>Knowledge of the guidelines or protocols that organize care as given as well as knowledge of how to carry out each of the actions in care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abilities</td>
<td>Skills; Skill development; Competence; Aptitude; Interpersonal Skills; Practice; Skill assessment.</td>
<td>Aptitude or proficiency acquired through practice, which is identified from expressions that describe techniques and skills used in carrying out the actions.</td>
<td>Interpersonal skills (empathy, practice, sensitivity, non-judgmental, patient approach, etc.) as well as skills adopted as an alternative due to the lack of adequate training.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social or professional role and identity</td>
<td>Professional identity; Role of the professional; Social identity; Identity; Professional limits; Professional trust; Group identity; Leadership; Organizational commitment.</td>
<td>How professionals perceive themselves, to what extent they understand that carrying out those actions is their professional or social responsibility, how much their personal identity influences performance of that action or behavior.</td>
<td>The degree to which professionals feel that their performance of actions is their attribution, and the influence of perception of their identity for the development of actions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beliefs about abilities</td>
<td>Self-efficacy; Perceived skills; Self-confidence; Beliefs; Empowerment; Self-esteem; Perceived behavioral control; Professional trust.</td>
<td>Associated with acceptance of truth and validity about a skill or talent that professionals have and use for something.</td>
<td>Perceived capacity of professionals to perform actions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimism</td>
<td>Optimism; Pessimism; Unreal optimism; Identity.</td>
<td>Confidence that actions will be considered good and desired goals will be achieved, or the opposite (associated with the pessimism construct).</td>
<td>Expressions that identify if the actions performed are something positive or even the opposite.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beliefs about consequences</td>
<td>Beliefs; Expectation of results; Characteristics of outcome expectations; Early repentance; Consequences.</td>
<td>Acceptance of truthfulness and validity about the consequences or results of a behavior in a given situation.</td>
<td>Expressions indicating that professionals believe that performing or not the given set of actions will have consequences for themselves, the team or the individual.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain</td>
<td>Constructs</td>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>Use in research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforcement</td>
<td>Rewards (proximal/distal; valued/not valued; probable/unlikely); Incentives; Punishment; Results/effects; Reinforcement; uncertainty; sanctions.</td>
<td>Increased probability of a response from the relationship between the existence of a given stimulus and the occurrence of that response. Related to associative learning.</td>
<td>Expressions indicating that carrying out the proposed set of actions is associated with some reward or punishment, e.g. from unit management, team members, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intentions</td>
<td>Stability of intentions; Behavior change models; Transtheoretical model and stages of change.</td>
<td>It is a conscious decision to perform a behavior or the decision to act in a particular direction. It is more related to willingness to start or end a behavior.</td>
<td>How much the professional is willing to perform the actions provided for the care of the overweight individual and how much these actions are prioritized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectives</td>
<td>Objectives (distal and proximal); Objective priorities; Establishment of objectives/goals; Objectives (autonomous or controlled) Action planning; Implementation of intention.</td>
<td>It is related to a future scenario toward which individuals focus on their actions, i.e. the purpose of the activities or efforts used.</td>
<td>Expressions that indicate possible goals that will be achieved in comprehensive care for overweight individuals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory, attention and decision processes</td>
<td>Memory; Attention; Attention control; Decision making; Cognitive exhaustion/tiredness.</td>
<td>The ability to retain information, selectively focus on aspects of the environment, and choose between two or more behavioral alternatives.</td>
<td>Assertiveness about situations in which professionals remember or forget to perform the planned actions; Cognitive approaches to performing a behavior or making a quick decision; Cognitive limitations such as forgetting or not being able to make a particular decision regarding integral care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental context and resources</td>
<td>Material resources/resources; Environmental stressors; Culture; Organizational environment; Salient events/critical incidents; Person x environmental interaction; Barriers and facilitators.</td>
<td>Any circumstance associated with a situation or environment that encourages or discourages the development of skills, independence, social competence or adaptive behavior.</td>
<td>The statement of absence or presence, or even the expression of the desire for presence, equipments, resources, services organizational structure that facilitates or prevents care to individuals are environmental context factors and resources that influence the professional’s behavior.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social influence</td>
<td>Social pressure; Social norms; Group compliance; Social comparisons; Group norms; Group support; Power; Intergroup conflicts; Alienation; Group identity; Modeling.</td>
<td>Interpersonal processes that influence the change of thoughts, feelings or behaviors of individuals. Interactions that influence behavior may be associated, for example, with individuals, patients, staff, managers, or hierarchical relationships.</td>
<td>Assertiveness that shows that a relationship risks the development of actions or even that the form of interaction with the patient may condition the completion or not of care in an integral way.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotions</td>
<td>Affection; Stress; Depression; Fear; Burn out; Positive/negative affect; Anxiety.</td>
<td>Patterns of complex reactions that involve behavioral, psychological and experiential elements by which the individual deals with a relevant issue or event.</td>
<td>Expressions of emotional reactions or emotional states in view of the actions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavior regulation</td>
<td>Self-monitoring; Breaking habits; Action planning.</td>
<td>Anything aimed to organize, manage or objectively change certain actions.</td>
<td>Assertiveness in which professionals want assessment or feedback of behavior, or when referring to necessary or ongoing processes to monitor the performance of action, i.e. conscious efforts that regulate behavior.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The interviews were transcribed verbatim in Microsoft Word and imported into the nVIVO software. (Version 10, Melbourne, Australia) [16]. The interviews were analyzed based on the identification of the domains defined by the TDF. All content and meanings were analyzed using the content analysis technique proposed by Bardin [17]. The recording units were identified and categorized according to the themes that emerged during the interviews, using the categories predefined by the TDF as well as the expected constructs within each domain. Thus, the recording units were categorized in their respective domains regardless of the order or time they appeared in the interview. The questions only helped guide the interview and approach relevant aspects.

