MICROSATELLITE MARKERS FOR IDENTIFICATION OF A GROUP OF ITALIAN OLIVE ACCESSIONS
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ABSTRACT: Cultivar characterization for fruit trees certification requires fast, efficient and reliable techniques. Microsatellite markers (SSR) were used in the molecular characterization of 23 genotypes of *Olea europaea* subsp. *europaea*. The DNA from the olive cultivars was analyzed using nine pre-selected SSR primers (GAPU59, GAPU71A, GAPU71B, GAPU103A, UDO99-01, UDO99-12, UDO99-28 and UDO99-39) and revealed 29 alleles, which allowed each genotype to be identified. In the dendrogram, the nine primers allowed the 23 olive genotypes to be grouped into subgroups corresponding to the same cultivar denominations. SSR markers proved to be efficient and reliable for the molecular characterization of Italian olive cultivars.
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IDENTICAÇÃO DE GRUPOS DE CULTIVARES ITALIANOS DE OLIVA COM MARCADORES MICROSSATÉLITES

RESUMO: A caracterização de cultivares na produção de mudas certificadas exige técnicas rápidas, eficientes e confiáveis. Marcadores microssatélites (SSR) foram utilizados objetivando a caracterização molecular de 23 genótipos de *Olea europaea* subsp. *europaea*. O DNA das cultivares foi analisado por meio de nove primers SSR pré-selecionados (GAPU59, GAPU71A, GAPU71B, GAPU103A, UDO99-01, UDO99-12, UDO99-28 and UDO99-39) e revelaram um total de 29 alelos que permitiram individualizar cada um dos genótipos. No dendrograma, os nove primers permitiram a separação dos 23 genótipos, em subgrupos. Os SSR foram eficientes e confiáveis para a caracterização molecular de cultivares italiane de oliva.
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INTRODUCTION

The species *Olea europaea* includes several subspecies with different morphological traits and geographical origins (Green, 2002). The cultivated olive (subsp. *europaea*) is an evergreen tree with extreme longevity adapted to Mediterranean climates. The Italian olive germplasm is estimated to include over 680 accessions and at least 1300 synonyms (Bartolini et al., 2005; Fiorino et al., 2005), most of which are landraces vegetatively propagated at the farm level since ancient times. The systematic collection and subsequent description and characterization of Italian olive cultivars in specific catalogue fields began in Italy in the 1980s while a nationwide collection was begun in 1997 by CRA-OLI of Rende. The goal of such collections is to safeguard all cultivars, and particularly the minor ones, to avoid a loss in genetic diversity and to offer an interesting genetic basis for breeding programs.

Management of the CRA-OLI olive collection entails description of its genetic diversity for a reliable characterization of all accessions due to the existence of several cases of mislabelling, homonymy and synonymy. Large efforts have been made in characterizing olive germplasms using morphological and agro-nomic traits (Lombardo et al., 2004; Khadari et al., 2007) and/or different types of biochemical and molecular markers (Muzzalupo & Perri, 2008). To evaluate relationships among numerous olive varieties, previous studies have used isozymes (Lumaret et al., 2004), RAPDs (Fabbri et al., 1995; Muzzalupo et al., 2007b), AFLPs (Angiolillo et al., 1999), RFLPs (Cavallotti et al., 2003). Several microsatellites have
been isolated from olives (Carriero et al.; 2002; Cipriani et al., 2002; de la Rosa et al., 2002; Rallo et al., 2000; Sefc et al., 2000), and the SSR markers have been particularly used for cultivar identification (Baldoni et al., 2006; Charafi et al., 2008; Muzzalupo et al., 2006a, 2008, 2009; Rekik et al., 2008), genetic mapping (Venkateswarlu et al., 2006) and also recently allowed polyploidy detection in the olive complex (Besnard et al., 2008). The present study was initiated to evaluate the level of polymorphism and reproducibility of set of published SSRs and for characterizing intraspecific variation among cultivated accessions of olive. The degree of genetic diversity was assessed on a panel of Italian cultivars used for oil production in Central Italy (Tuscany region).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Twenty three olive tree accessions classified morphologically as belonging to four important cultivars grown in the same geographical area and corresponding to part of the regional autochthon Tuscan olive germplasm were used (Table 1). Samples of olive leaves were harvested from growing plants from the olive germplasm collection of the CRA-OLI at Rende, Italy and the genetic correspondence and sanitary state of the olive trees were certified, according to the requirements of the Council Directive of the European Union.

