INTRODUCTION

The year 2009 marked the beginning of a pandemic caused by a new variant of influenza A (H1N1). The occurrence began in March with the registration of a higher than expected increase in cases of a flu-like illness and the concomitant occurrence of atypical cases of pneumonia in Mexico. In early April 2009, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC/Atlanta/USA) identified the etiological agent, currently called the pandemic influenza virus (H1N1) 2009, and reported this finding to the World Health Organization (WHO) in accordance with the International Health Regulations 2005 (RSI 2005). On April 25th, 2009, the WHO declared a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC). After spreading through North America in the first half of 2009, the virus spread rapidly throughout the world, and consequently, the declaration of a pandemic was the scientific confirmation that an emerging virus was spreading globally. In March 2010, almost all the countries in the world reported cases confirmed by laboratory tests, and more than 17,700 deaths among those cases were reported to the WHO. On August 10th, 2010, after the post-peak pandemic period, the WHO declared the end of the pandemic and the start of the post-pandemic period.

In Brazil, the first case was confirmed on May 7th, 2009. By the declaration of the end of the pandemic in the country, 105,227 cases had been reported with 54,171 confirmations and 2,232 deaths. In Ceará, many cases were reported, with complications and deaths. The aim of this study is to describe the clinical and epidemiological characteristics of the cases of pandemic influenza in the State of Ceará, Northeast Brazil, in 2009/2010.

METHODS

This is a descriptive and retrospective study that analyzes all suspected cases of pandemic influenza (H1N1) reported in 2009 in Ceará, through the Information System for Notifiable Diseases (SINAN). The study analyzed all suspected cases of pandemic influenza (H1N1) 2009 reported in the Ceará State through the National Information System for Notifiable Diseases during the pandemic period between 28 April, 2009 and November 25, 2010.

RESULTS: A total of 616 suspected cases were notified, 58 (9.4%) in the containment phase and 558 (90.6%) in the mitigation phase. Most cases were of affected young people resident in the City of Fortaleza, the largest urban center in the State of Ceará. The most frequent symptoms presented by the cases with confirmed infection were fever, cough, myalgia, arthralgia, and nasal congestion. Mortality rate was 0.0009/1,000 inhabitants and lethality was 5.6%. Deaths were observed only in the mitigation phase. Mortality rates were similar for both sexes but were higher in the age group under 5 years.

Conclusions: The study suggests that the influenza A (H1N1) pandemic in this tropical/semi-arid region had a lower magnitude when compared to states in the Southern and Southeastern regions of Brazil.
Diseases (SINANWeb) during the influenza pandemic of a new subtype, between 28th April 2009 and November 25th 2010.

Following the World Health Organization guidelines7,8, the definition of a suspect case of the new subtype of pandemic influenza varied during the period of the pandemic. In Brazil, the pandemic was divided into two distinct epidemiological and operational phases: phase 1 – containment and phase 2 – mitigation8. The terminology used in case definitions classified respiratory infections into influenza pandemic (H1N1) 2009 influenza-like illness and severe acute respiratory infection (SARI)9.

The containment phase corresponded with the period in which the virus was spreading around the world and cases were related to international travel or through contact with sick people who had made international journeys4. In Ceará, this phase extended from the epidemiological week (EW) 16 (19th to 25th April, 2009), when the first suspected cases were identified, until the period of the EW 28 (12th to 18th July, 2009), a period when the sustained transmission of pandemic influenza was declared across the country. The mitigation phase included the period from the EW 29 (19th to 25th July 2009) until the declared end of the pandemic9. Confirmed cases were defined as those with positive results for real-time PCR or having an epidemiologic link. The Windows application Epi-Info version 3.5.1 was used for data analysis.

Ethical considerations

This study followed the ethical principles set out in Resolution 196/96 and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Hospital São José de Doenças Infecciosas.

RESULTS

In Ceará, in the pandemic period (April 19, 2009 to November 18, 2010), 616 suspected cases were reported, of which 58 (9.4%) were during the containment phase and 558 (90.6%) in the mitigation phase.

In the containment phase, 45 (77.6%) cases of influenza-like illness and 13 (22.4%) cases of SARI were reported. The first cases were reported in EW 16/2009 and the first case was confirmed in the EW 24/2009. In the mitigation phase 254 (45.5%) cases of flu-like illness and 304 (54.5%) cases of SARI were reported. Influenza A (H1N1) was confirmed in 143 (23.2%) patients. The number of confirmed cases of influenza A (H1N1) infections differed according to each period of analysis. In the containment phase, 27 (46.6%) of the 58 reported cases confirmed influenza A (H1N1) infection. In the mitigation phase, among 558 cases, only 116 (20.8%) were confirmed with influenza A (H1N1) infection (Table 1).

