# Revista de Saúde Pública

http://www.rsp.fsp.usp.br/

# Factors associated with HIV/syphilis co-infection initiating of antiretroviral therapy

Luana Andrade Simões<sup>1</sup> (D), Jullye Campos Mendes<sup>1</sup> (D), Micheline Rosa Silveira<sup>11</sup> (D), André Moura Gomes da Costa<sup>111</sup> (D), Mariana Dias Lula<sup>11</sup> (D), Maria das Graças Braga Ceccato<sup>11</sup> (D)

- <sup>1</sup> Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais. Faculdade de Farmácia. Programa de Pós-Graduação em Medicamentos e Assistência Farmacêutica. Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil
- Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais. Faculdade de Farmácia. Departamento de Farmácia Social. Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil
- Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais. Faculdade de Engenharia. Programa de Pós-Graduação em Engenharia Elétrica. Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil

### ABSTRACT

**OBJECTIVE:** To evaluate the prevalence and factors associated with HIV/syphilis co-infection in people initiating antiretroviral therapy in Belo Horizonte, capital of the state of Minas Gerais.

**METHODS:** A sectional section of a prospective cohort study was carried out with people living with HIV, treatment-naive, initiating antiretroviral therapy, older than 16 years, and in follow-up treatment at specialized HIV/Aids care services in Belo Horizonte. Sociodemographic, behavioral, clinical, laboratory and pharmacological treatment-related data were obtained through interviews, medical records, and information systems for logistical control of antiretroviral medications and laboratory tests. The dependent variable was the first episode of active syphilis, recorded by the physician in clinical records, within 12 months after beginning of the antiretroviral therapy. Factors associated with HIV/syphilis co-infection were assessed using binary multiple logistic regression.

**RESULTS:** Among the 459 individuals included, a prevalence of 19.5% (n = 90) of sexually transmitted infections (STI) was observed, with syphilis (n = 49) being the most frequent STI in these individuals. The prevalence of HIV/syphilis co-infection was 10.6% (n = 49), and the associated independent factors were alcohol use (OR = 2.30; 95%CI: 1.01–5.26), and having a diagnosis of other sexually transmitted infections (OR = 3.33; 95%CI: 1.24–8.95).

**CONCLUSIONS:** There was a high prevalence of HIV/syphilis co-infection in people living with HIV initiating antiretroviral therapy in Belo Horizonte. HIV/syphilis co-infection was associated with behavioral and clinical factors, such as alcohol use and diagnosis of other sexually transmitted infections. Prior knowledge about the factors associated with this co-infection may support the decisions of health professionals engaged in the care to people living with HIV, with regard to timely diagnosis, guidance, follow-up and adequate treatment, both for syphilis and HIV.

**DESCRIPTORS:** HIV Infections. Syphilis. Coinfection, epidemiology. Risk Factors. Antiretroviral Therapy, Highly Active. Cross-Sectional Studies.

#### **Correspondence:**

Luana Andrade Simões Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais Av. Presidente Antônio Carlos, nº 6.627, sala 1.023-B2 31270-901 Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil E-mail: lu.unife@gmail.com

**Received:** May 27, 2021 **Approved:** Aug 10, 2021

How to cite: Simões LA, Ceccato MGB, Silveira MR, Mendes JC, Lula MD, Costa AMG. Factors associated with HIV/syphilis co-infection initiating of antiretroviral therapy. Rev Saude Publica. 2022;56:59. https://doi.org/10.11606/s1518-8787.2022056003904

**Copyright:** This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original author and source are credited.



# **INTRODUCTION**

Sexually transmitted infections (STI) are considered one of the major public health problems in Brazil and worldwide. Their prevention and control entail individual and public benefits, including the decrease in risks of transmission of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)<sup>1</sup>.

STIs reach a high rate among sexually active people, and occur silently thus contributing to their dissemination. The syphilis, caused by the etiologic agent *Treponema pallidum*, and HIV infection<sup>2,3</sup> have the most common transmission routes, and social determinants.

*T. pallidum* infection can increase the viral load and decrease the number of TCD4+ lymphocytes, resulting in increased morbidity and mortality in people living with HIV. Moreover, the presence of HIV may affect the transmission of syphilis, its clinical course, response to treatment, and may change its diagnosis<sup>4</sup>.

Some Brazilian studies found that factors associated with HIV/syphilis co-infection were age, marital status, male gender, low education, multiple partners, presence of STIs, irregular use of condoms, men who have sex with men (MSM), among others<sup>5–9</sup>. In international studies, the associated factors were male gender, migrants, low education, age, multiple partners, irregular condom use, MSM, illicit drug use, presence of STIs, among others<sup>10–12</sup>.

This study aimed to assess the prevalence of HIV/syphilis co-infection in HIV-positive individuals at the beginning of antiretroviral therapy (ART) in Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil, and to identify factors associated with HIV/syphilis co-infection.

### **METHODS**

We carried out a cross-sectional study of a prospective cohort, called Project ECOART *"Efetividade da terapia antirretroviral em pessoas vivendo com HIV/tuberculose, HIV/hanseníase ou HIV/leishmaniose visceral no Brasil"* (Effectiveness of antiretroviral therapy in people living with HIV/tuberculosis, HIV/leprosy, or HIV/visceral leishmaniasis in Brazil). The project was approved by the research ethics committee of the *Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais* (protocol CAAE 31192914.3.3001.5124, opinion CEP 769.085) and of the participating services. Research was conducted in compliance with the instructions of Resolution 466/2012 by the National Health Council.

Sample selection was non-randomized, as all eligible individuals were invited to participate in the study. Recruitment occurred between September 2015 and October 2017.

The study was carried out at three specialized care services (*Serviços de Assistência Especializada*, SAE) in HIV/Aids of the Unified Health System (SUS). SAE I is an outpatient clinic of a state reference hospital for the treatment of infectious diseases and health dermatology; SAE II is a testing and counseling center (*Centro de Testagem e Aconselhamento*, CTA); SAE III is a reference SAE for the care of infectious and parasitic diseases.

The eligibility criteria were: individuals of both genders, aged 16 years or older, diagnosed with HIV regardless of the time of diagnosis and the clinical condition of the individual, starting ART (from zero to six months of treatment), without previous pharmacological treatment of HIV infection, and who were being followed-up at one of the three selected services. All participants agreed to participate in the study and signed the informed consent form.

The dependent variable was the first episode of active syphilis recorded by the physician in the medical record within 12 months after initiation of ART.

The independent variables related to sociodemographic, behavioral, clinical, laboratory, and pharmacological treatment information were obtained through face-to-face interviews, data collection from clinical records, the Logistic Medication Control System (*Sistema de Controle Logístico de Medicamentos*, Siclom), and the National CD4+/CD8+ Lymphocyte Count and HIV Viral Load Network Laboratory Test Control System (*Sistema de Controle de Exames Laboratoriais da Rede Nacional de Contagem de Linfócitos* CD4+/CD8+ *e Carga Viral do HIV*, Siscel).

The age of individuals was stratified into age groups for descriptive analysis, and as a continuous variable in logistic regression. The variable self-reported color or ethnicity was stratified into white, black, yellow, brown, or indigenous. Marital status was dichotomized into single/divorced/widowed or married/common-law marriage. Education was categorized as up to 9 years, 10 to 12 years, and 13 years or more of formal education for descriptive analysis, and in two categories for logistic regression (up to 9 years, 10 years or more). We also investigated the existence of children, whether or not they have a job, their own income, private health insurance, place of residence, and economic class.

The economic class variable was evaluated according to Brazilian criteria, such as high (A-B), intermediate (C) and low (D-E). Here, individuals are classified by socioeconomic groups according to possession of comfort items, and the family head's level of education. For analysis, the variable was categorized into high (A-B) and intermediate-low (C-D-E).

In the evaluation of behavioral variables and lifestyle habits, we analyzed the existence of a fixed sexual partner within 12 months after starting ART, alcohol use in the month before the baseline interview, tobacco use at the time of the interview, use of illicit drugs ever in life (marijuana, cocaine, crack, and others, such as ecstasy and glue sniffing), and condom use in the last month and during the last sexual intercourse.

We analyzed the average time of HIV diagnosis by self-report, the initial and final clinical classification of the individual - categorized as A (asymptomatic), B (symptomatic), and C (Aids-defining clinical condition), according to the criteria of the adapted Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)<sup>13</sup>, the presence of comorbidities, presence of other previous and current STIs, presence of mucous lesions (oral, genital, or anal), viral load, and TCD4 lymphocyte count, according to the Siscel data, mean duration of ART, and treatment regimens, according to the Siclom data. Treatment regimens were categorized as tenofovir/lamivudine/efavirenz (TLE), tenofovir/lamivudine/dolutegravir (TLD), and other (any other antiretroviral regimen). Non-adherence was assessed by self-report, using the question "Have you missed the medication in the last 15 days (yes; no)?"

Descriptive analysis was carried out using frequency distribution for categorical variables, and measures of central tendency and variability for continuous variables. Pearson's chi-square or Fischer's exact test was used to compare proportions between categorical variables, and the T-test was used to compare means between continuous variables. The multiple binary logistic regression model was used to assess factors associated with HIV/ syphilis co-infection.

All variables were subjected to collinearity tests. The results of logistic regression were presented by odds ratio (OR), 95% confidence interval (95%CI), and p value. Variables that showed p value of 0.20 or less in the bivariate analysis were included in the multivariate model. The stepwise backward conditional method was used to obtain the final model. The Hosmer-Lemeshow test and the area under the Receiver Operating Characteristics (ROC) curve were used to verify the model fit. Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software, version 22.0, and R Studio, version 4.0.2. All analyses were performed with a 5% significance level.

