NEGATIVE H$_2$S CHARACTER AND FLOCCULATION AS YEAST STRAIN MARKERS FOR INOCULUM RECOVERY
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ABSTRACT: Routine identification of yeast behavior is essential to measure the control of the alcohol production process and to maintain product quality standards. This work utilized the non-hydrogen sulfide production and flocculation traits as characteristic strain markers for the evaluation of cell recycling during the alcoholic fermentation process for production of sugarcane alcohol. This study evaluated the behavior of a recombinant yeast bank made by protoplast fusion, for strain screening purposes; strain fermentative kinetics in comparison to commercial baker yeast; viability and recovery of the selected strain on differential media, after five consecutive fermentation batches; and the recovery of the selected strain from fermentation with mixed strain cultures. The strain selected for the H$_2$S negative character kept its viability during successive recyclings, with contamination levels not detected by the method of analysis. It also presented a kinetic behavior similar to that of baker yeast, either in single or mixed culture fermentations, opening new possibilities for further work on quality control of cell recycling in the alcoholic fermentation process.
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INTRODUCTION

Yeast is an important microorganism in the field of applied microbiology, and precise methodologies have been developed to study the growing relationships between yeast for various areas of research and development, technology and health. In the food industry, yeast acts as an agent for production as well as for the breakdown of products. Routine identification of yeast behavior is essential to measure the quality control of the alcohol production process and to maintain product quality standards. Standard industrial procedures routinely use among 80 to 100 morphologic and physiologic tests and the identification methods must be fast and inexpensive (Török & King Jr., 1991).

Through recent progress, easier techniques have been developed for the identification of yeast, such as serologic testing (Campbell, 1971; 1972) and fatty acid chromatographic profiling (Oosthuizen et al., 1987). However, the most acceptable methods are the taxonomy handbooks by Barnett et al. (1983), based upon physiological tests, and by Kreger-van Rij (1984), which describes species morphology without differentiating yeast strains within the same species.

Methods based upon gene expression (phenotypic) can be affected by environmental factors, and their
results depend on the metabolic state of the cells, and require accurate standardization of the procedures to obtain reproducibility of the results. DNA analysis gives results with higher confidence and resolution to distinguish strains within the same yeast species (Ness et al., 1993).

Yeast identification is a complex process and only a few laboratories have the technical competence, resources and qualified personnel to apply molecular techniques utilized to identify yeast. Therefore, the physiologic tests are used more frequently by food analysis laboratories (Velázquez et al., 2001).

Because of the need of microbiological controls in alcoholic fermentation processes, which recycle cells, the best identification method, as an example of other biotechnological processes that utilize yeast, would be one that would allows better resolution, greater accuracy, with the lowest demand for labor, material, space and time. Unfortunately, this method is not yet available (Deák & Beuchat, 1987).

Therefore, the choice of a yeast strain with odd characteristics, that occurs in nature at very low levels or even those that are non-existent in the nature (genetically engineered), would greatly facilitate its identification and the degree of contamination at different stages of the fermentative process. For instance, Hinrichs et al. (1999) produced yeast with the cloned gene that encoded for the barley β-glucanase in the LEU2 gene of Saccharomyces cerevisiae, and this allowed for precise identification.

Martins et al. (1999) used protoplast fusion to produce recombinant yeast negative for HI2S (hydrogen sulfide) production and maintaining the flocculation trait. These characteristics were discussed in the fermentation studies of sugarcane juice (Ribeiro & Horii, 1999), and in the quality of sugarcane spirit (Ribeiro & Horii, 1998). Martins et al. (1999) reported that the first recombinant yeast strains isolated did not show the expected stability for these traits. Other recombinant populations that had not previously been screened for these traits were submitted to a selection study to assess their characteristics on the fermentation of sugarcane must or juice. The objective of this study was to develop a method for the evaluation of the quality control of the inoculum during the alcoholic fermentation process, which recycles cells, using the H2S trait as a strain marker.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Microorganisms - This study evaluated 12 recombinant yeast populations obtained from protoplast fusions between auxotrophic mutants derived from a non-hydrogen sulfide producing strain (IZ 987), and the flocculant strain (ABXR 11B), stored as YEPDA slant cultures covered with mineral oil and stored at 5°C (Martins et al., 1999). Thirteen strains isolated by Martins et al. (1999) with the desired traits and stored on YEPDA slant cultures at 5°C, and a strain isolated from a commercial baker yeast, were also evaluated.

Culture Media - Yeast extract peptone dextrose (YEPD) was used for the selection of the flocculation trait. Yeast extract peptone dextrose agar (YEPEDA) was used for storage and isolation of the strains, while Bacto Bismuth Sulfite Agar Dehydrated - BSA (Difco) was used for the selection of the H2S trait. The non-sulfide producing strains had white colonies, while the H2S producers presented various colony colors that ranged from light brown to black, depending upon the intensity of the production. Bacto W L Nutrient Medium Dehydrated – WLN (Difco) was used to record cell growth during fermentation assays conducted with a mixture in cultivation. Clarified sugarcane juice (Ribeiro & Horii, 1999), was sterilized (121°C; 15 min.) for the majority of the treatments, with the exception of the assays conducted to recycle the selected yeast strain. In this case, the juice was not supplemented (Barone, 1994).

