Patterns of psychiatric diagnoses in inpatient and outpatient psychiatric settings in Saudi Arabia
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Abstract

Objective: This study aimed to explore the current patterns of psychiatric diagnoses in inpatient and outpatient psychiatric settings in Saudi Arabia. Methods: Cross-sectional study was conducted on patients seeking psychiatric advice at six hospitals in the five main regions of Saudi Arabia. The data were primarily obtained by reviewing patient charts. Results: Total of 1,205 patients were recruited. The majority was unemployed (71.4%), had a low level of education (85.5%), and had low income (61.9%). The most common psychiatric diagnoses among inpatients were schizophrenia (55.8%), bipolar disorder (23.3%) and major depressive disorder (7.2%). The most common psychiatric diagnoses among outpatients were major depressive disorder (29.3%), schizophrenia (28.9%), generalized anxiety disorder (15.6%) and bipolar disorder (11.5%). Primary psychotic disorders and secondary psychiatric disorders were significantly more frequent among men whereas primary bipolar disorders and depressive disorders were significantly more frequent among women in both inpatient and outpatient settings. Psychotic and bipolar disorders were significantly more frequent among younger patients whereas depressive disorders were significantly more frequent among older patients; anxiety disorders were of similar frequency in all age groups. Discussion: The most common psychiatric diagnoses among inpatients were schizophrenia and bipolar disorder whereas the most common psychiatric diagnoses among outpatients were major depressive disorder and schizophrenia.
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Introduction

Mental and substance use disorders are the leading causes of disability-adjusted life years (DALYs), accounting for 7.4% of all DALYs worldwide. The burden of mental and substance-use disorders increased by 37.6% between 1990 and 2010; for most disorders, this increase was driven by population growth and aging. Approximately 14.3% of deaths worldwide each year and approximately 10 years of potential life lost are attributable to mental disorders. In the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013 (GBD 2013), major depressive disorder was the leading cause of Years Lived with Disability (YLDs) in every country. Anxiety disorders, alcohol- and drug-use disorders, schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and dysthymia also rank among the 20 conditions that contribute the largest global share of YLDs. The aggregate burden of YLDs resulting from mental and behavioral disorders (22.7%) continues to be higher than the burden resulting from any other disease category.

The treatment gap for mental disorders is universally extremely large although the gap varies across regions. Of serious psychiatric cases, 33.5% to 50.3% in developed countries and 76.3% to 85.4% in less developed countries received no treatment in the 12 months prior to the interview. Compared with the general population, people with serious mental illnesses (SMI) exhibit higher rates of undiagnosed and untreated medical illnesses and higher morbidity and mortality from physical illnesses, primarily because of modifiable lifestyle risk factors. The poor psychiatric and medical health outcomes in people with SMI may primarily be attributed to disparities in access to, utilization of, and provision of health care.

However, consultations with mental health professionals throughout the world remain inadequate, partially because of the stigma that prevents people with psychiatric illnesses from taking the medical advice of professionals. A WHO study observed that the prevalence of having any psychiatric disorder in the previous year varied widely, from 4.3% in Shanghai to 26.4% in the United States. Even among similar European nations, the prevalence of some mental illnesses differs from one country to another. One US study indicated that no more than 41% of mature Americans who had a mental illnesses received mental health services in 2012. Not surprisingly, treatment conditions are even worse in developing countries, including the Arab countries; the literature indicates that the treatment of mental disorders in such countries is uncommon.

In Saudi Arabia (SA), the stigma of visiting professionals in mental health hospitals remains a deterrent. Instead of considering mental health professionals’ opinions, people may visit non-experts in the field of mental health, e.g., faith healers, traditional healers and specialized non-psychiatrists physicians, to obtain help to overcome their psychiatric symptoms.