The project was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the School of Health of the University of Brasilia (CEP-FS/UNB) and the Ethics Committee of the co-participating institution, (FEPECS/SES/DF) (Reports n. 1.524.237 and 1.559.798, respectively).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The convenience sample included 16 of the 75 nutritionists who work in primary care of the Federal District, Brazil, and agreed to participate in the interview. Analysis of the profile of those who responded positively to the invitation letter showed that they represented all the health regions in the Federal District, Brazil, thus assuming that the 16 professionals would provide an overview of the situation of primary care in the Federal District and all its territorial particularities.

Participants ages ranged from 27 to 54 years and most of them were women (n=14). Training time ranged from 4 to 29 years and length of service at the current workplace ranged from 3 to 10 years.

According to the current workplace, seven professionals worked in traditional primary care; five in support of the *Estratégia Saúde da Família* (ESF, Family Health Strategy), that is, professionals connected to a primary care setting who meet the demands from family health teams, but who are not formally part of a multi-professional team such as *Núcleos de Apoio à Saúde da Família* (NASF, Centers for Family Health-Related Support) and four from NASF. The domains identified and the main barriers to integral care for overweight individuals, according to the TDF, are shown in Chart 2.

The Theoretical Domains Framework has proven to be a useful tool for a systematic approach to identify barriers in work processes, contributing to the planning of more effective interventions by recognizing that barriers have different natures and therefore require different interventions. In this research, the model was used to identify which barriers impact the possibility of performing comprehensive care for overweight individuals in primary care [18,19].

Of the 12 domains analyzed, barriers and challenges were identified in all domains, except in the “objective” and “intention” domains where all participants agreed that the goal of providing comprehensive care is necessary and urgent and they would like to be able to achieve it.

