Clinical management of caries by public and private university dental students
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Resumo

Objetivo: O objetivo deste estudo foi verificar a abordagem clínica dos formandos de duas instituições de ensino (pública e particular) em relação à doença cárie. Material e método: Utilizou-se um caso clínico (contendo dados, fotos e radiografias), e questionou-se sobre o plano de tratamento, com questões fechadas e uma questão discursiva. As respostas discursivas foram analisadas pela técnica de análise do conteúdo, e, posteriormente dicotomizadas, assim como as fechadas, para análises estatísticas (Qui-quadrado, p≤0,05). Resultado: A taxa de resposta (n=72) foi de 85,71% na faculdade pública e 92,30% na particular. Após a consulta das tomadas radiográficas e considerando a dinâmica de atendimento clínico diferente das faculdades, menos de 10% dos estudantes da faculdade pública realizariam procedimentos conservadores nos dentes decíduos, enquanto pelo menos 30% dos estudantes da faculdade particular optariam por procedimentos conservadores em todos os casos (p<0,001). Quanto aos dentes permanentes, a totalidade dos estudantes da faculdade pública faria tratamento conservador. Conclusão: Tanto na faculdade pública quanto na particular houve semelhança de abordagens curativa (dentes decíduos) e conservadora+preventivista (dentes permanentes).

Descriptors: Diagnóstico; educação em odontologia; formação de conceito.

Abstract

Objective: The aim of this study was to verify the clinical approach knowledge of graduate students from two colleges (public and private) concerning dental caries. Material and method: A case report containing patient general data, photos, and radiographs were presented for the students, which responded tests and a discursive question. Discursive answers were analyzed according to content analysis technique, and posteriorly dichotomized for statistical analysis. (Chi-square, p<0.05). Result: Response rates (n=72) were 85.71% in the public college, and 92.30% in the private college. After x-rays evaluation and considering the diverse dynamics by which both schools perform their patient care management, it was observed that less than 10% of the public college students would perform conservative procedures in primary teeth, while at least 30% of private college students would decide for conservative procedures for all cases (p<0.001). Concerning the permanent dentition, the majority of the public college students would perform “conservative” approach. “Preventative-conservative practice” was similarly observed amongst public and private college students. Conclusion: Both in public and in private college were similar curative e approaches (primary teeth) and preventative +conservative (permanent teeth).

Descriptors: Diagnosis; education, dental; concept formation.

INTRODUCTION

Since the creation of the Unified Health System, changes in the health sector have required a thinking of the training for this service. The replacement of the dominant system of health care, based on diseases, hospitals, and super specialization with the new models of care, which value integrity, humanized care, and health promotion, has not yet been achieved. These new models depend largely on training and professional practice. In general, the integration of actions should be preceded by thinking

Nunes, Freire¹ asserted that concepts embodied by health professionals can form the basis of their daily practices. However, current practices interfere with the expression of these concepts. Thus, it becomes important to evaluate how professionals are trained to face health problems.

Despite the many advances in oral health in recent decades, dental caries remains a public health problem, far beyond the criteria described by Sinai¹. Currently, the phenomenon of polarization concern us greatly. Thus, training a diverse set of
professionals may have direct implications on health, especially with regard to economic issues and quality of care. In this sense, by adopting a precautionary approach as the decision treatment plans, we could reduce the restorative procedures in dental service.

Dental caries is a multifactorial disease. According to Ferreira et al., dental caries involves factors necessary for caries formation (e.g., biofilm accumulation), determinants (negative: sugar, according to its type and the frequency of its consumption; and positive: exposure to fluorides), and modulators (biological: such as saliva; and social: the individual’s socioeconomic context). Although the presence of cavitated lesions is the most apparent clinical sign of the disease, which shows considerable progress thereof, changes in the development of caries disease due to decreases in the incidence and rate of lesion progression have hindered the detection, determination of the extent, and choice of appropriate treatment plans for caries.

Some authors have proposed using a decision tree to determine treatment for caries disease, especially for active lesions. According to this proposal, active cavitated lesions do not require “preventative” or operative treatment with fluoride compounds, whereas inactive non-cavitated or cavitated lesions do not require any kind of treatment. Thus, by adopting a precautionary approach in deciding upon treatment plans, the use of restorative dental procedures could be reduced. In the same sense, Maltz et al. reported that the longer, clinical restorative procedure is the only treatment to become part of a set of measures aimed at promoting oral health. The clinical restorative procedure may be used to treat caries disease, depending on the stage of impairment in tooth structure. Thus, the choice of appropriate treatment plans depend on the stage of the disease and correct detection of the same. The evaluation and control of the disease promotes the maintenance of oral health. Thus, the diagnosis of lesions in the early stages allows preventative measures to be applied successfully.

