Accuracy of different methods for blood glucose measurement in critically ill patients

Acurácia de diferentes métodos para mensuração de glicemia em pacientes graves
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ABSTRACT

CONTEXT AND OBJECTIVE: Although glucometers have not been validated for intensive care units, they are regularly used. The aim of this study was to compare and assess the accuracy and clinical agreement of arterial glucose concentration obtained using colorimetry (Agluc-lab), capillary (Cgluc-strip) and arterial (Agluc-strip) glucose concentration obtained using glucometry and central venous glucose concentration obtained using colorimetry (Vgluc-lab).

DESIGN AND SETTING: Cross-sectional study in a university hospital.

METHOD: Forty patients with septic shock and stable individuals without infection were included. The correlations between measurements were assessed both in the full sample and in subgroups using noradrenalin and presenting signs of tissue hypoperfusion.

RESULTS: Cgluc-strip showed the poorest correlation ($r = 0.8289$) and agreement ($-9.87 \pm 31.76$). It exceeded the limits of acceptable variation of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute in 23.7% of the cases, and was higher than Agluc-lab in 90% of the measurements. Agluc-strip showed the best correlation ($r = 0.9406$), with agreement of $-6.75 \pm 19.07$ and significant variation in 7.9%. For Vgluc-lab, $r = 0.8549$, with agreement of $-20.42 \pm 28.37$ and significant variation in 15.7%. Significant variation was more frequent in patients on noradrenalin (36.4% versus 6.3%; $P = 0.03$) but not in the subgroup with hypoperfusion. There was discordance regarding clinical management in 25%, 22% and 15% of the cases for Cgluc-strip, Vgluc-lab and Agluc-strip, respectively.

CONCLUSION: Cgluc-strip should be avoided, particularly if noradrenalin is being used. This method usually overestimates the true glucose levels and gives rise to management errors.

CLINICAL TRIAL REGISTRATION: ACTRN12608000513314 (registered as an observational, cross-sectional study)
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RESUMO

CONTEXTO E OBJETIVO: Apesar de glicosímetros não serem validados para unidades de terapia intensiva (UTI), seu uso é contínuo. O objetivo foi avaliar a acurácia e concordância clínica entre a glicemia arterial por colorimetria (glicA-lab), glicemias capilar (glicC-fita) e arterial (glicA-fita) por glicosimetria, e venosa central por colorimetria (glicV-lab).

TIPO DE ESTUDO E LOCAL: Estudo transversal realizado em hospital universitário.

MÉTODO: Foram incluídos 40 pacientes com choque séptico e indivíduos estáveis, sem infecção. A correlação entre medidas foi avaliada tanto na amostra global quanto nos subgrupos em uso de noradrenalina e com sinais de hipoperfusão tecidual.

RESULTADOS: A glicC-fita mostrou pior correlação ($r = 0.8289$) e concordância ($-9.87 \pm 31.76$). Esta superou os limites aceitáveis de variação do Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute em 23.7% dos casos, sendo maior que a glicA-lab em 90% das vezes. A glicA-fita teve uma melhor correlação ($r = 0.9406$), com concordância de $-6.75 \pm 19.07$ e variação significativa em 7,9%. Para a glicV-lab, obteve-se $r = 0.8549$, concordância de $-20.42 \pm 28.37$ e variação significativa em 15,7%. Variação significativa foi mais frequente em pacientes com noradrenalina (36.4% versus 6.3%; $P = 0.03$), mas não nos com hipoperfusão. Houve discordância de conduta clínica em 25%, 22,5% e 15% dos casos para glicC-fita, glicV-lab e glicA-fita, respectivamente.

CONCLUSÃO: O uso de glicC-fita deixa de ser evitado, principalmente se há uso de noradrenalina. Geralmente, este método superestima a glicemia real e acarreta erros de conduta.