Total genomic DNA was extracted from fresh leaves following the CTAB method described by Muzzalupo & Perri (2002). DNA was quantified by H33258 dye incorporation detected by a Hoefer DyNA Quant® 200 fluorometer (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Milan, Italy). Genomic DNA was stored undiluted in TE 1X pH 8.0 (10 mmol L⁻¹ Tris, 1 mmol L⁻¹ EDTA) at -20°C.

Nine published SSRs were pre-selected for their high level of polymorphism and easily scorable patterns. They were: GAPU59, GAPU71A, GAPU71B, and GAPU103A (Carriero et al., 2002), and UDO99-01, UDO99-12, UDO99-28, and UDO99-39 (Cipriani et al., 2002). The procedure for SSR amplification was carried out as described by Muzzalupo et al. (2006a). PCR products were analyzed using a Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Tecnologies, Waldbronn, Germany) on a DNA 500 LabChip (Muzzalupo et al., 2007a) that provided the exact base pair length of any amplified product.

Data were processed using POPGENE 32 (Yeh et al., 1997). The software allowed calculation of the number of alleles, their frequency and their observed and expected heterozygosities (Ho and He, respectively; Nei, 1973). The probability of null alleles was estimated according to the formula of Brookfield (1996): $r = (He - Ho)/(1 + He)$. The SSRs loci discrimination power (PD) was calculated according to Brenner & Morris, 1990. Genetic relationships between olive genotypes were studied on the basis of SSR data using the same software to calculate the genetic identity (Nei, 1972) between olive accessions. Nei (1972) defined the normalized identity between two randomly mating diploid populations as:

$$I = \frac{\sum x_i y_j}{(\sum x_i^2)(\sum y_j^2)}$$

where $x_i$ and $y_j$ are the frequencies of the $i^{th}$ allele at the $j^{th}$ locus in populations $X$ and $Y$, respectively. A tree was then inferred using the UPGMA (Unweighted Pair Group Method using an Arithmetic average) clustering algorithm.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

SSR Markers characteristics.

A total of 29 alleles over 9 loci were observed. All microsatellites were polymorphic, except for UDO99-01, which was monomorphic in all the olive accessions analyzed. It was then excluded for statistic analyses. Table 2 provides indications related to the useful-
ness primer pairs for further characterization of the varieties analyzed in the present paper. An average of 3.5 alleles per locus was amplified, ranging from 2.0 at GAPU71A to 4.0 at GAPU71B, GAPU103A, UDO99-03, UDO99-12 and UDO99-28. This is comparable to the number of alleles among olive cultivars reported by Cipriani et al. (2002), but somewhat lower than that published by Lopes et al. (2004), probably because it included a large number of foreign cultivars. The shortest allele among the eight polymorphic loci was allele 124 bp at GAPU71B, while the longest was 224 bp at GAPU71A.

The observed heterozygosity (Ho) for the 23 accessions ranged from 0.2174 at UDO99-03 to 0.9130 at UDO99-28 with a mean value of 0.5489. The expected heterozygosity (He) ranged from 0.2174 at GAPU71A to 0.7585 at UDO99-03, with a mean value of 0.5910. A possible explanation of such a deficit is the occurrence of homozygous at UDO99-03 locus. However, in our study the average expected heterozygosity amounted to 0.5910, in line with Diaz et al. (2006) who reported how, on the whole, the microsatellites developed in olive, and more in general for the majority of out-cross species which are clonally propagated, seem to be characterized by medium levels of heterozygosity. At three of the eight polymorphic loci, the expected heterozygosity was higher than the observed values. In contrast, it was lower than the observed values at loci GAPU59, GAPU71A, GAPU71B, UDO99-12 and UDO99-28. As a consequence, the probability of occurrence of null alleles (r) was positive at those loci.

To assess the ability of the molecular markers to discriminate genotypes, the discrimination power (PD) was computed for each SSR locus (except for UDO99-01 locus). The discrimination power varied from 0.416 at UDO99-28 to 0.813 at UDO99-12. The combined PD of all loci is 0.9996 which means that the probability of finding two cultivars with the same genotype combination for the eight SSR markers is 1 over thousands, indicating the elevated discrimination of the marker system used. The GAPU71B-UDO99-12 pair was the most discriminating (0.955). The combined PD value of the best 3-locus combinations was GAPU71B-UDO99-03-UDO99-12 (0.984).

