The effectiveness of a rotavirus vaccine in preventing hospitalizations and deaths presumably due to acute infectious diarrhea in Brazilian children: a quasi-experimental study
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Rotavirus is the main etiologic agent of acute infectious diarrhea in children worldwide. Considering that a rotavirus vaccine (G1P8, strain RIX4414) was added to the Brazilian vaccination schedule in 2006, we aimed to study its effectiveness and safety regarding intestinal intussusception. Methods: A quasi-experimental trial was performed in which the primary outcome was the number of hospitalizations that were presumably due to acute infectious diarrhea per 100,000 children at risk (0-4 years old). The secondary outcomes included mortality due to acute infectious diarrhea and the intestinal intussusception rates in children in the same age range. We analyzed three scenarios: Health Division XIII of the State of São Paulo (DRS XIII) from 2002 to 2008, the State of São Paulo, and Brazil from 2002 to 2012. Results: The averages of the hospitalization rates for 100,000 children in the pre- and post-vaccination periods were 1,413 and 959, respectively, for DRS XIII (RR=0.67), 312 and 249, respectively, for the State of São Paulo (RR=0.79), and 718 and 576, respectively, for Brazil (RR=0.8). The mortality rate per 100,000 children in the pre- and post-vaccination periods was 2.0 and 1.3, respectively, for DRS XIII (RR=0.66), 5.5 and 2.5, respectively, for the State of São Paulo (RR=0.47), and 15.0 and 8.0, respectively, for Brazil (RR=0.53). The average annual rates of intussusception for 100,000 children in DRS XIII were 28.0 and 22.0 (RR=0.77) in the pre- and post-vaccination periods, respectively. Conclusions: A monovalent rotavirus vaccine was demonstrated to be effective in preventing the hospitalizations and deaths of children that were presumably due to acute infectious diarrhea, without increasing the risk of intestinal intussusception.
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INTRODUCTION

Diarrhea is among the most common infections in children between the ages of 0 and 5 years old. The etiology of most cases of diarrhea is infection by rotavirus. Rotavirus transmissions occur by the fecal-oral route, and rotavirus is highly infectious[1,2]. Diarrhea, fever and vomiting are the main symptoms. Oral/intravenous rehydration is the treatment of choice[2,3]. In temperate countries, epidemics occur mainly in the winter, whereas in tropical countries, they occur throughout the year.

Particularly in the central, southern and southeastern regions of Brazil, epidemics occur during the dry season (May through September)[4,5,6].

The World Health Organization (WHO) estimated that, in 2008, approximately 453,000 children died because of rotaviruses around the world[5]. Other authors have estimated that 114 million episodes of gastroenteritis, 24 million appointments, 2.4 million hospitalizations and 611,000 deaths occur annually in children younger than 5 years old around the world[9]. In the U.S., it is estimated that, in 2006, rotavirus caused 55,000 to 70,000 hospitalizations among children younger than 5 years old[10].

In Brazil, data from the Ministry of Health showed a total spending of R$173,245,567.85 between 1995 and 2004 due to diarrheic diseases in children aged 0-5 years of age. From 1996 to 2003, 33,786 children younger than 5 years old died from diarrhea[11].

Studies published before 2000 found that an average of 12-42% of the hospitalizations and outpatient appointments...
due to diarrheic disease were caused by rotavirus\(^4\)\(^5\)\(^6\)\(^7\)\(^12\).

In the northern region of Brazil, between 1982 and 1986, each child had 0.25 diarrheic episodes per year due to rotavirus\(^13\). In 2003, Departamento de Informática do Sistema Único de Saúde (DATASUS) registered a total of 269,195 hospitalizations in children younger than 5 years old due to diarrheic disease. Considering only the children younger than 5 years old, an average of 34% of these episodes were due to rotavirus; therefore, it could be estimated that 91,526 hospitalizations due to rotavirus occurred in that age range\(^14\). The cost of each hospitalization due to rotavirus was estimated at US$1,106.13. Similarly, also in Brazil, Sartori et al.\(^15\) calculated a base number of 92,453 hospitalizations and 850 deaths per year due to rotavirus disease\(^15\). The cost of this burden was estimated by Constenla et al.\(^16\) at US$19.3 million in 2003\(^16\).

