Clinical and radiological postoperative evaluation of posterior sagittal anorectoplasty in patients with upper and intermediate anorectal malformations

INTRODUCTION

Since studies done by Peña and DeVries, posterior sagittal anorectoplasty (PSARP) has become the main treatment for upper and intermediate anorectal malformations (ARM) around the world.

However, careful long term evaluation must be done to allow complete approval of this method.

Therefore, we analyzed clinical and radiological assays of 27 children with upper and intermediate ARMs who underwent PSARP.

Patients

We studied 27 white children with 21 upper and 6 intermediate ARMs, of which 17 were male and 10 were female, who underwent colostomies, PSARPs, and anal dilations with Hegar’s candle, after which the colostomies were closed; ages varied from 4 to 11 years. We selected patients who were over 4 years old because at this age fecal continence is well-developed in normal children. All children were evaluated 3 months or more after the colostomies were closed.

All children were evaluated clinically and radiologically by defecograms using the Haberkorn technique for the following parameters:

1. Fecal continence
2. Relation between fecal continence and the presence of sacral malformations
3. Relationship between fecal continence and dynamic radiology evaluation by defecogram, analyzing the following features:
   a) Presence of rectal reservoir
   b) Presence of fecaloma
   c) Impression on posterior rectum caused by the contractions of the puborectalis of the levator ani, which is part of the superior sphincter muscular complex
   d) Absence of inferior sphincter muscular complex tightening, which is formed by the sphincter ani externs and is demonstrated by constant evacuation

Children who: defecated once or twice a day; with no soiling; whose feces and anus presented no alterations; with good upper and lower rectal contraction during examination were considered continent.

Children who: defecated three to five times a day; with normal feces and frequent soiling; who presented rectal prolapse; with moderate upper or lower contraction during rectal examination were considered partially continent.

Children who: defecated more than five times a day; with liquid feces; constant and total fecal loss; anus with a large opening, or rectal prolapse, and loss of feces; with light or nil upper or lower contraction during examination were considered incontinent.

We used chi-square test for statistical analysis of table 2 to compare continent, partially continent, and incontinent patients according to the characteristics above. We used Fisher's exact test to associate continent, partially continent, and incontinent patients' tightening of external sphincter; the level of significance used was 5% (p ≤ 0.05).

**RESULTS**

1. Fecal Continence

Thirteen of the 27 cases presented fecal continence, 7 cases presented partial continence, and 7 presented incontinence.

Eight of the thirteen continent patients had upper ARMs and 5 had intermediate ARMs; six of the seven partially continent patients had upper ARMs and 1 had an intermediate ARM; all 7 incontinent patients had upper ARMs.

Distribution according to gender was the following:
- Continent - 7 male and 6 female.
- Partially continent - 5 male and 2 female.
- Incontinent - 5 male and 2 female.

2. Relation Between Fecal Continence and Associated Sacral Malformations

One of the thirteen continent children presented an associated sacral malformation; 3 of the seven partially continent children presented associated sacral anomalies; six of the seven incontinent children presented associated sacral anomalies.

Vertebral malformations found in these patients can be seen in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Associated Vertebral Malformations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Relation Between Fecal Continence and Defecogram

Results are shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continece vs. Defecogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial Cont.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incontinence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = number of evaluated patients
RR = rectal reservoir
FEC = fecaloma
AA = anorectal angle alteration during evacuation
IMP = Impression of the superior sphincter complex on posterior rectum
TIC = tightening of inferior sphincter complex

There was a significant association between incontinence and absence of tightening of external sphincter in the defecogram (Fig. 1 and 2).
Our clinical evaluation is based on a blend of what has been proposed recently.

Our results agree with those by other authors, with the exception of Lanjemeijer & Mollenaar - 1991 (9), who stated that this surgical technique never allows normal fecal continence.

The presence of sacral malformations have an effect on fecal continence especially in upper ARM. Clinical manifestations are greater when entire sacral sections are not present, but even hemisacral malformations may be occasionally responsible for nerve involvement; therefore, it is important to observe the following data:

1. Innervation of levator ani and bladder are usually normal in malformations of vertebrae 4 and 5.
2. Malformations of sacral vertebrae 3, 4, and 5 lead to variable innervation of bladder and levator ani, but most patients become incontinent.
3. Malformations of sacral vertebrae 1 and 2 are associated with incontinence since the levator ani not only presents problems with its innervation but also is underdeveloped.
4. Hemisacral malformations are unpredictable; involvement of vertebrae 4 and 5 in these cases usually leads to bladder and levator ani malfunctions.

In our study, 42.85% of the partially continent and 85.71% of the incontinent patients presented sacral malformations. These results agree with studies of Peña\(^\text{10}\) and Martins\(^\text{11}\), which state that sacral malformations are usually associated with neurological problems and muscle weakening.

Evaluation of patients using defecograms in our study was consistent with fecal continence, although many authors consider puborectal impression on the posterior rectum to be more important, eg: Kelly\(^\text{12, 13}\), Cywes et al\(^\text{14}\).

These authors report that the puborectal impression on the posterior rectum gives a better idea of the function of the sphincter and its relation to fecal continence. Our study does not agree since all patients, including the incontinent ones, presented a puborectal impression on the posterior rectum, with only one exception.

Our results show that the best parameter is the tightening of the external anal sphincter, which was present in all continent patients and not present in 71.42% of the incontinent patients.

Other features, such as the rectal reservoir, the presence of fecaloma, and the alteration of anorectal angle did not show any significant results in the evaluation of postoperative continence.
CONCLUSIONS

1. PSARP was efficient in maintaining fecal continence in 48.14% of the cases and partial fecal continence in 25.92% of the cases.

2. Fecal incontinence was associated directly with sacral malformations.

3. Absence of external anal sphincter tightening in patients with fecal incontinence, as demonstrated by defecograms, was significantly higher.

RESUMO

A ARPSP é atualmente uma das técnicas mais utilizadas na correção cirúrgica das anomalias anorretais altas e intermediárias, existindo muita controvérsia na literatura quanto aos critérios de avaliação desses pacientes no pós-operatório. Foram estudados 27 pacientes do ponto de vista clínico e radiológico pelo defecograma, submetidos à ARPSP, visando avaliar os seguintes parâmetros: 1. Continência fecal; 2. Relação entre a continência fecal e a anomalias sacrais associadas; 3. Relação entre a avaliação radiológica dinâmica pelo defecograma e a continência fecal. Da análise da casuística foram obtidas as seguintes conclusões: 1. A continência fecal foi obtida em 48,14% dos casos; parcialmente em 25,92% dos casos; e a incontinência fecal foi observada em 25,92% dos casos. 2. A ocorrência de incontinência fecal esteve diretamente relacionada com a associação de anomalias sacrais. 3. Nos pacientes com incontinência fecal, a ausência de eclusão do esfincter externo do ânus no defecograma foi significamente maior.
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