REGISTRO DO ENSAIO CLÍNICO: ACTRN12608000513314 (registrado como estudo observacional transversal)
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INTRODUCTION

Severe sepsis and septic shock are the main causes of death in intensive care units. More than 750,000 cases of severe sepsis occur annually in the United States, amounting to 215,000 deaths/year in that country. Impaired microcirculation plays a leading role in this setting and, unless corrected, it can evolve to multiple organ dysfunction and death.

Glucose homeostasis becomes modified in these patients, thereby resulting in insulin resistance, hyperinsulinemia and consequent hyperglycemia. This set of conditions is named stress diabetes, and it is a physiological response that ensures glucose supply to non-insulin-dependent tissues such as hepatocytes, nerve cells and alveolar, endothelial and immune system cells. Hyperglycemia is an independent predictor of adverse outcomes in cases of cardiovascular disease, neurological disorders, respiratory, liver and gastrointestinal disease, malignancy, sepsis and surgical patients. Normoglycemia is related to lower morbidity and mortality because of improvements in systemic inflammatory processes and in immune, endothelial and mitochondrial dysfunctions. Normoglycemic patients are less susceptible to bloodstream infection, renal failure, anemia and transfusion, polynuropathy, hyperbilirubinemia and prolonged dependence on both mechanical ventilation and intensive care therapy. Additionally, glucose control is cost-effective.

Thus, although glucose control is a priority in treating critically ill patients, glucose monitoring can be quite challenging. Considering that many intensive care patients are unable to express signs and symptoms of hypoglycemia, frequent and accurate measurements are pivotal. Given the low cost, easy sampling and prompt results of glucometers, capillary blood glucose levels are often determined using this method, although it has not been validated for intensive care patients. Critically ill patients have multiple relevant conditions that can interfere with measurements such as pH, partial pressure of oxygen, hematocrit, blood glucose levels and tissue hypoperfusion. Measurement mistakes may lead to unnecessary procedures regarding insulin doses and increase the risk of severe or prolonged hypoglycemia and its complications such as seizures, coma, arrhythmia and irreversible cerebral damage.

OBJECTIVE

This study aimed to compare capillary (Cgluc-strip) and arterial (Agluc-strip) blood glucose levels measured by a glucometer in critically ill patients, with their arterial blood glucose levels measured by means of colorimetry (Agluc-lab), which was considered to be the gold standard. Subgroups of individuals either using noradrenalin or presenting tissue hypoperfusion were also analyzed.

In addition, we also assessed the agreement with blood glucose levels measured through the central venous line by means of colorimetry (Vgluc-lab). Secondly, we sought to determine whether the measurement method had any impact on the glucose level control procedures, based on a strict and well-established protocol.

METHODS

Type of study

This was a cross-sectional study carried out at a tertiary public institution. It was conducted in the intensive care unit of the Discipline of Anesthesiology, Pain and Intensive Care of Hospital São Paulo, Universidade Federal de São Paulo — Escola Paulista de Medicina (Unifesp-EPM). The research project had previously been analyzed and approved by the institution’s Ethics Committee. A free and informed consent statement was signed by all participating patients or their legal representatives.

Sample

Forty patients were included based on the following inclusion criteria: age ≥ 18 years; presence of arterial and central venous lines; intensive glycemic control in accordance with the institution’s protocol and a signed informed consent statement. Patients with either diabetes or hemodynamic instability that was not solely related to sepsis were excluded.

Patients with two different profiles were recruited for the study. The first type consisted of patients in septic shock, on any dose of noradrenalin. The second type consisted of patients with no confirmed or presumed infection, who did not require noradrenalin for any reason whatsoever. Septic shock was defined in accordance with the 1992 consensus conference, as described elsewhere. In assessing tissue perfusion, hypoperfusion was defined as the presence of oxygen central venous saturation (ScvO2) lower than 70 mmHg and lactate higher than 20 mg/l.