### **RESULTS**

A total of 459 individuals were enrolled in the study (Figure 1). Among the sociodemographic characteristics of the study general population, it was observed that 81.5% of the individuals were male, with a mean age of 34.7 years (SD = 10.9), and a predominance of the age range of 20 to 34 years (53.4%). Most individuals were single, divorced, or widowed (79.7%), and had 10 years or more of formal education (74.3%). Regarding behavioral characteristics, 27.5% of the individuals used tobacco at the time of the interview, 64.1% used alcohol in any quantity in the month before the interview, 48.1% used illicit drugs at some time in their lives. As for the potential source of HIV infection, more than half (51%) were MSM.

Among the participants, 25.5% reported not using condoms in the last month, and 21.4% in the last sexual intercourse. Clinical classification A (asymptomatic) was observed in 63.4% of individuals at the first visit, and in 80.8% at the last visit. At the beginning of treatment, most participants (88.9%) had detectable viral load and, at the end of 12 months of ART, only 6.8% had detectable viral load. The percentage of missing data was 30.5%. At the beginning of ART, we observed that 26.1% of the individuals had TCD4 lymphocyte counts below 200 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>, and 20.9% started treatment with clinical conditions indicative of Aids. Regarding treatment-related characteristics, the mean time to ART initiation was 78.5 days (SD = 58.97); 63.4% of individuals were using the TDF/3TC/EFV regimen; 14.8% reported non-adherence to treatment; and, 70.8% had been diagnosed with HIV for more than three months (Table 1).

We observed a 19.6% (n = 90) prevalence of STIs registered on the medical record, with more than half of the records being syphilis (n = 49). Among the 41 individuals diagnosed with STIs other than syphilis, we found 9.4% (n = 20) of condyloma and genital warts (anal, vaginal, perianal); 5.6% (n = 12) of genital herpes; 5.6% (n = 12) of hepatitis B and C; and 1.4% (n = 3) of other STIs (gonorrhea/trichomoniasis/unspecified STI). Other oral, anal or genital mucous lesions were also recorded (5%), described as penile



Figure. Diagram of the individuals included in the study.

**Table 1.** Sociodemographic, clinical, behavioral characteristics, life habits, and treatment of PLHIV, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, 2015–2018 (n = 459).

	Tatal						
Characteristics	n =	459	Yes n = 49		No n = 410		р
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
	Socio	demographic					
Sex							0.018ª
Male	374	81.5	46	93.9	328	80.0	
Female	85	18.5	3	6.1	82	20.0	
Age (years)							0.573
16–19	18	3.9	1	2.0	17	4.1	
20–34	245	53.4	26	53.1	219	53.4	
35–49	145	31.6	14	28.6	131	32.0	
≥ 50	51	11.1	8	16.3	43	10.5	
Color/ethnicity							0.874ª
Brown	222	48.4	21	42.9	201	49.0	
White	108	23.5	13	26.5	95	23.2	
Black	106	23.1	14	28.6	92	22.4	
Yellow	15	3.3	1	2.0	14	3.4	
Indigenous	3	0.7	0	0.0	3	0.7	
Missing data	5	1.1	0	0.0	5	1.2	
Marital status							0.271
Single/divorced/widowed	366	79.7	42	85.7	324	79.0	
Married/commonwealth marriage	93	20.3	7	14.3	86	21.0	
Children							0.297
Yes	162	35.3	14	28.6	148	36.1	
No	297	64.7	35	71.4	262	63.9	
Formal education (years)							0.043ª
≤ 9	117	25.5	11	22.4	106	25.9	
10–12	178	38.8	12	24.5	166	40.5	
≥ 13	163	35.5	26	53.1	137	33.4	
Missing data	1	0.2	0	0.0	1	0.2	
Job							0.694
Yes	269	58.6	30	61.2	239	58.3	
No	190	41.4	19	38.8	171	41.7	
Own income							0.087ª
Yes	373	81.3	41	83.7	332	81.0	
No	85	18.5	7	14.3	78	19.0	
Missing data	1	0.2	1	2.0	0	0.0	
Economic class							0.306ª
High (A-B)	162	35.3	20	40.8	142	34.6	
Intermediate (C)	212	46.2	23	46.9	189	46.1	
Low (D-E)	73	15.9	4	8.2	69	16.8	
Missing data	12	2.6	2	4.1	10	2.4	
Private health plan							0.511
Yes	121	26.4	11	22.4	110	26.8	
No	338	73.6	38	77.6	300	73.2	

Continue

Table 1. Sociodemographic, clinical, behavioral characteris       Continuation	stics, life habit	ts, and treatme	ent of PLHIV	, Belo Horizor	nte, Minas Ge	erais, 2015–20	018 (n = 459).
Place of residence							0.656ª
Belo Horizonte	404	88.0	44	89.8	360	87.8	
Belo Horizonte Metropolitan Area	49	10.7	4	8.2	45	11.0	
Other municipalities	6	1.3	1	2.0	5	1.2	
	Behavior	al and life hal	oits				
Fixed sexual partner within 12 months after ART							0.619ª
Yes	218	47.5	22	44.9	196	47.8	
No	187	40.7	23	46.9	164	40.0	
Missing data	54	11.8	4	8.2	50	12.2	
Use of alcohol in the month before the interview							0.435ª
Yes	294	64.1	36	73.5	258	62.9	
No	162	35.3	13	26.5	149	36.3	
Missing data	3	0.7	0	0.0	3	0.7	
Current use of tobacco							0.600
Yes	126	27.5	15	30.6	111	27.1	
No	333	72.5	34	69.4	299	72.9	
Use of illicit drugs in life ever							0.493ª
Yes	221	48.1	27	55.1	194	47.3	
No	236	51.4	22	44.9	214	52.2	
Missing data	2	0.4	0	0.0	2	0.5	
Condom use in the last month							0.156
Yes	248	54.0	31	63.3	217	52.9	
No	117	25.5	13	26.5	104	25.4	
Missing data	94	20.5	5	10.2	89	21.7	
Condom use in the last sexual intercourse							0.383ª
Yes	343	74.7	41	83.7	302	73.7	
No	98	21.4	7	14.3	91	22.2	
Missing data	18	3.9	1	2.0	17	4.1	
Source of HIV infection exposure category							0.025ª
Men (MSM)	234	51.0	34	69.4	200	48.8	
Men (non MSM)/women	168	36.6	12	24.5	156	38.0	
Missing data	57	12.4	3	6.1	54	13.2	
		Clinical					
Clinical classification at the first visit							0.907ª
Aids conditions (C)	96	20.9	11	22.4	85	20.7	
Asymptomatic (A)	291	63.4	30	61.2	261	63.7	
Symptomatic (B)	67	14.6	8	16.3	59	14.4	
Missing data	5	1.1	0	0.0	5	1.2	
Clinical classification at the last visit							0.351ª
Aids conditions (C)	35	7.6	5	10.2	30	7.3	
Asymptomatic (A)	371	80.8	42	85.7	329	80.2	
Symptomatic (B)	16	3.5	0	0.0	16	3.9	
Missing data	37	8.1	2	4.1	35	8.5	
Comorbidities							0.951ª
Yes	172	37.5	18	36.7	154	37.6	
No	279	60.8	31	63.3	248	60.5	

Missing data	8	1.7	0	0.0	8	2.0	
							Continue
Table 1. Sociodemographic, clinical, behavioral characteristic       Continuation	cs, life habits	s, and treatme	nt of PLHIV,	, Belo Horizont	e, Minas C	Gerais, 2015–201	8 (n = 459).
Other STIs (except syphilis)							0.021
Yes	41	8.9	9	18.4	32	7.8	
No	418	91.1	40	81.6	378	92.2	
Mucosal lesions							0.086ª
Yes	23	5.0	5	10.2	18	4.4	
No	436	95.0	44	89.8	392	95.6	
History of STI							0.204
Yes	134	29.2	16	32.7	118	28.8	
No	124	27.0	9	18.4	115	28.0	
Missing data	201	43.8	24	49.0	177	43.2	
	La	boratory					
Viral load at start of ART (copies/ml)							0.812ª
≤ 100 thousand	305	66.4	31	63.3	274	66.8	
> 100 thousand	114	24.8	14	28.6	100	24.4	
Missing data	40	8.7	4	8.2	36	8.8	
Viral load at start of ART							0.194ª
Undetectable	11	2.4	3	6.1	8	2.0	
Detectable	408	88.9	42	85.7	366	89.3	
Missing data	40	8.7	4	8.2	36	8.8	
Viral load after 12 months of ART (copies/ml)							0.211ª
≤ 100 thousand	316	68.8	39	79.6	277	67.6	
> 100 thousand	3	0.7	0	0.0	3	0.7	
Missing data	140	30.5	10	20.4	130	31.7	
Viral load after 12 months of ART							0.203
Undetectable	288	62.7	34	69.4	254	62.0	
Detectable	31	6.8	5	10.2	26	6.3	
Missing data	140	30.5	10	20.4	130	31.7	
TCD4 lymphocytes at start of ART (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )							0.274ª
< 200	120	26.1	12	24.5	108	26.3	
200–500	165	35.9	13	26.5	152	37.1	
> 500	134	29.2	20	40.8	114	27.8	
Missing data	40	8.7	4	8.2	36	8.8	
TCD4 lymphocytes after 12 months of ART (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )							0.998
< 200	27	5.9	3	6.1	24	5.9	
200–500	139	30.3	15	30.6	124	30.2	
> 500	155	33.8	16	32.7	139	33.9	
Missing data	138	30.1	15	30.6	123	30.0	
	Drug	g treatment					
Mean time of HIV diagnosis (month)	15.3	SD = 32.1	8.5	SD = 13.4	16.1	SD = 33.5	0.004 <sup>b</sup>
Time of HIV diagnosis (month)							0.018ª
≤ 3	131	28.5	21	42.9	110	26.8	
> 3	325	70.8	27	55.1	298	72.7	
Missing data	3	0.7	1	2.0	2	0.5	

Car	+:	
COL	IUI	ıu

e

Table 1. Sociodemographic,	clinical,	behavioral (	characteristics,	life habits,	and treatmen	t of PLHIV	, Belo	Horizonte,	Minas Gerais	, 2015–2	2018 (n =	= 459).
Continuation												

Average time of ART treatment (days)	78.6	SD = 59.0	62.8	SD = 55.2	80.4	SD = 59.2	0.048 <sup>b</sup>
Therapeutic regimen in use							0.085ª
TLE	291	63.4	38	77.6	253	61.7	
TLD	142	30.9	9	18.4	133	32.4	
Other regimens	26	5.7	2	4.1	24	5.9	
Non-adherence to ART in the last 15 days							0.339
Yes	68	14.8	9	18.4	59	14.4	
No	362	78.9	35	71.4	327	79.8	
Missing data	29	6.3	5	10.2	24	5.9	

PLHIV: people living with HIV; MSM: men who have sex with men; STI: sexually transmitted infections; TLE: tenofovir/lamivudine/efavirenz; TLD: tenofovir/lamivudine/dolutegravir; ART: antiretroviral therapy; SD: standard deviation.

