Identification of the causal agent of “pata seca” in pepper crop production areas of Ecuador
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ABSTRACT


Cultivation of pepper (Capsicum annuum L.) has high economic relevance in Ecuador. Although the planted area is approximately 5704 ha, its productivity is low (3.17 t ha⁻¹) relative to other countries in the region. In recent years, the spread and the development of a disease that leads the plant to death, known by farmers as “pata seca” and occurring in production zones located in Guayas and Santa Elena Provinces, has caused great economic losses. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of “pata seca” on commercial pepper crops during 2013 and 2014 and to identify the causal agent of such disease. Twenty-three farms were visited, where samples and data were collected. “Pata seca” was detected in 79.2% samples, and 53.6% plants had symptoms of this disease. Analysis of the samples collected in 2013 and 2014 indicated that the predominant fungi were Sclerotium rolfsii (31.17%, 49.64%) and Fusarium spp. (29.29%, 32.37%), respectively. Pathogenicity tests confirmed that S. rolfsii is the major causal agent.
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RESUMO


A cultura do pimentão (Capsicum annuum L.) tem alta importância econômica no Equador. Apesar da área plantada ser próxima de 5704 ha, a produtividade é baixa (3,17 t ha⁻¹) em relação aos países da região. Nos últimos anos, a propagação e o desenvolvimento de uma doença que causa a morte da planta, conhecida pelos agricultores como “pata seca”, que ocorre nas áreas de produção situadas nas províncias de Guayas e Santa Elena, tem causado elevadas perdas econômicas. Objetivou-se avaliar efeito da doença “pata seca” em plantios comerciais de pimentão durante os anos de 2013 e 2014 e identificar o agente causal da enfermidade. Visitaram-se 23 fazendas, onde foram coletadas amostras e dados. A “pata seca” foi constatada em 79,2% das amostras, com 53,6% das plantas apresentando sintomas da doença. Detecou-se nas análises das amostras coletadas em 2013 e 2014, como predominantes os fungos Sclerotium rolfsii (31,17%, 49,64%) e Fusarium spp. (29,29%, 32,37%) respectivamente. Nos testes de patogenicidade confirmaram que o S. rolfsii é o principal agente causal.
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Pepper (Capsicum annuum L.) cultivation is important for the Ecuadorian population since it can be used fresh and processed. This vegetable is part of the diet in Ecuador. From 1997 to 2001, pepper production has increased by 188% for its economic relevance and price (16). Currently, its production is approximately 5704 ha (6). The provinces showing higher production are Guayas, Santa Elena, Manabi, Chimborazo, Loja and other places in the Andean region INEC (23).

According to the national register AGROCALIDAD (3), pepper sowing and production include 13 cultivars between varieties and hybrids. The most relevant ones for producers are: Irazú Largo, Yolo Wonder, Cubanelle, Quetzal, Nathalie, Salvador, Marcato F1, Amanda, Dahra, Martha and Magali. Pepper sowing takes place in zones with optimal temperature between 25 and 31°C, where germination and vegetable development are favored. It adapts well up to 1.800 masl but higher heights could affect the crop development (19).

Although pepper is grown in Ecuador, its productivity (3.17 t ha⁻¹) is low compared to other countries in the region (12). In the latest years, dissemination of “pata seca” disease has been detected, affecting the provinces of Guayas and Santa Elena. It starts with a brown spot on the plant stem base, near the soil, which expands as fungal structures, similar to a white mycelium and structures known as sclerotia. The diseased plants remain in the field where they will and die. Pepper producers at that zone have reported production loss in previous years (34).

According to the symptomatology, it is a new disease in pepper production fields at the coastal zone of Ecuador caused by Sclerotium rolfsii (teleomorph: Athelia rolfsii), which has also been reported in other countries and continents. The causal agent produces diseases in tropical and subtropical regions to more than 500 host plants, such as: apples, potatoes, tomatoes, peanuts, ornamental plants, herbaceous plants and trees (27).