Procedures

A single set of tests was obtained per patient: Cgluc-strip, Agluc-strip, Vgluc-lab, Agluc-lab, arterial lactate and arterial and central venous blood gas determinations. Serum sodium, potassium, creatinine and bilirubin levels were obtained through the unit’s routine tests. Arterial and central venous lines were used for sample collection. The catheter lumen was washed with 10 ml of distilled water, followed by aspiration of 5 ml of blood to be discarded before the sample collection. The samples were immediately sent to the laboratory and processed by a technician who was unaware of the sample origin. The Agluc-lab and Vgluc-lab measurements were each made using 3 ml of sample in tubes containing ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA). This was done in the Olympus Au640e device, with hexokinase G-6-pyruvate dehydrogenase A (G-6-PDHA) reagent. Arterial and central venous blood gas and arterial lactate were determined by means of a microtechnique in the ABL 700 Series Radiometer® (Copenhagen, Denmark), on samples of 1 ml each in heparinized syringes. For Cgluc-strip, blood from the finger pad lanced with a 26G needle was used. Analysis was performed by the investigator immediately after sampling. The glucometer available at the institution was the Medisense FreeStyle Optium® (Abbott Laboratories, United States). The glucometer manufacturer’s manual specifies hematocrit as the only interfering variable, ranging between 15% and 65%.

The tests and clinical data were stored on a standardized case report form for each patient. Intensive glucose control was carried out in ac-
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Forty non-consecutive patients were included in the study between October 2006 and April 2007. Of these, 26 (65.0%) were male. The reasons for the patients' hospital admission were clinical disease in 12 cases (30.0%), emergency surgery in 15 (37.5%) and elective surgery in 13 (32.5%). The mean length of hospital stay at the time of inclusion was 3.7 ± 6.2 days. The mean age, APACHE II and SOFA were respectively: 55.3 ± 17.7; 15.5 ± 8.6; and 7.2 ± 4.3.

Noradrenalin was used for 24 patients and of these, 11 (45.0%) presented tissue perfusion abnormalities. Among the other 16 patients (without noradrenalin), only four (25.0%) presented tissue perfusion abnormalities (P = 0.158). Only one patient had mean arterial pressure (MAP) lower than 65 mmHg at the sample collection time. Among the 15 patients with tissue hypoperfusion, six had ScvO₂ abnormalities (mean = 56.4 ± 18.4) and nine had lactate abnormalities (mean = 49.5 ± 22.8). None of the patients had concomitant ScvO₂ and lactate alteration.

With regard to possible hematocrit interference with glucose level determinations by means of glucometry, the mean hematocrit level was 26.5% ± 4.3, with a minimum value of 17.0% and maximum of 36.0%, in agreement with the limits specified by the device manufacturer.

The mean glucose values were: Agluc-lab = 140.8 mg/dl ± 51.9; Cgluc-strip = 150.7 ± 55.8 mg/dl; Agluc-strip = 147.5 mg/dl ± 56.1; Vgluc-lab = 145.0 mg/dl ± 53.2. In Pearson’s correlation analysis, none of the blood glucose level determinations was satisfactory, in relation to Agluc-lab (Table 1). The worst correlation was with Cgluc-strip (r = 0.8289; P < 0.0001) (Figure 1-A). Bland and Altman’s method (Table 2) showed bias between Agluc-lab and Cgluc-strip equal to -9.8 mg/dl ± 31.7 (-72.12 to 52.37) (Figure 1-B). Cgluc-strip was higher than Agluc-lab in 26 patients (65.0%), with a mean variation of 25.7 mg/dl, while in the other 14 patients (35.0%), it was less than Agluc-lab, with a mean variation of 19.6 mg/dl. Analyzing the data according to the CLSI recommendations with regard to the acceptable percentage variation, only two Agluc-lab values lower than 75 mg/dl were found, which both had Cgluc-strip values within the acceptable limits of variation (± 15 mg/dl). However, when Agluc-lab was greater than or equal to 75 mg/dl (38 cases), Cgluc-strip was outside of the acceptable limits (± 20% of the Agluc-lab value) in nine cases (23.6%) (Table 3). In these nine cases, eight Cgluc-strip values overestimated the Agluc-lab. When Agluc-lab was higher than 150 mg/dl, such variation occurred in 28.5%.