Selection of non-hydrogen sulfide producing yeast strains - Because of the simplicity of differentiating recombinants, the first trait to be screened during selection was the non-hydrogen sulfide characteristic of colonies. The selected strains were inoculated directly on BSA plates to monitor the stability of this trait. Populations of microorganisms derived from protoplast fusion were inoculated on the surface of the YEPDA medium after eliminating the mineral oil by making a suspension culture and serial dilutions. Plates that had good yeast growth were replicated onto differential BSA media. Growth conditions were 30°C for 24 to 48 h.

Selection of flocculent yeast strains - Flocculation tests were carried out in 250-mL Erlenmeyer flasks with 100 mL of YEPD medium by visual evaluation of floccule formation after 48 h incubation at 30°C on an orbital shaker at 100 rpm. Since the strains were highly floculent and floccules reached a few mm in size, the visual evaluation was acceptable at this stage. Inoculum from the previously selected strains were obtained from their respective stock tubes and inoculated on YPDA slants. Colonies that did not produce hydrogen sulfide were recovered and utilized as inoculum after being stored on YPDA slants.

Growth evaluation of the recombinants in sugarcane juice must - The recombinants that did not produce hydrogen sulfide were stored on YEPDA slant cultures. Inocula were obtained from these stocks and resuspended in distilled, sterile water amended with 1.5 g L-1 of dry matter content to inoculate 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing 100 mL of must from sterilized sugarcane juice. The concentration of the inoculum was 15.0 mg L-1 dry
mature. Cultures were incubated on a rotatory shaker at 100 rpm, 30°C for 24 h. Aliquots of the wine were then collected, centrifuged three times after washing the pellet with distilled water followed by a 1:10 dilution (v/v) of the original aliquot to determine the optical density at 600 nm absorbance (Beckman DBG spectrophotometer).

**Comparative analysis to assess the kinetic parameters of the selected recombinant**

**Inoculum propagation** - Pure cultures of the recombinant strains and of the isolated strain from the commercial baker yeast were propagated separately according to the following: a cell suspension culture containing 1.5 g L⁻¹ (dry matter) was utilized to inoculate 100 mL of YEPD in 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks at a concentration of 15 mg L⁻¹. Cultures were incubated for 24 h at 30°C and at 100 rpm in a rotatory shaker. The cellular mass produced was used to inoculate three, 4-L fermentation vats containing sugarcane juice must, clarified and sterilized. The fermentation occurred at 30°C and with reed agitation at 100 rpm. At the end of the fermentation, the cellular mass was separated by centrifugation followed by three consecutive washes in sterilized water.

**Comparative assay and evaluated parameters**

- Two treatments were conducted in this assay according to the utilized strain: PF132b4 treatment - selected strain from the fermentation evaluation assay of the recombinants in sugarcane juice must - and the FP treatment - isolated strain from commercial baker yeast. The fermentation process occurred in the fermentation vats under the same incubation conditions. Each treatment had three replications and the concentration of the inoculum was at 4 g L⁻¹ dry matter. Each 4-L fermentation vat contained clarified and sterilized must. In all cases, the cessation of the fermentation process was determined by the end of alcohol production. The following determinations and estimations were carried out: Areometric brix (AOAC, 1995) done on the treated juice, must, and必须 in fermentation; Total reduced sugars (TRS), through the Somogyi & Nelson spectrophotometer method according to Neish (1952); Yeast concentration, via the spectrophotometer method according to Neish (1952); Alcohol content, by utilizing a conversion table for the density value found proposed by Stratford & Keenan (1988); Alcohol content, by utilizing a conversion table for the density value found by the linear regression (Pirt, 1985): ln[X] = µt + b, where: µ = slope (maximum specific growth rate h⁻¹); and b = intercept.

**Monitoring the recombinants during cell recycling** - The cellular mass from the selected strain, necessary for the inoculation of the initial fermentation, was obtained according to the mentioned method. Five consecutive fermentation cycles were carried out with three repetitions each, and cells were recycled until their quantity was enough to reach an inoculum concentration of 4 g L⁻¹. The fermentation conditions were the same mentioned previously. The following parameters were determined at the end of fermentation: cell viability through the methodology described by Borzani & Vairo (1970); recovery percentage of the non-H₂S producing [X₈₀ (%)] trait, by counting colony forming units (CFU) after plating a serial dilution (10⁻² to 10⁻⁷) of the wine on BSA medium. Each dilution had three replicates. The recovery percentage of non-H₂S producing colonies was defined as a result of the following equation: X₈₀ = (total number of cfu – number of H₂S positive cfus)100 / total number of colonies.

