Benefits of superfine grinding method on antioxidant and antifungal characteristic of Brazilian green propolis extract
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ABSTRACT: Green propolis is found only in Brazil and due to its important biological characteristics, the food industry views it as a rich source of bioactive compounds. However, an extract must be produced for its application, which is difficult considering the rigid characteristics of raw propolis. Superfine grinding, a process capable of reducing particle size, enables the extraction of most bioactive compounds in propolis. This study evaluated the influence of grinding on size characteristics, antioxidant and antifungal properties of Brazilian green propolis for food preservation. The propolis powder was produced through six different types of grinding (different sieves and rpm), and its quality was evaluated by scanning electron microscopy-energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy. After grinding, extracts and bioactive assays were produced and the total phenolic content, antioxidant and antifungal capacity were determined. The data showed that the grinding process affected all the results of bioactive assays used. Treatment B (sieve 0.08 mm, 12,000 rpm) presented statistically significant values for the bioactivity assays and thus antifungal activity against Rhizopus stolonifer (doses 0-5 %) was tested only for the control (standardized size without superfine grinding) and treatment B. Both treatments showed antifungal activity, but the control provided more effective mycelial growth inhibition (lower dose 1 %). Superfine grinding increased the antioxidant activity, although this behavior was not observed in the antifungal assay. Despite these results, green propolis extracts present important biological effects that indicate their use as food preservatives to extend shelf life of food products.
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Introduction

Propolis is a resinous material produced by bees using vegetable resins, exudates and pollen collected from plants and combining them with self-secretions. The main chemical components in propolis are resin, wax and essential oils [Viuda-Martos et al., 2008]. Several health benefits of propolis have been reported [Fernandes-Silva et al., 2014; Ribeiro et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016], as well as its application to foods, beverages, cosmetics and medicine [Chinembiri et al., 2014; Cottica et al., 2015; Bruschi et al., 2016; Osês et al., 2016]. Brazilian green propolis is a type of propolis that can be found only in Brazil (São Paulo and Minas Gerais States) and is produced by Africanized Apis mellifera bees via collection of Baccharis dracunculifolia DC (Asteraceae) shoots [Alencar et al., 2005]. Many compounds in plant composition can also be found in green propolis, such as artepillin C, flavonoids and p-coumaric acid, which are associated with its antioxidant capacity [Guimarães et al., 2012]. Additionally, flavonoids and phenolic acids in green propolis have antifungal activities for typical agricultural, food, medical and odontological fungi [Ngatu et al., 2011; Freires et al., 2016; Martini et al., 2017]. However, propolis is a tough resin that cannot be consumed in its natural form; thus, resin is typically transformed into a powder and extracted in an alcoholic or aqueous medium [Mello and Hubinger, 2012]. Process conditions are important to allow extraction of most bioactive compounds. The efficiency of the solid/liquid extraction depends on processing parameters, such as temperature, solvent, extraction duration and particle size (Franco et al., 2007).

Given particle size importance, superfine grinding technology has emerged to enhance characteristics that the raw material does not possess, such as solubility, dispersion, optical properties, surface effects and chemical reactivity [Wu et al., 2012]. Enhancement is favored by the smaller particle size and higher extraction temperature, which generally facilitate mass transfer [Cacaeo and Mazza, 2003], enabling the production of extracts with a higher amount of bioactive compounds [Rosa et al., 2013]. In addition, superfine grinding consumes less energy than traditional mechanical grinding [Wu et al., 2012]. Therefore, the objective of this study was to evaluate the influence of grinding on the size characteristics, antioxidant and antifungal properties of Brazilian green propolis compared with those in their raw condition, aimed at using extracts for food preservation.