The first rotavirus vaccine was licensed in 1998; however, it was suspended the following year due to an increase in the number of cases of intestinal intussusception\(^17\). In 2000, a monovalent vaccine – RV1 – containing a live attenuated virus was shown to be effective and safe. Subsequently, it was incorporated into the Brazilian immunization schedule\(^18\)\(^19\). The WHO recommended, in 2007, the inclusion of the rotavirus vaccination in regions where such action would have a major public health impact. Studies in Latin America and Finland have shown high effectiveness in preventing severe gastroenteritis\(^20\).

In 2006, RV1 was adopted in Brazil. Lanzieri et al.\(^21\) showed a 30-39% reduction in deaths and a 26-48% reduction in hospitalizations from all causes of diarrhea after the introduction of RV1\(^21\)\(^22\). Carmo et al.\(^23\) had similar results, with a 22-28% reduction in deaths and a 21-25% reduction in hospitalizations\(^23\). Sáfadi et al.\(^24\), in a study that specifically evaluated the reduction in hospitalizations due to rotavirus, obtained a 73-82% reduction after the vaccine was introduced\(^4\). Another study found a greater reduction in the number of hospitalizations caused by gastroenteritis in children up to 1 year old (35.6%), compared with children 1-4 years old (reduction of 12.3%), after children started being vaccinated. The mortality reduction followed the same pattern, decreasing by 54.5% in children up to 1 year old and by 32.9% in children 1-4 years old\(^25\).

Although the first licensed vaccine in the U.S. was linked to increased cases of intestinal intussusception\(^17\), the RV1 vaccine proved to be safe. A randomized, double-blind study evaluated the safety of the vaccine. After following 63,225 children who were divided into 2 groups (one of the groups received placebo), no difference was observed between the rates of intussusception in the two groups\(^26\).

### Objectives

Our main objective was to evaluate the impact of the introduction of the rotavirus vaccine into the Brazilian infant vaccination schedule on the hospitalization rates for presumably acute infectious diarrhea in children aged 0-4 years old in Ribeirão Preto (SP), in the State of São Paulo and throughout Brazil.

The secondary objective of the study was to evaluate the safety of this vaccine, regarding a possible association with intestinal intussusception.

### METHODS

This study was based on a quasi-experimental method, conducting statistical analysis of data contained in the Regional Observatory of Hospital Attention portal [Observatório Regional de Atenção Hospitalar (ORAH)] and Datasus. This study was an effectiveness study of a public health measure (in this case, the introduction of a rotavirus vaccine into the Brazilian vaccination schedule). Similar analyses have been performed in Brazil; however, these analyses used only Datasus, the database of the Brazilian Health System [Sistema Único de Saúde (SUS)]\(^21\)\(^22\). Studies using a similar methodology have been performed in the U.S.; however, these studies used the rotavirus pentavalent vaccine\(^26\)\(^27\). Quasi-experimental studies are widely undertaken for the analysis of infectious diseases, particularly when an intervention is administered in a population, such as the introduction of an antibiotic or a vaccine\(^28\).

The study population was children between 0 and 4 years old. This age group was used because it is not possible to specify the range of 0-5 years old in the database, which would have been more appropriate. The analysis was performed in 3 scenarios: the Regional Health Department XIII (Departamento Regional de Saúde XIII - DRS XIII (scenario I), the State of São Paulo (scenario II) and Brazil as a whole (scenario III). The analysis period varied for each scenario: for DRS XIII, it was 2002-2008, due to a lack of data from 2009; for the State of São Paulo and for Brazil as a whole, the period was 2002-2012. The outcomes assessed in each of these scenarios were the number of admissions and mortality from viral and other specified intestinal infections (ICD-10 A08) and other gastroenteritis and colitis of infectious and unspecified origin (ICD-10 A09). To avoid errors, the results were expressed in coefficients per 100,000 inhabitants due to variations in the study population over time. The demographic data were obtained from the census and projections from the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics [Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (IBGE)]. Furthermore, the vaccination coverage was also assessed for the 5 geographic regions of Brazil, and it was correlated with the reduction in hospitalizations for the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th Revision (ICD) codes specified above. The safety of the vaccine was studied by analyzing the occurrence of intussusception (which falls under ICD-10 code K56) before and after the beginning of vaccination.

