METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH IN NURSING RESEARCH FOR CONSTRUCTING AND VALIDATING PROTOCOLS

Hellen Lívia Oliveira Catunda¹, Elizian Braga Rodrigues Bernardo², Camila Teixeira Moreira Vasconcelos³, Escolástica Rejane Ferreira Moura⁴, Ana Karina Bezerra Pinheiro⁵, Priscila de Souza Aquino⁶

1 M.Sc. in Nursing, Postgraduate Program in Nursing, Universidade Federal do Ceará (UFC). Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil. E-mail: hellen_enfermagem@yahoo.com.br
2 M.Sc. in Nursing, Postgraduate Program in Nursing, UFC. Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil. E-mail: elizberardo@hotmail.com
3 Ph.D. in Nursing. Professor, Department of Nursing, UFC. Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil. E-mail: camilamoreiravasco@gmail.com
4 Ph.D. in Nursing. Retired Professor, Department of Nursing, UFC. Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil. E-mail: escolpaz@yahoo.com.br
5 Ph.D. in Nursing. Professor, Department of Nursing, UFC. Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil. E-mail: anakarinafauf@hotmail.com
6 Ph.D. in Nursing. Professor, Department of Nursing, UFC. Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil. E-mail: priscilapetenh@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Objective: to highlight the methodological approach used in thesis/dissertations for constructing and validating protocols in the Nursing area.

Method: a literature review was performed online at the Library of the Center for Study and Research in Nursing, and at the Thesis Databank of the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel. Eight theses and 16 dissertations were included in the final sample.

Results: it was found that the most common steps in constructing protocols were reviewing the scientific literature and using professionals to assist in this process. In studies related to protocol validation, the presence of groups of specialists/judges on the subject matter ranging from three to 16 in quantity was found, with the majority presenting up to ten judges. Regarding analysis of the validation process, we noticed a higher prevalence of agreement between the judges and the Content Validity Index.

Conclusion: development of this type of technology constitutes a complex and multifaceted area, adapted to researchers’ expectations and objectives.


PERCURSO METODOLÓGICO EM PESQUISAS DE ENFERMAGEM PARA CONSTRUÇÃO E VALIDAÇÃO DE PROTOCOLOS

RESUMO

Objetivo: enfocar o percurso metodológico em teses/dissertações para construção e validação de protocolos na área da Enfermagem.

Método: utilizou-se como método a revisão da literatura, a qual foi realizada de forma on-line na Biblioteca do Centro de Estudo e Pesquisa em Enfermagem e no Banco de Teses da Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior. Foram incluídas oito teses e 16 dissertações na amostra final.

Resultados: verificou-se que as etapas mais comuns na construção de protocolos foram a revisão da literatura científica e a utilização de profissionais para auxiliar nesse processo. Já nos estudos referentes à validação de protocolos, constatou-se a presença de grupos de especialistas/juízes na temática, variando entre três e 16 em quantidade, sendo que a maioria apresentou até dez juízes. Quanto à análise do processo de validação, percebeu-se maior prevalência da concordância entre os juízes e do Índice de Validade de Conteúdo.

Conclusão: o desenvolvimento desse tipo de tecnologia constitui uma arena complexa e multifacetada, adaptada às expectativas e objetivos dos pesquisadores.

RECORRIDO METODOLÓGICO EN INVESTIGACIONES DE ENFERMERÍA PARA LA CONSTRUCCIÓN Y VALIDACIÓN DE PROTOCOLOS

RESUMEN

Objetivo: enfocar el recorrido metodológico en Tesis/Disertación para la construcción y validación de protocolos en el área de enfermería.

Método fue utilizado como un método de revisión de la literatura, que se realizó de forma en línea en la Biblioteca del Centro de Estudios e Investigación en Enfermería y en el Banco de Tesis de la Coordinación de Mejora Personal de Nivel Superior. Se incluyeron ocho tesis y 16 disertaciones en la muestra final.

Resultados: se verificó que los pasos más comunes en los protocolos de construcción fueron la revisión de la literatura científica y el uso de los profesionales para ayudar en este proceso. Sin embargo, en los estudios relacionados con los protocolos de validación, se constató la presencia de grupos de expertos/jueces en la temática, que van de tres a 16 en cantidad, y la mayoría presentaron hasta diez jueces. El análisis del proceso de validación, se verificó una mayor prevalencia de concordancia entre los jueces y del índice de validez de contenido.

Conclusión: el desarrollo de estas tecnologías se constituye en un escenario complejo y multifacético, adaptado a las expectativas y objetivos de los investigadores.