**Recombinant recovery from a commercial baker yeast mixed culture** - The inoculum concentration for this assay was 4 g L⁻¹ dry matter, of which 2 g L⁻¹ was from the selected strain and 2 g L⁻¹ from the strain isolated from commercial baker yeast. Three repetitions were carried out in 4-L fermentation vats of must from sterilized sugarcane juice as mentioned previously. Samples were taken at the initial and end of the fermentation process and were diluted from 10⁻⁴ to 10⁻⁷, plated on WLN medium and after 24 h at 30°C, colonies were replicated onto BSA differential medium. Each plating had three replicates. The mean concentration of the non-H₂S (selected strain) and positive H₂S producing (commercial baker yeast) strain were given by CFU mL⁻¹ of sample.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Follow-up of the selected strains** - Six months post-storage with monthly replication, the 13 selected strains were able to maintain the flocculation trait, but not the non-hydrogen sulfide-producing trait.
Selection on the fusion products - The fusion products that showed non-hydrogen sulfide producing colonies were denominated as PF 67b, PF77, PF 132b, and PF170. In all cases, colonies produced this acid and cells derived from these colonies were not able to form visual and detectable flocks in YEPD medium (Table 1).

The instability for the studied yeast traits might have resulted from the taxonomic distance between the original yeast strains (IZ 987 and ABXR11B) (Martins et al., 1999), identified by the differences on their electrophoretic karyotype profiles and by the low similarity coefficient determined by the dendogram derived from RAPD studies. Recent studies suggest that the IZ 987 strain is *Picchia anomala*, which has shown a variable behavior (Anchorena-Matienzo, 2002). This hypothesis is in agreement with Heluane et al. (1993), who reported alterations in their genomes. Therefore, using higher number of original strains when employing this hybridization technique would increase the chances of producing stable recombinants for the desired traits due to a higher probability of obtaining compatibility between parents.

Growth of the strains in sugarcane juice must - Data on the strain growth rates, measured by the optical density (OD) reading at 600 nm, of non-hydrogen sulfide producers in sugarcane juice must 24 h after incubation and at 1:10 dilution (v v⁻¹) are shown in Table 2. The best growth rate was observed for the PF132b4 strain, which was selected to continue the assay, and denominated “treatment PF132b4”.

Comparative analysis to evaluate the kinetic parameters of the selected recombinant - Yeast growth (X), ethanol produced (P), and the substrate concentration (S) during the fermentation process are shown in Figures 1 (PF132b4 treatment) and 2 (FP treatment). Data on the ethanol production utilized the criterium that the fermentation process lasts for 15 h in both treatments. Profiles of yeast behavior were very similar between the strains, and were converted to kinetic parameters (Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>OD₆₀₀ nm</th>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>OD₆₀₀ nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PF67b1</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>PF67b19</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF67b2</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>PF132b1</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF67b3</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>PF132b2</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF67b4</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>PF132b3</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF67b5</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>PF132b4</td>
<td>0.538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF67b6</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>PF132b5</td>
<td>0.292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF67b7</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>PF170a1</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF67b8</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>PF170a2</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF67b9</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>PF170a3</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF67b10</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>PF170a4</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF67b11</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>PF170a5</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF67b12</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>PF170a6</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF67b13</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>PF170a7</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF67b14</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>PF170a8</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF67b15</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>PF170a9</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF67b16</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>PF170a10</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF67b17</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>PF170a11</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF67b18</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 - Optical density (OD) reading at λ = 600 nm, 24 h after fermentation.
The evolution curves for cellular growth of the treatments presented a higher growth rate during the period between the third and sixth hour of fermentation. This period was utilized to estimate the maximum specific growth rate, from linear regressions ln[x] = µ t + b, calculating µ by finite difference: µ = Δln[x]/Δt.

The kinetic parameters did not present differences between strains. Therefore, it was assumed that strain PF132b4 has the same fermentative potential as FP.

Follow-up of the recombinant through cell recycling - At the end of all fermentation processes, the recovery percentage of the non-H₂S producing yeast was 100% and the viability of cells was maintained according to Table 4. The thermal treatment used for the clarification of the fermentation utilizing pure cultures of the selected strain as well as with mixed cultures of a strain isolated in processes that utilize the non-hydrogen sulfide-producing trait as a marker.

CONCLUSIONS

The strains submitted to the transformation technique through protoplast fusion did not produce recombinants that kept both traits, non-hydrogen sulfide production and flocculation, simultaneously. The selected strain PF132b4 produced similar kinetic parameters in relation to that isolated from a commercial baker yeast, which indicated that the selected strain could be used in biotechnological processes of alcoholic fermentation. The differential BSA medium was suitable for the evaluation of inoculum recycling, and gave coherent results regarding the fermentation utilizing pure cultures of the selected strain as well as with mixed cultures of a strain isolated from a commercial baker yeast.
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