Diagnosis of human herpesvirus 6B primary infection by polymerase chain reaction in young children with exanthematic disease
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Exanthem subitum is a classical rash disease of early childhood caused by human herpesvirus 6B (HHV-6B). However, the rash is frequently misdiagnosed as that of either measles or rubella. METHODS: In this study, a nested multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was used to diagnose HHV-6B primary infection, differentiate it from infections caused by HHV-6A and compare it to antibody avidity tests. The samples were separated into case group and control group according to the results of the indirect immunofluorescence assay (IFA) technique. RESULTS: From the saliva samples analyzed, HHV-6A DNA was detected in 3.2% of the case group and in 2.6% of the control group. Regarding HHV-6B, PCR detected viral DNA in 4.8% of the case group and in 1.3% of the control group. Among the serum samples studied, a frequency of 1.7% was determined for HHV-6A in the case group and 1.2% in the control group. PCR did not detect HHV-6B DNA in serum samples. The sensitivity and specificity of the PCR technique ranged from 0% to 4.8% and 97.5% to 100%, respectively, compared to IFA. Conclusions: The PCR technique was not suitable for diagnosing primary infection by HHV-6B in children with exanthematic disease and should not substitute the IFA. Keywords: Human herpesvirus 6. Exanthem subitum. Multiplex PCR. Indirect immunofluorescence assay. Primary infection.

RESUMO

Introdução: O exantema súbito é uma doença comum durante a infância e pode ser causada pela infecção por herpesvírus humano tipo 6B (HHV-6B). No entanto, a erupção cutânea característica dessa doença, é frequentemente confundida com outras viroses como sarampo ou rubéola. Métodos: Foi utilizada a técnica de reação em cadeia da polimerase (PCR) no formato nested multiplex para o diagnóstico de infecção primária por HHV-6B, diferenciação entre as infecções causadas pelo HHV-6A e comparação com testes de avidez de anticorpos. As amostras foram separadas em grupo caso e grupo controle, de acordo com os resultados do teste de imunofluorescência indireta (IFA). Resultados: Nas amostras de saliva analisadas, o DNA do HHV-6A foi detectado em 3,2% no grupo caso e em 2,6% das amostras do grupo controle. Em relação ao HHV-6B, o DNA viral foi observado em 4,8% no grupo caso e em 1,3% no grupo controle. Após a realização da PCR nas amostras de soro, o DNA do HHV-6A foi detectado em 1,7% no grupo caso e em 1,2% no grupo controle, enquanto o DNA do HHV-6B não foi detectado. A sensibilidade e especificidade da técnica de PCR variaram de 0% a 4,8% e de 97,5% a 100%, respectivamente, quando comparado com o IFA. Conclusões: A técnica de PCR não se mostrou adequada para o diagnóstico de infecção primária pelo HHV-6B em crianças com doença exantemática e não deve substituir a IFA. Palavras-chaves: Herpesvírus humano tipo 6. Exantema súbito. Multiplex PCR. Imunofluorescência indireta. Infeção primária.
Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. A total of 125 serum samples and 138 saliva samples were obtained from children younger than four years of age presenting a rash with: (1) recent primary infection, defined by low antibody avidity detected by IFA (case group), and (2) past primary infection, determined by high antibody avidity detected by IFA (control group), as described by Vianna et al. All the samples had previously tested negative for measles, rubella, dengue fever and parvovirus B19 infections.

