Molecular characterization of group A rotavirus before and after the introduction of vaccines in Brazil
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: In this study, the molecular characteristics of group A rotavirus (RV A) were compared in samples obtained before and after RV A vaccine-introduction in Brazil. Methods: Eighty samples were screened for the presence of RV A. Positive samples were molecularly analyzed. Results: RV A positivity was 16.9%, with a predominance of G2P[4]. Periods: pre-vaccination: predominance of IId (G1), IId (G2) lineages, and I1 and E1 genotypes; post-vaccination: predominance of Ib (G1), IIa, and IIc (G2) lineages and I2 and E2 genotypes. Conclusions: Although changes in RV A-circulation pattern were observed in the post-vaccination period, it could not be attributed to vaccination process.
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Darmstadt, Germany) and by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE). Group A rotavirus-positive samples were genotyped for VP7 and VP4 by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR), using a published primer set and protocol\(^{(5)}\). VP6 and NSP4 gene amplification was performed as described previously\(^{(5)}\).

For genomic sequencing, PCR products were purified using the QiAquick\textsuperscript{®} PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and sequenced using the PCR primers. All samples were sequenced in an ABI 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The VP6 and NSP4 gene sequences with good-quality reads were aligned using Clustal X, and phylogenetic trees were constructed with MEGA6, using the neighbor-joining algorithm and a bootstrap value of 2,000 replicates.

Comparative analysis between the deduced amino acids sequences of the Rotarix\textsuperscript{®} strains [GenBank Accession Numbers: JN849114 (VP7), JX943613 (VP6), and JX943606 (NSP4)] and those in this study was performed using MEGA6 software. The sequences obtained were deposited as GenBank Accession Numbers KJ434169-KJ434173 (VP7), KJ394458-KJ394469 (VP6), and KJ206621-KJ206629 (NSP4).

Statistical data analysis was performed with Epi info software, version 3.5.4 (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA), using the chi-squared (\(\chi^2\)) test and Fisher’s exact test, when appropriate. Statistical significance was assessed at a \(p\) value of < 0.05.

The positivity rate observed for RVA by EIA and PAGE was 16.9% (11/65) for the samples obtained during 2008-2009. No statistical significances were observed between the positivity rates and gender (\(p = 0.7418\)), age (\(p = 0.5895\)), symptoms (\(p = 0.2416\)), or vaccination status (\(p = 0.6793\)), although a higher detection rate was detected among unvaccinated children (23.1%) compared to vaccinated children (15.4%). Of the 11 positive samples, 4 were characterized as G2P[4], 6 were G2PNT (not genotyped), and 1 was GNTP[9][11].

Table 1 shows that of the 6 archived samples obtained before the introduction of the vaccine in Brazil, 5 were characterized as G1P[8] and 1 was G2PNT. Of the 9 samples collected after the vaccination period, 1 was G2P[8], 1 was G1PNT, and 7 were G2P[4].

Of 26 positive samples subjected to genome sequencing, 16, 24, and 21 had sufficiently high-quality sequences for phylogenetic analysis of the VP7, VP6, and NSP4 genes, respectively. Phylogenetic analysis of the VP4 gene was not possible due to the poor quality of the sequences obtained. G1 and G2 samples obtained before introduction of the vaccine belonged to lineage IId. For samples obtained during the post-vaccination period, sample G1 was belonged to lineage Ib, and for the G2 samples, 5 were Ila and 6 were Ilc. Considering the VP6 gene, 5 samples from the pre-vaccination period belonged to genotype I1. For the samples obtained during the post-vaccination period, 2 were genotype I1 and 17 were genotype I2. For the NSP4 gene, it was observed that 5 samples from the pre-vaccination period belonged to the E1 genotype. For the samples obtained from the post-vaccination period, 2 samples were characterized as E1 genotypes, and 14 were characterized as E2.

Phylogenetic analysis showed that all sequences clustered closely, with an identity ranging from 95.4% to 100% (not shown). Comparative amino acid sequence analysis of VP7, VP6, and NSP4 with the corresponding sequences of the vaccine strain (Rotarix\textsuperscript{®}) showed no significant differences (not shown).

### TABLE 1 - Molecular profiles of 26 RVA samples (VP7, VP6, and NSP4 genes).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of sample collection</th>
<th>Total of samples</th>
<th>RT-PCR VP7/VP4 genotype</th>
<th>VP7 genotype</th>
<th>lineage/sublineage</th>
<th>VP6 genotype</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>NSP4 genotype</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-vaccination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>G2PNT</td>
<td>G2</td>
<td>IId</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>G1P[8]</td>
<td>G1</td>
<td>IId</td>
<td>I1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-vaccination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>G1PNT</td>
<td>G1</td>
<td>Ib</td>
<td>I1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>G2P[8]</td>
<td>G2</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>I1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>G2PNT</td>
<td>G2</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>I2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>G2P[4]</td>
<td>G2</td>
<td>IIc</td>
<td>I2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>G2PNT</td>
<td>G2</td>
<td>IIc</td>
<td>I2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>GNTP[9][11]</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>21*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RVA: group A rotavirus; RT-PCR: reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction; N: number of sequences with quality for analysis; NT: not typeable.