An obvious worldwide shift from inpatient management of psychiatric disorders to outpatient management has occurred. The ‘deinstitutionalization’ of mental health services and the establishment of services in primary care facilities, community centers and general hospitals are a response to patient and family needs and are intended to minimize psychiatric stigma. Conversely, inpatient services account for the majority of the cost of mental health services. The decision-makers in the kingdom of Saudi Arabia have emphasized the improvement of the mental health care
system; consequently, mental health care has developed in recent decades. Currently, the mental health services in SA are organized on a regional basis; each region has a mental health hospital that delivers basic outpatient, inpatient, and emergency services. Private mental health services paid for out of pocket or by insurance also contribute substantially to mental health care services. However, the majority of the patients with chronic mental illnesses continue to be hospitalized for long periods because of institutions' inability to refuse the patient's family's request to care for the patient, the presence of residual psychiatric symptoms, the necessity for rehabilitation, a lack of public facilities, the lack of halfway houses, and legal concerns related to early discharge, all of which render physicians reluctant to discharge patients from the inpatient unit.

To the best of our knowledge, there have been no large-scale nationwide studies that describe the distribution of inpatient and outpatient psychiatric illnesses across all regions of Saudi Arabia (SA). Therefore, the present study sought to explore the current patterns of psychiatric diagnoses in patients in psychiatric settings throughout Saudi Arabia and to compare the patterns of psychiatric diagnoses between inpatient wards and outpatient clinics.

Methods

Study design
A cross-sectional observational study was conducted between July 2012 and June 2014. The study received all of the required ethical approvals from the institutional review board at the Faculty of Medicine at King Saud University in Riyadh as well as the appropriate administrative approvals from the respective hospitals.

Study setting
The current study was conducted among patients seeking psychiatric help at major hospitals in Saudi Arabia. Patients were recruited from a number of hospitals located in central, eastern, western, northern, and southern Saudi Arabia. The hospitals included King Khalid University Hospital in Riyadh and Zulfi General Hospital (central region), Jeddah Mental Health Hospital (western region), Al Amal Complex for Mental Health – Dammam (eastern region), Al Jouf Mental Health Hospital (northern region), and Abha Mental Health Hospital (southern region). King Khalid University Hospital is a university-affiliated governmental hospital whereas the other hospitals are government-funded service hospitals under the authority of the Ministry of Health. All of the included hospitals provide free psychiatric inpatient and outpatient healthcare services.

Study population and sampling
Consecutive adult patients (18 years and above) seeking psychiatric help in the included hospitals during the study period were invited to join the study. Those patients who signed the informed consent regardless of their psychiatric diagnosis, duration of disease, or recent use of psychotropic medications were included. Patients whose records and interviews indicated an absence of psychiatric disease were excluded.

Data collection
A mini-interview form was developed that included sociodemographic characteristics, medical history, current psychiatric diagnosis, and recent use of psychotropic medications. Data were obtained primarily by reviewing the patients' charts. The diagnosis of psychiatric disorders in this study was based on routine clinical interviews. The psychiatric consultants in charge in each study site made psychiatric diagnoses of their patients using the DSM-IV–TR criteria. The psychiatric diagnoses were confirmed by the treating teams, primarily following longitudinal evaluation and follow up in the psychiatric setting. Unclear or missing information was verified by interviewing the patient and/or his or her family. Trained psychiatric residents and staff were responsible for the chart review and for conducting interviews with the patients and/or their families.

Classification of psychiatric diagnoses
For the purpose of analyzing the data, the psychiatric diagnoses of the studied patients were classified into 7 categories. Primary psychotic disorders included schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, delusional disorder and brief psychotic disorder. Primary bipolar disorders included bipolar disorders, type I and type II. Primary depressive disorders included major depressive disorder and dysthymic disorder. Primary anxiety disorders included generalized anxiety disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder, social anxiety disorder, specific phobia, panic disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder, and acute stress disorder. Personality disorders included mixed personality disorder, paranoid personality disorder, antisocial personality disorder, and borderline personality disorder. Secondary psychiatric disorders included psychotic disorders due to another medical condition, depressive disorder due to another medical condition, dementia, substance-use disorder, and substance-induced depressive disorder. Other disorders included somatic symptom disorder, mental retardation, conversion disorder, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, dissociative disorder, primary insomnia, adjustment disorder, enuresis disorder, trichotillomania, and anorexia nervosa.

Statistical analysis
Data are presented as frequencies and percentages for categorical data and as the mean and standard deviation (SD) for continuous data. Individual psychiatric diagnoses and psychotropic medications were categorized into standardized groups. Statistically significant differences between inpatients' and outpatients' sociodemographic, clinical, and therapeutic characteristics were tested using either Chi-square tests or Fisher's exact tests (as appropriate) for categorical data and Student's t-tests for continuous data. All P-values were two-tailed. A P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. SPSS software (release 20.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, U.S.) was used for statistical analysis.