INTRODUCTION

Protocols are recommendations structured in a systematic way, with the purpose of guiding health professionals and/or users’ decisions regarding adequate care in specific clinical circumstances. These recommendations are based on scientific evidence, on the technological and economic evaluation of health services and their quality assurance.

However, in relation to the development of protocols, it is difficult to find a single approach with a valid and comprehensive approach, since there is a great variety of methodologies implemented. This is due to the fact that each method, besides having their own characteristics, suits the specificities of the problem, as well as the study objectives and purposes. Therefore, the researcher needs to have a concise definition of the research problem as the most important step in the scientific research process in order to select the most appropriate method.

The combination of several methodologies in certain types of studies may, however, contribute to a lack of rigor. It is recommended that methodological standards with proven efficacy are followed, which is a challenge. Thus, since there is no consensus on the most correct methodology, studying recommendations for protocol development is justified since there is a need to clarify this process.

Knowledge production disseminated by dissertations and theses represents the development of scientific research and processes of knowledge production by researchers. In this context, Nursing has been dedicating itself to constructing its own knowledge, and scientific production in this area has intensified over the years.

In this context, analysis of the production of theses and dissertations developed by nurses leads to the desire to reflect on Nursing practice and knowledge construction and relating it to the various Post-Graduation Programs. We understand that from this reflection, it is possible to understand the directions of Nursing research and their interfaces for health promotion.

Therefore, the objective of the present study is to focus on the methodological approach used by Brazilian researchers in theses and dissertations in the area of Nursing for constructing and validating protocols based on a literature review. Our objective through this approach is to verify the methods and references most commonly used in the literature, understanding that disseminating these types of scientific production are of fundamental importance so that future researchers can choose the methodological approach that best meets their objectives.

METHOD

In order to undertake this study, we used the literature review research method, with the purpose of gathering and synthesizing research results on a specific subject to deepen the understanding of the investigated subject.

In order to guide this study, the following question was posed: What is the methodological approach used by Brazilian researchers in theses/dissertations in the Nursing area for constructing and/or validating protocols?

The following steps were taken to carry out this literature review: a) defining the theme and formulating the guiding question; b) establishing the criteria for selecting the publications; c) defining the information to be extracted from the selected studies and their categorization; d) analyzing the studies; e) interpreting the findings; and f) disseminating synthesized and evaluated knowledge.
The inclusion criteria adopted for selecting theses and dissertations were as follows: Encompassing protocol development and/or validation; being available electronically; being published in full. Studies that did not meet these criteria were excluded.

A search for theses and dissertations was performed in the Library of the Center for Study and Research in Nursing (CEPEn), created on July 17, 1971, to disseminate research in this knowledge area. This collection can be found in the Library Automation System (SIAB), on the Brazilian Nursing Association’s (ABEn) website. The Thesis Databank of the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES) was also consulted, initially created in July 2002 with the aim of facilitating access to theses and dissertations defended in Postgraduate Programs across the country.

This search was carried out online in April 2015 on two consecutive days, using the keyword “Protocolo”. The CAPES Theses Databank is a databank that covers all areas of knowledge, thus we decided to restrict the search to the “Nursing” area in order to achieve the purpose of the review. This investigation resulted in 140 theses/dissertation hits in the CAPES Theses Databank, and 62 in the CEPEn Library.

Next, titles and abstracts of each of these scientific productions were read. From these readings, theses and dissertations that did not include protocol construction and/or validation were excluded, as well as those duplicated in the databases researched in this study. Next, the selected dissertations and theses were searched in-full, and those unavailable were excluded from the initial sample; therefore, a total of 24 theses/dissertations remained, as shown in figure 1.

![Flowchart of the theses/dissertations’ selection process included in the literature review. Fortaleza-CE, Brazil, 2015](image_url)
After selection, the theses and dissertations were read in full, and the data for analysis and synthesis were extracted and organized using a collection instrument adapted from Ursi, which includes the following aspects: theses/dissertations' identification data (title, author's name, year of publication, university, type of post-graduation and specification of the Postgraduate Program); study type; objective(s); protocol theme; and presence of methodological reference and protocol construction/validation.

This instrument allowed cataloging of theses and dissertations, and registration of the required information. The results are presented descriptively and in a chart.

RESULTS

Regarding the publication year of the included theses/dissertations, a variation was observed between 2002 and 2014, where 2012 and 2013 were the most prevalent years with 13 studies, equivalent to 54.1%.