### Databases

The ORAH portal (used for scenario I) is an initiative of the Center for the Hospital Data Processing, Department of Social Medicine, Faculty of Medicine of Ribeirão Preto, University of São Paulo, and the Health Department of the State of São Paulo. It is centered in Ribeirão Preto and contains data from all of the hospitalizations of all of the public, philanthropic and private hospitals of the Regional Department of Health XIII, involving 26 counties in the northeastern region of São Paulo. Datasus is the database of the entire national public health system, and it contains epidemiological, demographic and socioeconomic information, among other information. Only establishments
in SUS (used for scenarios II and III) were included. In this database, there is no distinction between ICD-10 codes A08 and A09; therefore, the analysis was performed only on the basis of hospitalizations for other gastroenteritis and colitis of infectious and unspecified origin (ICD A09).

**Method of analysis**

In the present study, we used a variant of the quasi-experimental method to avoid possible confounding variables, such as climate variations and increases in sanitation coverage. A second non-equivalent variable was used; however, this variable suffered from the influence of possible confounding variables in the same manner as the study variable. Thus, we avoided possible bias and provided greater reliability to the study. The non-equivalent variable that was used as a correction factor in scenario I consisted of the joint admission data for other enteritis such as Salmonellosis, shigellosis, other bacterial intestinal infections and other bacterial foodborne intoxications, not elsewhere classified (ICD-10 A02, A03, A04 and A05, respectively). For scenarios II and III, we selected all amoebiasis and shigellosis cases as non-equivalent variables. This variable is expressed per million habitants, due to the low prevalence of these diseases in the population. For scenarios II and III, the sum of all of the cases of amoebiasis and shigellosis was used. The data analysis was performed by calculating the relative risks for each of the outcomes in each scenario, both before and after the onset of vaccination, in addition to diagramming the control of the hospitalizations, which contains primarily the monthly average hospitalizations in the pre-intervention period and, as its limits, the endemic channel increased and decreased by 2 standard deviations. Subsequently, a comparison was undertaken between the post-intervention data and the endemic channel.

For intestinal intussusception, we also used the rate of hospitalizations per 100,000 children aged 0-4 years old and the calculation of relative risk. The data were extracted from the ORAH Web site. We searched all of the cases diagnosed as paralytic ileus and intestinal obstruction without hernia (ICD-10 K56). The ORAH database does not include the specific ICD-10 for intussusception.

**RESULTS**

The graphics in Figure 1 show a significant reduction in hospitalizations due to viral and unspecified gastroenteritis after the introduction of vaccination in 2006. The difference was most marked in DRS XIII, where there was a clear seasonality before 2007. After 2007, the peaks that were previously found from July to October disappeared. In the other remaining scenarios, there were also reductions. In all of the cases, when comparing the rotavirus curve with the control curve, there was a reduction in the first curve, but the second curve maintained the same pattern.

Figure 2 displays the graphics of the endemic channels for each scenario. The post-vaccination (period) curve crosses the lower limit of the endemic channel in all of the curves. In Brazil, the post-vaccination curve is less than the lower limit of the endemic channel from March to December.

The hospitalization percentage reduction was greater in DRS XIII, with a decrease of 33%, compared with 21% and 20% for the State of São Paulo and Brazil, respectively (Table 1). In addition, the mortality reduction was similar in São Paulo (53%) and Brazil (47%) and was smaller in DRS XIII (34%). The hospitalizations caused by intussusception were similar in the pre- and post-vaccine periods (pre-vaccine: 28 admissions/100,000 people; post-vaccine: 22 admissions/100,000 people), with a relative risk of 0.77.

In 2007, the immunization coverage in the southeast region of Brazil was 86.1%, the largest in Brazil (Table 2). This region had a percentage decrease of 33.7% in all gastroenteritis admissions, which was also the most important decrease among all of the geographic regions of Brazil. The northern region, with coverage of 62.2% (the lowest in the country), was also where the reduction was least, with only 1.8%.

**DISCUSSION**

The results suggested that the rotavirus vaccine has been effective in protection against rotavirus disease. Both of the assessed outcomes (hospitalizations and mortality) were significantly reduced after the onset of vaccination. In addition, vaccination has been demonstrated to be cost effective by other authors: in 2003, for example, approximately US$19.3 million were saved in Brazil[16]. In the present study, it was estimated that 1,320 deaths were prevented annually in Brazil due to the rotavirus vaccine, accounting for the average mortality before and after the start of vaccination.

We analyzed the hospitalizations for the ICD-10 codes containing rotavirus and other diarrheal diseases and noticed a sharp decrease in hospitalizations from these pathologies, markedly after 2006, when vaccination started; however, the control group showed a slight downward trend without the important decrease observed since 2006. There is a caveat for 2010-2011, during which there was a sharp increase in hospitalizations in the group of diseases that includes rotaviruses. This increase occurred because of outbreaks of diarrheal illness caused by norovirus, mainly in the cities on the coast of the State of São Paulo[20].