The compartmentalized biological vision of trained professionals encourages taking surgical-restorative action without concern for the socioeconomic context and cultural situation of individuals. This compartmentalized vision is a consequence of health curricula, which promote fragmented scientific perspectives. Considering this context, it is important to investigate how dental professionals are trained to approach the treatment of caries. The objective of this study was to investigate the clinical approach adopted by students from two colleges (one public and one private) in São Paulo in combating dental caries.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

This research project was submitted to the Ethics Committee on Research of the FOP/UNICAMP (Piracicaba Dental School, University of Campinas) and was approved under protocol number 125/2011. Following approval, the cross-sectional study began. The study included dental students from two colleges, one public and one private, both in the state of São Paulo.

The inclusion criteria for the study were students enrolled in the final period of the courses at the dentistry colleges investigated. The exclusion criteria were students not enrolled in the final period at the colleges. In total, 56 public college students and 26 private college students met these criteria. The participation agreement was presented in the classroom. In addition, a case containing photos and radiographs of the dental arches of a 9-year-old patient (mixed dentition) were presented. This presentation consisted of an initial explanation of the purpose of the research and a reading of the clinical case (patient’s age, socioeconomic factors, and clinical information). The respondents from both schools had similar audio-visual resources and lighting in their classrooms. The clinical case presented inactive caries lesions in the following teeth: 63, 64, 65, 83, 84, and 85 (Figures 1, 2, 4, and 5), which had a dark brown to blackish color and a shiny, polished appearance. There were active caries in the following teeth: 11, 12, and 21 (Figure 3), which had white spots and a rugose and opaque appearance.

By means of closed questions, the students were asked which treatment should be adopted for each of the indicated
teeth (63, 64, 65, 83, 84, 85, 11, 12, and 21). The students were asked to choose between the following options: extraction; endodontic + pin + crown; endodontic + composite resin restoration; endodontic + amalgam restoration; endodontic + restoration with glass ionomer cement; composite resin restoration; and amalgam restoration) and conservative procedures (i.e., restoration with glass ionomer cement; fluoride therapy; and prosthodontics). The data were analyzed to test for possible differences between students of the two colleges. The restoration of glass ionomer cement was considered a conservative procedure because its proposed aim is to stabilize the oral health condition. The discursive question was analyzed by content analysis, which refers to reading the responses, creating categories of meaning from the responses provided (made by two researchers), classifying the responses in the categories created, and statistical processing of the data.

The frequencies of the variables were expressed in percentages. Statistical analyses were performed by SPSS 17.0. The chi-square ($\chi^2$) test was applied with a significance level equal to or less than 5%. The statistical analysis investigated the relationship between conduct to be adopted for each dental element as well as the discursive question after categorization and classification of the students’ responses.

**RESULT**

The response rate in the public college was 85.71% (n = 48), and the response rate in the private college was 92.30% (n = 24). Tables 1 and 2 indicate the percentage of students who opted for each of the possible dental procedures for the clinical case. Table 1 shows the results for deciduous teeth, and Table 2 shows the results for permanent teeth.

Figure 6 shows the percentage of students who chose conservative or curative procedures to treat the primary teeth after dichotomization for each tooth. Less than 10% of public college students chose conservative procedures to treat primary teeth, whereas at least 30% of private college students chose conservative procedures ($p<0.001$). Figure 7 indicates the approaches selected for the permanent teeth after treatment dichotomy for each tooth. Conservative treatment was chosen by 100% of public college students, whereas 8.3% of private college students chose particular curative procedures.

According to the content analysis for the discursive question, the students’ responses were grouped into three categories: curative practices, conservative practices, and preventative practices (Chart 1).

After categorizing the responses, they were classified into the three categories described. Some respondents proposed more than one category for treatment. The response categories for the most
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**Figure 3.** Aspect of superior lesions (active white spots).

**Figure 4.** Superior teeth radiographs.

**Figure 5.** Inferior teeth radiographs.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth</th>
<th>C 1</th>
<th>C 2</th>
<th>C 1</th>
<th>C 2</th>
<th>C 1</th>
<th>C 2</th>
<th>C 1</th>
<th>C 2</th>
<th>C 1</th>
<th>C 2</th>
<th>C 1</th>
<th>C 2</th>
<th>C 1</th>
<th>C 2</th>
<th>C 1</th>
<th>C 2</th>
<th>C 1</th>
<th>C 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Public College – C 1. Private College – C 2.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth</th>
<th>C 1</th>
<th>C 2</th>
<th>C 1</th>
<th>C 2</th>
<th>C 1</th>
<th>C 2</th>
<th>C 1</th>
<th>C 2</th>
<th>C 1</th>
<th>C 2</th>
<th>C 1</th>
<th>C 2</th>
<th>C 1</th>
<th>C 2</th>
<th>C 1</th>
<th>C 2</th>
<th>C 1</th>
<th>C 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Public College – C 1. Private College – C 2.
appropriate type of treatment for the patient were dichotomized into “curative practice” and “conservative + preventative practice” and the data were analyzed for differences. The responses to the discursive question were similar between the two colleges ($p=0.22$) (Table 3). The treatment plans were also similar between the two colleges, and preventative practice was cited by more than 30% of the students from both institutions.

**DISCUSSION**

The methodology chosen for this study enabled us to identify the clinical conduct of students regarding caries. This approach required general reasoning and consideration of patients, not just their teeth alone. The discursive question allowed the student's point of view about the treatment plan to be obtained. In the response to the discursive questions, the students had the opportunity to internalize the same case data and combine these data with their knowledge of the disease to develop their own treatment plans.