<sup>a</sup> Fischer's exact test.

<sup>b</sup>T-test for comparison of means.

lesion, erythematous itchy penis lesion, anal lesion, and genital lesion (data not shown in table).

The prevalence of HIV/syphilis co-infected individuals in this study was 10.6% (n = 49). As for the clinical characteristics of these co-infected individuals, it was observed that most had unspecified syphilis (45%), followed by latent and late latent syphilis (26.6%), secondary (14.3%), tertiary (neurosyphilis and uveitis) (12.1%), and primary (primary genital) syphilis (2%). The mean time of the first syphilis episode after initiation of ART was 115.06 days (SD = 121.26), and the median was 53 days. The test reported in the registry was Venereal Disease Research Laboratory (VDRL), with 42.9% reagent results, and 55.1% had no test record. Penicillin G Benzathine was prescribed for 85.7% of the individuals being treated for syphilis, and 4.1% used other drugs such as doxycycline and ceftriaxone. We also observed 18.4% (n = 9) of other STIs, additionally to the co-infection, being these condyloma and genital warts, hepatitis B and C, and others (gonorrhea/trichomoniasis/ unspecified STIs). It was also observed that 10.2% had some record of lesions in oral, anal or genital mucosa (data not shown in the table).

As shown in Table 1, most co-infected individuals were male (93.9%), aged 20 to 34 years (53.1%), brown or black (71.5%), divorced/single/widowed (85.7%). As for behavioral characteristics, most were MSM (69.4%), had no fixed sexual partner (46.9%), and used alcohol in the month before the baseline interview (73.5%). As for condom use, 26.5% reported not having used in the last month, and 14.3% did not use in the last sexual intercourse. There were differences between the groups with and without co-infection for the variables sex (p = 0.018), formal education (p = 0.043), source of HIV infection (p = 0.025), diagnosis of other STIs (p = 0.021), mean time of HIV diagnosis (p = 0.004), and mean time of antiretroviral treatment (p = 0.048) (Table 1).

The characteristics significantly associated with a higher chance of having HIV/syphilis co-infection in the bivariate analysis were male sex, being MSM, and having a diagnosis of other STIs. The characteristics associated with a lower chance of HIV/syphilis co-infection were longer duration of ART, and use of the TLD antiretroviral regimen (Table 2).

In the multivariate analysis (Table 3), the independent characteristics associated with a higher chance of co-infection were having been diagnosed with other STIs (OR = 3.33; 95%CI: 1.24–8.95), and alcohol use in the month before the interview (OR = 2.30; 95%CI: 1.01–5.26). The variables sex and length of antiretroviral treatment remained in the final model, but did not report statistical significance.

Characteristics	<b>n</b> (%) <sup>a</sup>	OR (95%CI)	р
So	ciodemographic		
Sex			
Male	374 (81.5)	3.83 (1.16–12.64)	0.027
Female	85 (18.5)	1.00	
Age (years)	459 (100)	1.01 (0.98–1.03)	0.674
Color/ethnicity			
Brown/black	328 (72.2)	0.96 (0.50-1.84)	0.892
White/yellow/indigenous	126 (27.8)	1.00	
Marital status			
Single/divorced/widowed	366 (79.7)	1.59 (0.69–3.67)	0.275
Married/commonwealth marriage	93 (20.3)	1.00	
Children			
Yes	162 (35.3)	1.00	
No	297 (64.7)	1.41 (0.74–2.71)	0.299
Formal education (years)			
≤ 9	117 (25.5)	0.83 (0.41–1.68)	0.599
≥ 10	341 (74.5)	1.00	
ob			
Yes	269 (58.6)	1.00	
No	190 (41.4)	0.89 (0.48–1.63)	0.694
Own income	· · ·	х , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Yes	373 (81.4)	1.00	
No	85 (18.6)	0.73 (0.31–1.68)	0.456
Economic class			
High (A-B)	162 (36.2)	1.00	
Intermediate-low (C-D-F)	285 (63.8)	0.74 (0.40–1.37)	0.343
Private health plan	_ = = = (== = = ;		
Yes	121 (26.4)	1.00	
No	338 (73.6)	1.27 (0.63–2.57)	0.511
Place of residence	556 (7516)	1127 (0103 2107)	01011
Metropolitan region/other municipalities	55 (12 0)	1.00	
Belo Horizonte	404 (88.0)	1 22 (0 46-3 23)	0.685
Baha	vioral and life habits	1.22 (0.40-5.25)	0.005
Eixed sexual partner within 12 months after ART			
Yes	218 (47 5)	1.00	
No	187 (40.7)	1 25 (0 67-2 32)	0.482
Ise of alcohol in the month before the interview	107 (40.7)	1.23 (0.07-2.32)	0.402
	204 (64 E)	1 60 (0 82 2 11)	0 167
No	294 (04.3) 162 (25.5)	1.00 (0.02-3.11)	0.16/
INU Current use of tobasse	162 (33.3)	1.00	
	10( /07 5)	1 10 (0 62 2 27)	0.000
ies	126 (27.5)	1.19 (0.62-2.27)	0.600
	333 (72.5)	1.00	
Jse of illicit drugs in life ever			
Yes	221 (48.4)	1.35 (0.75–2.46)	0.319
No	236 (51.6)	1.00	
Condom use in the last month			
Yes	248 (67.9)	1.00	
No	117 (32.1)	0.88 (0.44-1.74)	0.704

Table 2. Bivariate analysis of factors associated with HIV/syphilis coinfection. Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, 2015–2018