Regarding the first component, “capacity” (“know-how”), barriers were identified regarding the domain “knowledge”. The lack of knowledge of the actions presented as a scope of comprehensive care was observed, revealing a gap between the standards published by the Ministry of Health and their application. A similar study on nutritional overweight assessment in *Santos, São Paulo*, also showed that only 14% of the interviewed professionals used the Ministry of Health technical manuals to guide their practices [20].
Chart 2. Barriers and challenges for comprehensive health care of overweight individuals according to the Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF). Federal District, Brazil, 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component of “COM-B”</th>
<th>Behavior domain</th>
<th>Challenge/Barrier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capability</td>
<td>Knowledge</td>
<td>Unawareness of actions defined for primary care in the context of integral care, both as a whole and isolated actions. Recognition of the proposal by similarity with the work done. Unawareness of the flow in the Health Care Network to achieve comprehensive care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Skills</td>
<td>Difficulty working as a team. Difficulties in approaching the patient. Inability to manage individuals who have undergone bariatric surgery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Behavioral Regulation</td>
<td>Lack of team planning. No protocol for health care. Lack of personal organization. Failure to demand from the chief.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity</td>
<td>Social Influences</td>
<td>Work misalignment among the team. Devaluation of the nutritionist by the team. Relational criticism and conflict. Low compromise of management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental Context and Resources</td>
<td>Inadequate and insufficient physical space to perform actions. Lack of resources and materials. Health care network and non-consolidated care model. Shortage of professionals. Imbalance between demands and available workload.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation</td>
<td>Beliefs about Consequences/ Optimism</td>
<td>Perception of possible inequities in care. Disbelief in the model and its implementation. Optimism regarding the proposal subjected to team involvement. Optimism regarding the proposal subjected to the approach to care. Optimism about the proposal subjected to the individual’s interest in changing. Perception that the proposal makes work processes bureaucratic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beliefs about abilities</td>
<td>Need for training. Need for team support to implement actions. Not feeling fit to perform certain actions. Competition with productivity goals and administrative processes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social/Professional Role and Identity</td>
<td>Conflict between the nutritionists’ roles and team’s role. Conflict over duties that they believe are the responsibility of another aspect of care. Non-identification of care for bariatric individuals such as primary care responsibility. Demand for skills and abilities that go beyond the core of the profession.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reinforcement</td>
<td>Lack of team support. Team demotivation. Imposition of actions by management.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It is a consensus that the training process of health professionals should be guided towards the demands and logic of Sistema Único de Saúde (SUS, Unified Health System), care, especially since it is their responsibility to train human resources. However, as highlighted by Araújo et al. [21], the prevailing idea regarding training is still based on an individualized and segregated logic, poorly included in the healthcare network, distant from the perspective of integrality, and that carries little transformative potential for the complex reality of individuals and community.

It has been suggested that there is little evidence-driven practice regarding its effectiveness in coping with OW and highly dependent on empiricism of accumulated experiences. A similar result was found in a study on Evidence-Based Practice (EBP) of NASF professionals in the city of São Paulo revealed that, although professionals recognize the importance of EBP, the daily routine of service is still focused on the clinical experience and, to a lesser extent, on scientific evidence and preference for individual care [22]. Practice poorly guided by scientific evidence often leads to failure of professionals when addressing overweight individuals, such as temporary change in eating habits, limited weight loss, discontinuity, frustration and anxiety – as identified in the “emotions” domain of the “motivation” component.

You know, I think embracement, as I do almost everything empirically because we didn’t take a course: “Just embrace patients and etc.” So, I think I know how to embrace [...] promotion actions are kind of vague, I do promote actions and other things (TRAD4).

The feeling is of frustration, I will not lie, and I ask myself: “Why didn’t the patient make it? Didn’t I give the patient the right kind of information?” (ESF2).

Pedagogical projects that develop skills and competences that combine these dimensions – knowledge and doing –, and increase the qualified performance of the nutritionist in SUS are imperative [23,24]. Working within the perspective of comprehensive care requires the professional to break with the hegemonic model of training, open space for reflective processes that lead to the transformation of professional practices and organize work to respond to the needs of people and the population [21].

The barriers identified within the “skills” domain reflect the difficulty of associating practices and intra and interprofessional knowledge. As for the difficulty in managing teamwork, a study conducted in Sobral (CE), Brazil, showed that the performance of nutritionists is often acknowledged by the team and management as clinical care, and it is poorly integrated with other professionals. The study reaffirms that the difficulty of teamwork is revealed when nutritionists often see themselves either as those who must be in charge of productivity when caring for patients, or handle demands from other professionals, or as a professional who helps “relieve” the workload of others [25]. The lack of training of nutritionists to plan and organize care is a gap that has been identified in the Pedagogical Projects of Nutrition [26].

Difficulties in approaching patients is rooted in the conflict between the field and knowledge core. This limits performance in specific, isolated practices and generally around “core competencies” of each professional category.

[...] because reality of patients requires understanding their psychology, we find ourselves facing difficult realities and we do not have training for that; the patient needs an answer to statements such as: “What can I do, I have no money, I have lost my job...” (TRAD2).