The study was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, Research Group no. RG-1435-087.

Results
A total of 1,205 patients (443 inpatients and 762 outpatients) were included in the current analysis, and their demographic characteristics are presented in Table 1. The average age was 38.1 years, 54.4% were unmarried but majority had children. Two thirds of patients had pre-university education, and an additional 21.4% of the patients were illiterate. The majority (71.5%) of the patients was unemployed and 62% of them had a family income of 6,000 SR (1600 US$) or less per month. Compared with outpatients, inpatients were more likely to be male, unmarried, have fewer children (1-3), be unemployed, have a lower (< 3,000 SR = 800 US$) family income, and be living in rural communities. There were no statistically significance differences with regard to age or educational level.

The clinical characteristics of the included patients are presented in Table 2. The average body mass index (BMI) was 28.7 kg/m², and 45.2% of the patients were either currently or previously smokers. The average age at onset of psychiatric diagnosis was 28.3 years and the average duration of psychiatric diagnoses was 9.7 years. Compared with outpatients, inpatients were more likely to have a lower BMI, be smokers, have a single psychiatric diagnosis, present a longer disease duration, younger age of onset of psychiatric disorder, and have diabetes, epilepsy, or a substance abuse disorder.
The prevalence of individual psychiatric diagnoses is depicted in Table 3. The most common psychiatric diagnoses among inpatients were schizophrenia (55.8%), bipolar disorder (23.3%) and major depressive disorder (7.2%) while the most common psychiatric diagnoses among outpatients were major depressive disorder (29.3%) and depressive disorder (7.2%) while the most common psychiatric diagnoses among inpatients were schizophrenia (28.9%), bipolar disorder (23.3%) and major depressive disorder (11.5%). Compared with outpatients, inpatients were more likely to have schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, schizoaffective disorder, and delusional disorder but were less likely to have major depressive disorder, generalized anxiety disorder, substance-induced psychotic disorder, obsessive compulsive disorder, dementia, panic disorder, social anxiety disorder, adjustment disorder, and somatic symptom disorder. Similarly, as shown in Figure 1, inpatients were more likely than outpatients to have primary psychotic disorders and primary bipolar disorders (p < 0.001 for each) but less likely to have primary depressive disorders (p < 0.001), primary anxiety disorders (p < 0.001), secondary disorders (p = 0.009), multiple disorders (p = 0.003), and other disorders (p = 0.004).

Some diagnoses were associated with age and/or gender in both inpatients and outpatients. For example, primary bipolar disorders were significantly more frequent among younger patients whereas primary depressive disorders were significantly more frequent among older patients in outpatient but not inpatient settings. With regard to gender, primary psychotic disorders and secondary psychiatric disorders were significantly more frequent among men in both groups although primary bipolar disorders and primary depressive disorders were significantly more frequent among women in both groups.

More than 90% of the patients were currently using psychotropic medications, and medications were used more frequently among inpatients than outpatients. This was true for antipsychotics, mood stabilizers, and anti-anxiety medications; antidepressants were used more frequently in outpatients than inpatients. Both groups had a similar history of using psychotropic medications. Previous psychiatric hospitalizations were significantly more common among inpatients than outpatients (4.3 ± 5.0 vs. 2.8 ± 2.7, p < 0.001).

The prevalence of psychiatric diagnostic groups according to patient psychiatric settings in Saudi Arabia (N = 1,205)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Inpatient (N = 443)</th>
<th>Outpatient (N = 762)</th>
<th>Total (N = 1,205)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary psychotic disorders</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary bipolar disorders</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary depressive disorders</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary anxiety disorders</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personality disorders</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary psychiatric disorders</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multipule disorders</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other disorders</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. The prevalence of psychiatric diagnostic groups according to patient psychiatric settings in Saudi Arabia (N = 1,205).
with serious mental illnesses with a larger overall network and greater network satisfaction have better rates of recovery.22,23 The U.S. National Comorbidity study observed that most psychiatric disorders decline with age and with higher socioeconomic status. Although the association between poverty and common mental disorders is universal, it is not known whether poverty increases the prevalence of mental illness, whether poverty is a risk factor.
for a negative outcome among mentally ill people, and/or whether poverty produces disability3 and increased health care costs15. Because a large percentage of Saudi Arabian psychiatric patients may have a lower socioeconomic status, laddered healthcare policies should be implemented to address this issue, including the training of primary care workers to recognize and effectively treat common mental disorders and provide attentive follow-up treatment after discharge from psychiatric services20,28.