Continue

Condom use in the last sexual intercourse     343 (77.8)     1.00       Yes     343 (77.8)     1.00       No     98 (22.2)     0.57 (0.25-1.31)     0.14       Source of HIV infection - exposure category     1.00     0.01       Men (MSM)     234 (51.0)     2.21 (1.11-4.41)     0.00       Men (non MSM)/women     168 (36.6)     1.00     0.01       Clinical classification at the first visit     1.00     0.54 (3.25)     0.68       Clinical classification at the first visit     356 (7.9)     1.09 (0.54-2.22)     0.68       Clinical classification at the last visit     367 (9.1)     0.70 (0.27-1.98)     0.68       Clinical classification at the last visit     367 (9.1)     0.91 (0.51-1.73)     0.68       Comorbidities     72 (36.1)     0.94 (0.51-1.73)     0.68       No     367 (9.1)     0.94 (0.51-1.73)     0.68       No     172 (38.1)     0.94 (0.51-1.73)     0.68       No     206 (1.19-5.96)     0.00     No     418 (9.1)     1.00       Wes     21 (20.61)     1.00     1.00     1.00     1.00	<b>Table 2.</b> Bivariate analysis of factors associated with HIV/syp       Continuation	hilis coinfection, Belo H	orizonte, Minas Gerais, 2015	5–2018 (n = 459
Yes343 (77.8)1.00No96 (37.0.25-1.31)0.11No96 (32.2)0.57 (0.25-1.31)0.01Men (MSM)234 (51.0)2.21 (1.11-4.41)0.00Men (non MSM/women168 (36.6)1.00100Clinical classification at the first visitAids conditions (C)96 (21.1)1.001.00No Aids (A8)387 (9.1)1.09 (0.54-2.22)0.83Clinical classification at the last visit387 (9.1)0.31 (0.27-1.98)0.53No Aids (A8)387 (9.1)0.93 (0.27-1.98)0.53Comorbidities122 (36.1)0.94 (0.51-1.73)0.63No Aids (A.8)372 (9.1)0.94 (0.51-1.73)0.63No Aids (A.8)122 (36.1)0.94 (0.51-1.73)0.63No Aids (A.8)1.001.000.01No Aids (A.8)1.000.010.01No Aids (A.8)1.000.010.01No Aids (A8.9)1.000.010.01No Aids (A8.9)1.000.010.01No Aids (A8.9)1.000.010.01No Aids (A8.1)1.000.010.01No Aids (A8.1)1.000.010.01No Aids (A8.1)1.000.010.01No Aids (A8.1)1.000.010.01No Aids (A8.1)1.000.010.01No Aids (A8.1)1.000.010.01No Aids (A8.1)1.000.010.01Vial Load att tof ART1.021.00 <td< th=""><th>Condom use in the last sexual intercourse</th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>	Condom use in the last sexual intercourse			
No98 (22.2)0.57 (0.25-1.31)0.14Source OHIV Infection - exposure category0.057 (0.25-1.31)0.01Men (non MSMWoomen168 (36.6)1.000.02Men (non MSMWoomen168 (36.6)1.000.02Clinical classification at the first visit1.000.05 (0.21,11)0.00Aids conditions (C)96 (21,11)1.000.05No Aids (A-B)358 (78.9)1.09 (0.54-2.22)0.88Clinical classification at the last visit0.73 (0.27-1.98)0.65No Aids (A-B)387 (91.7)0.73 (0.27-1.98)0.65Comorbidities0.010.73 (0.27-1.98)0.65Comorbidities0.010.73 (0.27-1.98)0.65No Aids (A-B)387 (91.7)0.73 (0.27-1.98)0.65Comorbidities0.010.010.01No Aids (A-B)387 (91.7)0.73 (0.27-1.98)0.60No Aids (A-B)2.72 (38.1)0.94 (0.51-1.73)0.65No Comorbidities0.010.010.01No148 (8.9)2.66 (1.19-5.96)0.00No436 (95.0)1.000.01No436 (95.0)1.000.01No436 (95.0)1.000.01No143 (93.0)0.248 (0.88-9)0.01No143 (93.0)0.70 (0.25-1.93)0.41Yes23 (5.0)1.000.010.01Undecetable116 (88 (62.7)0.70 (0.34-1.75)0.53No145 (1.39)0.77 (0.34-1.75)0.53 <td>Yes</td> <td>343 (77.8)</td> <td>1.00</td> <td></td>	Yes	343 (77.8)	1.00	
Source of HIV infection - exposure category     234 (51.0)     2.21 (1.11-4.1)     0.0.0       Men (non MSM)/women     1668 (36.6)     1.00     0.0.0       Clinical classification at the first visit	No	98 (22.2)	0.57 (0.25–1.31)	0.182
Men (MSM)234 (51.0)2.21 (1.11-4.41)0.00Men (MSM)/women168 (36.6)1.00Clinical Classification at the first visitAids conditions (C)96 (21.1)1.00Na Aids (A.B)358 (78.9)1.09 (0.54-2.22)0.88Clinical classification at the first visit358 (78.9)1.0050Na Aids (A.B)358 (78.9)0.030.0050No Aids (A.B)378 (91.7)0.73 (0.27-198)0.55Comorbidities990.000.000.000.00Ves172 (38.1)0.000.000.000.00Other STIs (except syphilis)0.00418 (91.1)1.000.00Wes2.3 (5.0)2.48 (0.88-6.99)0.00No418 (95.0)1.000.00No418 (95.0)1.000.00Undetectable1.011.001.00Undetectable1.021.001.00Other String	Source of HIV infection - exposure category			
Men (mon MSM)/women     168 (36.6)     1.00       Clinical     Clinical       Clinical classification at the first visit     1.00     No Aids (A-B)     358 (78.9)     1.09 (0.54-2.22)     0.8       Clinical classification at the last visit     358 (78.9)     1.00 (0.54-2.22)     0.8       Clinical classification at the last visit     358 (78.9)     1.00 (0.54-2.22)     0.8       No Aids (A-B)     358 (78.9)     1.00 (0.54-2.22)     0.8       No Aids (A-B)     358 (78.9)     1.00 (0.57-1.98)     0.5       Comorbidities     1.00     1.00     0.8       Ober STIs (except syphilis)     1.00     0.00     0.00       No     418 (91.1)     1.00     0.00       No     436 (95.0)     1.00     0.00       No     436 (95.0)     1.00     0.00       Viral load at start of ART     1.10.4     3.27 (0.84-12.79)     0.00       Undetectable     11 (6.8)     1.00     0.00       Viral load after 12 months of ART     2.88 (6.2.7)     0.70 (0.2.5-1.93)     0.44       CD4 start of ART (cells/mm*) <td< td=""><td>Men (MSM)</td><td>234 (51.0)</td><td>2.21 (1.11–4.41)</td><td>0.024</td></td<>	Men (MSM)	234 (51.0)	2.21 (1.11–4.41)	0.024
Clinical       Clinical classification at the first visit	Men (non MSM)/women	168 (36.6)	1.00	
Clinical classification at the first visit   1.00     Aids conditions (C)   96 (21.1)   1.00 (0.54-2.22)   0.8     Clinical classification at the last visit   358 (78.9)   1.09 (0.54-2.22)   0.8     Clinical classification at the last visit   358 (78.9)   1.09 (0.54-2.22)   0.8     Clinical classification at the last visit   357 (91.7)   0.73 (0.27-1.98)   0.5     Comorbidities   279 (61.9)   1.00   0.8     No   279 (61.9)   1.00   0.00     Other STIs (except syphilis)   1.00   0.00   0.00     No   418 (91.1)   1.00   0.00     No   436 (95.0)   1.00   0.00     No   436 (95.0)   1.00   0.00     No   436 (95.0)   1.00   0.00     Viral load at start of ART   0.00   0.00   0.00     Undetectable   11 (2.4)   3.27 (0.84-12.79)   0.00     Viral load after 12 mont		Clinical		
Aids conditions (C)   96 (21.1)   1.00     No Aids (A-B)   358 (78.9)   1.09 (0.54-2.22)   0.8     Clinical classification at the last visit   358 (78.9)   1.00   0.8     Aids conditions (C)   358 (78.9)   1.00   0.8     No Aids (A-B)   387 (91.7)   0.73 (0.27-1.98)   0.5     Comorbidities   172 (38.1)   0.94 (0.51-1.73)   0.8     No   279 (61.9)   1.00   0.0     No   276 (71.9)   0.73 (0.27-1.98)   0.0     Other STIs (except syphilis)   1.00   0.0   0.8     Yes   172 (38.1)   0.94 (0.51-1.73)   0.8     No   2.05 (1.19-5.96)   0.0   0.0     No   418 (91.1)   1.00   0.00     No   418 (91.1)   1.00   0.0     Muccal lesions   1.00   0.00   0.00   0.00     No   436 (95.0)   1.00   0.00   0.00   0.00     Viral load after 12 months of ART   200   0.70 (0.25-1.93)   0.44     TCD4 hymphocytes at start of ART (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )   202   207 (0.21-1.75)	Clinical classification at the first visit			
No Aids (A-B)     358 (78.9)     1.09 (0.54-2.22)     0.8       Clinical classification at the last visit	Aids conditions (C)	96 (21.1)	1.00	
Clinical classification at the last visit     Aids conditions (C)   35 (8.3)   1.00     Na Aids (A-B)   387 (91.7)   0.73 (0.27-1.98)   0.53     Comorbidities   172 (38.1)   0.94 (0.51-1.73)   0.88     No   279 (61.9)   1.00   100     Other STIs (except syphilis)   1.00   100   100     Ves   41 (8.9)   2.66 (1.19-5.96)   0.00     No   418 (91.1)   1.00   100     Mucosal lesions   1.00   100   100   100     Ves   23 (5.0)   2.48 (0.88-6.99)   0.00     No   436 (95.0)   1.00   100   100     Vacasal lesions   102   1.00   100	No Aids (A-B)	358 (78.9)	1.09 (0.54-2.22)	0.813
Aids conditions (C)   35 (8.3)   1.00     No Aids (A-B)   387 (91.7)   0.73 (0.27-1.98)   0.53     Comorbidities   172 (38.1)   0.94 (0.51-1.73)   0.8     No   279 (61.9)   1.00   0.00     Other STIs (except syphilis)   1.00   0.01     Yes   411 (8.9)   2.66 (1.19-5.96)   0.0     No   418 (91.1)   1.00   0.00     Mucosal lesions   23 (5.0)   2.48 (0.88-6.99)   0.00     No   436 (95.0)   1.00   0.00     Viral load at start of ART   2.248 (0.88-6.99)   0.00     Undetectable   408 (88.9)   1.00   0.00     Viral load at start of ART   2.20   0.70 (0.25-1.93)   0.41     CD4 lymphocytes at start of ART (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )   2.20   0.70 (0.25-1.93)   0.43     CD4 lymphocytes after 12 months of ART (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )   2.20   0.77 (0.34-1.75)   0.53     > 500   156 (35.9) <td>Clinical classification at the last visit</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Clinical classification at the last visit			
Interface     Interface     Interface       No Aids (A-B)     387 (91.7)     0.73 (0.27-1.98)     0.53       Comorbidities     172 (38.1)     0.94 (0.51-1.73)     0.83       No     279 (61.9)     1.00     0.00       Other STIs (except syphilis)     1.00     0.00     0.00       Yes     41 (8.9)     2.66 (1.19-5.96)     0.00       No     418 (91.1)     1.00     0.00       Mucosal lesions     23 (5.0)     2.48 (0.88-6.99)     0.00       No     436 (95.0)     1.00     0.00       No     436 (95.0)     1.00     0.00       Undetectable     10 (2.4)     3.27 (0.84-12.79)     0.00       Undetectable     11 (2.4)     3.27 (0.84-12.79)     0.00       Undetectable     13 (6.8)     1.00     0.00       Undetectable     288 (62.7)     0.70 (0.25-1.93)     0.43       TCD4 lymphocytes at start of ART (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )     2.20     1.20 (26.1)     1.00       200     120 (26.1)     1.00     2.20     1.00     2.20	Aids conditions (C)	35 (8.3)	1.00	