Other fungi associated with this symptomatology is Fusarium spp, which causes pepper wilt and was first reported in 1989 by Revelli (35),
as cited by Huang and Vallad (17). According to the description of the principal diseases of chili INIFAP (22), in Aguas Calientes State, Mexico, chili’s wilt is caused by fungi of the genera Rhizoctonia sp., Fusarium spp and Phytophthora spp. and its presence was registered in all producing states of the country.

The economic incidence and importance of a disease depend on various factors such as: settling capacity, penetration, colonization and dissemination of the pathogen in the host (2). Similarly, other important factors must be considered such as: agronomic handling of the plant, type of cultivation, sowing season, type of soil, watering, fertilization, nearby crops and environmental factors in the crop (25).

In Ecuador, there are few studies about the main diseases affecting pepper. This is a limitation in the setting of plant protection control measures. The main objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of “pata seca” on pepper commercial plantations during 2013 and 2014, as well as the implementation of measures that will prevent economic loss to farmers. Due to the relevance of the crop and the mentioned background, this study was conducted during 2013 and 2014 in pepper production areas of Guayas and Santa Elena Provinces. The main purpose was to determine and identify the presence of the causal agent associated with “pata seca”. The field condition and the farmer’s handling of the vegetable were also determined.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

“Pata seca” diagnosis in pepper farms

During 2013 and 2014, we continually visited 23 pepper producers in the parish of Yaguachi Viejo GAD-Yaguachi (13) in Guayas Province; other places from Santa Elena Province were also included in the coastal profile of Ecuador. The collected data were: name of the owner, area surface, type of used seedling, type of grown seed and cultivar/hybrid, type of irrigation, water quality, crop soil management, sanitary problems and type of cultivation (organic or conventional). The incidence of plants affected with “pata seca” symptoms was recorded according to the producing area. In each farm, between 200 and 500 pepper plants were evaluated. For correct recognition of symptomatology, specialized field manuals were used (24, 25, 30).

Isolation and identification of fungi associated with “pata seca” in pepper

In each farm, three samples were collected from plants presenting “pata seca” symptoms (two plants per sample). The samples were stored in properly labeled plastic bags and taken to the laboratory for processing. For each sample, the roots had the attached soil removed and were carefully washed with water. Subsequently, five fragments of root and collar tissue were cut from the plant and disinfected with sodium hypochlorite at 1.5% for 1 and 2 minutes. Then, the plant tissue fragments were cleaned with sterile distilled water, dried with sterilized filter paper and transferred to Petri dishes, which contained acidified PDA growth medium (Merck). Petri dishes were incubated at 28°C and 12-hour photoperiod for seven days (1, 8, 9). Once developed, fungal colonies were purified in the cultivation medium for identification (4, 6, 10).

Pathogenicity tests and isolation of Sclerotium rolfsii and Fusarium spp. associated with “pata seca” symptoms

Pathogenicity tests were conducted with three F. oxysporum isolates, two F. solani isolates and five S. rolfsii isolates to complete Koch’s postulates (Table 1).

For pathogenicity tests, seedlings of Quetzal hybrid were placed on trays containing organic substrate composed of a mixture between coffee husk (50%) and clay (50%) which was previously sterilized in an autoclave. After 25-30 days of emergency, some seedlings were inoculated with Fusarium spp. and others with S. rolfsii. For Fusarium spp. inoculation, the inoculum was obtained in PDA medium and incubated during 14 days at 25°C and 12-hour photoperiod (8, 26). Once pure colonies were obtained, conidial suspensions were prepared at a concentration of 10⁶ conidia mL⁻¹. The resulting suspension was filtered in two-layer gauze (8). The root system of pepper seedlings was immersed during 5 minutes in conidial suspension and immediately transplanted to 1-kg plastic pots, which contained the sterilized substrate. The experiment was installed in a completely randomized design, in which four plants were inoculated and transplanted, with six replicates and 24 plants for each treatment or isolation. For inoculation with S. rolfsii isolates, the colonies were previously cultivated during 21 days, at 25 °C, until the grown sclerotia had dark coloration (18). Inoculations were performed by depositing three small portions of fungal structure and sclerotia cultivated in culture medium at the level of the collar region in each base of the plants (15). Control treatment was inoculated by submerging the roots in sterile distilled water and placing PDA medium fragments at the same level of the collar region of plants. The plants were kept in a greenhouse, at 24-27 °C, receiving adequate irrigation and fertilization. The disease symptoms were evaluated after inoculation, at 50 days after transplanting. The total number of diseased plants was recorded and the percentage of diseased plants was calculated.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

