Asian soybean rust (ASR), caused by Phakopsora pachyrhizi Sydow (Pp), was found in Brazil in 2002/03 and in the same season its control with fungicides started (6, 14). The first used chemicals belonged to the fungicides triazole or demethylation inhibitors (DMI), especially flutriafol and tebuconazole. Difenoconazole, myclobutanil and tetraconazole, single-site fungicides with the same mode of action, were also used. Subsequently, cyproconazole was used only in mixtures with QoI.

Almost all of the cultivated area (27 million hectares in the 2012/13 season) was treated with fungicide in order to control rust, reaching 93% in 2012. The highest percentage of the area has been treated with DMIs + QoIs (60%). However, DMIs have been used even alone in 24% of the area and the area treated with QoIs alone has increased, reaching 3.66% in 2012 (10). Their use alone is not recommended by FRAC (4).

After five growing seasons (from 2002/03) of use of DMI alone, Silva et al. (13) reported rust control failure in Goiás, in 2006/07, for cyproconazole, flutriafol and tebuconazole. Until then, flutriafol had been very effective and used by researchers as a standard control, making it the market leader. From 2005/06 onwards, there was a reduction in flutriafol effectiveness in Mato Grosso State, first attributing the control failure to formulation quality (5).

After the flutriafol decline, although as a DMI, tebuconazole...
became widely used with high efficiency and was adopted as a reference fungicide to control ASR.

To clarify the facts, experiments conducted at MT Foundation in Rondonópolis (5), at University of Rio Verde and other institutes, confirmed the control efficiency reduction. The latter was clearly proven when DMI performance in 2005/06 season was compared to that in the last season (2012/13) by a study conducted at University of Rio Verde. In 2005/06 season, rust average control by DMIs was 90.3%. After only eight seasons, corresponding to 2012/13, DMI control was 52.0, showing 42% control efficacy reduction (7, 13). The reduction in *P. pisi* sensitivity to the fungicides tebuconazole and cyproconazole, with only 42 and 38% control, respectively, was also proven by Godoy & Palaver (6). In this season, DMI + QoI mixtures did not show reduced efficiency yet; cyproconazole + azoxystrobin had 72% control and pyraclostrobin + epoxiconazole, 88% control. At this time, the mean control for the mixtures was 80%. It is likely that the effectiveness was ensured by QoIs, as the control mean for DMI was only 40% (6).

DMI and QoI fungicides act on only one specific site of thousands of biochemical reactions in the fungal cell. Therefore, they are vulnerable to fungal strain selection towards sensitivity reduction or loss. FRAC (4) classifies DMIs and QoIs as fungicides of high risk for the development of resistance and, therefore, do not recommend their use alone.

The difficulty in controlling ASR with fungicides is becoming increasingly evident, proving the high fungal adaptability (1, 6, 7, 9, 12). As an example, tebuconazole efficiency has been gradually reduced from 90% to 24% in ten seasons (7).

Some actions should be taken to make ASR chemical control reach 80-90% efficiency again, as in 2002/03 to 2008/09 growing seasons remains on the plant surface after application. The introduction of a multi-site fungicide in the rust control follows the same statistical group, were followed by MCZB 3 (126 b), C + A 6 (240 d) and control treatment with no FRAC (4) into the mode-of-action group M (Multi-Site Action), a typical multi-site protectant-only fungicide.

Considering the concern about the ASR chemical control efficiency in the past, present and future and the search for a solution to control soybean rust, the dithiocarbamate fungicide [maneb + Zn or mancozebe (MCZB)] (Manganese ethylenebisdithiocarbamate + Zn - MEBDC) was tested. It is a non-penetrating, non-mobile, multi-site fungicide that remains on the plant surface after application.

Regarding the AUDPC, treatment MCZB 4 (115 a) and MCZB 5 (126 a), same statistical group, were followed by MCZB 3 (126 b), MCZB 2 (205 c), C + A 6 (240 d) and control treatment with no fungicide (816 e). As regards dose and number of applications, rust control based on AUDPC was greater with EBDCM than with the DMI + QoI mixture used as standard for ASR control (Fig. 1).

The highest soybean grain yield was obtained for MCZB 5 with 3.245 kg/ha, as well for MCZB 4 with 3.215 (b) kg/ha, MCZB 4 with 3.106 (ab), MCZB 2 with 3.034 (ab), C + A 6 with 2.823 (b) and the control with 2.040 (c) kg/ha (Fig. 2).

Mancozeb is classified by the Fungicide Resistance Action Committee (FRAC)(4) into the mode-of-action group M (Multi-Site Action), a typical multi-site protectant-only fungicide.

The introduction of a multi-site fungicide in the rust control program may be an important tool for *P. pisi* resistance management, with the potential to preserve the life-time of site-specific (DMI, QoI and SDHI) fungicides in soybean crop (4). Thus, mixtures of fungicides that combine a high resistance risk (i.e. DMI and QoI) with a low risk multi-site compound (i.e. MCZB) or another multi-site fungicide can

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the experimental field of Agricultural Research and Agro Carregal Plant Protection Ltda in Rio Verde - GO (S17°47’06”; W 51°00’214” and 753 m a.s.l.)

The transgenic soybean NA 7337 RR cultivar was used, with 400,000 plants/ha, in 4 m wide x 5m long plots.