In EW 4/2010, the highest frequency of confirmed cases of SARI was present, with an incidence of 0.03 cases per 100,000 inhabitants. From EW 12/2010, there was continuous reduction in the incidence of confirmed cases with just one case confirmed in EW 23/2010 (Figure 1).

In the containment phase, the municipality with the highest frequency of suspected cases was Fortaleza, the state capital, with 95.9% (47/49) of the reported cases. The same occurred in the mitigation phase, with 48.5% (275/567) of the reported suspected cases being from Fortaleza. The municipality of residence of 85.2% (23/27) of the confirmed cases was...
TABLE 1 - Cases of pandemic influenza A (H1N1) 2009 by gender and age, containment and mitigation phases, State of Ceará, Brazil, 2009-2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age groups (years)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Deaths</th>
<th>Population*</th>
<th>Incidence**</th>
<th>Mortality***</th>
<th>Letality(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Containment phase</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>463,481</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - 5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>480,732</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 - 9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>667,152</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 - 19</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,707,693</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 - 29</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,637,059</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 - 39</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,206,311</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 - 49</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>642,796</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 - 59</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>806,362</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 and over</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8,547,750</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mitigation phase</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>463,481</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - 5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>480,732</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 - 9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>667,152</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 - 19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,707,693</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 - 29</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,637,059</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 - 39</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,206,311</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 - 49</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>976,164</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 - 59</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>642,796</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 and over</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>806,362</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>116</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8,547,750</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>143</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8,547,750</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Population of Ceará State according to Departamento de Informática do Sistema Único de Saúde (DATASUS), 2011.**Number of cases/100,000 inhabitants. ***Number of deaths/1,000 inhabitants.

also Fortaleza. The other 11.1% (3/27) lived in other states (Rio de Janeiro and São Paulo) and 3.7% (1/27) were from Quixadá-CE. In the mitigation phase, the municipality of residence in 88.8% (103/116) of the confirmed cases was Fortaleza-CE and 5.2% (6/116) lived in other States (Rio de Janeiro and São Paulo).

In the containment phase, the confirmation of cases through laboratory tests occurred in 96.3% (26/27) of the cases and 3.7% (1/27) were diagnosed by an epidemiologic link. Among the 13 SARI patients, 53.8% (7/13) were hospitalized, 84.6% (11/13) were tested with real-time PCR and 46.2% (6/13) were confirmed with Influenza A (H1N1). Of the confirmed cases, 7.4% (2/27) were hospitalized.

Confirmation of cases by laboratory testing in the mitigation phase occurred in 88.8% (103/116) of the cases and 11.2% (13/116) were diagnosed by an epidemiologic link. Among the cases reported at this stage, 30.5% (170/558) were hospitalized, and 44.1% (75/170) were hospitalized in state referral units. Of the total confirmed, 21.6% (25/116) of the patients were hospitalized. However, among those affected by the disease and those who developed SARI, 41.4% (24/58) were hospitalized, 86.2% (50/58) were tested with real-time PCR and all were confirmed for influenza A (H1N1).

Deaths were only observed in the mitigation phase. Up to EW 23/2010, there were 30 suspicious deaths. Only 8 deaths were confirmed to be secondary to pandemic influenza (confirmed deaths). Mortality rates were similar for both sexes but were higher in the age group under 5 years (Table 1). Among
the confirmed deaths (8/30), the median age was 27 years (<1 year-62 years) and females were more affected (5/8). Of the affected females, all were of childbearing age (10-49 years) and 60% (3/5) were pregnant. Of the total number of confirmed deaths, 62.5% (5/8) had at least one risk factor for severity, with the pregnant women accounting for 37.5%. Deaths occurred in EWs 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, and 25 of 2010. The mortality rate was 0.0009 deaths/1,000 inhabitants and the lethality was 5.6%.

In March 1918, a severe influenza epidemic spread across the world, beginning simultaneously in the U.S. and Europe and killing about 40 million people, making it the most catastrophic medical event in human history10. Since then, the monitoring systems of the influenza virus have detected changes in the epidemic strains of influenza A viruses every 1-2 years. During these 92 years, two pandemics of influenza A viruses were detected in 1957 (H2N2) and 1968 (H3N2), although with much lower mortality rates11-13. In 2009, the same surveillance system identified a new virus A, and because of an increase in hospitalizations for severe respiratory illness, Mexico declared the outbreak of an influenza pandemic alerted by the health authorities14.