We also found that the universities with the most publications on the object of the present study were the Federal University of Santa Catarina (UFSC) - seven studies (29.2%); the Federal University of Ceará (UFC) - six studies (25%); and the University of São Paulo (USP) - three studies (12.5%).

Regarding the types of selected studies, eight were theses (33.3%) and 16 were dissertations (66.7%), the majority were produced in academic postgraduate studies in Nursing - five theses (20.8%) and 10 dissertations (41.7%); while a minority focused on other areas - four (16.7%). Five dissertations (20.8%) were also found within the professional master’s degree in Nursing Care Management.

In analyzing the postgraduation programs, we can highlight that most of the studies - 21 (87.5%) - were inserted in Nursing; while a small part were included in the postgraduate program in Fundamental Nursing and in Nursing Management - three (12.5%).

Table 1 shows the characteristics of theses/dissertations, their authorship, protocol theme, the existence of (or lack thereof) methodological reference and construction/validation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Protocol theme</th>
<th>Methodological reference</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Validation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moraes GLA⁸ (T1)</td>
<td>Pressure ulcer prevention</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franco BAS⁸ (T2)</td>
<td>Physical exercises based on the Pilates method and conventional stretching and strengthening physical exercises</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogenski NMB¹⁰ (T3)</td>
<td>Pressure ulcer prevention</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquino DR¹¹ (D4)</td>
<td>Nursing interventions</td>
<td>Care-converging research</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campos FA¹² (D5)</td>
<td>Enteral nutrition therapy</td>
<td>Stetler; Werneck et al.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasconcelos JMB¹³ (T6)</td>
<td>Pressure ulcer prevention</td>
<td>Rogers; Model for implementation of guidelines for clinical practice Registered Nurses’ Association of Ontario</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcon L¹⁴ (D7)</td>
<td>Nursing care for patients with severe head trauma</td>
<td>Formarier and Jovic; care-converging Research</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Protocol theme</td>
<td>Methodological reference</td>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>Validation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lima GOP¹⁵</td>
<td>Investigation of acute respiratory disorders</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D8)</td>
<td>T-tube and synchronized intermittent mandatory ventilation in ventilatory weaning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braz MR¹⁶</td>
<td>Nursing consultations to people undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T9)</td>
<td>Continuous nursing care for polytrauma patients in the emergency room</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lima FET¹⁷</td>
<td>Assistance to adult patients victim of trauma in the aerospace environment</td>
<td>Care-converging research</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fernandes RTP¹⁸</td>
<td>Nursing care guidance for patients undergoing bariatric surgery</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schweitzer G¹⁹</td>
<td>Care of clients/patients submitted to breast and thyroid fine-needle aspiration</td>
<td>Care-converging research</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D12)</td>
<td>User embracement of patients undergoing upper endoscopy and their companions</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felix LG²⁰</td>
<td>Abbreviated user embracement protocol guide with pediatric risk classification</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosini I²¹</td>
<td>Assisting patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D14)</td>
<td>Continuous nursing care for polytrauma patients in the emergency room</td>
<td>Care-converging research</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selhorst ISB²²</td>
<td>Assistance to adult patients victim of trauma in the aerospace environment</td>
<td>Care-converging research</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veronez M²⁷</td>
<td>Care for elderly at risk of frailty</td>
<td>Care-converging research</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D20)</td>
<td>Care based on non-pharmacological methods for discomfort and pain in newborns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vieira RHG²⁸</td>
<td>Discharge in neonatology</td>
<td>Care-converging research</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D21)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azzolin KO²⁹</td>
<td>Adherence of nursing staff to influenza vaccination</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T22)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nienkotter SMV³⁰</td>
<td>Welcoming the companion of adult patients in critical health condition</td>
<td>Care-converging research</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santos SCVO³¹</td>
<td>Enteral probe insertion</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D24)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T: Theses; D: Dissertation.

The following information was verified regarding the methodological reference: 14 (58.3%) theses/dissertations did not include the framework used for protocol construction and validation; six (25%) resorted to Care-converging research (CCR); one (4.2%) used the ideas of Formarier and Jovic.³²
associating them to CCR; one (4.2%) adopted Rogers and the Model for Implementing Guidelines for Clinical Practice from the Registered Nurses’ Association of Ontario (RNAO); one (4.2%) opted for the ideas of Stetler and Werneck et al.; and one (4.2%) chose Ribeiro as a reference.

