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In controlled humidity chambers in the laboratory differences in the absorption velocity of BHC were observed depending on the substrate sprayed. While BHC is no longer used in Chagas’ disease control this data could have relevance to spraying houses in a control programme with other insecticides.
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The application of BHC on the wall surfaces inside of houses was the primary method to control triatomine bugs which are vectors of Chagas’ disease in Brazil. Residual activity of the insecticide BHC was studied on building materials taken from Mambai, Goias-Brazil. Results of experiments in the field and laboratory suggested that higher mortalities coincide with higher ambient relative humidities. Data presented and Nocerino demonstrated that old insecticide deposits of BHC had greater killing power at higher atmospheric humidities. The objective of this study is to demonstrate the residual insecticidal activity of BHC on different building materials in controlled humidity chambers in the laboratory.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Two experiments were used to show the effect of humidity on the insecticidal activity of BHC, 30% gamma isomer, on laboratory reared, first instar nymphs of Dipetalogaster maximus. Nymphs were exposed to the vapor of BHC which were being released from the treated surface (0.5mg of gamma BHC/m²) of building materials taken from Mambai, Goias.

In the first experiment, six humidity chambers were prepared by using covered 30cm glass dessicators with relative humidities (RH) regulated in each chamber by concentrations of glyceral solutions in the bottom. The humidities were measured by a miniature thermocouple psychrometer. The test material was fired roof tile sprayed with BHC 20 months earlier. Treated tiles were placed on porcelain trays in four chambers, three of which were calibrated at 50%, 60% and 80%, RH respectively, and one chamber was left open to ambient conditions which ranged from 64% to 84% RH. Four control chamber without treated tiles were set up in the same way. Mean temperature during the experiment was 22°C (range 21.5°C to 26°C).

In the second experiment, six covered dessicator chambers were prepared for testing five different house construction materials which had been treated with BHC 24 months earlier. Fired roof tile, unfired mud brick, plaster with lime, plaster without lime and wood were placed on the porcelain trays in five chambers, only one substrate for each chamber. The sixth chamber used as the control had no substrate. All chambers were regulated to 90% RH.

The LT50 activity of the insecticide was measured by observing and recording the mortality of the nymphs in terms of lethal time in days at which 50% of any given population of bugs had died. Each chamber had three replicates. A replicate consisted of 10 unfed nymphs placed in a flat round tin (5.4 cm in diameter and 8mm deep) covered with fine nylon mesh netting. Three tins with bugs were spaced 5mm from the netting to the test surface by cardboard rings. Observations of bug mortality were made for 30 days in each experiment. One-way analysis of variance using the LT50 values of each replicate within any given chamber was made in both experiments with the level of significance set at 5%. Duncan’s new multiple range method was used to test mean differences at a nominal 5% level of significance.

RESULTS

In the first experiment, the mortality of nymphs in the test chambers with treated tiles was highest in the chamber with 80% RH, and lowest in the chambers with 50% and 60% RH (Table 1). The chamber with ambient humidity conditions had intermediate effects on bug mortality. Significant differences in the mean LT50s are caused by different humidities in the test chambers. Survival of bugs in the control chambers without treated surfaces was similar to survival in the low humidity (50-60% RH) chambers.
Table 1 – The effect of relative humidity on the insecticial activity of BHC applied as a residual insecticide on roof tile about 20 months before this test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative humidity</th>
<th>Ambient (64 – 83%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>15.0±2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>4.3±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test LT50

- Test % alive: 93.3
- Control LT50: 96.7
- Control % alive: 100

Test LT50 not reached in 30 days.

% alive after 30 days.

1p is significant at <.005%; the mean LT50s are significantly different using the multiple range test at the nominal 5% level.

2% alive after 30 days.

The LT50 mortality of nymphs in experiment two varied significantly with different construction materials treated with BHC held in test chambers maintained at 90% RH (Table 2). F was significant with the probability of a larger F at < 0.25. Comparison of means are shown by ranking the substrates from the fastest killing power to the substrate with the slowest killing strength. With the nominal level of significance set at the .05 level for the multiple range test, average LT50 means which were similar and not significantly different are underlined with a single line.

**DISCUSSION**

The absorption of insecticide by mud surfaces results in a rapid decay in its residual action1 3. It has been observed that at times of high humidities, higher mortality of insects exposed to sprayed surfaces occurs3 4. Our results confirm these observations, showing that the actions of BHC can be recovered at high humidities even two years after spraying.

The insecticide does not degrade on contact with the surface, but penetrates inside the material2. A high humidity brings the insecticides to the surface, exposing the insects to a greater amount of the compound3. Fommi and Gandini1 studying the absorption of gamma BHC by different kinds of mud in the field and, used water sprays to regenerate BHC activity. Nocerino3 suggests spraying water on house walls to reactivating insecticides, and the present work again points to this possibility.

Our data on the influence of the surface agree with those obtained by other authors1 2, which show differences in absorption velocities of BHC in different types of mud or differences in the persistence of insecticide activity in different materials. The composition of the surface plays an important role in the persistence of insecticide activity4.
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