PHOSPHORUS AVAILABILITY CHANGES THE INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL ENDOMYCORRHIZAL COLONIZATION AND AFFECTS SYMBIOTIC EFFECTIVENESS
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ABSTRACT: Despite the awareness that high phosphorus (P) availability decreases the mycorrhizal root colonization in higher plants, the effects on the external mycelium are contradicting. The aim of this work was to assess the external mycelium of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) Glomus intraradices and Gigaspora rosea, associated to soybean under increasing P levels (0, 25, 50, 100 and 200 mg kg$^{-1}$). The tested hypotheses were: a) the amount of external mycelium depends on the fungal species; b) the P levels affect differentially each mycorrhizal species; c) the mycorrhizal effectiveness depends on the amount of external mycelium. Mycorrhizal stimulus on plant biomass occurred at P levels of 0, 25 and 200 mg kg$^{-1}$. Mycorrhizal root colonization and total external mycelium (TEM) decreased with increasing P levels. At zero P level, G. rosea produced less TEM than G. intraradices, which may be related to the lower mycorrhizal effectiveness for G. rosea. Both AMF increased the active external mycelium along P levels, but this increase was not related with symbiotic effectiveness. TEM was found to be closely related to root colonization and mycorrhizal effectiveness. The root colonization and TEM are mutually affected by P availability. Although G. intraradices produced more TEM than G. rosea along the P levels, they seemed to affect similarly the TEM for both AMF. More AMF species should be assessed in order to attest whether P levels affect the external mycelium differentially among them.
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DISPONIBILIDADE DE FÓSFORO ALTERA A COLONIZAÇÃO ENDOMICORRÍZICA INTERNA E EXTERNA E AFETA A EFICIÊNCIA SIMBIÓTICA

RESUMO: Apesar do conhecimento de que a disponibilidade de fósforo diminui a colonização micorrízica, os efeitos sobre o micélio externo são contraditórios. O objetivo desse trabalho foi avaliar o micélio externo dos fungos micorrízicos arbusculares (FMA) Glomus intraradices e Gigaspora rosea, associados à soja, sob doses crescentes de P (0, 25, 50, 100 e 200 mg kg$^{-1}$). As hipóteses foram: a) a quantidade de micélio externo depende da espécie fúngica; b) cada espécie fúngica é afetada diferentemente pelas doses de P; c) a eficiência micorrízica depende da quantidade de micélio externo. Os FMA estimularam a produção de biomassa pela planta nas doses 0, 25 e 200 mg kg$^{-1}$ de P. A colonização micorrízica e o micélio externo total (MET) diminuíram com o aumento das doses de P. Na dose zero de P, G. rosea produziu menos MET que G. intraradices, o que pode explicar uma menor eficiência simbiótica dessa espécie. Ambos FMA apresentaram aumento do micélio externo ativo com o aumento das doses de P, mas isso não teve relação com a eficiência simbiótica. O MET apresentou correlação com a colonização radicular e com a eficiência micorrízica. A colonização radicular e o MET são mutuamente afetados pela disponibilidade de P. Embora G. intraradices tenha produzido mais MET que G. rosea em todas as doses de P, estas afetaram similarmente o MET em ambos FMA. Mais fungos micorrízicos devem ser testados para avaliar se a disponibilidade de P afeta diferencialmente o micélio externo entre as espécies.
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INTRODUCTION

The main contribution of arbuscular mycorrhiza to plant growth is generally considered to be the improved mineral nutrition, mainly with respect to phosphorus (P). The mechanism by which the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) mines the soil for P is based on a greater exploration of the soil by the...
external mycelium, that can extend beyond the rhizosphere and amount up to more than 100 m g⁻¹ of soil (Miller et al., 1995; Nogueira & Cardoso, 2000).

Although AMF are ubiquitous in nature and colonize several hosts, not all species are effective in improving plant growth (Dodd et al., 2000). For example, *Gigaspora* sp. was observed to be not so effective in soybean (Nogueira & Cardoso, 2000) and *Stylophanthes guianensis* (Lambais & Cardoso, 1990), but was very effective in perennial plants (Antunes et al., 1988). An AMF species with a larger production of external mycelium is expected to be more effective in transferring nutrients to the plant. However, more external mycelium production represents more carbon drain from the host.