*Total number of samples that were sufficient quality for sequence analysis.
In this study, the positivity rate for RVA was 16.9%, which was lower than previously described\(^{(4)}\). Positivity for RVA was observed only in samples collected during 2008, with no positivity observed in samples collected during 2009. This decrease in RVA positivity in the post-vaccination period has been related to the introduction of the RVA vaccines in other studies\(^{(2)}\)\(^{(9)}\).

The predominance of the G1P[8] genotype was described before the introduction of RVA vaccines in Brazil\(^{(13)}\). In the present study, most RVA samples obtained in the post-vaccination period were G2P[4], which is in accordance with a study conducted in Brazil that showed a gradual increase in the detection of G2P[4] samples between 2006 and 2008\(^{(2)}\)\(^{(4)}\)\(^{(9)}\).

The predominance of G2 genotype in this period coincides with the introduction of the RVA vaccine in Brazil. Similar results have been observed in countries from Latin America that implemented RVA vaccines, as well as in countries that have not implemented these vaccines or have a low vaccination coverage\(^{(2)}\). Thus, the re-emergence of the G2 genotype cannot be attributed to vaccine pressure since a cyclic pattern of distinct RVA strains has also been observed by others\(^{(2)}\)\(^{(3)}\).

Phylogenetic analysis of the VP7 gene showed that the occurrence of intra-genotypic RVA variability with several variants was closely associated with Brazilian samples obtained in both the pre- and post-vaccination periods.

For G1 genotype samples, the occurrence of lineages IId in the pre-vaccination period and Ib in the post-vaccination period for G1 samples was observed. The circulation of G1 lineages I and II has been previously reported in samples obtained during the pre-vaccination period in Brazil, highlighting the genetic diversity of this genotype\(^{(10)}\). However, samples obtained in a recent Brazilian study before and after the beginning of RVA vaccination showed that, although there is great genetic variability in the VP7 gene, such variability has not resulted in diversity in the antigenic sites of this protein\(^{(11)}\).

For G2 samples, the occurrence of lineages IId (pre-vaccination period), as well as IId and Iic (post-vaccination period) was observed. This pattern was also observed in the northern region of Brazil\(^{(12)}\). This alternating pattern may be associated with drifts over time, resulting from natural selection rather than a vaccine-induced, selective-pressure phenomenon.

The VP6 and NSP4 genes were detected in all samples from the pre-vaccination period and were characterized as I1 and E1 genotypes, respectively. In contrast, the samples obtained during the post-vaccination period were predominantly of genotypes I2 and E2. All sequences showed a high identity with Brazilian samples obtained before and after the beginning of RVA vaccination. This pattern of circulation of the VP6 and NSP4 genotypes has been also observed in other studies conducted in Brazil\(^{(13)}\)\(^{(14)}\).

Analysis considering the VP7, VP4, VP6, and NSP4 genes showed the occurrence of an association G1-P[8]-I1-E1 in the pre-vaccination period with the predominance of G2-P[4]-I2-E2 in the post-vaccination period. These data corroborate previous studies conducted in Brazil before and after the introduction of RVA vaccines\(^{(13)}\)\(^{(14)}\).

In this study, the occurrence of 1 G2-P[8]-I1-E1 sample was observed, which was collected 3 months after the introduction of the RVA vaccine in Brazil. Sample G2P[8] was also described in a previous study, the authors of which suggested that G2P[8] could be a reassortment between G1P[8] and G2P[4], arising from the co-circulation of these samples\(^{(15)}\).

One P[9]P[11] sample was detected, which was interesting because both genotypes are usually detected in animals. Furthermore, the occurrence of unusual RVA genotypes have also been reported for studies conducted in Brazil in the post-vaccination period, which appeared to be RVA transmission interspecies\(^{(9)}\).

We also conducted a comparative analysis of the sequences of the Rotarix® vaccine genes with our samples obtained from the pre- and post-vaccination periods, and no significant differences were observed in the corresponding amino acid sequences.

A limitation of this study was the small number of fecal samples that were analyzed. Considering the period from 2008 to 2009, this could be partially attributed to the efficacy of vaccination that resulted in a decreased number of gastroenteritis cases\(^{(2)}\)\(^{(8)}\). This possibility is reinforced by the fact that sample collection was performed in 2 referral hospitals for pediatric care. The reason for the small number of pre-vaccination samples used in the study is that they were archived samples, and a relatively low quantity of material was available for analysis. Another limitation of this study is related to the phylogenetic analysis of the VP4 gene, which could not be performed due to the quality of the sequences obtained.

In conclusion, we suggest that the data presented in this study reflects change in the RVA circulation patterns in relation to the VP7, VP4, VP6, and NSP4 genes, from samples obtained in the pre and post-vaccination periods. However, these changes could not be directly attributed to RVA vaccination, due to natural fluctuations of these genotypes that occur naturally over time. However, the findings presented here reinforce the importance of continuous monitoring of RVA samples when evaluating the role of vaccination in genotype-pattern changes in RVAs.
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