Comorbidities     Comorbidities       Yes     172 (38.1)     0.94 (0.51-1.7.3)     0.83       No     279 (61.9)     1.00     0       Other STIs (except syphilis)     41 (8.9)     2.66 (1.19–5.96)     0.0       No     418 (91.1)     1.00     0       Mucosal lesions     436 (95.0)     1.00     0       No     436 (95.0)     1.00     0.00       No     436 (95.0)     1.00     0       Viral load at start of ART     Undetectable     10.0     0.00       Undetectable     11 (2.4)     3.27 (0.84–12.79)     0.00       Viral load at fate 12 months of ART     Undetectable     288 (62.7)     0.70 (0.25–1.93)     0.44       CD4 lymphocytes at start of ART (cells/mm²)     200     100 (0.54, 12.9)     0.00       Undetectable     288 (62.7)     0.70 (0.25–1.93)     0.44       CD4 lymphocytes at start of ART (cells/mm²)     200     200     200.10 (0.54, 13.9)     0.20       200     120 (26.1)     1.00     200     200     20.60 (0.0, 0.99 (0.97–1.00)     0.20	No Aids (A-B)	387 (91.7)	0.73 (0.27–1.98)	0.538
Yes     172 (38.1)     0.94 (0.51–1.73)     0.86       No     279 (61.9)     1.00       Other STIs (except syphilis)     41 (8.9)     2.66 (1.19–5.96)     0.0       No     418 (91.1)     1.00     0.00       Mucosal lesions     23 (5.0)     2.48 (0.88–6.99)     0.00       No     436 (95.0)     1.00     0.00       No     436 (95.0)     1.00     0.00       Ves     23 (5.0)     2.48 (0.88–6.99)     0.00       No     436 (95.0)     1.00     0.00       Viral load at start of ART     100     0.00     0.00       Undetectable     11 (2.4)     3.27 (0.84–12.79)     0.00       Viral load after 12 months of ART     100     0.00     0.00       Undetectable     31 (6.8)     1.00     0.00       CDCD4 lymphocytes at start of ART (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )     20     20     0.77 (0.34–1.75)     0.51       200     120 (26.1)     1.00     0.00     0.00     0.00       200     120 (26.1)     1.00     0.20     0.50 <td< td=""><td>Comorbidities</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Comorbidities			
No     279 (61.9)     0.04 (63.1*17.3)     0.05       Other STIs (except syphills)     279 (61.9)     1.00     0.00       No     418 (91.1)     1.00     0.00       No     418 (91.1)     1.00     0.00       Mucosal lesions     23 (5.0)     2.48 (0.88–6.99)     0.00       No     436 (95.0)     1.00     0.00       Undetectable     11 (2.4)     3.27 (0.84–12.79)     0.00       Undetectable     11 (2.4)     3.27 (0.84–12.79)     0.00       Undetectable     31 (6.8)     1.00     0.00       Undetectable     31 (6.8)     1.00     0.00       Undetectable     31 (6.8)     1.00     0.00       200     120 (26.1)     1.00     0.00       200     120 (26.1)     1.00     0.02       200     120 (26.1)     0.00     0.90       200 <td>Yes</td> <td>172 (38.1)</td> <td>0.94 (0.51_1.73)</td> <td>0.830</td>	Yes	172 (38.1)	0.94 (0.51_1.73)	0.830
Cher STIs (except syphilis)   1.00     Yes   41 (8.9)   2.66 (1.19–5.96)   0.0     No   418 (91.1)   1.00     Mucosal lesions   23 (5.0)   2.48 (0.88–6.99)   0.00     No   436 (95.0)   1.00   1.00     Mucosal lesions   1.00   1.00   1.00     Viral load at start of ART   1.00   1.00   1.00   1.00     Undetectable   408 (88.9)   1.00   1.00   1.01   1.01     Undetectable   11 (2.4)   3.27 (0.84–12.79)   0.00   0.01   1.01   1.00   1.00   1.00   1.00   1.01   1.00   1.01   1.00   1.01   1.00   1.01   1.01   1.01   1.01   1.01   1.00   1.01 <td< td=""><td>No</td><td>279 (61.9)</td><td>1 00</td><td>0.000</td></td<>	No	279 (61.9)	1 00	0.000
Yes     41 (8.9)     2.66 (1.19-5.96)     0.0       No     418 (91.1)     1.00       Mucosal lesions     23 (5.0)     2.48 (0.88-6.99)     0.0       No     436 (95.0)     1.00     0.00       No     436 (95.0)     1.00     0.00       No     436 (95.0)     1.00     0.00       Viral load at start of ART     1.00     0.00       Undetectable     408 (88.9)     1.00     0.00       Viral load after 12 months of ART     200     0.70 (0.25-1.93)     0.41       CD4 lymphocytes at start of ART (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )     200     120 (26.1)     1.00     0.00       200     120 (26.1)     1.00     0.02	Other STIs (excent synhilis)	279 (01.9)	1.00	
Tes     41 (6.9)     2.66 (1.19-3.96)     0.0       No     418 (91.1)     1.00       Mucosal lesions     100     100       Yes     23 (5.0)     2.48 (0.88-6.99)     0.0       No     436 (95.0)     1.00     100       Laboratory       Viral load at start of ART     1.00     1.00       Undetectable     408 (88.9)     1.00     0.00       Viral load after 12 months of ART     1.00     0.00     0.00       Undetectable     31 (6.8)     1.00     0.00       Undetectable     2.86 (62.7)     0.70 (0.25–1.93)     0.40       CD4 lymphocytes at start of ART (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )     2.00     120 (26.1)     1.00     0.00       200     120 (26.1)     1.00     0.20     5.00     153 (35.9)     0.77 (0.34–1.75)     0.53       200     127 (5.9)     1.00     2.00     2.00     2.01     0.91       200     27 (5.9)     1.00     0.92     0.92     0.92     0.92        50.0     1.93 (30.3) <td></td> <td>41 (0.0)</td> <td></td> <td>0.010</td>		41 (0.0)		0.010
No   416 (91.1)   1.00     Mucosal lesions   23 (5.0)   2.48 (0.88-6.99)   0.00     No   436 (95.0)   1.00   0.00     No   436 (95.0)   1.00   0.00     Laboratory     Viral load at start of ART   0.00   0.00   0.00     Undetectable   408 (88.9)   1.00   0.00     Undetectable   11 (2.4)   3.27 (0.84-12.79)   0.00     Viral load after 12 months of ART   288 (62.7)   0.70 (0.25-1.93)   0.40     Undetectable   288 (62.7)   0.70 (0.25-1.93)   0.40     TCD4 lymphocytes at start of ART (cells/mm³)   200   120 (26.1)   1.00   0.00     200   120 (26.1)   1.00   0.20   0.53   0.50   0.53   0.50   0.55   0.50   0.55   0.50   0.52   0.50   0.56   0.57   0.50   0.57   0.52   0.50   0.55   0.50   0.57   0.50   0.57   0.57   0.57   0.57   0.57   0.52   0.50   0.56   0.57   0.56   0.57   0.55   0.55   0.55<	tes Na	41 (8.9)	2.66 (1.19–5.96)	0.018
Muccosal resions     23 (5.0)     2.48 (0.88–6.99)     0.00       No     436 (95.0)     1.00     0.00       No     436 (95.0)     1.00     0.00       Laboratory     1.00     0.00       Viral load at start of ART     1.00     0.00       Undetectable     408 (88.9)     1.00     0.00       Undetectable     11 (2.4)     3.27 (0.84–12.79)     0.00       Viral load after 12 months of ART     1.00     0.00     0.00       Undetectable     31 (6.8)     1.00     0.41       CD4 lymphocytes at start of ART (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )     288 (62.7)     0.70 (0.25–1.93)     0.44       CD4 lymphocytes at start of ART (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )     290 (0.25–1.93)     0.44     0.00       2000     120 (26.1)     1.00     0.21		418 (91.1)	1.00	
Yes     2.3 (s.0)     2.48 (0.88–6.99)     0.00       No     436 (95.0)     1.00       Laboratory     Viral load at start of ART     Laboratory       Detectable     408 (88.9)     1.00       Undetectable     100     0.01       Viral load after 12 months of ART     Detectable     3.27 (0.84–12.79)     0.02       Undetectable     11 (2.4)     3.27 (0.84–12.79)     0.04       Undetectable     11 (6.8)     1.00     0.01       Undetectable     31 (6.8)     1.00     0.02       Undetectable     31 (2.9)     0.70 (0.25–1.93)     0.44       TCD4 lymphocytes at start of ART (cells/mm³)     200     120 (26.1)     1.00       200-500     155 (35.9)     0.77 (0.34–17.5)     0.55       > 500     134 (29.2)     1.58 (0.74–3.39)     0.24       Z00     27 (5.9)     1.00     200–500     1.50       200-500     139 (30.3)     0.97 (0.26–3.60)     0.94       200     27 (5.9)     1.00     0.92       Drug treatment     1.00     0.92	Mucosal lesions	22 (7 2)		0.007
No     436 (95.0)     1.00       Laboratory       Viral load at start of ART       Detectable     408 (88.9)     1.00       Undetectable     100     0.00       Viral load after 12 months of ART     3.27 (0.84–12.79)     0.00       Detectable     11 (2.4)     3.27 (0.84–12.79)     0.00       Viral load after 12 months of ART     200     100     0.00       Undetectable     31 (6.8)     1.00     0.44       TCD4 lymphocytes at start of ART (cells/mm³)     200     100     0.55       200     120 (26.1)     1.00     0.24       200-500     165 (35.9)     0.77 (0.34–1.75)     0.55       500     134 (29.2)     1.58 (0.74–3.39)     0.24       TCD4 lymphocytes after 12 months of ART (cells/mm³)     2     200     27 (5.9)     1.00       200-500     139 (30.3)     0.97 (0.26–3.60)     0.99     0.99     0.99       200-500     155 (33.8)     0.92 (0.25–3.40)     0.99     0.99     0.99     0.99     0.99     0.99     0.99       200-500<	Yes	23 (5.0)	2.48 (0.88–6.99)	0.087
Laboratory       Viral load at start of ART     Viral load at start of ART     Model (88.9)     1.00       Undetectable     11 (2.4)     3.27 (0.84–12.79)     0.00       Viral load after 12 months of ART     11 (2.4)     3.27 (0.84–12.79)     0.01       Undetectable     11 (2.4)     3.27 (0.84–12.79)     0.01       Undetectable     31 (6.8)     1.00     0       Undetectable     31 (6.8)     1.00     0.41       TCD4 lymphocytes at start of ART (cells/mm³)     288 (62.7)     0.70 (0.25–1.93)     0.44       200     120 (26.1)     1.00     0     0.44       200-500     165 (35.9)     0.77 (0.34–1.75)     0.55       > 500     120 (26.1)     1.00     0.24       200-500     165 (35.9)     0.77 (0.34–1.75)     0.55       200     134 (29.2)     1.58 (0.74–3.39)     0.24       201     207 (5.9)     1.00     0.99       200-500     139 (30.3)     0.97 (0.26–3.60)     0.99       200     207 (53.3.8)     0.92 (0.25–3.40)     0.99 <t< td=""><td>No</td><td>436 (95.0)</td><td>1.00</td><td></td></t<>	No	436 (95.0)	1.00	
Viral load at start of ART       Detectable     408 (88.9)     1.00       Undetectable     11 (2.4)     3.27 (0.84–12.79)     0.00       Viral load after 12 months of ART       0.00       Detectable     31 (6.8)     1.00      0.00       Undetectable     31 (6.8)     1.00      0.01       Undetectable     31 (6.8)     1.00      0.01       CD4 lymphocytes at start of ART (cells/mm³)      0.20     0.77 (0.34–1.75)     0.51       200     120 (26.1)     1.00      0.20     0.77 (0.34–1.75)     0.51       200     120 (26.1)     1.00      0.20     0.77 (0.34–1.75)     0.51       200     120 (26.1)     1.00     0.20     0.77 (0.34–1.75)     0.51		aboratory		