This study provides important clinical and epidemiological information on pandemic influenza H1N1 2009 in a state in northeastern Brazil, an area close to the equator, with a semi-arid climate and without the occurrence of the four well-defined seasons. In this scenario, the pandemic was characterized by affecting young people, supporting global and national data showing a higher frequency in patients younger than 50 years15-17, in contrast to seasonal flu that occurs with more severity among people over 654. In Brazil, in 2009, 63.5% and 62.1% of the confirmed cases in the containment and mitigation phases, respectively, were patients younger than 30 years 9. The average age in this analysis was similar to that found in Canada and some European countries18. In the United States, this proportion was 84.1% of whom 60% were 18 years or below, while in Mexico the rate was 78.7%18. There was no significant difference in the incidence of the pandemic between genders as in the data presented in other studies17,19,20.

In the containment phase, the vast majority of the reported cases in this series occurred in Caucasians and/or those with higher education. In the mitigation phase, cases were mainly of mixed race individuals who had completed high school. One explanation for this finding lies in the fact that at the beginning of the pandemic virus transmission took place mainly among individuals with higher purchasing power and a greater possibility of coming into contact with the virus in the regions abroad where the virus had been declared as present, or with people who had direct contact with these travelers. In a study evaluating cases in the State of Paraná, in the Southern region of the country, the incidence of influenza A (H1N1) in 2009 in a particular ethnic group was lower17.

In terms of geographical distribution, almost all the cases of influenza A H1N1 pandemic in the State of Ceará were of individuals living in the capital city of Fortaleza. The Ministry of Health reported that 26 state capitals were responsible for 60.7% of the notifications in the mitigation phase, while in the containment phase this number was 48.4%6. These data confirm that the capital cities were the main notifiers and suggest that influenza cases were not spreading to the interior, although a possible explanation for the lower notification rates among small cities is subnotification. In terms of clinical distribution, the symptoms presented by the cases with confirmed pandemic H1N1 infection are similar to those found in other studies2,3,19-21, with the occurrence of
fever, cough, myalgia, arthralgia, and nasal discharge. As to the evolution of cases during the mitigation phase, the ratio of hospitalized subjects was about three times greater than in the containment phase. The authors suggest that this finding was because of the changes in case definition issued by the Ministry of Health in EW 29 of 2010. In a study performed at the Hospital and Clinics of the State University of Campinas (HC-UNICAMP), Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil, including all patients attended from April 28th to December 31st, 2009, whose case were identified as mandatory notification for influenza A (H1N1), 48.9% were hospitalized. In the United States, nearly 10% of the confirmed cases of influenza A (H1N1) were hospitalized, and in Mexico 20% of the patients hospitalized for pneumonia in a hospital for respiratory diseases had confirmed the existence of the new virus. These two characteristics are different from the normal behavior of seasonal flu and are similar to that observed in the influenza pandemic of 1918 when there was a greater number of cases with severe respiratory disease in young individuals from different countries. Hospitalization rates during the 2009 pandemic varied widely according to the city. Worldwide, hospitalization rates were higher for children under 5 years, especially those under the age of one year, and lower for people aged 65 or older.

Approximately 50% of those patients infected with the virus (H1N1) in 2009 who were hospitalized or died did not present any comorbidity. In the containment phase, the proportion was much higher, reaching two thirds, while in the mitigation phase the non-reference of comorbidities was close to the level found worldwide; about one quarter. Pregnant women (especially, the second or third trimester), puerperal mothers, and patients with immunosuppression or neurological diseases were also represented among those who evolved with greater severity. Jamieson et al. suggest that there is a particularly increased risk of death among those women infected during the third trimester.

Mortality from influenza in the pandemic period was 0.0009/1,000 inhabitants, according to the estimated population for Ceará by the Brazilian Institute for Geography and Statistics - IBGE. This is similar to the mortality in the Northeast States of Sergipe, Paraíba, and Piauí, and is lower than the rate for Brazil (0.0044/1,000 inhabitants) and the States in the Southern and Southeastern regions, such as Paraná (0.0179/1,000 inhabitants), Rio Grande do Sul (0.0136/1,000 inhabitants), and Rio de Janeiro (0.0074/1,000 inhabitants). In Campinas, São Paulo, a study performed at the Hospital and Clinics of the University of Campinas (HC-UNICAMP), influenza A (H1N1) was responsible for 8 (6.9%) deaths among 115 cases in adults and for 2 (8.3%) deaths in 24 cases in children.

The authors recognize that this study has limitations. Results are based on secondary data and subnotification may have occurred since influenza presents itself with a large spectrum of clinical manifestations, including many subclinical cases. However the data analysis provides in-depth knowledge about pandemic influenza (H1N1) 2009 in the State of Ceará. The study suggests that the influenza A (H1N1) pandemic in this region of Brazil in 2009 and 2010 had a lower magnitude when compared to other states in other regions of the country. Owing to the difficulty of access to information (unavailable in the reporting forms) and the epidemiological research, in-depth studies including other risk groups and risk factors for the worsening of the disease in our population should be developed.
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