Back to EGF+61 genetic polymorphisms and lung cancer risk: looking to the future!

De volta aos polimorfismos genéticos do EGF+61 e risco de câncer do pulmão: olhando para o futuro!

Ramon Andrade De Mello

University of Porto, Porto, Portugal

¹MD. Medical Oncology Resident in the Department of Medical Oncology, Portuguese Oncology Institute, Porto, Portugal; and Assistant Professor in the School of Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal.

Dear Editor,

Recently, studies in the field of epidermal growth factor (EGF) +61A/G polymorphisms have shown their relevance in relation to cancer behavior. The role of EGF+61 genotypes has been determined in relation to several types of cancer, such as gliomas, gastric cancer and colorectal cancer (CRC). In 2011, it was demonstrated that these polymorphisms could also serve as predictive biomarkers for CRC patients treated with cetuximab. In relation to lung cancer, controversy continues to surround the results.²⁻⁴ Thus, the purpose of this letter is to provide a point of view and discuss relevant issues within this framework, focusing on a Portuguese study4 that contrasts with previous Asian studies^{2,3} published in the literature.

EGF+61 POLYMORPHISM AND LUNG CANCER

Since the last decade, EGF+61A/G polymorphisms have been studied as a risk factor for cancer.5 The first article published showing this association was on malignant melanoma.⁵ In this work, the authors also suggested that higher serum EGF expression could be biologically explained by the proximity of the +61G locus to a region involved in EGF gene regulation. In September 2011, at the European Multidisciplinary Cancer Congress, in Stockholm, the association between EGF+61A/G polymorphisms and the risk of non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) was shown for the first time in a Portuguese population.⁴ However, the Portuguese study was discordant with the findings of Kang et al.,3 who did not report this association in relation to overall lung cancer. Certain concerns could explain these differences between the results, such as ethnic divergences. According to a recent meta-analysis, 5 presence of the +61G allele is indeed considered to be a key point in the steps towards carcinogenesis because of its property of increasing serum EGF and thereby stimulating proliferation, angiogenesis and metastasis.⁵ This interaction between serum EGF and its receptor (EGFR) is very important within the NSCLC framework. It induces tumor aggressiveness, especially regarding four pathways: 1) Phospholipase Cγ (PCL-γ); 2) Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K); 3) Signal transducer and activator of transcriptions (STATS); and 4) Ras, Raf, MEK, ERK, MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase).^{1,5} Nevertheless, another Korean study conducted by Lim et al.² has shown a relationship between EGF+61A/G polymorphisms and lung cancer.

CURRENT AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Currently, EGFR mutation is among the main tools available to medical oncologists in clinical practice, for improving NSCLC patients' care. Targeted therapies against these mainly molecular pathways and novel biomarkers are objects of primary interest. As occurred in relation to colorectal cancer (CRC), it was suggested that EGF+61A/G polymorphisms might be involved in NSCLC susceptibility. Subsequently, it was demonstrated that EGF+61A/G polymorphisms could also serve as predictive biomarkers for CRC patients treated with cetuximab.¹

We believe that this is the key point in *EGF*+61A/*G* polymorphism research within the lung cancer context. First, determine whether *EGF*+61A/*G* polymorphisms with NSCLC susceptibility are present. Second, test their influence on patient outcomes and assess whether they could serve both as risk markers and as predictive biomarkers. Finally, assess their role in cancer prevention, perhaps in correlations with other risk factors and as contributions towards creating nomograms in order to predict cancer risk. This could help in future public preventive healthcare strategies, but great effort is still required in order to validate these issues in other populations.

REFERENCES

- Hu-Lieskovan S, Vallbohmer D, Zhang W, et al. EGF61 polymorphism predicts complete pathologic response to cetuximab-based chemoradiation independent of KRAS status in locally advanced rectal cancer patients. Clin Cancer Res. 2011;17(15):5161-9.
- Lim YJ, Kim JW, Song JY, et al. Epidermal growth factor gene polymorphism is different between schizophrenia and lung cancer patients in Korean population. Neurosci Lett. 2005;374(3):157-60.
- 3. Kang HG, Choi JE, Lee WK, et al. +61A>G polymorphism in the EGF gene does not increase the risk of lung cancer. Respirology. 2007;12(6):902-5.
- de Mello RA, Ferreira M, Costa BM, et al. Association between +61 A/G polymorphism in the EGF gene and non-small cell lung cancer risk in male caucasians. Eur J Cancer. 2011;47(Suppl 1):S635. [poster 9139].
- 5. Zhang YM, Cao C, Liang K. Genetic polymorphism of epidermal growth factor 61A>G and cancer risk: a meta-analysis. Cancer Epidemiol. 2010;34(2):150-6.

Sources of funding: None Conflict of interest: None

Date of first submission: February 19, 2012

Last received: March 10, 2012 Accepted: April 19, 2012

Address for correspondence:

Dr. Ramon Andrade De Mello Department of Medical Oncology Portuguese Oncology Institute Rua Dr. António Bernardino de Almeida 4200-072 Porto, Portugal Tel. +351 91 204 0770

E-mail: ramonmello@med.up.pt

Fax. + 351 225 084 010