The demand for comprehensive interdisciplinary practice that is closer to the users’ needs requires the integration not only of knowledge, but also of practice that combines multiplicity.
and specialization [27]. Embrace is one of the essential characteristics of primary care, so embrace individuals, their life history and complex reality is the responsibility of all professionals and not the responsibility of specific categories of professionals.

Similarly, the attitude of professionals towards individuals also has an impact on care outcomes. Studies assessing the effectiveness of actions for the prevention and treatment of OW reveal negative results, indicating that the difficulty in approaching the patient, demonstrated in negative attitudes of professionals during the care process, often characterized by blame and prejudiced approach, influence the poor results [28,29].

Another barrier identified was the inability to follow up individuals undergoing bariatric surgery. Follow-up has not been frequently performed by primary care professionals, which causes a bottleneck effect in hospital or outpatient care and hinders planning of therapeutic care and closer follow up in primary care [30]. Being responsible for the primary care of individuals undergoing bariatric surgery – after necessary follow-up by specialized care –, can increase the chances of successful maintenance of weight loss and prevent weight regain by broadly and contextually considering the multiple risk factors of individuals under care.

Regarding the “behavioral regulation” domain, the need to motivate leadership and the difficulty in team’s planning were identified as a barrier, which prevent the definition of priorities, schedule, flow and duties as well as the perception of lack of personal organization and protocol. The non-recognition of flow, lack of knowledge of duties in different aspects of health care and the lack of communication within the network have been pointed as examples of barriers that lead professionals to seek for informal strategies within the healthcare network or even inaction [21].

[...] there is no flow chart, when patients arrive, they don’t know where to go, you know? This lack of organization is a burden (TRAD 2).

The results of the “capacity” component are closely related to the themes that emerged in the “opportunity” component, which includes external barriers for the professional. In this component, the center of debate is not only the individual ability to work in teams, but the focus of teamwork itself and the resources available at work. The way the team relates – criticism, professional devaluation, low management commitment –, and the resources available to perform work impact how the professional will act. A study on the perception of primary healthcare professionals in a municipality of São Paulo on the organization of teamwork shows that, despite efforts to seek the logic of comprehensiveness, biomedical care is still predominantly focused on clinical diagnosis and treatment, medication, difficulty in organic integration of some professionals in the team and individualization of performance of different professionals, which shows a distance between “desirable” practice and what is effectively in practice [31].

The perception of a fragmented system marked by conflict of different interests (political, institutional, management as well as the team) and non-transparent operating logic reveal the impact on the care processes. Comprehensive care for OW individuals goes beyond a model marked by discontinuity of care, lack of planned actions, greater appreciation of curative and prescriptive actions, emphasis on productivity as a quality criterion and precarious health surveillance of the population [32]. Although the family health model has gaps in its implementation, it has characteristics that favor bonding, embrace, focus on family, continuity, multi-professional approach, expansion of service provision and health promotion [27, 33].
Despite relational barriers and work processes, it is clear that integrated and multi-professional work is seen as necessary for effective and integral care, which includes the institutionalization of communication and sharing of practices and knowledge, is fundamental for the professionals agenda, planning, listening, discussions, sharing and coordinated team evaluation [34]. Aspects of the environmental context and identified resources are structural bottlenecks of SUS, which include insufficient materials and adequate physical spaces, work overload due to lack of professionals, emphasis on productivity, and procedures [35]. A study conducted in São Paulo, (SP) on the implementation of guidelines for comprehensive care for diabetes melittus and hypertension, similar to the proposal for obesity, also showed the lack of coordination between the points of the healthcare network, low adherence to existing care protocols, low provision of health promotion actions and lack of knowledge of the instruments for care planning [36].

Aspects of the “opportunity” component impact the “motivation” component. The structural and organizational deficiencies of the team are shown as conditioning factors for the positive perception of the consequences of integral care. Although they agree that the theoretical objective of the proposal is positive, they attribute its effectiveness to these factors.

*The proposal is fantastic and wonderful, if one had a coordinator who took care of it, made it work, motivated it, then motivation of professionals would be 100% if it worked. But no, it’s just on paper (TRADS).*

Regarding the “beliefs about consequences/optimism” domain, in addition to the contextual aspects of work, individuals and their willingness to change are a condition for the effectiveness of care.