Detectable     408 (88.9)     1.00       Undetectable     11 (2.4)     3.27 (0.84–12.79)     0.00       Viral load after 12 months of ART      0.00     0.00       Detectable     31 (6.8)     1.00     0.00       Undetectable     288 (62.7)     0.70 (0.25–1.93)     0.44       TCD4 lymphocytes at start of ART (cells/mm³)     0.20     200     105 (35.9)     0.77 (0.34–1.75)     0.53       200     165 (35.9)     0.77 (0.34–1.75)     0.53     0.54       200-500     165 (35.9)     0.77 (0.34–1.75)     0.55       500     134 (29.2)     1.58 (0.74–3.39)     0.24       TCD4 lymphocytes after 12 months of ART (cells/mm³)     27 (5.9)     1.00     0.99       200-500     139 (30.3)     0.97 (0.26–3.60)     0.99       200-500     155 (33.8)     0.92 (0.25–3.40)     0.99       200-500     155 (33.8)     0.92 (0.25–3.40)     0.99       200-500     155 (33.8)     0.92 (0.25–3.40)     0.99       200-500     155 (33.8)     0.92 (0.25–3.40)     0.99       200-500<	Viral load at start of ART			
Undetectable     11 (2.4)     3.27 (0.84–12.79)     0.00       Viral load after 12 months of ART <td>Detectable</td> <td>408 (88.9)</td> <td>1.00</td> <td></td>	Detectable	408 (88.9)	1.00	
Viral load after 12 months of ART       Detectable     31 (6.8)     1.00       Undetectable     288 (62.7)     0.70 (0.25–1.93)     0.44       TCD4 lymphocytes at start of ART (cells/mm³)     288 (62.7)     0.70 (0.25–1.93)     0.44       200     120 (26.1)     1.00     1.00       200–500     165 (35.9)     0.77 (0.34–1.75)     0.53       > 500     134 (29.2)     1.58 (0.74–3.39)     0.24       TCD4 lymphocytes after 12 months of ART (cells/mm³)     2     200     27 (5.9)     1.00       200–500     27 (5.9)     1.00     200–500     139 (30.3)     0.97 (0.26–3.60)     0.99       200–500     155 (33.8)     0.92 (0.25–3.40)     0.99     0.91     0.91       200–500     155 (33.8)     0.92 (0.25–3.40)     0.99     0.91     0.91       201–500     155 (33.8)     0.92 (0.25–3.40)     0.99     0.92     0.92     0.92     0.92     0.92     0.92     0.92     0.92     0.92     0.92     0.93     0.93     0.93     0.93     0.93     0.93     0.93	Undetectable	11 (2.4)	3.27 (0.84–12.79)	0.089
Detectable     31 (6.8)     1.00       Undetectable     288 (62.7)     0.70 (0.25–1.93)     0.44       TCD4 lymphocytes at start of ART (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )     200     120 (26.1)     1.00       200–500     165 (35.9)     0.77 (0.34–1.75)     0.55       > 500     134 (29.2)     1.58 (0.74–3.39)     0.24       TCD4 lymphocytes after 12 months of ART (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )     200     27 (5.9)     1.00       200–500     27 (5.9)     1.00     0.94       200–500     139 (30.3)     0.97 (0.26–3.60)     0.94       200–500     155 (33.8)     0.92 (0.25–3.40)     0.94       200–500     155 (33.8)     0.92 (0.25–3.40)     0.94       > 500     155 (33.8)     0.92 (0.25–3.40)     0.94       > 500     155 (33.8)     0.92 (0.25–3.40)     0.94       Average time of HIV diagnosis (months)     456 (100.0)     0.99 (0.97–1.01)     0.13       Average time of antiretroviral treatment (days)     459 (100.0)     0.99 (0.99–1.00)     0.05       Therapeutic regimen in use     TLE     291 (63.4)     1.00     11	Viral load after 12 months of ART			
Undetectable     288 (62.7)     0.70 (0.25–1.93)     0.44       TCD4 lymphocytes at start of ART (cells/mm³)     120 (26.1)     1.00     200       200-500     165 (35.9)     0.77 (0.34–1.75)     0.55       > 500     134 (29.2)     1.58 (0.74–3.39)     0.24       TCD4 lymphocytes after 12 months of ART (cells/mm³)     200     27 (5.9)     1.00       200-500     27 (5.9)     1.00     0.99       200-500     139 (30.3)     0.97 (0.26–3.60)     0.99       200-500     155 (33.8)     0.92 (0.25–3.40)     0.99       > 500     155 (30.8)     0.92 (0.25–3.40)     0.99       > 500     155 (33.8)     0.92 (0.25–3.40)     0.99       > 500     155 (33.8)     0.92 (0.25–3.40)     0.99       > 500     155 (33.8)     0.92 (0.25–3.40)     0.99       Average time of antiretroviral treatment (days)     456 (100.0)     0.99 (0.97–1.01)     0.13       Average time of antiretroviral treatment (days)     459 (100.0)     0.99 (0.99–1.00)     0.09       TLE     291 (63.4)     1.00     1.00     1.00	Detectable	31 (6.8)	1.00	
TCD4 lymphocytes at start of ART (cells/mm³)   120 (26.1)   1.00     200-500   165 (35.9)   0.77 (0.34-1.75)   0.57     > 500   134 (29.2)   1.58 (0.74-3.39)   0.24     TCD4 lymphocytes after 12 months of ART (cells/mm³)   7   0.77 (0.34-1.75)   0.57     < 200	Undetectable	288 (62.7)	0.70 (0.25–1.93)	0.487
< 200	TCD4 lymphocytes at start of ART (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )			
200-500   165 (35.9)   0.77 (0.34-1.75)   0.5     > 500   134 (29.2)   1.58 (0.74-3.39)   0.24     TCD4 lymphocytes after 12 months of ART (cells/mm³)   27 (5.9)   1.00   10     < 200	< 200	120 (26.1)	1.00	
> 500   134 (29.2)   1.58 (0.74–3.39)   0.24     TCD4 lymphocytes after 12 months of ART (cells/mm³)   27 (5.9)   1.00   1.00     200   27 (5.9)   1.00   0.97 (0.26–3.60)   0.99 (0.97 (0.26–3.60)   0.90 (0.90 (0.97 (0.26–3.60))   0.90 (0.90 (0.97 (0.26–3.60))   0.90 (0.90 (0.97 (0.25–3.40))   0.90 (0.90 (0.97 (0.25–3.40))   0.90 (0.90 (0.97 (0.25–3.40))   0.90 (0.90 (0.97 (0.25–3.40))   0.90 (0.90 (0.97 (0.25–3.40))   0.90 (0.90 (0.97 (0.25–3.40))   0.90 (0.90 (0.97 (0.25–3.40))   0.91 (0.97 (0.25–3.40))   0.91 (0.97 (0.25–3.40))   0.91 (0.97 (0.25–3.40))   0.91 (0.97 (0.25–3.40))   0.91 (0.97 (0.25–3.40))   0.91 (0.97 (0.25–3.40))   0.91 (0.97 (0.25–3.40))   0.91 (0.97 (0.25–3.40))   0.91 (0.97 (0.25–3.40))	200–500	165 (35.9)	0.77 (0.34–1.75)	0.533
TCD4 lymphocytes after 12 months of ART (cells/mm³)   27 (5.9)   1.00     200–500   139 (30.3)   0.97 (0.26–3.60)   0.90     > 500   155 (33.8)   0.92 (0.25–3.40)   0.90     Drug treatment     Time of HIV diagnosis (months)   456 (100.0)   0.99 (0.97–1.01)   0.13     Average time of antiretroviral treatment (days)   459 (100.0)   0.99 (0.99–1.00)   0.09     TLE     TLE   291 (63.4)   1.00   0.91     TLD   142 (30.9)   0.45 (0.21–0.96)   0.03     Other regimens   26 (5.7)   0.56 (0.13–2.44)   0.43	> 500	134 (29.2)	1.58 (0.74–3.39)	0.240
< 200	TCD4 lymphocytes after 12 months of ART (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )			
200-500   139 (30.3)   0.97 (0.26-3.60)   0.97     > 500   155 (33.8)   0.92 (0.25-3.40)   0.97     Drug treatment     Time of HIV diagnosis (months)   456 (100.0)   0.99 (0.97-1.01)   0.13     Average time of antiretroviral treatment (days)   459 (100.0)   0.99 (0.99-1.00)   0.09     TLE   291 (63.4)   1.00     TLD   142 (30.9)   0.45 (0.21-0.96)   0.03     Other regimens   26 (5.7)   0.56 (0.13-2.44)   0.43	< 200	27 (5.9)	1.00	
> 500   155 (33.8)   0.92 (0.25–3.40)   0.90     Drug treatment     Time of HIV diagnosis (months)   456 (100.0)   0.99 (0.97–1.01)   0.13     Average time of antiretroviral treatment (days)   459 (100.0)   0.99 (0.99–1.00)   0.09     Therapeutic regimen in use   TLE   291 (63.4)   1.00   0.00     TLD   142 (30.9)   0.45 (0.21–0.96)   0.00     Other regimens   26 (5.7)   0.56 (0.13–2.44)   0.43	200–500	139 (30.3)	0.97 (0.26-3.60)	0.961
Drug treatment       Time of HIV diagnosis (months)     456 (100.0)     0.99 (0.97–1.01)     0.11       Average time of antiretroviral treatment (days)     459 (100.0)     0.99 (0.99–1.00)     0.09       Therapeutic regimen in use     TLE     291 (63.4)     1.00     0.01       TLD     142 (30.9)     0.45 (0.21–0.96)     0.03     0.03       Other regimens     26 (5.7)     0.56 (0.13–2.44)     0.43     0.43     0.43	> 500	155 (33.8)	0.92 (0.25-3.40)	0.902
Time of HIV diagnosis (months)   456 (100.0)   0.99 (0.97–1.01)   0.11     Average time of antiretroviral treatment (days)   459 (100.0)   0.99 (0.99–1.00)   0.01     Therapeutic regimen in use   71LD   1.00   1.00   0.02     TLD   142 (30.9)   0.45 (0.21–0.96)   0.02     Other regimens   26 (5.7)   0.56 (0.13–2.44)   0.43	Dr	ug treatment		
Average time of antiretroviral treatment (days)   459 (100.0)   0.99 (0.99–1.00)   0.09     Therapeutic regimen in use   TLE   291 (63.4)   1.00     TLD   142 (30.9)   0.45 (0.21–0.96)   0.03     Other regimens   26 (5.7)   0.56 (0.13–2.44)   0.43     Self-report of non-adherence to ART in the last 15 days   1.00   1.00   1.00	Time of HIV diagnosis (months)	456 (100.0)	0.99 (0.97-1.01)	0.138
Therapeutic regimen in use   291 (63.4)   1.00     TLD   142 (30.9)   0.45 (0.21–0.96)   0.03     Other regimens   26 (5.7)   0.56 (0.13–2.44)   0.43     Self-report of non-adherence to ART in the last 15 days	Average time of antiretroviral treatment (days)	459 (100.0)	0.99 (0.99-1.00)	0.050
TLE   291 (63.4)   1.00     TLD   142 (30.9)   0.45 (0.21–0.96)   0.03     Other regimens   26 (5.7)   0.56 (0.13–2.44)   0.43     Self-report of non-adherence to ART in the last 15 days	Therapeutic regimen in use			
TLD     142 (30.9)     0.45 (0.21-0.96)     0.03       Other regimens     26 (5.7)     0.56 (0.13-2.44)     0.43       Self-report of non-adherence to ART in the last 15 days     56 (0.13-2.44)     0.43	TLE	291 (63.4)	1.00	
Other regimens 26 (5.7) 0.56 (0.13-2.44) 0.43   Self-report of non-adherence to ART in the last 15 days 0.43	TLD	142 (30.9)	0.45 (0.21-0.96)	0.039
Self-report of non-adherence to ART in the last 15 days	Other regimens	26 (5.7)	0.56 (0.13–2.44)	0.436
	Self-report of non-adherence to ART in the last 15 days	20 (0.7)		050
Yes 68 (15.8) 1 43 (0.65_3.12) 0.3	Yes	68 (15.8)	1 43 (0 65-3 12)	0.375
No 362 (84.2) 1.00	No	362 (84.2)	1.00	0.575

