Swine manure digestate treatment using electrocoagulation
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ABSTRACT: Anaerobic biodigestion is an appropriate alternative for the treatment of swine wastewater due to its biogas generation properties and the possibility of its application as a source of energy for heating or electricity. However, digestate can still contain high levels of turbidity, organic carbon and nutrients and must be correctly managed as a biofertilizer, or treated to avoid any impact on the environment. Considering this, electrocoagulation (EC) shows promise as a technology because of its ease of handling and high efficiency in effluent remediation. This study aimed to evaluate the performance of EC in a batch system in the treatment of swine wastewater digestate. The wastewater used in the treatment was sampled from a 10 m³ biodigester effluent (digestate) located at Concórdia, Santa Catarina, Brazil. A batch-scale experiment was carried out to evaluate the following two variables: electrode distance (ED) and voltage applied (V). The removal efficiency levels (%) for the best operational condition (2 cm, 5 V) after 30 min were: 97 %, 98 %, 77 % and 10 % for color, turbidity, total organic carbon (TOC) and total nitrogen (TN), respectively. The EC batch system produced efficient results, underlining its promise as an alternative to be applied in the treatment of digestate.
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Introduction

Wastewater generated in swine production in Brazil has increased as a result of the expansion of confined animal feeding operations [Broetto et al., 2014]. One of the widespread alternatives for the management and treatment of swine wastewater is anaerobic digestion. This biological process converts organic matter into methane [Kothari et al., 2014; Mari et al., 2014] and generates effluent (digestate) that can be used as a biofertilizer. However, when land application is not possible, due to problems with nutrient balance (high chemical oxygen demand [COD], total nitrogen [TN] and total phosphorus [TP] content), digestate treatment alternatives must be used [Amaral et al., 2014a; Amaral et al., 2014b; Park et al., 2010].

According to Cho et al. [2010], electrocoagulation (EC) is a modern method to be applied as an alternative to the treatment of swine farming wastewater. EC has its advantages when compared to conventional methods such as: basic equipment, ease of operation, less retention time, reduction or no addition of chemical products as well as fast sedimentation of generated floc [Gengec et al., 2012; Tezcan Ün et al., 2009].

The EC process involves the generation of coagulant “in situ” by dissolving electrically aluminum ions. The generation of metal ions takes place at the anode, and hydrogen gas is released from the cathode. The hydrogen gas also helps float the flocculated particles out of the water [Daneshvar et al., 2012]. According to Chen [2004], the chemical reactions that occur at the anode and the cathode may be summarized as follows:

\[ \text{Anode: } Al(s) \rightarrow Al^{3+}(aq) + 3e^- \]  
\[ \text{Cathode: } 2H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2(g) + 2OH^- \]

According to Koby et al. [2014], the main parameters that control the generation and concentration of aluminum hydroxide coagulant in the EC system are the initial pH, the electrode distance (ED) and the voltage applied. However, it is reported that the optimum pH range for the formation of aluminum hydroxide with the minimum of solubility is between 5.2 - 8.8 [Jiménez et al., 2012; Mollah et al., 2001].

This study aimed to evaluate the effect of EC operational conditions, applied voltage (V) and electrode distance (ED), the removal of color, turbidity, total organic carbon (TOC) and total nitrogen (TN) in swine manure digestate.

Materials and Methods

Sampling

The swine wastewater (digestate) (Table 1) used in this study was collected in a 10 m³ fiberglass upflow effluent biodigester [Amaral et al., 2014b], located at a swine manure treatment station in Concórdia, Santa Catarina, Brazil [27°18’ S, 51°59’ O]. Samples were collected in 5 L polyethylene gallon containers and frozen at -10 °C.

The batch EC reactor (1.7 L) consisted of two pairs of aluminum electrodes (130 mm height and 70 mm width), connected to a direct current power supply. Digestate pH had been previously adjusted to 6.0 using HCl. The system was kept under constant stirring for 30 min. Samples of the experiments were collected at the following times: 0, 10, 20 and 30 min and submitted for analysis.

Experimental Design

To understand the effects of independent variables, the electrode distance (ED) and the applied voltage (V),
a factorial design $2^2$ had been elaborated designed for 7 experiments [4 at different combinations and 3 central points]. The real and coded variables values are described in Table 2.

### Analytical Methods

The performance of the EC process was evaluated in terms of the following responses: color, turbidity, total organic carbon (TOC) and total nitrogen (TN). Turbidity was measured by the spectrophotometer method using the colorimeter; TOC was analyzed using Shimadzu (TOC-5000a) equipment (APHA, 2012). TN was analyzed also using Shimadzu (TOC-5000a) equipment; the sample was injected in an oven at 720 ºC with atmospheric oxidant and catalyzed by platinum microspheres, where the TN in the sample thermally decomposes to create nitrogen monoxide. The chemiluminescence detector utilizes the gas-phase chemiluminescence of ozone and nitrogen monoxide, such that the detected nitrogen monoxide analog signal forms a peak. The pH was measured using a pH-meter.

### Results and Discussion

Figure 1 shows the removal efficiency of color (A), turbidity (B), TOC (C) and TN (D) obtained at different ED and V according to the EC operational period. Color and turbidity reached 98 % removal efficiency after 20 min of treatment, and TOC reached a removal stability level of 68 % after 30 min of treatment [Figure 1]. Consequently, the operating time of 30 min is considered optimum for achieving stability in the removal processes, especially in relation to color, turbidity and TOC.

Table 3 shows the factorial design $2^2$ matrix with its respective real and coded values and the responses in removal percentage after a 30 min treatment.

**Table 1 − Digestate characterization collected in the fiberglass upflow biodigester used for electrocoagulation studies.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity (UNT)</td>
<td>4760.0 ± 1165.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color (mg$_{	ext{NO}}$-L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>30250.0 ± 8273.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC (mg L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>1937.2 ± 607.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN (mg L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>1349.8 ± 83.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity (μS)</td>
<td>8469.1 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2 − Original and coded variables in the factorial design for electrocoagulation experiments using digestate from swine effluent, anaerobically treated.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Coded variables levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electrode distance (cm)</td>
<td>-1 0 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applied voltage (V)</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3 − Factorial design $2^2$ matrix with coded values (real) and responses for color, turbidity, total organic total (TOC) and total nitrogen (TN) for 30 min treatment and values of final pH.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Variables of the process</th>
<th>Responses Removal (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ED (cm)</td>
<td>Voltage (V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1 (1)</td>
<td>-1 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>-1 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1 (1)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0 (2)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0 (2)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0 (2)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ED = electrode distance.
Figure 1 – Digestate submitted to electrocoagulation color (A), turbidity (B), total organic (TOC) (C) and total nitrogen (TN) (D) removal efficiency.

Figure 2 – Pareto diagrams showing the importance of the effects of electrode distance (ED) and applied voltage (V) on removal percentage of responses color (A), turbidity (B) total organic carbon (TOC) (C) total nitrogen (TN) and (D).
is suitable for wastewater. At C:N ratios above 1, the anammox bacteria cannot compete with heterotrophic denitrifying bacteria (Molinuevo et al., 2009). In this sense, the effluent from EC can provide adequate and stable conditions for Anammox.

As regards final pH (Table 3), independent of the variables of the processes (ED and voltage), there was an increase to between 6.4 and 8.5. The EC has the property of increasing the wastewater’s pH after its treatment, due to OH production in the cathode [Eq. 02] (Tezcan Ün and Ozel, 2013).

To better evaluate the influence of ED and the tension [V] applied to the EC process, an estimates analysis of independent variable effects over the dependent ones are presented in the Pareto diagrams [Figure 2A {color}, B {turbidity}, C (TOC) and D[TN)].

The results achieved demonstrate that the independent variable ED presented significant positive effects ($p < 0.05$) in terms of dependent variables on color, turbidity and TOC. The dependent variable TN did not present any significant effect on the independent variables ED and V.

Recent studies show increases in the ED results and a decrease in removal efficiency due to the fall in ohmic tension between the cathode and the anode [Anand et al., 2014; Attour et al., 2014; Merzouk et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2013]. However, in our study an inverse effect was observed, where an increase in 1 cm ED of 2 and 3 cm favored the removal of color, turbidity and TOC. This difference is due to the electrical conductivity of digestate (8469.1 μS), because the electrical conductivity significantly affects the removal efficiency of the pollutants [Al-Shannag et al., 2015]. It is inversely proportional to the ED (Akbal and Camci, 2011) and determines the effect of the mobility of ions in relation to the applied electric field [Vasudevan et al., 2013]. Thus, it is recommended to use 2 and 3 cm of ED for the treatment of digestate using EC.

**Conclusion**

For the digestate treatment, the following two parameters were evaluated by electrocoagulation: distance between the electrodes and the voltage applied. The electrode distance was the variable that presented an effect on the treatment process. The increase in electrode distance from 1 cm to 2 and 3 cm, favored removal of color, turbidity and total organic carbon with values above 93 %, 98 % and 68 %, respectively, and an operating time of 30 min. The removal of total nitrogen was below 11 %, because the electrocoagulation did not promote ammonia oxidation in the digestate. Thus, the effluent from EC can provide adequate and stable conditions for Anammox.

Electrocoagulation batch technology offers as an alternative stage to be incorporated into the wastewater treatment system in swine farming due to its elevated efficiency levels on the removal of color, turbidity and TOC.
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