In our study, we observed that the majority of patients had a single diagnosis, and fewer than 10% of patients had two or three diagnoses. As shown in Table 3, in both inpatient and outpatient settings, depression appears to be the most common diagnosis (38.8%), followed by major depressive disorder (21.2%). In addition, we observed that the most common psychiatric diagnoses among inpatients were schizophrenia (55.8%) and bipolar disorder (23.3%) whereas in outpatients, the most common psychiatric diagnoses were major depressive disorder (29.3%) and schizophrenia (28.9%). Another interesting finding is the low rate of anxiety disorders in our inpatient and outpatient sample, 1.6% and 16.3%, respectively.

The community prevalence of mental disorders in the Saudi Arabian population is unknown. However, worldwide, anxiety and depressive disorders are the two most common classes of mental disorders in the community, as high as 18% for anxiety disorders and 9% for mood disorders23,24. The prevalence of all psychotic disorders is approximately 3% (0.87% for schizophrenia and 0.24% for bipolar disorder)25. Using the WHO Assessment Instrument for Mental Health Systems (MHIS), data on the Saudi MHIS were collected in 2009–2010 from several sources without meeting patients directly or reviewing their charts26. Among patients treated in mental health facilities, 40% were treated in mental hospitals, 50% in outpatient facilities (including clinics within general medical hospitals) and 10% in other facilities27. Within the Saudi MHIS, the majority of patients treated in outpatient settings had neurotic (36%) or mood disorders (35%) whereas patients admitted to inpatient mental hospitals were more likely to suffer from schizophrenia (50%), substance use disorders (20%), and mood disorders (20%). Another prospective local study examining psychiatric admissions to a general hospital in the eastern region of SA from 1988–1998 observed that 19.5% of the patients had schizophrenia, 15.2% had bipolar disorders, 9.9% had depressive disorders and 8.6% had acute and transient psychotic disorders28. These findings reflect that not all people in the community suffering from psychiatric symptoms present at psychiatric hospitals/clinics, particularly within mental health hospitals. Moreover, people with psychiatric illnesses, particularly illnesses with mild to moderate severity, may seek advice from non-mental-health professionals, most likely because of stigma and cultural beliefs29,30. A study among the visitors to a number of faith healing (FH) settings in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia observed that a high proportion of the FH visitors had diagnosable mental illnesses that were not treated medically31. Depressive disorders were the most prevalent (34.9%), followed by anxiety disorders (18.7%), psychotic disorders (6.9%) and bipolar disorders (5%)32. In addition, there is a concern that psychiatric patients are punished rather than treated for their behaviors resulting from their illnesses. In 2006, the US Bureau of Justice Statistics reported that 64% of jail inmates had had a recent mental health problem33. Even among developed countries like the US, despite an increase in the rate of psychiatric treatment over a decade from 1990–2003, most patients with a mental disorder did not receive treatment, regardless of the severity of the disorder34.

The rate of substance-use disorder in our study was quite low (1.1%). Compared with our findings, an Indian study conducted in a tertiary care center showed that alcohol-use disorders account for 29% of substance-use disorders35. In Norway, approximately one-third of the admissions in an acute psychiatric ward had a substance-use disorder36,37. This discrepancy may be explained by the fact that patients with substance-use disorders in SA are, according to national policy, admitted to separate addiction facilities38.

Notably, the prevalence of personality disorders in our study is low. Figure 1 indicated a prevalence of 1.3 in the outpatient setting and 1.8 in the inpatient setting. One explanation for this discrepancy is that the diagnosis of psychiatric disorders in this study depended on routine clinical interviews instead of semi-structured diagnostic interviews, rendering it more difficult to identify diagnostic comorbidities such as personality disorders39. Compared with our finding, one study conducted among psychiatric outpatients who were interviewed with the Structured Interview for DSM-IV showed that 31.4% of the patients had personality disorders. However, that number increased to 45.5% when patients with personality disorders not otherwise specified were included40. Another community study using the International Personality Disorder Examination (IPDE) screening questions in 1.3% of a community sample from the U.S. The prevalence estimates were 6.1% for any personality disorder and 3.6% for Cluster A, 1.5% for Cluster B and 2.7% for Cluster C41.

