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AN UNUSUAL RELAPSING CASE OF SKIN LEISHMANIASIS

E.M. Netto, P.D. Marsden and E.M. Carvalho

We have been working in an endemic area of Leishmania viannia braziliensis (Lvb) transmission for 15 years at Três Braços in the interior of Bahia, Brazil. After attending approximately 1,000 patients we saw an exceptional case of relapsing cutaneous infection we would like to report here.

LTB 131, a 12 year old boy, came to the clinic with a typical leishmania ulcer on his right leg (20mm in diameter with a raised rolled edge) present for 6 months. Neither giemsa stained smears nor hamster inoculation showed evidence of parasites. Histology was compatible with the clinical diagnosis. The leishmanin test (using a 30µg dose of protein) was negative in 1980, 1981, twice in 1983 (a dose of 90µg on one occasion), 1985 and 1986. Low level titres of antileishmanial antibody (1/20 - 1/64) were recorded by indirect immunofluorescent test on four occasions during 1980-1982. In 1980 when first seen he was advised to take 28mg Sbv/kg/day of glucantime for 15 days with 10mg/kg of nifurtimox for 30 days - a formulation under trial at the time. Actually he only took 9 days treatment with the two drugs and then defaulted. However his ulcer closed 4 months later.

In 1981 he developed a 10-15mm ulcer on the left leg. A relapse was doubted, no treatment given and the ulcer healed spontaneously within a month. In 1982 he was seen twice with no signs of activity. In January 1983 the scar was infiltrated and surrounded by erythema (21 by 20mm). Relapse was considered but no investigations done and a 10 day course of glucantime (18mg Sbv/kg) given. Within a month after treatment the reaction subsided and a further examination in October was unremarkable. The patient was not seen in 1984 but in February 1985 the left leg scar was the site of fresh ulceration (30 by 25mm). Glucantime was in short supply and only 9mg Sbv/kg was given but even with such a low dose closure was noted in May 1985. In January 1986 3 ulcers were present in the site of the old scar on the left leg and the inguinal glands were enlarged. Glucantime 18mg Sbv/kg for 30 days was begun. A biopsy showed signs of activity of his leishmanial granuloma but no parasites were isolated by culture or hamster inoculation. At that time IFA titre is positive at a dilution of 1/40. His fourth relapse occurred in January 1987 with infiltration in the edge of the same site. Serology were negative and examination of the nose, mouth, throat and larynx were normal. Glucantime 17mg Sbv/kg for 20 days was prescribed with a favourable result. In February 1990 the scars were firmly healed with no evidence of activity.

We recorded here our only patient with multiple skin relapses who always had a negative leishmaniasis skin test although skin biopsy findings and serology were compatible with the diagnosis of cutaneous leishmaniasis and he always responded well to pentavalent antimonial treatment. Parasite isolation was not possible but this is frequent with Lvb. We have recently reported our incidence of cutaneous relapses over a ten year period. The persistently negative leishmanin skin test with reliable antigens indicated we should study his cellular immune response to leishmanial antigens and a positive lymphoproliferative response to Leishmania mexicana antigen was observed using techniques already described. Evidently however this was not sufficient to produce a positive Montenegro reaction.
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