The most important factor influencing mycorrhizal symbiosis seems to be soil P availability. Andrade et al. (2005) observed that increasing P levels reduced the mycorrhizal external hyphae. Nevertheless, several authors found exactly the opposite (Cardoso-Filho et al., 1999; Melloni & Cardoso, 1999b; Neumann & George, 2005). These contradictions may be caused by factors related to the host plant, endophyte, or environment.

The objective of this research was to assess the total and active external mycelium of *Glomus intraradices* and *Gigaspora rosea* on soybean under increasing P levels. The hypotheses assessed were: a) the amount of external mycelium depends on the mycorrhizal species; b) P levels affect each endophyte in a different way in relation to the external mycelium; and c) mycorrhizal effectiveness is related to the amount of external mycelium.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Plants were grown on a sandy substrate in order to make the extraction of the external mycelium easier and to reduce the chemical fixation of P. Three parts of the top layer (0-0.2 m) of an acidic sandy soil (Typic Quartzipsamment) and one part of coarse washed sand were mixed in order to obtain the substrate. After homogenization, it was autoclaved (121°C/2 h) and a subsequent chemical analysis showed the following results: pH (CaCl₂) 3.9; organic matter: 12 g kg⁻¹; P (resin method) and S: 3 and 23 mg kg⁻¹, respectively; K, Ca, Mg, Al and H+Al: 2.2, 7.0, 3.0, 9.0, and 22 mmol dm⁻³, respectively; B, Cu, Fe, Mn, Zn and Na: 0.3, 0.4, 64, 21, 2 and 5 mg kg⁻¹, respectively. Polyethylene pots received 3.2 kg (=2.5 dm³) of the substrate mixed thoroughly with 2.25 g of CaCO₃, in order to raise the pH to 5.0. After two weeks, each pot received 90 mg of K (KCl) and 43 mg of S (CaSO₄.2H₂O).

Phosphorus was supplied at five levels (0, 25, 50, 100 or 200 mg kg⁻¹) [as ground (<0.23 mm) triple superphosphate, thoroughly mixed into the substrate] resulting in, respectively, 4, 19, 33, 77 and 138 mg kg⁻¹ of available P, just before sowing. Each P level was combined with either the AMF *Gigaspora rosea*, the AMF *Glomus intraradices*, or a non-inoculated control, in order to form a 5 × 3 factorial arrangement with four replications, in an entirely randomized experimental design. These two AMF were chosen because in earlier research they were found to be very contrasting with respect to mycorrhizal effectiveness on soybean (Nogueira & Cardoso, 2000) and their hyphal morphology (Dodd et al., 2000). The AMF inoculum consisted of about 250 spores per pot for each endophyte, extracted from multiplication pots in pure culture on *Brachiaria decumbens* as host plant. All pots received 10 mL of a soil suspension (non autoclaved soil suspended in water, 1:4 w/v) passed through a set of screens, the last one with 44 μm of mash, in order to restore a similar microbial community, except native AMF.

Soybean seeds (cv. IAC 8) were previously surface-sterilized with 70% ethanol for 1 min, 2% sodium hypochlorite for 3 min, and rinsed several times with distilled water. Each pot received six seeds that were thinned to two plantlets after emergence. At this moment, 5 mL of a cell suspension (10⁹ mL⁻¹) of *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (Semia 587 and Semia 5019) was poured jointly to the plantlets’ stems. Plants were kept for 80 days in the greenhouse at a temperature range from 20°C (night) to 35°C (day), relative humidity of 60 to 90%, PAR from 600 to 1200 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ on cloudy and sunny days, respectively, and received distilled water whenever necessary. Each pot received 50 mL of Hoagland’s micronutrient solution every two weeks.