In the experiment, the following fungicides were tested: (i) mancozeb (Manganese ethylenebisdithiocarbamate + Zn) (Unizeb Gold - United Phosphorus Ltda) 80%, in the formulation of water dispersible granules (DG), at 1.5 and 2.0 kg/ha, sprayed four, six and eight times, and (ii) cyproconazole (8%) + azoxystrobin (20%) 0.3 L/ha (Priori Xtra) + Nimbus (0.5%), applied three times (Table 1). Fungicide treatments were sprayed with a precision knapsack sprayer of pressure generated by CO₂, delivering 200 L/ha, and with a boom showing six double flat fan nozzels TJ 110.02.

Weed and insect pest control was performed according to instructions for study of soybean crop (10).

Disease severity was assessed in leaves separately taken from the upper and lower part of the canopy, according to the diagrammatic scale developed by Canteri & Godoy (3). Five plants per plot were randomly collected, and 10 trifoliate leaves per plot were taken from the same plant node. Disease severity was evaluated from V7 to R6 growth stage, totaling six assessments (V7, R1, R4, R5.1, R5.2 and R6).

Harvest was manually performed and grains were threshed in a stationary threshing machine, cleaned, weighed and expressed as kg/ha.

Severity data were converted into area under the disease progress curve (AUCPC), as proposed by Campbell & Madden (2). Data on AUDPC and grain yield were subjected to analysis of variance and means compared according to Tukey’s test (p = 0.005).

### RESULTS

#### Table 1. Treatments, fungicide doses (kg or L/ha) and soybean growth stages for application

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Growth stages</th>
<th>No fungicide</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V7</td>
<td>R1</td>
<td>R3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCZB 2  ⁹</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCZB 3  ⁸</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCZB 4  ⁶</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCZB 5  ⁷</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C + A 6  ⁴</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁹ = mancozeb; ⁸ = cyproconazole + azoxystrobin.
A gradual reduction has been recorded for DMI (tebuconazole) effectiveness over the years of use in ASR control in Brazil. For example, the efficiency of rust control by tebuconazole was 90 and 91% in 2003/05 season, 77% in 2005/06, 58% in 2006/08, 39% in 2008/09 and only 24% in 2009/10 (6, 7). Will such reduction continue until Pp completely loses its sensitivity to DMIs?

Figure 1. Soybean rust control rated by the area under the disease progress curve (AUDPC) for the treatments. Means followed by the same letter do not differ according to Turkey’s test (p = 0.005). CV = 3.32%. Control; MCZB (mancozeb) 2 – 2.0 kg/ha sprayed four times; MCZB 3 - 1.5 kg/ha sprayed six times; MCZB 4 - 2.0 kg/ha sprayed six times; MCZB 5 – 1.5 kg/ha sprayed eight times; and C + A (Cyproconazole + Azoxystrobin) - 0.3 L/ha sprayed three times.

Figure 2. Effect of rust control by fungicide treatments on soybean grain yield (kg/ha). Means followed by the same letter do not differ according to Turkey’s test (p = 0.005) CV = 5.58. Control; MCZB (mancozeb) 2 – 2.0 kg/ha sprayed four times; MCZB 3 - 1.5 kg/ha sprayed six times; MCZB 4 - 2.0 kg/ha sprayed six times; MCZB 5 – 1.5 kg/ha sprayed eight times; and C + A (Cyproconazole + Azoxystrobin) - 0.3 L/ha sprayed three times.

be useful in the present situation of rust shift in sensitivity in Brazil.

A gradual reduction has been recorded for DMI (tebuconazole) effectiveness over the years of use in ASR control in Brazil. For example, the efficiency of rust control by tebuconazole was 90 and 91% in 2003/05 season, 77% in 2005/06, 58% in 2006/08, 39% in 2008/09 and only 24% in 2009/10 (6, 7). Will such reduction continue until Pp completely loses its sensitivity to DMIs?

The IC<sub>50</sub> of tebuconazole, calculated by probit procedure, ranged from 0.11 to 5.102 mg/L and the sensitivity reduction factor, from 0.22 to 104.2. The hypothesis that the control failure occurring in soybean farms could be attributed to a decrease in the sensitivity of Pp isolates to the fungicide tebuconazole was confirmed. Eighty percent of 15 Pp isolates showed reduced sensitivity to the fungicide tebuconazole (1, 9).

Thus, fungicide resistance has been a serious and intensively studied issue in the management of a large number of key fungal diseases affecting most crops. It threatens the commercial potential of chemicals, particularly those having a single-site mode of action such as DMI, QoI, and SDHI (1, 4, 5, 12).

Some strategies may contribute to reverse the reduction in Pp sensitivity to DMI, for example: Use fungicides with multi-site biochemical mechanism of action. Do not use alone or in mixtures two fungicides with specific site of action (e.g. DMI + QoI or QoI + SDHI), but use the multi-site fungicide for which there is less or no risk of fungus adaptation resulting in a resistant mutant (4).
Fungicides of multi-site mode of action have the chance to be strong allies in the defense against soybean rust (8).

Addition of mancozeb to reinforce the fight against fungal resistance is not a new strategy. It has been included in mixtures to help resistance management and to broaden the spectrum of single-site fungicides. To stabilize ASR control, the same strategy used for a long time in potato/tomato mildew control could be used in soybean to chemically manage ASR. Examples of partners for mancozeb mixture include benalaxyl, cymoxanil, dimethomorph, famoxadone, fenamidone, folpet, fosetyl-aluminum, iprovalicarb, mandipropamid, metalaxyl, and zoxamide (8). Similarly, this could be followed in the control of ASR in Brazil and elsewhere. To reinforce the role of mancozeb in anti-resistance strategies, nearly five decades of use and continual development have led to records in over 70 crops and 400 different diseases (8).
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