“Pata seca” diagnosis in pepper cultivation

The sampling area of this study was 12.2 hectares under cultivation. Most pepper production in this area is performed by small farmers, who own between 0.5 a 3 ha. Approximately 78% and 22% farmers grow seedlings in the soil and in plastic trays, respectively. Around 82% farmers irrigate the crop by means of gravity and only 18% use drop irrigation. All farmers have conventional crop production. About 69 farmers are visited by technicians from enterprises of chemical products and 29% are visited by extension officers from

Table 1. Fungal isolates from pepper plants showing “pata seca” symptoms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolates</th>
<th>Fungi</th>
<th>Farmer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F-2</td>
<td>Fusarium solani</td>
<td>Sr. Salazar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-3</td>
<td>F. oxysporum</td>
<td>Ensayo Vuelta Larga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-4</td>
<td>F. oxysporum</td>
<td>Sr. Cedeño</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-5</td>
<td>F. solani</td>
<td>Sr. Zambrano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scl-1</td>
<td>Sclerotium rolfsii</td>
<td>Sres. Hnos Vera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scl-2</td>
<td>S. rolfsii</td>
<td>Sres. Hnos Vera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scl-3</td>
<td>S. rolfsii</td>
<td>Sr. Armijos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scl-4</td>
<td>S. rolfsii</td>
<td>Sr. Arreaga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scl-5</td>
<td>S. rolfsii</td>
<td>Ensayo Vuelta Larga</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
public institutions. Only 4.34% farmers do not receive any technical visit. There were 13 types of pepper cultivars, the seeds of which were imported from other countries (3). The most used cultivars in the researched area were: Quetzal (43.5%), Salvador (39.1%) and Nathalie (17.4%). Due to the high cost of seeds and other technical reasons, all farmers use recycled seeds from those hybrids for their cash crops. They obtain the recycled seeds from their best peppers, which are selected in the harvest period. The recycled seeds belong to the first or second generation of the grown hybrid. This implies genetic segregation. Consequently, those new generations will not have the desired uniform characteristics of the original pepper plants. The highest occurrence of “pata seca” was found for pepper plants from seeds that were recycled from the hybrid Salvador (41.4 %), followed by the hybrids Quetzal (27.4 %) and Nathalie (21.1 %) (Table 2). According to information received from the farmers at these communities, there are times of the year when the farm production loss is total, caused by the high incidence of “pata seca”.

Identification of the etiological agent of “pata seca”

“Pata seca” is a disease that occurs in pepper crops after the first flowering period until fruit production (approximately 40 days after transplanting) and remains until the final cycle of the crop. Frequently, due to the farmers’ lack of knowledge, the diseased plants remain in the field and act as inoculum source for the following crops. As confirmed by this study, “pata seca” symptoms start as a brown spot in the collar region. It produces a color change in parts of the plants. The leaves change from green to yellow. Then, they turn to light brown and start to wilt, which becomes widespread and leads the plant to death. The fruits of the affected plants, in any growth stage, ripen rapidly. Depending on the environmental conditions, those plants rot. Thus, roots decrease in number and acquire a brown coloration with necrosis and advanced rot state from the collar region of the plant to the end of the main root. Therefore, when the basal portion of the stem is cut, the cortical region is darkened. Sometimes, in the basal portion of the stem, there is a white mycelium layer. Similarly, its appearance is cottony and there are brown sclerotia over it (Figure 1). The mentioned symptoms are similar to those previously described (5, 30) for wilt in pepper crops caused by \textit{Fusarium} spp. and \textit{S. rolfsii}.