Materials and Methods

The experiments were carried out in Temuco [38°45’00” S; 72°40’00” W] and altitude 360 a.s.l., Chile and Piracicaba [22°43’31” S; 47°38’57” W] and altitude 547 a.s.l.], São Paulo, Brazil.
Propolis material, superfine grinding method and extract preparation

The green propolis was obtained from a Brazilian specialized company and was collected on 16 Apr., 2015, in Bambuí (19°59’46” S; 45°48’38” W) and altitude 706 a.s.l., Minas Gerais, Brazil. Initially, the green propolis particles were standardized with diameters from 5 mm to 1 mm (control). The uniform coarse particles were ground in an ultracentrifugal mill using mesh sieves with different diameters [0.08 mm and 0.5 mm] using different rotational speeds [6000, 12,000 and 18,000 rpm]. The standardized propolis was used as the control sample, and six different methods of grinding with different rotational speeds and sieve sizes were tested. The treatments were designated as follows: A: sieve 0.08 mm Ø at 6000 rpm; B: sieve 0.08 mm Ø at 12,000 rpm; C: sieve 0.08 mm Ø at 18,000 rpm; D: sieve 0.5 mm Ø at 6000 rpm; E: sieve 0.5 mm Ø at 12,000 rpm; and F: sieve 0.5 mm Ø at 18,000 rpm.

To prepare the extracts, 2 g of propolis was weighed, ground and transferred to an Erlenmeyer flask containing 25 mL of solvent (ethanol: water, 7:3, v:v). After the grinding process, performed as described above, extraction was performed at 45 °C for 20 min with constant shaking in a water bath. Next, the solution was placed in a freezer at -22 °C overnight, centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 min for wax removal, filtered using Whatman No. 2 paper filters, and kept in an amber flask until analysis. For the antifungal analysis, the extract was evaporated using a rotavapor until ethanol was completely removed.

Particle size measurement and mass percent determined via scanning electron microscopy-energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDX)

The ground samples were prepared in situ and joined with carbon double-sided tape. Then, the samples were examined using a variable pressure-SEM [VP-SEM] with energy of 5 kV, working distance of 11 mm, backscatter compositional detector (BSE), 100-270, magnification of 500x, and 20 Pa on a scanning electron microscope. An SEM-EDX semiquantitative elemental microanalysis was performed using energy of 10 kV, working distance of 11 mm, pressure of 20 Pa, and magnification of 500x, and the EDX Bruker was attached to a scanning electron microscope.

Total phenolic content (TPC)

The TPC of propolis extracts was determined according to Singleton et al. [1999] using gallic acid as a standard. The hydroalcoholic extracts were diluted with a mixture of ethanol and water [1:10, v:v]. A 0.5 mL aliquot of diluted sample was transferred to a test tube, then, 2.5 mL of a Folin-Ciocalteau: water solution [1:10, v:v] was added. The mixture was vortexed and then allowed to rest at room temperature for 5 min. Next, 2.0 mL of a sodium carbonate (4 %, m/v) solution was added then the mixture was vortexed, allowed to rest for 2 h at room temperature and protected from light. Absorbance of samples was read at 740 nm using a UV spectrophotometer. The results were calculated using a standard curve for known concentrations of gallic acid (2.5 to 50 μg mL⁻¹), and the results are expressed as mg of gallic acid equivalent (GAE) g⁻¹ of propolis.

ABTS radical cation scavenging

The ABTS [2,2’-azinobis-[3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid]] assay was used to determine the antioxidant activity using the method described by Re et al. [1999]. The ABTS radical was formed via the reaction between 7 mM ABTS•+ solution and 140 mM potassium persulfate solution. The solutions were incubated at 25 °C in the dark for 12-16 h. Once the radical was formed, it was diluted with analytical grade ethanol until an absorbivity value of 0.700 ± 0.020 was reached at 734 nm. Dilutions of the extracts were prepared, and a 30 mL aliquot of each extract dilution was transferred to a test tube with 3.0 mL of the ABTS radical. The absorbance was determined at 734 nm after 6 min of reaction using a spectrophotometer. Trolox was used as a standard, and the results were expressed as μmol Trolox-equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC) g⁻¹ of propolis.

Free radical scavenging activity with DPPH

The antioxidant activity was also determined using the DPPH [2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl] radical method described by Brand-Williams et al. [1995]. A solution containing 0.5 mL of diluted extract, 3.0 mL of 99 % ethanol, and 0.3 mL of a 0.5 mM DPPH radical solution was added to a test tube. A blank sample was prepared by replacing the extract volume for an equal volume of 99 % ethanol. The tubes were shaken and incubated for 45 min at room temperature and protected from light. The absorbity readings were performed using a spectrophotometer at 515 nm. The antioxidant activity results were expressed as μmol TEAC g⁻¹ of propolis. Trolox [0.1 μmol] was used as a standard to construct the calibration curve.