OR: odds ratio; 95%CI: 95% confidence interval; ART: antiretroviral therapy; MSM: men who have sex with men; STI: sexually transmitted infections; TLE: Tenofovir/Lamivudine/Efavirenz; TLD: Tenofovir/Lamivudine/Dolutegravir. <sup>a</sup> Numbers vary as data are ignored.

**Table 3.** Multiple logistic regression of factors associated with HIV/syphilis co-infection, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, 2015-2018 (n =  $349^a$ ).

Chamatariatian		
Characteristics	OK (95%CI)	р
Sex		
Male	3.58 (0.82–15.58)	0.089
Female	1.00	
Use of alcohol in the month before the interview		
Yes	2.30 (1.01-5.26)	0.049
No	1.00	
Diagnosis of other STIs (except syphilis)		
Yes	3.33 (1.24-8.95)	0.017
No	1.00	
Average time of antiretroviral treatment (days)	0.99 (0.99–1.00)	0.066

OR: odds ratio; 95%CI: 95% confidence interval. STI: sexually transmitted infections; ROC: Receiver Operating Characteristics.

<sup>a</sup> 110 patients with missing data in covariates were excluded from the final model.

Model fit: Hosmer and Lemeshow test: X2 = 7.66; df = 8; p-value = 0.468; area under the ROC curve = 0.688.

# DISCUSSION

People living with HIV (PLHIV) seen in three public specialized HIV care services in Belo Horizonte, who were starting ART, showed high prevalence of STI co-infection (19.6%), with syphilis (10.6%) being the most prevalent. It is noteworthy that the estimated overall prevalence of syphilis among men and women without HIV infection is 0.5% in Brazil<sup>1</sup>. Characteristics independently associated with HIV/syphilis co-infection were diagnosis of other STIs, and use of alcohol in the month prior to the interview.

The prevalence and factors associated with syphilis in PLHIV vary both in Brazilian and international studies. This variation depends on the type of population, such as the key population (transgender, sex workers, people who inject drugs, MSM, and prisoners - and their partners) that have higher prevalence of HIV/syphilis co-infection<sup>14</sup>.

The prevalence of HIV/syphilis co-infection observed in this study was lower than that found in a study conducted in Mkushi, Zambia, in which the authors observed 40.5% HIV/syphilis co-infection in newly diagnosed HIV-positive individuals starting ART<sup>15</sup>. It was also lower than the prevalence found in a prospective multicenter study of MSM in Germany, which was 39.6%<sup>16</sup>. In another study conducted in Brazil with sex workers, the prevalence was 30.8%<sup>17</sup>.

Similar to other studies<sup>18,19</sup>, we also found that having been diagnosed with other STIs was independently associated with a higher chance of HIV/syphilis co-infection. This result may indicate that risky sexual behavior among PLHIV may contribute to the spread of HIV infection, and affect the transmission control.

The STIs are transmitted by sexual contact without the use of condoms, an important preventive measure among HIV serodiscordant and seroconcordant couples to prevent the transmission of other STIs. One study found the presence of syphilis, cytomegalovirus, human papillomavirus (HPV), and herpes simplex virus in MSM living with HIV<sup>20</sup>. Similarly, in our study we found the presence of condylomata and genital warts, genital herpes, hepatitis B and C and others (gonorrhea/trichomoniasis/unspecified STDs), besides lesions in anal and genital mucosa.

PLHIV are at higher risk of co-infection with hepatitis and syphilis than the population at large. Bacterial infections, protozoa, genital herpes, and previous sexual infections have been described as risk factors for HIV/syphilis co-infection. STIs may indicate risky sexual behavior among PLHIV, increasing the possibility of HIV infection and affecting the control of transmission<sup>20</sup>

Another study reviewed the factors associated with HIV/STI co-infection in 295 PLHIV, in which 37% had at least one STI. Among the STIs cited, 32% were syphilis, 16% gonorrhea, and 8% chlamydia. The high prevalence of STIs among PLHIV suggests the need for adequate testing, prevention, and treatment among this population<sup>21</sup>.

In this study, reports of alcohol use prior to the interview were associated with a higher chance of HIV/syphilis co-infection, a result similar to other studies<sup>22,23</sup>. Alcohol consumption is a serious public health problem since it may lead individuals to adopt risky sexual practices and contribute to the lack of STI preventive habits, such as not using condoms, changing partners frequently, and engaging in group or anal sex, leading to increased chances of contracting syphilis and other STIs. This scenario contributes to maintaining the chain of transmission of STIs among PLHIV<sup>24</sup>

Alcohol is a substance that depresses the central nervous system, and reduces anxiety and inhibition. The belief that using alcohol increases pleasure causes it to be used before or during sexual practices. It is estimated that alcohol consumption among PLHIV is 2.5 times higher than in the remaining population. The use of alcohol and drugs increases up to six times the risk of people with HIV to have unprotected sex and multiple partners. In one study, the prevalence of alcohol abuse among people living with HIV was estimated at  $28.6\%^{25}$ 

Longer duration of ART was associated with lower chance of HIV/syphilis co-infection, and remained in the final model due to greater robustness, although it did not show statistical significance. It is noteworthy that the results of studies evaluating the association between ART use and STI transmission are controversial.

A retrospective cohort study found an association between the use of ART and a lower chance of HIV/syphilis co-infection at the beginning of treatment, supporting the results found in this study. On the other hand, ART use was associated with higher chance of co-infection in individuals who had syphilis seroconversion during follow-up<sup>26</sup>. In a study by Tsachouridou et al.<sup>27</sup>, individuals taking ART were 2.4 times more likely to have HIV/syphilis co-infection. These studies indicate that the advantages of antiretroviral use are reflected in the sexual behavior of PLHIV of not using condoms. It is likely to be so because feel safe about not transmitting the HIV virus<sup>27</sup>.

In our study, the male sex variable was associated with lower chance of HIV/syphilis co-infection, and remained in the final model due to greater robustness, although it did not show statistical significance. This result was consistent with that of other studies that showed a higher risk of co-infection among males<sup>28,29</sup>.

The prevalence of syphilis and the different STIs found in this study may reflect the inconsistent use of condoms, and other actions to prevent these infections. Awareness about the factors associated with the prevalence of HIV/syphilis co-infection may support the decision-making of professionals involved in the care of PLHIV. The follow-up and adequate treatment of syphilis and STIs require guidance on safe sex practices to prevent these co-infections among the PLHIV.

The limitations of the study concern the use of secondary data with missing elements of general records on clinical information, and laboratory tests of individuals.