The lowest allele frequency (0.0652) (data not shown) was observed in allele 157 bp of the GAPU103A locus in ‘Moraiolo 2’, ‘Moraiolo 4’ and ‘Moraiolo 6’. The allele 214 bp of the low polymorphic locus GAPU71A showed the highest frequency (0.8043). The SSR profile for each individual analysed are reported in Table 3. The level of polymorphism and the associated information content is a crucial criterion for the choice of a particular set of loci. However, marker polymorphism also varies according to the number and origin of the plants analyzed.

### Genetic diversity levels

For the 23 olive accessions (belonging to the four denominations ‘Leccino’, ‘Maurino’, ‘Moriaiolo’ and ‘Pendolino’), 22 SSR profiles were obtained. Data generated by SSR analysis were analyzed using the Nei’s identity index. All cultivars were readily separated from each other, except the ‘Leccino 3’ and ‘Leccino 5’ that are genetically indistinguishable from one another (I = 1.000). The identity value ranged from 0.154 for ‘Leccino 5’ and ‘Maurino 2’, and 0.966 for the ‘Leccino 6’ and ‘Leccino 8’, showing the high degree of inter-varietal genetic diversity at the DNA level.

### Genetic relationships between olive cultivars

Olive genotypes were grouped by cluster analysis as shown in the dendrogram (Figure 1) based on Nei’s
genetic identity. Four main clusters distinguished individuals at the variety level, in fact, accessions belonging to the same variety clustered together. The first included all ‘Leccino’ accessions (I ranged from 0.741 to 1.000), the second which showed identity ranging from 0.846 to 0.963 contained all ‘Maurino’ samples, the third contained the four ‘Moraiolo’ accessions (I from 0.815 to 0.963) and the fourth all ‘Pendolino’ samples (I from 0.846 to 0.963). All cluster can be subdivided in two or three sub-clusters (a, b and/or c, Figure 1). In the case of slightly different patterns we considered that such differences were too few to have originated through sexual reproduction, olive being an out-crossing species with a highly heterozygous genome. We could thus suspect the occurrence of mutations at microsatellite loci as it was shown on ramets of the same individual in Laperrine’s olive populations (Baali-Cherif & Besnard, 2005).

Utility of SSR loci in olive cultivar identification

SSR markers can be valuable for distinguishing and identifying olive varieties, since all cultivars are uniquely characterized. The cluster distribution emphasizes the existence of recognizable genetic similarities within

