Group therapy for morbid jealousy

Dear Editor,

Romantic or normal jealousy is defined as a perceived threat of loss of an important relationship. Morbid jealousy, in turn, is characterized by extreme possessiveness and aggressiveness, causing suffering to both partners. Morbid or pathological jealousy can be classified as delusional (psychotic) or obsessive (excessive). Delusional jealousy is egosyntonic: the person is confident that his/her partner is being unfaithful, even when reality implies the opposite. Conversely, excessive jealousy is egodystonic, i.e., the person is frequently able to distinguish between beliefs and reality and to examine his/her own thoughts.

Research on morbid jealousy is scarce. Therefore, we decided to develop a study and recruit individuals who realized that jealousy was bringing suffering upon him/her and/or their partner. A psychotherapy group treatment was initiated, comprising 18 weekly sessions based on psychodrama and the generalization of our findings is limited by the small sample size. Further research with larger samples and under controlled conditions is warranted to expand our preliminary results.

Subjects answered the Questionnaire on the Affective Relationships (QAR), a 4-point scale with scores ranging from 30 to 120, and the Jealousy Health Scale (JHS), a 6-point Likert-type analog scale with scores from 0 to 100. In both scales, higher scores mean increased jealousy intensity. Irritability, hostility, anger and aggressiveness were assessed using the Bond-Lader Visual Analog Scale (BLVAS), which presents 13 100-mm horizontal lines placed between opposite adjectives. Relationship quality was assessed by the Relationship Assessment Scale (RAS) adapted into Brazilian Portuguese.

Mean overall QAR score was 86.9 (SD = 11.1) before treatment and 82.6 (SD = 14.6) after treatment, suggesting a significant reduction in jealousy intensity (Wilcoxon matched-pairs test, \( z = -1.997; p = 0.046 \)). The most significant reductions were observed in avoidance behaviors (\( z = -2.539; p = 0.001 \)) and in the level and quality of sexual activity (\( z = -2.226; p = 0.026 \)). JHS yielded a pre-treatment mean score of 95.0 (SD = 10.7), compared to a post-treatment score of 51.3 (SD = 26.9; \( t = -5.185; p = 0.001 \)).

On BLVAS, the following items showed significant improvement, with lower levels of aggressiveness: friendly/provocative (63 [SD = 29.5] vs. 37 [SD = 18.5]; \( z = -2.1; p = 0.036 \)), patient/impatient (82 [SD = 16.2] vs. 58 [SD = 18.5]; \( z = -2.524; p = 0.012 \)) and satisfied/boring (80 [SD = 20.3] vs. 58 [SD = 15.7]; \( z = -2.243; p = 0.025 \)). In addition, relationship quality improved significantly after treatment (17 [SD = 6.4] vs. 19 [SD = 6.2], \( z = -2.214; p = 0.027 \)).

Although our study yielded promising results, our analysis and the generalization of our findings is limited by the small sample size. Further research with larger samples and under controlled conditions is warranted to expand our preliminary results.
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