At the end of the experimental period, shoots and roots were washed individually in a sequence of tap water, 0.1 mol L⁻¹ HCl, and twice in distilled water. After root fresh weight determination, 2 g were stored in FAA solution (50 mL ethanol, 12.5 mL formaldehyde, 2.5 mL glacial acetic acid in 100 mL distilled water) until root colonization assessments (Phillips & Hayman, 1970; Giovannetti & Mosse, 1980). Nodules and pods were detached from roots and shoots, respectively. All parts of the plant were assessed for dry biomass as usual. The mycorrhizal effectiveness (ME %) was based on the difference between the shoot dry biomass (including pods) of mycorrhizal (SDBm) and non-mycorrhizal (SDBnm) plants in relation to the SDBnm plants [ME % = (SDBm – SDBnm)*100/SDBnm]. Shoots and pods were ground separately and submitted to chemical analysis for P.
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The total external mycelium (TEM) (Cardoso-Filho et al., 1999) and active external mycelium (AEM) (Sylvia, 1992), both modified by Melloni & Cardoso (1999a), were estimated in the substrate. Briefly, 10 g of wet soil were shaken in 1,500 mL of water in a blender for 30 s. After settling for 2 min, 500 mL of the supernatant were passed through a sieve of 44 μm mesh. The retained material was resuspended in 10 mL of phosphate buffer pH 7.4. An aliquot of this suspension was treated with fluorescein diacetate, an esterase substrate, which, after hydrolysis, fluoresces when excited under UV, indicating the active part of the mycelium. After incubation for five minutes in the dark, the aliquot was filtered under vacuum onto a squared (3 × 3 mm) nitrocellulose membrane. Counting was performed at 400-x magnification under UV in a microscope adapted with a grid line ocular in 64 fields on the membrane surface. The TEM was counted in the same sample under white light. According to this method, the hyphae (active or total) that contact the grid line of the ocular are counted and the mycelial length is estimated by means of Newman’s equation (Newman, 1966), considering the dilution rate, aliquot volume, number of fields observed, membrane area and number of hyphae contacting or crossing the lines in the ocular grid.

The analysis of variance was calculated with the procedure GLM of the statistical software SAS (SAS, 1991). In the interaction between AMF and P levels, the AMF effect in each P level was compared by means of the Student’s t test, while the effects of P levels in each mycorrhizal treatment were tested by regression analysis (P < 5%). Simple regression analysis was established between TEM and root colonization and between TEM and mycorrhizal effectiveness for each mycorrhizal species.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The interaction between P levels and AMF was significant (P < 0.05) in all assessed variables (data not shown). Positive effects of AMF on total plant dry weight were observed at P levels of 0, 25 and 200 mg kg⁻¹ (Figure 1). The mycorrhizal stimulus occurred mainly for shoots and pods and was less pronounced in roots and nodules. The significant differences were the same for total DW, shoot and pod DW at 0 and 25 mg kg⁻¹ of P; and for total DW, pod, shoot, root and nodule DW at 200 mg kg⁻¹ P. Although growth promotion caused by mycorrhizae is very common and well known, in this case there was no response at the intermediate P levels (50 and 100 mg kg⁻¹). At zero P, the extremely low P availability in the substrate (initially 4 mg kg⁻¹) probably was responsible for not allowing G. rosea to show a significant increase in plant growth. Similar results were observed for sorghum plants (Bressan et al., 2001) under extremely low P availability. At P levels of 50 and 100 mg kg⁻¹ plant growth was the same, regardless their mycorrhizal status. There is a range of P availability in which plant response to mycorrhiza is positive. In the case of extremely low P or enough available P, plants usually do not respond to mycorrhiza. Therefore, it was not expected to find plants benefited by mycorrhizal symbiosis at the highest P level. However, other mechanisms could have contributed to the enhanced growth of mycorrhizal plants at this condition, including hormonal effects of AMF or other microorganisms in the mycorrhizosphere (Barea et al., 2002).

P concentrations in shoots followed a quadratic increase along the P levels, while P concentrations in pods followed a linear increase (Figures 2A and 2B), irrespective to mycorrhiza. Similar P concentrations in shoots were found when soybean plants had received up to 100 mg kg⁻¹ P in an oxidic soil (Bressan et al., 2001). At 200 mg kg⁻¹ P, however, our results were three times higher. This is attributed to higher P fixation in the clayey, oxidice soil (only 34 mg kg⁻¹ of available P) as compared to the sandy soil here used (138 mg kg⁻¹ of available P).

Mycorrhizal root colonization decreased sharply with P addition (Figure 3A). The level fell from about 70% to less than 20% at the highest P level. In this case, G. rosea was more sensitive to P addition and followed a quadratic decrease in comparison to G. intraradices that followed a linear decrease. Nevertheless, the root colonization was similar at the highest P level. Even reduced, the mycorrhizal colonization was enough to increase total plant DW at this P level. Similar decrease in root colonization was ob-
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served in a previous study, conducted under similar conditions (Nogueira & Cardoso, 2000). In that case, *Gigaspora margarita* showed greater root colonization than *G. intraradices* at 100 and 200 mg kg\(^{-1}\) of P. In addition, *G. margarita* colonized the root system more slowly, a behavior that could explain in part its lower effectiveness in soybean, when compared to *G. intraradices* (Figure 3B). The mycorrhizal effectiveness was very evident at 0 and 25 mg kg\(^{-1}\) of P, but *G. intraradices* was much more effective than *G. rosea* at P level zero.