Table 1. Correlation between arterial blood glucose levels measured by colorimetry and other methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Full sample (n = 40)</th>
<th>Noradrenalin use</th>
<th>Tissue perfusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes (n = 24)</td>
<td>No (n = 16)</td>
<td>Abnormal (n = 15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cgluc-strip</td>
<td>0.8289*</td>
<td>0.8246*</td>
<td>0.9612*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agluc-strip</td>
<td>0.9400*</td>
<td>0.9526*</td>
<td>0.9175*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vgluc-lab</td>
<td>0.8549*</td>
<td>0.9272*</td>
<td>0.6835*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cgluc-strip: capillary blood glucose levels measured by glucometry; Agluc-strip: arterial blood glucose levels measured by glucometry; Vgluc-lab: central venous blood glucose levels measured by colorimetry. Pearson’s correlation: *P = 0.0001; †P = 0.0004; ‡P = 0.035.
Figure 1. Pearson’s coefficient (1-A) and Bland and Altman’s test (1-B) between capillary blood glucose levels measured by glucometry (Cgluc-strip) and arterial blood glucose levels measured by colorimetry (Agluc-lab). Figure 1-A: $r = 0.8289$. Figure 1-B: bias = -9.87 ± 31.76 (-72.12 to +52.37). Full sample (n = 40). Blood glucose in mg/dl.

Table 2. Agreement between arterial blood glucose levels measured by colorimetry and other methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Cgluc-strip</th>
<th>Agluc-strip</th>
<th>Vgluc-lab</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full sample (n = 40)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>bias</td>
<td>-9.87 ± 31.76 (-72.12-52.37)</td>
<td>-6.75 ± 19.07 (-44.13-30.63)</td>
<td>-4.20 ± 28.37 (-59.81-51.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noradrenalin use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (n = 24)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-13.91 ± 37.91 (-88.23-60.40)</td>
<td>-11.20 ± 20.02 (-50.46-28.04)</td>
<td>4.08 ± 22.79 (-40.58-48.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (n = 16)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-3.81 ± 18.78 (-40.63-33.01)</td>
<td>-0.06 ± 15.85 (-31.13-31.01)</td>
<td>-16.62 ± 31.97 (-79.30-46.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue perfusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal (n = 15)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-7.24 ± 20.76 (-47.94-33.46)</td>
<td>-1.60 ± 15.36 (-31.71-28.51)</td>
<td>-6.08 ± 31.20 (-87.23-55.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (n = 25)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-14.26 ± 45.14 (-102.74-74.21)</td>
<td>-15.33 ± 21.96 (-58.39-27.72)</td>
<td>-1.06 ± 23.60 (-47.32-45.19)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cgluc-strip: capillary blood glucose levels measured by glucometry; Agluc-strip: blood glucose levels measured by glucometry; Vgluc-lab: central venous blood glucose levels measured by colorimetry. Bland and Altman’s test: bias ± standard deviation (limits of agreement). Blood glucose in mg/dl.

Table 3. Distribution of patients according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) recommendations regarding the acceptable percentage variation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Cgluc-strip</th>
<th>Agluc-strip</th>
<th>Vgluc-lab</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full sample (n = 38)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ ± 20%†</td>
<td>9 (23.4)</td>
<td>29 (76.6)</td>
<td>3 (7.9)</td>
<td>35 (92.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; ± 20%†</td>
<td>29 (76.6)</td>
<td>20 (52.6)</td>
<td>32 (84.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noradrenalin use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (n = 22)</td>
<td></td>
<td>8 (36.4)</td>
<td>14 (63.6)</td>
<td>2 (9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (n = 16)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (6.3)</td>
<td>15 (93.8)</td>
<td>1 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue perfusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal (n = 14)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 (35.7%)</td>
<td>9 (64.3)</td>
<td>1 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (n = 24)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 (16.7%)</td>
<td>20 (83.3)</td>
<td>1 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Excluding two patients with Agluc-lab < 75 mg/dl. Cgluc-strip: capillary blood glucose levels measured by glucometry; Agluc-strip: arterial blood glucose levels measured by glucometry; Vgluc-lab: central venous blood glucose levels measured by colorimetry. †Percentage of variation in relation to Agluc-lab. Chi square test. Number of patients (%).