Antifungal activity

The antifungal activity was tested against Rhizopus stolonifer. The agar dilution method was performed according to Alvarez-Castellanos et al. [2001]. The development inhibition was measured for different extract concentrations dissolved in Plate Dextrose Agar (the substrate for fungus development). The R. stolonifer inoculums were obtained via direct isolation from strawberry with lesions characteristic of the disease. To identify the species, molecular tools, such as the polymerase chain reaction and genes, were used [Vilgalys and Hester, 1990]. For R. stolonifer inoculation, a metal rod was used to punch the agar after it was immersed in a suspension of inoculum containing 10⁶ spores mL⁻¹. The inoculums were prepared from fungal colonies that were grown for 10 d on Plate Dextrose Agar. The spore count of the inoculum solution was performed using a hemocytometer.
The experimental design was completely randomized with two treatments [Control: standardized size without superfine grinding and Treatment B: higher antioxidant]. Six different dose concentrations of green propolis extract [0, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 %] were tested. Five replicates were used for each evaluated dose, and the experimental unit was represented by a Petri plate [8.54 cm]. After inoculation, the plates were inverted and incubated under controlled conditions at 25 °C with a 12 h photoperiod. The inhibition percentage of fungal growth from 1 to 5 % dose were calculated in relation to the mycelial growth of zero dose [without extract] on the third day of incubation. The radial mycelial growth was measured using a digital caliper, and the diameter of each colony was measured in two directions that were perpendicular to each other. The final diameter of colony growth was the arithmetic mean of these two measurements. The inhibition of mycelial growth was given by PI (%) = (zero dose growth / treatment growth) × 100 (Alvarez-Castellanos et al., 2001).

The antifungal analysis was performed in a treatment that presented higher combined TPC, ABTS and DPPH scavenging values than the control.

Statistical analysis
The data reported were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) at \( p < 0.05 \) using Statistica v.12 software. The significance of differences between treatments were determined \([ p < 0.05] \) using the Tukey’s range test. All data reported were expressed as the mean standard deviation from a minimum of two experiments conducted in triplicate. For the antifungal essay, the colony diameter (cm) and growth inhibition data were adjusted using regression models, and the most appropriate model was the model with highest coefficient of determination (R²).

Results and Discussion

Particle size and mass percent determination by SEM/EDX
Figures 1 and 2A-F show SEM/EDX micrographs obtained from samples before [control] and after the superfine grinding process, with the corresponding mass percent fractions (Table 1).

The SEM/EDX analysis showed that particles in the control treatment presented a size > 1 mm (Figure 1), and after the grinding process, the average particle size was 23.3 μm for A, 21.3 μm for B, 19.3 μm for C, 26.3 μm for D, 24.3 μm for E, and 24.0 μm for F (Figure 2). Only particles with a defined morphology were measured. Rugged surfaces covered by layers of wax and extracts were observed in all SEM-EDX images. Similar characteristics were identified by Tylkowski et al. [2010] for Bulgarian propolis samples. Machado et al. [2016] observed some vegetable constituents and resinous substances derived from vegetative species of Baccharis dracunculifolia in propolis powders.

The images show that propolis ground with a 0.08 mm diameter sieve presented smaller and more uniform particles. The samples ground with a 0.5 mm diameter sieve presented larger particles, which was expected due to the different sieve diameter. Treatment B produced particles that were more uniform than those produced by other treatments. The presence of wax in the propolis powders resulted in larger agglomerates of particles for all treatments. The particle sizes