Of 386 isolations, the prevalence in 2013 and 2014, respectively, was 31.17% and 49.64% for \textit{S. rolfsii}, 29.29% and 32.37% for \textit{Fusarium} spp., and 44.54% and 17.99% for other fungi.

Identification of fungi was based on the listed distinctive morphologic characteristics (4, 6, 10, 28).

Table 2 - Incidence of “pata seca” in pepper production areas: Yaguachi Viejo Parish and Santa Elena Peninsula, Ecuador.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Names of farmers</th>
<th>Yaguachi-Viejo Parish places and Santa Elena Peninsula</th>
<th>Type of seeds</th>
<th>Incidence of “pata seca” (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sr. Santiago Castro</td>
<td>Recinto Las Palmas, Taura</td>
<td>Salvador, Quetzal, Nathalie</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr. Jorge Naranjo</td>
<td>Parroquia Mariscal Sucre</td>
<td>Salvador, Quetzal, Nathalie</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr. Wellington Suárez Manobanda</td>
<td>Parroquia Mariscal Sucre</td>
<td>Salvador, Quetzal, Nathalie</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr. Briones Meza</td>
<td>Recinto Vuelta Larga</td>
<td>Salvador y mezcla de semillas recicladas</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr. George Cedeño</td>
<td>Vía Vuelta Larga, Yaguachi</td>
<td>Salvador</td>
<td>49.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr. Jorge Armijos</td>
<td>San Fernando, Cone</td>
<td>Quetzal, Salvador</td>
<td>30.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr. Absalón Salazar</td>
<td>San Fernando, Cone</td>
<td>Quetzal</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr. Productor del Camino</td>
<td></td>
<td>Salvador</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr. Pedro Navarrete</td>
<td>Recinto Inmaculada, Yaguachi</td>
<td>Quetzal</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr. Francisco Navarrete</td>
<td>Recinto Inmaculada, Yaguachi</td>
<td>Quetzal</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr. Pedro Navarrete</td>
<td>Recinto Inmaculada, Yaguachi</td>
<td>Quetzal</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr. Anthón Navarrete</td>
<td>Recinto Inmaculada, Yaguachi</td>
<td>Quetzal</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr. Luis Arteaga de PSE</td>
<td>Provincia de Santa Elena. Comuna El Azúcar</td>
<td>Nathalie</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr. Hugo Maridueña</td>
<td>Comuna El Azúcar</td>
<td>Nathalie</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr. Adrián Técnico</td>
<td>Comuna El Azúcar</td>
<td>Quetzal</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr. Omar Asencio</td>
<td>Comuna San Rafael</td>
<td>Semilla distribuida por el MAGAP</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr. Angel Arriaga</td>
<td>Coop. 22 de abril, Recinto Vuelta Larga</td>
<td>Nathalie</td>
<td>44.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr. Daniel Zambrano</td>
<td>Vía Vuelta Larga, Yaguachi</td>
<td>Salvador</td>
<td>53.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr. John Cedeño</td>
<td>Vía Vuelta Larga, Yaguachi</td>
<td>Salvador</td>
<td>45.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr. Santos Miranda</td>
<td>Vía Vuelta Larga, Yaguachi</td>
<td>Quetzal</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sres. Hnos Vera</td>
<td>Sector Coop. 22 de abril</td>
<td>Salvador</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr. Luis Coloma</td>
<td>Vía a San Fernando</td>
<td>Salvador</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr. Alfredo Coloma</td>
<td>Vía a San Fernando</td>
<td>Quetzal</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Colonies identified as *Sclerotium* sp. presented white pale mycelium that grows rapidly without conidia, septate-branched hyphae and fihulas, as well as hard, round, light brown sclerotia. *Fusarium* spp. colonies exhibit purple mycelium, which is pale yellow or brown with septate hyphae, macroconidia and chlamydospores. Conidia are produced as long and short phialides, depending on the species. Based on these characteristics described by Booth (6), the identified species were *F. oxysporum* and *F. solani*.