Although unsatisfactory, Agluc-strip showed the best correlation with Agluc-lab ($r = 0.9400$; $P < 0.0001$) (Figure 2-A), with agreement in Bland and Altman’s test equal to -6.75 mg/dl ± 19.07 (-44.13 to 30.63) (Figure 2-B). Significant variation (CLSI criteria) was found in just three cases (7.5%), all with Agluc-strip higher than Agluc-lab. All patients had Agluc-lab greater than 75 mg/dl. In relation to Vgluc-lab, it presented $r = 0.8549$ ($P < 0.0001$) (Figure 3-A) and bias of -4.2 mg/dl ± 28.37 (-59.81 to 51.41) (Figure 3-B).

For Cgluc-strip, analysis of the noradrenalin subgroup showed that a significant percentage of measurements were outside of the acceptable limits of variation: 36.4% of the patients on noradrenalin and 6.3% of the patients not receiving noradrenalin ($P = 0.03$). On the other hand, there was no significant difference regarding tissue perfusion (35.7% and 16.7% in the subgroups with and without hypoperfusion, respectively; $P = 0.17$). With regard to the other methods, no significant difference was found in any of the subgroups. For these subgroups, the
results from Pearson’s test and Bland and Altman’s test were similar to those for the full population, thereby showing no specific features (Tables 1 and 2).

Regarding clinical management, all of the methods led to some form of change in relation to Agluc-lab. The greatest change occurred with Cgluc-strip (n = 10.25%). For Agluc-strip, there was a management change for six patients (15.0%) and for Vgluc-lab, for nine patients (22.5%). These data and those for the subgroups are shown in Table 4.

**DISCUSSION**

The results from this study suggest that Cgluc-strip should be avoided in an intensive care setting and that, when used, its results should be carefully interpreted. Its results could lead to improper management, thereby exposing the patients to a larger and prolonged number of hypoglycemic events.

In studies conducted by van den Berghe et al., glucose control was carried out by means of Agluc-lab. In the first study on surgical patients, there were greater numbers of hypoglycemic events in the strict control group, in relation to the standard therapy group, from 0.8% to 5.1%. In the second study, this increase among clinical patients ranged from 3.1% to 18.7%. Thus, even with reliable glycemic measurements (Agluc-lab), patients undergoing intensive glucose control are more susceptible to hypoglycemic events and to related morbid events. The present study emphasizes that the use of Cgluc-strip may compromise accurate analysis on glucose values and increase the chances of hypoglycemic events. Moreover, in 20.0% of the cases (n = 8), Cgluc-strip overestimated the true glucose values. Cgluc-strip tended towards overestimating Agluc-lab, particularly in relation to extreme glucose values, and this had already been described in a previous study conducted by Kanji et al. These authors showed that, in hypoglycemic patients, variation beyond the acceptable limits occurred between Cgluc-strip and the ref-
that accidental hypoglycemia would have an impact on mortality. though so far there is no definitive evidence in the literature to suggest ably because they would receive a late diagnosis. This could have an tients at greater risk of hypoglycemia and more prolonged events, prob was found in relation to patients on noradrenalin. In this subgroup, Cg what would be expected from this method. This downplays the idea that the error in glucose value measurement percent in the hypoperfusion group (35.7%), there was no significant difference in relation to the patients without any signs of hypoperfusion (16.7%). Such a difference would be expected, since there was a significant difference in relation to the group receiving noradrenalin, including similar percentages (36.4%). There may be many causes for such findings. The absence of statistical significance could perhaps be ascribed to the small size of the sample studied. Moreover, the methods chosen for hypoperfusion assessment (SvO₂ and lactate) were deficient, since they were less specific than the need for a vasopressor.