With regard to gender, our study indicated that primary psychotic disorders and secondary psychiatric disorders are significantly more frequent among men while primary bipolar disorders and depressive disorders were significantly more frequent among women in both settings. Comparable findings were reported in the U.S. National Comorbidity study, which observed that women had elevated rates of affective disorders and anxiety disorders whereas men had elevated rates of substance-use disorders and antisocial personality disorder42. Also, similar findings were reported in European studies34,43 and a local primary care study44. Although the evidence of gender differences in the risk of schizophrenia is inconclusive, a meta-analysis observed the that men have a higher incidence and morbidity risk than women45.

We also noticed in this study that certain mental illnesses were linked to specific ages in both inpatient and outpatient settings. Overall, among patients treated in psychiatric settings, psychotic and bipolar disorders were significantly more frequent among younger patients whereas depressive disorders were significantly more frequent among older patients; anxiety disorders were equal in all age groups. Local primary care studies linked depression and anxiety to younger ages46,47. A European study among general practice attendees showed that the peak age for major depression is young adulthood and the peak age for anxiety-spectrum disorders is midlife although the prevalence rates vary significantly among European nations48. Worldwide, half of all lifetime mental disorders in most studies begin by the mid-teens, and three-quarters begin by the mid-20s; severe disorders are typically preceded by less severe episodes that are rarely brought to clinical attention49. Although the median age of onset is earlier for phobias and non-affective psychosis than for other anxiety and mood disorders, the later onsets are primarily secondary psychiatric conditions50. However, an early age of onset has been identified as being associated with a longer duration of untreated mental illness and poorer clinical and functional outcomes51.

Notably, only 5.1% of the study participants where over the age of 60 although such age group compose nearly 9% of the Saudi population aged 18 years and older42. Current available data covers only depression among older adults in the KSA, which ranges from 18% to 39%. The low number of geriatric patients utilizing mental health care services may be attributable to their preference for general practitioners or other non-psychiatric doctors for their multiple and unexplained physical complaints52,53; in addition, many developing countries have observed a lack of specialized mental health services for older people54. Another reason why patients do not utilize the mental health professions is the cultural attribution of psychological suffering to the evil eye and magic, which causes patients to visit faith healers for help55. One study, whose findings were consistent with ours, showed that the aged rarely received care from mental health specialists56. The prevalence of multi-morbidity increased substantially with age, and the presence of a mental health disorder increased with the number of physical morbidities57. As a prototype of psychiatric illnesses in the elderly, depression remains underdetected and underdiagnosed although depression is a serious medical condition that not only affects mood but can lead to functional and cognitive decline58.
The current study provides significant insights into the current patterns of psychiatric diagnoses among psychiatric patients treated in psychiatric settings throughout Saudi Arabia; however, the study has some limitations. One of these limitations is the cross-sectional design, which renders determining causality difficult. Moreover, because of the use of convenience sampling, our results should be generalized cautiously to all psychiatric patients in Saudi Arabia. Another potential limitation is that the diagnosis of psychiatric disorders in this study was based on routine clinical interviews and confirmed by the treating teams, primarily following longitudinal evaluation and follow up in the psychiatric setting. Although, the routine clinical interview conducted by clinicians continues to serve as the gold standard for psychiatric diagnosis in a clinical setting, however, the diagnostic comorbidity will be more likely to be identified accurately using a semi-structured diagnostic interview such as the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID). Furthermore, in our study, the psychiatric diagnoses were confirmed by the treating team, primarily following longitudinal evaluation and follow up in the psychiatric setting.

In conclusion, this study delineated the patterns and correlational factors of psychiatric diagnoses among inpatient and outpatient psychiatric settings in Saudi Arabia. Further studies in this area are required to ascertain the community prevalence of mental illnesses in Saudi Arabia. Additionally, we must study various programs to improve accessibility to mental health services and expand treatment resources in primary, secondary and tertiary care services in Saudi Arabia.
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