The obtained results and the high frequency of isolation of *S. rolfsii* and *Fusarium* spp. from pepper plants with “pata seca” symptoms suggest that these species are associated with “pata seca” disease. Consequently, plants die and fruits ripe early, losing their quality for marketing. The results obtained in this study and the identification of *Fusarium* spp. in pepper crops corroborate the results obtained by Pontis (31), who identified this genus as the cause of pepper wilt in Argentina. Similarly, these results agree with those described by Roberts et al. (36), who mentioned the presence of this disease in the United States, Mexico and Italy. In Mexico, researchers have identified *F. oxysporum* and *F. solani* associated with chili’s wilt in Guanajuato State INIFAP (21), where the described symptoms correspond to those reported in this study. This means that pepper plants affected by *Fusarium* spp. are attacked in the flowering and fruiting stage. Afterwards, the plants die.

**Pathogenicity of Fusarium spp. and S. rolfsii isolates in pepper plants**

The first symptoms of the disease started after 50 days of plant inoculation. The plants presented yellowish discoloration from the basal to the top leaves. Then, the plants showed symptoms of generalized withering, resulting in death. The color of the stem varied from green to reddish brown with black spots on the external part. The roots were rotten and ill developed (Figure. 2). The major incidence of the disease in the Quetzal hybrid plant inoculated with *S. rolfsii* was 2.5% (Scl-1) and 7.5% (Scl-4). The extent of the disease was highest with the isolates Scl-5 (26%) and Scl-3 (37%). Only 21.67% of 120 plants inoculated with *S. rolfsii* died, regardless of the type of isolates. The major incidence of affected plants, during the experiment, was found for the isolates Scl-3 and Scl-4 (Figure 3).

In plants inoculated with *Fusarium* spp., only isolates F-2 and F-5, corresponding to *F. solani*, were pathogenic: 1.7% and 3.3%, respectively. Based on these results, *F. solani* is an agent related to “pata seca” symptoms but is not the main agent because these symptoms were similar to those observed with *S. rolfsii* in plants under field conditions.

Although the plants barely showed a significant incidence, the disease could be replicated under greenhouse condition. Therefore, the main causal agent was suggested to be *S. rolfsii*, related or not to *F. solani*, and possibly to another fungus isolated in this study. Regarding *Fusarium* spp., Palazón and Palazón (29) reported that it was the causal agent of “tristeza” and that attempts to inoculate this
pathogen were unlikely to succeed. INIFAP (21) stated that *Fusarium* species instead acted as saprophytic jointly with other causal agents; in Mexico, “marchitez del chile” and its effect were favored by the mechanical damage of pests or other pathogens.

No study similar to the present one about pathogenicity of isolates in pepper crop and symptomatology is available in Ecuador INIAP (20). However, Capuz (7) worked with *Trichoderma sp.* and reported the presence of *S. rolfsii* in tomatoes and pepper samples in Yaguachi.

The *Sclerotium* species was described by Saccardo in 1891 and is one of the most destructive fungi for pepper crops in warm and humid zones all over the world (35). It shows rapid mycelial growth with no sporulation, forming different types of hard structures called sclerotia. This is one of the most important fungi all over the world, showing great diversity of hosts and ecological distribution (33).

Pathogenicity results, related to those observed for *S. rolfsii*, agreed with those reported by Gonzalez et al. (15) for corn crops inoculated with a sample of tissue with mycelia and sclerotia. These also agreed with the results reported by Galmarini et al. (14), who observed symptoms in orchid plants inoculated with *S. rolfsii*.

The different virulence among *S. rolfsii* species could be associated with rapid growth and development of significant amount of endopolygalacturonase and oxalic acid Punja (32).
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