*It would not work [...] because if the individual does not understand what needs to be changed [...] it does not matter what we do, it will not solve the problem, will it? (ESF1).*

Changing lifestyle habits, despite being at the core of the process of caring for the OW, are conditioned by several proximal and distal factors of the individual, in a way that many of these habits are not even under their control to change. The process of change, even if carried out by the individual, can be worked out together with health professionals and the approaches and strategies used by them can also help or hinder the individual during change. The professionals' understanding of the problem and their attitudes towards the care process have a profound impact on treatment and, consequently, on the individual adherence to the process of change [37]. Cori’s Study [38] showed that nutritionists had a limited understanding of the determinants of obesity with a predominance for a biological approach, which directly impacts the effectiveness of care provided by these professionals.

Another important barrier, in the motivational scope, is related to the “social/professional role and identity” domain. The misalignment of work among health professionals exposes a misalignment in the team’s social identity that can affect both concrete and visible work as well as the subjective dimensions of the professional’s performance, revealing the psychological dimension of what teamwork means [39]. The conflict between individual responsibility vs. team responsibility, team responsibility vs. responsibility of other healthcare settings is the lack of clarity of performance limitations that reflect a gap in the professionals’ training when working at SUS and within the healthcare network. A study on the planning of actions for coping with OW in the city of Rio de Janeiro, (RJ), revealed the fragmentation of roles within the health team, in which different professionals had different understandings of OW and consequently about who would be responsible for care [40].
In order to provide comprehensive care for overweight individuals, a multi-professional approach and management support in the organization of work processes is required. However, beyond a structural barrier, as previously discussed, this challenge is also a source of demotivation for continuing care provided by professionals, albeit in a fragmented way.

What discourages me is knowing that I do not have any support from a team, you know? [...] My boss says: “Do whatever you want to do on your time”. But to what extent is this support for this type of proposal? (ESF4)

Finally, aspects related to demotivation, anxiety, feeling of helplessness, frustration, anger, indignation and guilt impact professional practices, regardless if they are appropriate or not, on the psychic dimension of health workers. A study conducted on primary healthcare professionals from Sapucaia do Sul, (RS), Brazil, showed that the difficulty in creating bonds with individuals, in thinking of innovative strategies for behavior change, preconceived judgments (labeling chronic individuals as “complicated”) and ineffective strategies cause feelings of frustration and a vicious cycle of demotivation [41].

CONCLUSION

This study showed that the challenges faced by professionals to ensure comprehensive care for overweight individuals are multiple and of different natures, reflecting barriers in relation to the ability to act, the fragility of the organization of teamwork processes and motivation itself in view of the challenge of integral care.

In the present study, we discussed several limitations, which do not allow any further conclusions. The study was based on a convenience sample and the invitation was sent to 100% of the professionals working in primary care. There were no indications at that time that any health units, teams or professionals were outstanding for obesity care. Thus, considering that patients in the Federal District seek the most accessible healthcare unit, irrespective of their health demands, it was considered appropriate to send the invitation to all professionals to have a broader idea of care available. There may be a bias in the results given that those who responded to the invitation are somehow more closely related to the theme, either because they are more interested or even because they face greater challenges.

The studies that support the discussion have shown that there are common elements in the challenges faced by primary care in different Brazilian municipalities to organize more comprehensive care for individuals with chronic conditions, particularly OW. Similarly, identifying that many of the challenges are not restricted to specific characteristics of nutritionists, but related to the entire health team, points to the need to consolidate a care model and organize work processes of primary care that respond more effectively to the health demands of individuals and populations.

The TDF allowed a more qualified analysis of the challenges for structuring comprehensive care for overweight individuals in primary care, corroborating to understand that the barriers have different natures and, therefore, need different overcoming measures. A more accurate view allows the design of implementation strategies for comprehensive care that are not restricted to professional qualification, for example, as if the only limitation for effective care was a need for technical knowledge or development of individual skills. Using the TDF allowed the identification of a barrier in the field of knowledge, skills and attitudes, but they are intertwined with the need for organization and work qualification of the team and healthcare network, which are aspects that equally impact the subjectivity (motivation) of professionals and consequently their performance.
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