Table 3 - Genotype profiles obtained from the combination of the nine SSR loci.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leccino 1</td>
<td>208-208</td>
<td>214-224</td>
<td>124-130</td>
<td>150-150</td>
<td>144-144</td>
<td>143-143</td>
<td>177-193</td>
<td>154-205</td>
<td>213-213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leccino 2</td>
<td>212-222</td>
<td>214-224</td>
<td>124-130</td>
<td>150-150</td>
<td>144-144</td>
<td>143-150</td>
<td>177-193</td>
<td>154-154</td>
<td>213-213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leccino 3</td>
<td>212-222</td>
<td>214-214</td>
<td>124-130</td>
<td>150-150</td>
<td>144-144</td>
<td>143-143</td>
<td>166-193</td>
<td>154-205</td>
<td>213-213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leccino 4</td>
<td>212-212</td>
<td>214-224</td>
<td>124-130</td>
<td>150-150</td>
<td>144-144</td>
<td>143-143</td>
<td>166-193</td>
<td>154-205</td>
<td>213-213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leccino 5</td>
<td>212-222</td>
<td>214-214</td>
<td>124-130</td>
<td>150-150</td>
<td>144-144</td>
<td>143-143</td>
<td>166-193</td>
<td>154-205</td>
<td>213-213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leccino 6</td>
<td>212-222</td>
<td>214-224</td>
<td>124-144</td>
<td>150-150</td>
<td>144-144</td>
<td>143-143</td>
<td>177-193</td>
<td>154-205</td>
<td>213-220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leccino 7</td>
<td>212-212</td>
<td>214-214</td>
<td>124-130</td>
<td>150-150</td>
<td>144-144</td>
<td>143-143</td>
<td>166-193</td>
<td>154-205</td>
<td>213-213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leccino 8</td>
<td>212-222</td>
<td>214-224</td>
<td>124-144</td>
<td>150-150</td>
<td>144-144</td>
<td>143-143</td>
<td>177-193</td>
<td>154-205</td>
<td>213-213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maurino 1</td>
<td>208-212</td>
<td>214-224</td>
<td>144-144</td>
<td>184-184</td>
<td>144-144</td>
<td>150-150</td>
<td>177-193</td>
<td>182-210</td>
<td>220-220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maurino 2</td>
<td>208-208</td>
<td>214-224</td>
<td>144-144</td>
<td>159-184</td>
<td>144-144</td>
<td>150-150</td>
<td>177-182</td>
<td>182-210</td>
<td>220-220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maurino 3</td>
<td>208-212</td>
<td>214-224</td>
<td>144-144</td>
<td>159-184</td>
<td>144-144</td>
<td>150-150</td>
<td>177-182</td>
<td>182-210</td>
<td>220-220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maurino 4</td>
<td>208-212</td>
<td>214-224</td>
<td>130-144</td>
<td>159-184</td>
<td>144-144</td>
<td>150-150</td>
<td>177-193</td>
<td>182-210</td>
<td>220-220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moraio1 1</td>
<td>212-222</td>
<td>214-214</td>
<td>124-130</td>
<td>150-150</td>
<td>144-144</td>
<td>182-182</td>
<td>177-193</td>
<td>154-205</td>
<td>213-213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moraio1 2</td>
<td>212-222</td>
<td>214-214</td>
<td>124124</td>
<td>150-157</td>
<td>144-144</td>
<td>166-182</td>
<td>177-193</td>
<td>154-205</td>
<td>213-213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moraio1 3</td>
<td>212-222</td>
<td>214-214</td>
<td>124124</td>
<td>150-150</td>
<td>144-144</td>
<td>182-182</td>
<td>177-193</td>
<td>154-154</td>
<td>213-213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moraio1 4</td>
<td>212-222</td>
<td>214-214</td>
<td>124-130</td>
<td>150-157</td>
<td>144-144</td>
<td>182-182</td>
<td>177-193</td>
<td>154-205</td>
<td>213-213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moraio1 5</td>
<td>212-222</td>
<td>214-214</td>
<td>124124</td>
<td>150-150</td>
<td>144-144</td>
<td>182-182</td>
<td>177-193</td>
<td>154-205</td>
<td>213-213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moraio1 6</td>
<td>212-222</td>
<td>214-214</td>
<td>124-130</td>
<td>150-157</td>
<td>144-144</td>
<td>166-182</td>
<td>177-193</td>
<td>154-205</td>
<td>213-213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pendolino1</td>
<td>212-222</td>
<td>214-214</td>
<td>126-130</td>
<td>150-159</td>
<td>144-144</td>
<td>166-166</td>
<td>177-177</td>
<td>154-205</td>
<td>205-205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pendolino2</td>
<td>212-222</td>
<td>214-214</td>
<td>126-130</td>
<td>150-150</td>
<td>144-144</td>
<td>150-166</td>
<td>177-177</td>
<td>154-205</td>
<td>205-213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pendolino3</td>
<td>222-222</td>
<td>214-214</td>
<td>126-130</td>
<td>150-150</td>
<td>144-144</td>
<td>166-166</td>
<td>177-182</td>
<td>154-205</td>
<td>205-205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pendolino4</td>
<td>212-222</td>
<td>214-214</td>
<td>126-130</td>
<td>150-150</td>
<td>144-144</td>
<td>150-166</td>
<td>177-182</td>
<td>154-205</td>
<td>205-205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pendolino5</td>
<td>212-222</td>
<td>214-214</td>
<td>126-130</td>
<td>150-150</td>
<td>144-144</td>
<td>166-166</td>
<td>177-177</td>
<td>154-205</td>
<td>205-213</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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CARRIERO, F.; FONTANAZZA, G.; CELLINI, F.; GIORIO, G. New varieties of the olive (Olea europaea L.) but also for characterizing intra-specific variations among cultivated olive accessions.
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