DNA was extracted from 200μl of whole saliva and serum using the QIAmp kit (QIAGen, Germany). Ten microliters were used for the qualitative nested PCR multiplex assay using the HHV-6 A and B primers described previously. Briefly, amplification was performed in 50μl of reaction mixture (1X PCR buffer, 200μM dNTPs, 1.5mM MgCl2, 50pmol of each primer, 0.25U unit of Taq polymerase platinum, and 10μl of sample). The mixture was submitted to 30 amplification cycles of denaturing at 90°C for 1min, annealing at 62°C for 2min and extension at 72°C for 3min. After the first round, 2μl of the amplicon was used as template for the second round of PCR under the same conditions, except for the inner primers used. Polymerase chain reaction products were analyzed on 1.5% agarose gel with ethidium bromide staining for visualization of DNA under ultraviolet light. HHV-6A generated 195bp fragments and HHV-6B 423bp. The technique presented a sensitivity of 100 copies/50μL for HHV-6A and 10 copies/50μL for HHV-6B.

A data bank was generated and analyzed using EPInfo 2004 statistical software package (Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, EUA, 2004). Prevalence rates were compared by the Chi square test with Yates’ correction. The significance level of tests (p) was set at 0.05.

**Ethics considerations**

Free, informed consent was obtained from the parents or guardians of the patients. The study protocol was approved by the Hospital’s research ethics committee (CEP CMM/HUAP no. 85/02).

### RESULTS

From the saliva samples analyzed, HHV-6A DNA was detected in 3.2% of the case group and in 2.6% of the control group (Table 1). Regarding HHV-6B, PCR detected viral DNA in 4.8% of the case group and in 1.3% of the control group (Table 2). Among the serum samples studied, a frequency of 1.7% was determined for HHV-6A and HHV-6B: human herpesvirus 6B, PCR: polymerase chain reaction, sensitivity: 4.8% (95%CI 1.5-14.4%), specificity: 98.7% (95%CI 91.9-99.9%), Kappa value 0.56.

### DISCUSSION

A molecular assay was performed to detect HHV-6 primary infection in samples from children presenting rash with recent primary infection or past primary infection. Although some studies have proposed that the presence of HHV-6 DNA in serum or plasma alone was a definitive marker of active viral replication, the present results do not support these suggestions. The frequency of HHV-6A and HHV-6B was much lower than other studies, which did not separately report the prevalence of both variants (A and B). This observation led us to argue whether the detection of HHV-6 was partially related to variant A, which can be occasionally detected in human body fluids, despite not being correlated with any disease. Moreover, recent studies have shown that high levels of viral DNA in blood and sera could be related to HHV-6 chromosomal integration.

In the 1990s, several authors proposed that following the primary infection, HHV-6 is shed in saliva chronically or intermittently, in disagreement with the present results that indicated low levels of HHV-6 in the saliva studied. These low rates of detection lead our group to verify the sensitivity and specificity parameters, in
order to compare the PCR performed here to the gold-standard diagnosis assay available: the immunofluorescence assay. As shown in Tables 1 to 4, sensitivity rates were very low compared to IFI, suggesting a high prevalence of false-negative results achieved by PCR. Regarding specificity, concordant results were obtained for negative samples by both methodologies. Hence, Kappa values showed moderate agreement rates (0.54 to 0.56, Tables 1 to 4), which is attributed to the high specificity rates. Since positive samples showing antibody response by IFA were negative by PCR, the use of PCR as a diagnostic tool for HHV-6 infection cannot be validated by this study.

It is important to note that the present samples were tested for different agents and manipulation could lead to DNA degradation that would determine lower detection rates for PCR. However, the current data are in agreement with that described by Suga et al17, who reported that viremia decreases rapidly after rash onset, an event related to the induction of specific immunity to the virus. Therefore, molecular diagnosis of HHV-6 primary infection is useful before seroconversion18. Studies from Zerr et al19 associated the detection of viral DNA with febrile episodes, which would suggest PCR as an early diagnostic procedure, specially for the first week of symptoms.

In summary, the PCR technique is not adequate for diagnosing primary infection by HHV-6B in young children with exanthematic disease and is not a viable substitute for the indirect immunofluorescence assay when diagnosing HHV-6 primary infection. Further studies are required to evaluate new possible methods to identify HHV-6B and differentiate active infection associated with this virus from other agents that cause rashes.
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