Some previous studies have examined caries diagnosis, but they usually involved viewing extracted teeth mounted on mannequins or examining interproximal and periapical radiographs\(^6,7,14\). These studies have received some criticisms because the treatment decisions are based only on the teeth or radiographs, without taking into account the characteristics of disease development or the social profile of the patient. In an attempt to overcome the limitations of previously published studies, this study sought to improve the methodological aspects by using a case study approach, in which students were given information about the patient's age, socioeconomic characteristics, and X-rays and photos that provided visual data on the patient's entire oral cavity, teeth, and bone structures.
The recommendations of the Ministry of Health\textsuperscript{55} and Education\textsuperscript{64,17} emphasize general education, conservative practices, and an appreciation for prevention rather than curative welfarism. According to González, Almeida\textsuperscript{1}, training should not only be technical, but rather, it should provide an understanding of the cultural system. Thus, students acquire an understanding that disease is not just a biological event, but part of a life story.

Thus, in the context of current discussions on training processes in health, this work reveals that the differences in the students’ education at public or private colleges led to different practices when addressing the same clinical case. However, in response to the discursive question, the students’ opinions matched and emphasized practice preventative.

The two colleges involved in the study have restructured their curriculum to meet the new needs in health. However, some of the students held a simple curative vision, and their treatment plans involved invasive approaches and monitoring sessions fluoride therapy. It is probable that the colleges had not fully incorporated the Ministry of Education proposals or were in different stages of implementation. On the other hand, the work by Coelho et al.\textsuperscript{14} indicates that in the face of doubts dentists have a tendency towards invasive restorative treatment decisions regarding caries in dentin. Radiographically, elements 63, 64, 83, and 84 are in the process of resorption, and the advanced successors of elements 63 and 83 are in the active stages of eruption. These data could lead respondents to think about possible impaction if extraction is not performed.

Another relevant point is that there are differences in the treatments applied in clinical pediatric dentistry, such as at the public college child works clinic, and in preventive orthodontics. When performing an extraction of a primary tooth before the eruption of a permanent tooth, students can place orthodontic appliances to aid in the maintenance of this space until the permanent tooth is at the correct stage of eruption. In private college, clinical pediatric dentistry is separate from preventive orthodontics, so students receive knowledge, but are unable to apply this knowledge in practice because there are only rare cases requiring the placement of space maintainers.

One should also consider the role of the faculty. The faculty should always perform upgrades and training so that the latest health concepts can be applied in dentistry. Considering the need to overcome the hegemonic paradigm observed inside and outside of educational institutions\textsuperscript{6}, it is essential to train teachers to teach students well. Moreover, as mentioned by Pereira et al.\textsuperscript{6}, the length of a professional’s training determines the mode of operation thereof, and shorter training leads to more conservative practices.

The results of the dichotomous chart (curative practices x conservative practices), which were analyzed by qualitative methodology for the two colleges, can be mitigated by the fact that over 30% of students from both institutions considered a preventative practice for patient care. This result points to training in holistic care health. These findings are consistent with those found by Nunes, Freire\textsuperscript{2}, who concluded that dental students had an increased awareness regarding the social determinants of the health and disease. However, the students’ visions were not humanized in this study because no student considered the expectations of the patient and/or parents about the proposed treatment plan. It is important to emphasize that there were no inactive lesions requiring treatment with respect to dental caries, but with regard to function and/or aesthetics, it is up to the clinician and patient to decide upon the most appropriate treatment\textsuperscript{****}.

It is notable that both the public college and private college were awarded by the Pro-Health and Pet-Health. This federal government program aims, among other things, to subsidize and support curriculum changes in health courses\textsuperscript{45}. The aim is to promote, improve, and implement new teaching scenarios, and enable students to have a longer performance in the public health system.

This study applied an innovative methodological approach to examine relevant information about the training of dental students. The results showed the attitudinal patterns that were prevalent in oral health. However, considering the limitation that this study focused only on two colleges, it is important to apply this same methodology in other teaching scenarios and to use longitudinal assessments to identify nuances on this topic.

The processes of curriculum change are constant. Therefore, such studies contribute to implementing improvements in general education, with an emphasis on disease prevention, comprehensive care, and humane practice. These improvements are recommended by laws and guidelines, and aim to improve society.

**CONCLUSION**

For the permanent dentition, the conservative approach prevailed among students of both public and private colleges. For the primary dentition, there was a difference in the percentage of curative practices due to differences in radiographic and

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
RESPONSE CATEGORY & PUBLIC COLLEGE & PRIVATIVE COLLEGE \\
\hline
Curative practices & 48 (63.2\%) & 20 (51.3\%) \\
Conservative+preventative practices & 28 (36.8\%) & 19 (48.7\%) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\textit{Chi-square (p=0.22).}
clinical management. Students from both colleges indicated the possibility of practicing preventative health care when evaluating the discursive question, which proved to be inconsistent with the clinical approach adopted in the case study.
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