---

**Table 1** – Mass percent of carbon, nitrogen, oxygen and potassium fractions in green propolis powders after grinding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Carbon*</th>
<th>Nitrogen*</th>
<th>Oxygen*</th>
<th>Potassium*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>73.03 ± 3.63 a</td>
<td>5.17 ± 1.47 b</td>
<td>20.77 ± 1.59 a</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.42 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>75.36 ± 3.00 a</td>
<td>3.54 ± 0.36 a</td>
<td>21.17 ± 1.55 a</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.43 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>75.26 ± 0.87 a</td>
<td>3.22 ± 0.00 a</td>
<td>21.66 ± 1.90 a</td>
<td>1.52 ± 1.32 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>76.40 ± 1.11 a</td>
<td>3.34 ± 0.17 a</td>
<td>22.34 ± 2.44 a</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.21 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>76.72 ± 5.38 a</td>
<td>4.27 ± 0.00 b</td>
<td>19.69 ± 3.85 a</td>
<td>2.00 ± 1.94 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>76.02 ± 4.56 a</td>
<td>3.86 ± 0.62 a</td>
<td>19.63 ± 3.14 a</td>
<td>1.47 ± 1.03 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>75.40 ± 0.62 a</td>
<td>3.41 ± 0.42 a</td>
<td>20.37 ± 0.56 a</td>
<td>1.56 ± 1.44 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means within the same column sharing the same letter are not significantly different at \( p > 0.05 \).
obtained were much smaller than those found by Ming et al. (2015), who obtained ground mushroom particles with sizes of 0.54 and 0.46 μm. Zhu et al. (2014) evaluated the effect of ultrafine grinding on wine grape pomace and obtained powders with particle sizes ranging from 0.38 to 29.91 μm.

In general, the soluble fraction of propolis has components with antioxidant properties and it has been reported to contain 50 g 100 g–1 of resins, 40 g 100 g –1 of waxes and 10 g 100 g –1 of volatile substances (Marcucci, 1995). Machado et al. (2016) found 90 % of total solids, 3 % of total ash, 10 % of protein, 47 % of lipids and 350 mg of potassium per kilogram of propolis in Brazilian green propolis. In terms of the mass percent quantified using SEM/EDX in the present study, the contents were unchanged after superfine grinding (Table 1) and the amounts found were consistent with those reported in the literature.

Figure 2 – Superfine ground (A) sieve 0.08 mm ∅ at 6000 rpm; B) sieve 0.08 mm ∅ at 12,000 rpm; C) sieve 0.08 mm ∅ at 18,000 rpm; D) sieve 0.5 mm ∅ at 6000 rpm; E) sieve 0.5 mm ∅ at 12,000 rpm; and F) sieve 0.5 mm ∅ at 18,000 rpm) green propolis micrographs observed through scanning electron microscopy-energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy and magnified at 200 μm.

TPC and antioxidant activity

Table 2 shows TPC and antioxidant activity determined by the ABTS and DPPH scavenging assays. For particle sizes > 1 mm, the TPC was 67.9 mg GAE g–1 propolis, and after superfine grinding, the content increased, with values twice as high as the control treatment, ranging from 108.8 to 138.6 mg GAE g –1 propolis.

Comparing TPC data with other studies, Mello and Hubinger (2012) found TPC values in green propolis that ranged from 49.80 to 100.59 mg GAE g–1 propolis. In propolis samples from Bahia (Brazil), TPC values ranged from 22.03 to 39.38 mg GAE g–1 propolis. Those values were lower than those found in the present study.

The antioxidant activity of extracts was evaluated using two different methods considering that antioxidants act via multiple mechanisms based on their mechanism of action, the tested radical source and oxidizing medium (Prior et al., 2005). Higher values were ob-
Table 2 – Total Phenolic Content (TPC), ABTS [2,2’-azinobis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid)] and DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) antioxidant activity of green propolis extracts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>TPC*</th>
<th>ABTS**</th>
<th>DPPH***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>67.9 ± 2.7 a</td>
<td>1826.6 ± 27.2 a</td>
<td>210.3 ± 3.0 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>136.0 ± 0.6 d</td>
<td>8773.6 ± 12.2 d</td>
<td>684.9 ± 8.9 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>138.6 ± 2.2 d</td>
<td>8497.0 ± 52.9 d</td>
<td>686.1 ± 9.2 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>137.7 ± 1.3 d</td>
<td>8491.5 ± 21.2 d</td>
<td>682.8 ± 9.9 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>108.8 ± 1.6 b</td>
<td>8240.1 ± 84.7 b</td>
<td>686.1 ± 9.2 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>135.2 ± 1.2 d</td>
<td>8052.0 ± 84.7 b</td>
<td>716.5 ± 9.9 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>130.4 ± 1.0 c</td>
<td>8300.3 ± 63.5 c</td>
<td>758.9 ± 4.7 c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*mg of gallic acid equivalent g⁻¹ of propolis; **μmol Trolox-equivalent antioxidant capacity g⁻¹ of propolis; ***Means within the same column sharing the same letter are not significantly different at p > 0.05.

erved in the ABTS assay for smaller sieve sizes (8491.5 to 8773.6 μmol TEAC g⁻¹ propolis) [Table 2]. Palomino et al. [2009] evaluated the propolis activity from Colombia and obtained results ranging from 455.5 to 1091 μmol TEAC g⁻¹ propolis, which are lower than the values found in the present study.