In Brazil, we found a total reduction of 20% among children 0-4 years old in hospitalizations caused by gastroenteritis. These data were similar to the findings of other studies that showed reductions varying from 17 to 48%[21][22][23][25]. Similarly, the decrease in the mortality rate due to gastroenteritis was greater than the decrease in hospitalization rates (47% and 20%, respectively. This result was also in agreement with the findings in the literature[20][25]. An important reduction in admissions caused by gastroenteritis in children occurred in Europe, even in countries with low immunization coverage, for instance[20].

Another important finding was the decrease in the hospitalization rate observed in DRS XIII (40%) and São Paulo (30%) between May and September, which was greater than the average decrease in total hospitalizations (33% and 21%, respectively). This issue is important because rotavirus had a
FIGURE 1 - The hospitalizations presumably due to acute infectious diarrhea per 100,000 inhabitants and due to other types of gastroenteritis per million inhabitants, in three different scenarios, before and after the introduction of the universal rotavirus vaccine (arrow).
FIGURE 2 - The endemic channels of the hospitalization rates presumably due to acute infectious diarrhea (average ± 2 standard deviations) in the three studied scenarios, plus the pre- and post-vaccination periods.
**TABLE 1** - The hospitalization and mortality rates presumably due to acute infectious diarrhea per 100,000 children at risk per year in the pre- and post-vaccination periods in three different scenarios.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DRS XIII</th>
<th>São Paulo</th>
<th>Brazil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalizations rate - pre-vaccination period</td>
<td>1,413</td>
<td>312.5</td>
<td>718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalizations rate - post-vaccination period</td>
<td>959</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage decrease</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative risk</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalizations rate May to September - pre-vaccination period</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalizations rate May to September - post-vaccination period</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage decrease</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative risk</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality rate - pre-vaccination period</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality rate - post-vaccination period</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage decrease</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative risk</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization rate for intestinal intussusception - pre-vaccination period</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization rate for intestinal intussusception - post-vaccination period</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative risk</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DRS XIII: Regional Department of Health XIII, from the State of São Paulo.

**TABLE 2** - The percentage decrease in hospitalizations per 100,000 inhabitants and rotavirus immunization coverage in different geographical regions of Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geographical regions</th>
<th>The percentage decrease in hospitalization rates in 2007 (compared with 2005)</th>
<th>The immunization coverage in 2007 (the percentages of the target populations)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>62.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>20.26</td>
<td>75.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>33.77</td>
<td>86.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>83.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwest</td>
<td>19.40</td>
<td>83.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

higher incidence in these regions during this period. The sharpest decrease in these parameters during that period, compared to other periods of the year, provided additional evidence of the vaccine’s effectiveness.

Similarly, when the endemic channel for each scenario was tracked, the average hospitalizations in the post-vaccine period crossed the lower limit in all of the scenarios, and in southeastern Brazil (scenarios I and II), where seasonal rotavirus is the most prevalent, the mean post-vaccination period crossed the lower limit of the endemic channel exactly at the same time that previously had the highest rates of the year. Additionally, in agreement with this result, the immunization coverage data showed a sharper decrease in the hospitalizations in the regions where the coverage was higher, with the exception of the southern region.

Regarding the safety of the vaccine, the data suggested a decrease in cases of intestinal intussusception in the early post-vaccination period. This fact speaks in favor of the safety of the vaccine, which is in agreement with the international research(31).

The main limitation of the current study was the absence of an etiological diagnosis of rotavirus. Because diarrheal diseases usually have a self-limited course, the diagnosis of the etiological agent is not normally performed in the Brazilian health system. Therefore, this study had to use the ICD-10 codes for gastroenteritis, not the specific code for rotaviral enteritis (A08.0). This absence of an etiological diagnosis
requires an effort to seek other methods to avoid the generating of confounding variables, such as the joint analysis of a non-equivalent variable with the variable under study and an analysis of the periods with the highest incidence of the virus, before the introduction of the vaccine, with a subsequent comparison with the post-vaccination period.

In conclusion, a monovalent rotavirus vaccine was demonstrated to be effective in preventing the hospitalizations and deaths of children that were presumably due to acute infectious diarrhea, without increasing the risk of intestinal intussusception.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES