Molecular characterization of *Candida* spp. isolates from patients with bloodstream infections
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**ABSTRACT**

**Introduction:** The aim of this study was to conduct an epidemiological study comparing the genetic similarity of yeasts isolated from blood cultures. **Methods:** Random amplification of polymorphic DNA (RAPD) techniques were used for the *Candida* samples obtained from patients at the Hospital Universitário da Universidade Federal do Mato Grosso do Sul (HU/UFMS) in Campo Grande, State of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil, from 1998-2000. **Results:** The most frequently isolated species was *Candida albicans* (45.8%). DNA amplification from genomic yeast isolates indicated a genetic similarity of over 90%. **Conclusions:** The RAPD profiles obtained were able to differentiate between the isolated *Candida* species, thereby suggesting that the method might be useful in epidemiological studies.
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Invasive candidiasis is the fourth leading cause of bloodstream infection in cases of nosocomial infection by fungi. *Candida albicans* is the most often isolated species, accounting for approximately 50% of cases[1]. Molecular techniques have been performed to aid epidemiological studies[2,3].

This retrospective study was conducted using clinical samples obtained from the mycology collection of the laboratory of the Hospital Universitário da Universidade Federal do Mato Grosso do Sul (HU/UFMS) in Campo Grande, State of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil. The yeasts were previously detected in blood cultures using an automated system, BACTTEC™FX (BD, New Jersey, USA) as a routine hospital practice, and the three most frequently isolated species were selected for genotypic analysis.

The study was approved by the University Federal do Mato Grosso do Sul Ethics Committee. The molecular tests were conducted in the Laboratory of Animal Health at the Brazilian Enterprise for Agricultural Research (EMBRAPA) according to the protocol described by Valério et al.[3]. The dendrogram was created using GelCompar II (Applied Maths, Kortrijk, Belgium) in the Enterobacteria Laboratory of Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, RJ. Similarity was evaluated using Pearson’s coefficient. For purposes of epidemiological analysis, the strains that showed >90% similarity or had a dendrogram showing a single insertion or inclusion were considered similar or belonging to the same clone[4].

The species implicated in the infectious episodes were *Candida albicans*, 44 (45.8%), *Candida parapsilosis* complex 33, (34.4%), *Candida tropicalis*, 14 (14.6%) and *Candida glabrata*, 5 (5.2%).

The electrophoretic profiles of the *Candida albicans* and *Candida parapsilosis* complex strains demonstrated a predominance of profile A (Figures 1 and 2).

The frequency of systemic infections caused by *Candida* has increased considerably, particularly in patients hospitalized in critical areas because of the use of immunosuppressive agents, invasive medical procedures, and underlying diseases that may contribute to yeast proliferation[2,4]. Among infecting yeasts, *C. albicans* is the most common in cases of hospital-acquired infections in several countries[1].

The present results demonstrate that the method was limited to detecting intra-specific polymorphisms within clones of the same species using only one primer pair[2,3]. The profiles obtained using random amplification of polymorphic DNA (RAPD) were able to differentiate between the *Candida* species, but few differences between strains of the same species were observed. Nevertheless, the dendrograms showed a genetic similarity >90%, suggesting that the method might be useful for epidemiological studies and detection during hospital outbreaks.
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