In the DPPH method, the free radical DPPH reacts with antioxidant compounds in extracts, mainly phenolic groups. As observed in the ABTS results, the higher values were observed for the treatments with smaller sieve diameters. The DPPH assay values range to 682.8 from 781.2 μmol TEAC g⁻¹ propolis [Table 2]. Treatment B presented the highest TPC and DPPH values among tested treatments, and this could be related to the fact that treatment B particles showed the most uniform morphology.

As observed and reported in other studies, particle size of samples is an important parameter that influences extraction yield because smaller particle sizes increase extraction surface and enhance extraction efficiency [Gião et al., 2009]. Interactions between solute and solvent increase when the size of extraction material decreases; consequently, more antioxidant compounds can be extracted from smaller particles, resulting in an extract with a higher antioxidant activity [Chan et al., 2012]. The relationship between smaller particle size and higher TPC and antioxidant activity has been observed in other studies [Aboshora, 2016; Chan et al., 2012; Marcucci, 1995].

**Antifungal activity**

*Rhizopus stolonifer* is an important postharvest disease agent in strawberries, resulting in soft rot [Alvarez-Castellanos et al., 2001]. As reported above, for the antifungal essay, only the control and B treatments were tested. According to the results, both green propolis extracts presented antifungal activity against *R. stolonifer*, and the activity was dose-dependent [Figure 3]. After three days of incubation, the control treatment extract showed greater action on the pathogen because its lower concentration was able to inhibit mycelial growth by more than 90 %, and total inhibition was observed at a 2 % concentration. Both extracts showed potential antifungal activity with increasing concentration. Treatment B inhibited *R. stolonifer* mycelial growth totally at a 4 % concentration. Therefore, the results showed that the antifungal activity did not correlate with TPC or antioxidant activity.

The green propolis extracts, regardless of grinding type, presented antifungal activities against *R. stolonifer*. However, the control treatment, which had the lowest TPC, showed a greater effectiveness for the inhibition of mycelial growth of *R. stolonifer* at the lowest dose [1 %]. The substances responsible for the beneficial biological activities of propolis, that is, its antimicrobial and antioxidant activities, are flavonoids and other phenolics, substituted cinnamic acids and their esters, caffeic acid phenethyl ester, kaempferol and quercetin [Freires et al., 2016; Martini et al., 2017; Ristivojević et al., 2015], which act in isolation or synergistically. However, according to Falcão et al. [2014], the observed bioactivity cannot be judged solely using TPC because some individual phenolic compounds in the extract may play a more important role in the activity than others. According to some authors, the action mechanism observed is due to the deactivation of enzymes in microbial metabolism because of the hydrogen bonds that hydroxyl groups in phenolic compounds can form with microbial enzymes [Juglal et al., 2002; Porte and Godoy, 2001].

Falcão et al. [2014] observed that the antifungal activity of propolis and plant extracts may not be related to the total antioxidant content, but to the composition of the phenolic compounds fraction, instead. In addition, the antioxidant activity cannot be related to the antifungal efficiency because the antimicrobial activity is mainly related to nonpolar compounds and the antioxidant activity to polar compounds [Adamu et al., 2014].
Conclusion

The superfine grinding method can effectively reduce particle size of Brazilian green propolis powders and change the original surface structure, improving their solvent extraction characteristics. After superfine grinding, the mean particle size decreased. No change was observed in the mass percentage of propolis constituents [carbon, nitrogen, oxygen and potassium], and increased antioxidant activity was observed. The antifungal data indicates that Brazilian green propolis extract has the potential to be applied as a natural antifungal agent against R. stolonifer.

The application of the ultrafine powders depends on their chemical composition, physical properties, and the superfine grinding method. Therefore, superfine-ground Brazilian green propolis powders could be used in several fields, for example, as a food preservative to improve shelf life by inhibiting oxidation and fungal growth.
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