The strengths of this study are the quality and processing of primary data collected, with reliability analysis of 10% of the total sample for collection and entry. Of note is the high perfect interdigitated agreement assessed by Kappa statistics, the comprehensive inclusion of explanatory variables, and the robustness of the final model.

The conclusion is that the prevalence of STIs recorded was high, and syphilis was the most prevalent co-infection. Alcohol use and diagnosis of other STIs were associated with a higher chance of HIV/syphilis co-infection among this population.

## REFERENCES

- 1. World Health Organization. Report on global sexually transmitted infection surveillance, 2018. Geneva (CH): WHO; 2018 [cited 2021 May 25]. Available from: https://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/publications/stis-surveillance-2018/en/
- Ministério da Saúde (BR), Secretaria de Vigilância em Saúde, Departamento de Doenças de Condições Crônicas e Infecções Sexualmente Transmissíveis. Protocolo Clínico e Diretrizes Terapêuticas para Atenção Integral às Pessoas com Infecções Sexualmente Transmissíveis (IST). Brasília, DF; 2020 [cited 2021 May 25]. Available from: http://www.aids. gov.br/pt-br/pub/2015/protocolo-clinico-e-diretrizes-terapeuticas-para-atencao-integral-pessoascom-infeccoes
- Ministério da Saúde (BR), Secretaria de Vigilância em Saúde, Departamento de Doenças de Condições Crônicas e Infecções Sexualmente Transmissíveis. Bol Epidemiol Sífilis. 2020;Nº Espec. [cited 2021 May 25]. Available from: http://www.aids.gov.br/pt-br/pub/2020/ boletim-sifilis-2020
- Mora Y, Mago H, Díaz I. Coinfección VIH-sífilis en pacientes con diagnóstico reciente de infección por virus de inmunodeficiencia humana, octubre 2018 - mayo 2019, Unidad de Infectología. Ciudad Hospitalaria Dr. Enrique Tejera. Bol Venez Infectol. 2019 [cited 2021 May 25];30(2):116-21. Available from: https://docs.bvsalud.org/biblioref/2019/11/1024096/05-mora-y-116-121.pdf
- Santos OP, Souza MR, Borges CJ, Noll M, Lima FC, Barros PS. Hepatites B, C e sífilis: prevalência e características associadas à coinfecção entre soropositivos. Cogitare Enferm. 2017;22(3):e51693. https://doi.org/10.5380/ce.v22i3.51693
- Luppi CG, Gomes SEC, Silva RJC, Ueno AM, Santos AMK, Tayra A, et al. Fatores associados à coinfecção por HIV em casos de sífilis adquirida notificados em um Centro de Referência de Doenças Sexualmente Transmissíveis e Aids no município de São Paulo, 2014. Epidemiol. Serv. Saúde. 2018;27(1):e20171678. https://doi.org/10.5123/s1679-49742018000100008
- Ferreira-Júnior OC, Guimarães MDC, Damacena GN, Almeida WS, Souza-Júnior PRB, Szwarcwald CL; Brazilian FSW Group. Prevalence estimates of HIV, syphilis, hepatitis B and C among female sex workers (FSW) in Brazil, 2016. Medicine (Baltimore). 2018;97(1Suppl):S3-8. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000009218
- Amorim LT, Schlemper Jr BR. HIV/AIDS in small cities in Midwest Santa Catarina, south of Brazil: clinical and epidemiological aspects, opportunistic infections. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop. 2019;52:e20180430. https://doi.org/10.1590/0037-8682-0430-2018
- Silva BEB, Santos VS, Santos IER, Batista MVA, Gonçalves LLC, Lemos LMD. Prevalence of co-infections in women living with human immunodeficiency virus in Northeast Brazil. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop. 2020;53:e20190282. https://doi.org/10.1590/0037-8682-0282-2019
- Weng RX, Hong FC, Yu WY, Cai YM. Compare HIV/syphilis infections between age groups and explore associated factors of HIV/syphilis co-infections among men who have sex with men in Shenzhen, China, from 2009 to 2017. PLoS One. 2019;14(10):e0223377. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0223377
- 11. Liu Y, Tang HF, Ning Z, Zheng H, He N, Zhang YY. [Co-infections of HIV, syphilis and HSV-2 among men who have sex with men at the voluntary HIV counseling and testing clinics in Shanghai]. Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi. 2017;38(10):1363-6. Chinese. https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.issn.0254-6450.2017.10.013
- 12. Mutagoma M, Nyirazinyoye L, Sebuhoro D, Riedel DJ, Ntaganira J. Syphilis and HIV prevalence and associated factors to their co-infection, hepatitis B and hepatitis C viruses prevalence among female sex workers in Rwanda. BMC Infect Dis. 2017;17(1):525. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-017-2625-0
- 13. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 1993 revised classification system for HIV infection and expanded surveillance case definition for AIDS among adolescents and adults. MMWR Recomm Rep. 1992;41(RR-17):1-19 [cited 2021 May 25]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/00018871.htm
- 14. Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS. Global AIDS update 2020 Geneva (CH): UNAIDS; 2020 [cited 2021 Dec 1]. Available from: https://unaids.org.br/estatisticas/
- 15. Katamba C, Chungu T, Lusale C. HIV, syphilis, and hepatitis B co-infections in Mkushi, Zambia: a cross-sectional study. F1000 Res. 2019;8:562. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.17983.2

- 16. Jansen K, Thamm M, Bock CT, Scheufele R, Kücherer C, Muenstermann D, et al. High prevalence and high incidence of co-infection with hepatitis B, hepatitis C, and syphilis and low rate of effective vaccination against hepatitis B in HIV-positive men who have sex with men with known date of HIV seroconversion in Germany. PLoS One. 2015;10(11):e0142515. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0142515
- 17. Schuelter-Treviso F, Custódio G, Silva ACB, Oliveira MB, Wolfart A, et al. HIV, hepatitis B and C, and syphilis prevalence and co-infection among sex workers in Southern Brazil. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop. 2013;46(4):493-7. https://doi.org/10.1590/0037-8682-1364-2013
- Burchell AN, Allen VG, Gardner SL, Moravan V, Tan DH, Grewal R, et al. High incidence of diagnosis with syphilis co-infection among men who have sex with men in an HIV cohort in Ontario, Canada. BMC Infect Dis. 2015;15:356. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-015-1098-2
- Zhang C, Ren Q, Chang W. Epidemiological features and risk factors for acquiring hepatitis B, hepatitis C, and syphilis in HIV-infected patients in Shaanxi Province, Northwest China. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(6):1990. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17061990
- 20. Remis RS, Liu J, Loutfy MR, Tharao W, Rebbapragada A, Huibner S, et al. Prevalence of sexually transmitted viral and bacterial infections in HIV-Positive and HIV-negative men who have sex with men in Toronto. PLoS One. 2016;11(7):e0158090. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0158090
- 21. Issema R, Songster T, Edgar M, Davis B, Lee T, Harris J, et al. HIV-positive individuals who report being in care are less likely to be co-infected with an STI; an analysis of "Network Testing," a service program offering HIV and STI testing services to individual at risk for HIV. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2018;5 Suppl 1:S671-2. https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofy210.1922
- 22. Hojilla JC, Marcus J, Volk JE, Leyden W, Hare CB, Hechter RC, et al. Alcohol and drug use, partner PrEP use and STI prevalence among people with HIV. Sex Transm Infect. 2020;96(3):184-8. https://doi.org/10.1136/sextrans-2019-054049
- 23. Brignol S, Dourado I, Amorim LD, Kerr LRFS. Vulnerability in the context of HIV and syphilis infection in a population of men who have sex with men (MSM) in Salvador, Bahia State, Brazil. Cad Saude Publica. 2015;31(5):1035-48. https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-311X00178313
- 24. Shuper PA, Neuman M, Kanteres F, Baliunas D, Joarchi N, Rehm J. Causal considerations on alcohol and HIV /AIDS: a sistematic review. Alcohol Alcohol. 2010;45(2):159-66. https://doi.org/10.1093/alcalc/agp091
- 25. Kahler CW, Wray TB, Pantalone DW, Kruis RD, Mastroleo NR, Monti PM, et al. Daily associations between alcohol use and unprotected anal sex among heavy drinking HIV-positive men who have sex with men. AIDS Behav. 2014;19(3):422-30. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-014-0896-7
- Hu QH, Xu JJ, Zou HC, Liu J, Zhang J, Ding HB, et al. Risk factors associated with prevalent and incident syphilis among an HIV-infected cohort in Northeast China. BMC Infect Dis. 2014;14:658. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-014-0658-1
- 27. Tsachouridou O, Skoura L, Christaki E, Kollaras P, Sidiropoulou E, Zebekakis P, et al. Syphilis on the rise: a prolonged syphilis outbreak among HIV-infected patients in Northern Greece. Germs. 2016;6(3):83-90. https://doi.org/10.11599/germs.2016.1093
- 28. Burchell AN, Allen VG, Gardner SL, Moravan V, Tan DHS, Grewal R, et al; OHTN Cohort Study Team. High incidence of diagnosis with syphilis co-infection among men who have sex with men in an HIV cohort in Ontario, Canada. BMC Infect Dis. 2015;15:356. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-015-1098-2
- 29. Zoufaly A, Onyoh EF, Tih PM, Awasom CN, Feldt T. High prevalence of hepatitis B and syphilis co-infections among HIV patients initiating antiretroviral therapy in the north-west region of Cameroon. Int J STD AIDS. 2012;23(6):435-8. https://doi.org/10.1258/ijsa.2011.011279

Authors' Contribution: Study conception and planning: LAS, MGBC, MRS, JCM, MDL, AMGC. Data collection, analysis and interpretation: LAS, MGBC, MRS, JCM, MDL, AMGC. Manuscript elaboration or review: LAS, MGBC, MRS, JCM, MDL, AMGC. Final version approval: LAS, MGBC, MRS, JCM, MDL, AMGC. Public responsibility for the article's content: LAS, MGBC, MRS, JCM, MDL, AMGC.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare there is no conflict of interest.