Aglicl-strip was the method that was shown to be most representative of Agluc-lab. This is an important finding because most of the patients on noradrenaline had arterial lines available for sampling. As pointed out earlier, this was the group presenting the worst accuracy of Cgluc-strip, according to the CLSI criteria. Thus, in this group, arterial blood should be used for sampling whenever arterial lines are available, in order to minimize the risk of hypoglycemia.

Blood samples processed in a glucometer, except for capillary samples, have proven to be reliable in other studies. This suggests that the measurement error is predominantly related to the type of sample (capillary blood) rather than solely to the use of glucometers. Nonetheless, although Agluc-strip is, on a comparative basis, better than the laboratory method, its variation limits according to Bland and Altman’s test (-6.75 mg/dl ± 19.07) can be considered unacceptable from a medical point of view, particularly for patients at the lower limit of glucose levels (less than 70 mg/dl). Wide limits of variation were also found for Vgluc-lab (-4.20 mg/dl ± 28.37) and Cgluc-strip (-9.87 mg/dl ± 31.76), thus making its representativeness in relation to Agluc-lab questionable. This sounds odd, considering that both samples were processed using the laboratory method and expressed systemic characteristics. One possible explanation for this would be that some venous blood samples were contaminated by glucose solutions that were being administered through the sample collection route, although the collection technique used was appropriate for avoiding such occurrences.

### Table 4. Conformity in clinical management based on the institution’s protocol, using results provided by different methods in relation to the latest capillary glucose level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full sample (n = 40)</th>
<th>Cgluc strip</th>
<th>Agluc-strip</th>
<th>Vgluc-lab</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management unchanged</td>
<td>30 (75.0)</td>
<td>34 (85.0)</td>
<td>31 (77.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management changed</td>
<td>10 (25.0)</td>
<td>6 (15.0)</td>
<td>9 (22.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noradrenaline use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (n = 24)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management unchanged</td>
<td>17 (71.0)</td>
<td>21 (87.5)</td>
<td>21 (87.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management changed</td>
<td>7 (29.0)</td>
<td>3 (12.5)</td>
<td>3 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (n = 16)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management unchanged</td>
<td>13 (81.5)</td>
<td>13 (81.5)</td>
<td>10 (62.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management changed</td>
<td>3 (18.5)</td>
<td>3 (18.5)</td>
<td>6 (37.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.7110</td>
<td>0.6678</td>
<td>0.1198</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tissue perfusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Altered (n = 15)</th>
<th>Management unchanged</th>
<th>Management changed</th>
<th>Normal (n = 25)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18 (72.0)</td>
<td>21 (84.0)</td>
<td>18 (72.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 (28.0)</td>
<td>4 (16.0)</td>
<td>7 (28.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>12 (80.0)</td>
<td>13 (86.0)</td>
<td>13 (86.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 (20.0)</td>
<td>2 (14.0)</td>
<td>2 (14.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.7148</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.4401</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cgluc-strip: capillary blood glucose levels measured by glucometry; Agluc-strip: arterial blood glucose levels measured by glucometry; Vgluc-lab: central venous blood glucose levels measured by colorimetry. Chi-square test. Number of patients (%).
This study has some limitations. First, patients were included on a nonconsecutive basis and, therefore, selection bias cannot be ruled out. Second, in the laboratory samples, glucose could have been used by red blood cells, thereby contributing towards the relative higher levels in the strip samples. However, this seems quite unlikely because the samples were immediately sent to the laboratory for processing. Third, the strip samples were not analyzed in a blinded fashion. However, because the analysis was performed by means of glucometry, the investigator was unable to interfere in the results.

CONCLUSION

The results suggest that the use of Cgluc-strip in intensive care must be avoided, particularly if noradrenalin is being used. Predominantly, this method overestimates blood glucose values, which implies procedural errors and exposes patients to more frequent and prolonged hypoglycemic events. Agluc-strip is the most representative method and it should be adopted as a technique for replacing the laboratory method whenever arterial lines are available. In all other patients, Cgluc-strip values should be routinely checked against laboratory values, particularly when the levels are at the lower limit of normality, in order to rule out hypoglycemia.
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