Considering the treatments with AMF, the AEM increased linearly along the P levels and was similar for both AMF (Figure 4A). In contrast, TEM fell in a quadratic way in response to P increase (Figure 4B).

\[
yC = 0.0001x^2 - 0.0034x + 0.40 \quad R^2 = 0.95 \quad **
\]
\[
yGr = 0.0001x^2 - 0.0122x + 0.95 \quad R^2 = 0.98 \quad **
\]
\[
yGi = 0.0001x^2 + 0.55 \quad R^2 = 0.96 \quad **
\]

\[
yC = 0.0001x^2 + 0.40 \quad R^2 = 0.95 \quad **
\]
\[
yGr = 0.0001x^2 + 2.1 \quad R^2 = 0.94 \quad **
\]
\[
yGi = 0.0001x^2 + 2.7 \quad R^2 = 0.97 \quad **
\]

\[
yGr = 0.026x + 3.8 \quad R^2 = 0.88 \quad **
\]
\[
yGi = 0.0001x^2 - 0.0122x + 0.95 \quad R^2 = 0.98 \quad **
\]

\[
yGr = 0.0001x^2 - 0.0011x + 0.55 \quad R^2 = 0.96 \quad **
\]
\[
yGi = 0.0001x^2 - 0.0034x + 0.40 \quad R^2 = 0.95 \quad **
\]

\[
yGr = 0.0001x^2 - 0.83x + 79.9 \quad R^2 = 0.88 \quad **
\]
\[
yGi = 0.0001x^2 - 0.12x + 14.5 \quad R^2 = 0.99 \quad **
\]

\[
yGr = 0.0001x^2 - 0.12x + 21.8 \quad R^2 = 0.98 \quad **
\]
\[
yGi = 0.0001x^2 - 0.83x + 79.9 \quad R^2 = 0.88 \quad **
\]

\[
yGr = 0.0001x^2 - 0.12x + 14.5 \quad R^2 = 0.99 \quad **
\]

\[
yC = -0.0032x + 1.2 \quad R^2 = 0.45 \quad *
\]
\[
yGr = 0.0068x + 0.55 \quad R^2 = 0.78 \quad **
\]
\[
yGi = 0.0043x + 0.8 \quad R^2 = 0.89 \quad **
\]

\[
yGr = 0.0025x^2 - 0.83x + 79.9 \quad R^2 = 0.96 \quad **
\]
\[
yGr = 0.0004x^2 - 0.11x + 14.5 \quad R^2 = 0.99 \quad **
\]

\[
yC = -0.284x + 68.3 \quad R^2 = 0.83 \quad **
\]
\[
yGr = 0.0025x^2 - 0.83x + 79.9 \quad R^2 = 0.88 \quad **
\]
\[
yGi = 0.0002x^2 - 0.12x + 21.8 \quad R^2 = 0.98 \quad **
\]

\[
yGr = 0.1063x + 4.21 \quad R^2 = 0.88 \quad **
\]
\[
yGi = 0.0004x^2 - 0.11x + 14.5 \quad R^2 = 0.99 \quad **
\]

\[
yGr = 0.0002x^2 - 0.11x + 14.5 \quad R^2 = 0.99 \quad **
\]

\[
yC = 14.01 \quad NS
\]
\[
yGr = 0.1063x + 4.21 \quad R^2 = 0.88 \quad **
\]
\[
yGi = 0.0004x^2 + 2.24 \quad R^2 = 0.98 \quad **
\]
4B). These results conflict with previous findings in which the AEM decreased with P increase (Nogueira & Cardoso, 2000) or remained stable (Cardoso-Filho et al., 1999; Nogueira & Cardoso, 2006) but corroborate another work in which the AEM increased, depending on the host plant (Melloni & Cardoso, 1999b). The amount of AEM, less than 2.0 m g⁻¹, was comparable to that found in citrus experiments (Melloni & Cardoso, 1999b), but lower than in experiments with maize (Cardoso-Filho et al., 1999), who found up to 12 m g⁻¹, depending on the P level and plant age. Probably the host plant exerts direct effect on the AEM production. In addition, the life cycle of the soybean plant could have contributed to lessen the AEM. During the reproductive stage (grain formation, as was the case) there is strong C drain from roots to shoots, and the symbionts in the roots starve. As a result, less metabolically active mycorrhizal mycelium would be formed.

The amount of TEM found in this experiment was comparable to previous findings (Sylvia, 1988; Cardoso-Filho et al., 1999; Andrade et al., 2005; Nogueira & Cardoso, 2006). Other evaluations for hyphal lengths of filamentous fungi under natural conditions, however, reached even much higher values, up to more than 100 m cm⁻³ of soil (Miller et al., 1995). Although the differentiation of mycorrhizal hyphae from that of other filamentous fungi in the soil is quite difficult (Sylvia, 1992), at least 35 to 70% of the total is expected to be from mycorrhizal fungi (Cardoso-Filho et al., 1999). Although at lower amount, some AEM and TEM were found in the control treatments (Figure 4A, 4B). It must be emphasized that the soil was reinoculated with a suspension of original soil in order to reestablish the native microbial community, except native AMF. In this case, the mycelium found in the substrate was originated from other non-mycorrhizal filamentous fungi. As stated above, the distinction of mycorrhizal from non-mycorrhizal hyphae is not always possible (Sylvia, 1992). However, given that no trace of mycorrhizal root colonization was found in the controls, it can surely be affirmed that the mycelium found in that treatment were not mycorrhizal.

The proportion between AEM/TEM (Figure 4C) was similar between AMF and increased linearly with P levels, ranging from less than 4% to more than 20% at 0 and 200 mg kg⁻¹ of P, respectively. These results are exactly the opposite of others (Cardoso-Filho et al., 1999) in which the ratio between AEM to TEM decreased with P increase in the substrate. Many factors, such as initial P levels in the soil, P fixation in the soil, P sources and their solubilities, may affect the AEM/TEM proportion (Cardoso-Filho et al., 1999). Moreover, some factors such as host age and host and soil types probably also affect this proportion.

In opposition to AEM, the TEM was very sensitive to increasing P levels in the substrate (Figure 4B). At the highest P level, the amounts did not differ from those of the AMF-free control. Similar decrease in TEM was observed previously in response to increasing P (Andrade et al., 2005), but in some cases there were increases in TEM with P addition in the substrate (Cardoso-Filho et al., 1999; Neumann & George, 2005) or no change (Nogueira & Cardoso, 2006). However, soil type and host plant were different in those experiments. G. rosea produced less TEM than G. intraradices at P levels lower than 200 mg kg⁻¹. Nevertheless, the increasing P availability was harmful for both. Thus, G. intraradices produced about 22 m g⁻¹ of TEM at the 0 P level, while G. rosea produced only 15 m g⁻¹ in the same condition. The amount of TEM from G. intraradices was similar in previous work under low P condition, in which other Glomus sp. species produced less TEM (Andrade et al., 2005). When P levels increased, G. rosea intrinsically continued producing less TEM than G. intraradices. Previous findings showed that Gigaspora was usually less effective on Styllosanthes guianensis and soybean growth than Glomus species (Lambais & Cardoso, 1990; Nogueira & Cardoso, 2000). It is possible that the lower effectiveness of Gigaspora on soybean growth was partially due to the lower external mycelium production in symbiosis with this plant.

Another point to consider is that TEM increases along the time after the establishment of the symbiosis (Nogueira & Cardoso, 2006). AEM, which represents only the percentage of hyphae that are active at the moment at which the host plant is harvested, may be a much more dynamic figure, with periods of increases and decreases during the host’s life cycle. Therefore, TEM is much more reliable than AEM for detecting mycorrhizal effectiveness.

Under extreme P deficiency, the greater amount of external mycelium produced by G. intraradices may have helped the plant, when compared to G. rosea. Indeed, G. intraradices produced more TEM than G. rosea along all P levels. In addition, the mycorrhizal effectiveness for G. rosea was less interrelated to the total external mycelium length than it was for G. intraradices (Figure 5A). In the case here reported, the internal root colonization also showed strong relationship with the TEM for both AMF (Figure 5B). This seems to suggest that any feature affecting the internal phase of the endophyte do also affect the external one. In this experiment, P levels seemed to affect similarly the root colonization and TEM for both AMF. In order to attest whether P levels affect the external mycelium differentially among AMF species, a wider range of species should be tested.
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