Below are the methodological approaches used in the thesis/dissertations selected for the constructing and/or validating protocols. For organizational purposes, the findings were divided according to their objectives into: protocol construction and validation studies (n=8); protocol construction studies (n=15); and protocol validation studies (n=1).

From synthesizing the knowledge of the methodologies approached in the dissertations and theses that constructed and validated protocols, the following was observed in the construction phase: the majority, six (75%), guaranteed scientific literature revision as an essential step; more than half, five (62.5%), used the professional as an important member for this phase; two (25%) considered the researcher’s experience/background; and two (25%) resorted to participation of target patients in the protocol. In the validation phase, we noticed that the number of judges varied between three and 16, with up to 10 judges in five (62.5%) theses/dissertations, and over 10 judges in three (37.5%). Regarding analysis tests for the validation process, we found that half, four (50%), used judges agreement; three (37.5%) used Content Validity Index (CVI); two (25%) made use of data categorization and interpretation; one (12.5%) used the binomial test; and one (12.5%) adopted the Cronbach alpha coefficient.

Regarding protocol construction studies, we found that seven (46.7%) of the theses and dissertations used professionals to assist in construction; six (40%) adopted reality observation; six (40%) chose to review scientific literature; four (26.7%) chose to use target patients; two (13.3%) used the researcher’s experience/background; and one (6.7%) did not mention it.

In the only protocol validation study, we found that content validation and appearance was based on a specific form, and nine judges or experts on the subject were included in the trial at that stage, presenting a score equal to or greater than five according to their own criteria. CVI was used to analyze the validation process.

**DISCUSSION**

In recent years, the Nursing postgraduate programs in Brazil have been growing significantly and acquiring a prominent position in the area of higher education of the country. Thus, these programs have constituted spaces for training new researchers, producing new knowledge and new technologies. The availability of theses and dissertations in electronic databases has made the great leap of academic production in Brazil evident.

UFSC and UFC were the universities that most stood out with their publications on constructing and/or validating protocols. Graduate programs of these universities have recognition of excellence at an international level. The UFSC Program was created in 1976 with the Master’s course, and started to offer the doctorate course in 1993. On the other hand, the UFC had its Program created in 1993 with the Master’s course, expanding it in 1998 with the Doctorate (Ph.D.) course. These programs significantly contribute to academic training of health professionals, especially nurses, and to advances in research.

From the data collected by the present study, it was possible to identify theses and dissertations carried out in the Nursing area between 2002 and 2014 that constructed and/or validated protocols. We found a greater incidence of this topic starting from 2012, which reflects an increasing use of this methodology in nursing research and demonstrates acceptance and recognition of the importance of this approach. However, it is important to discuss how such a proposal has been presented and developed in order to clarify its methodological approaches.

Among the methodological references observed, we noticed that CCR was the most used. Ideas from other authors and a model of guideline implementation for clinical practice were also used.

Implementation of CCR requires that researchers are aware of the objective concerning changes in care, in addition to developing the research. This is because adopting changes in care may lead to a methodological approach for elaborating care models, such as protocols with inductive-deductive logic, promoting renewal or innovation of care practice.

Adherence to CCR as a methodological reference has become a research approach in Brazilian postgraduate courses, especially in the Nursing area. This has had repercussions on scientific production, especially in protocol development.

In addition to CCR, Formarier and Jovic were also cited as a reference for protocol development. This framework describes the methodology of care protocol construction based on the theoretical-constructivist framework.
In Nursing, the diffusion of innovations theory created by Rogers focuses on the process of using research results to improve care and incorporate other evidence such as guidelines for clinical practice based on the opinion of experts, especially in areas where scientific studies are scarce or do not indicate the best solution for dealing with a problem.  

RNAO is related to the Evidence-Based Practice (EBP) movement, proposing a model for implementing guidelines for clinical practice. This model seeks to assist health services in maximizing the potential of guidelines by implementing them in a systematic and planned manner. To do so, this model has six essential components: identifying the guidelines to be used in clinical practice; identifying participants or collaborators; evaluating their interests and engagement in the implementation proposal; assessing environmental conditions; strategies for implementation; evaluating guideline implementation; and identifying the resources needed to achieve the objectives.

The Stetler model also proposes completing six phases: establishing literature review purposes; critically analyzing the studies; comparative evaluation; decision and implementation of the results in the care; constructing protocols with the purpose of equipping nurses for care, management and training activities; and, finally, validating the designed instrument. The purpose of this model is promoting the practice in which nurses seek and implement scientific knowledge into their care.

Another framework cited in the literature review in the present study was Werneck et al. According to these authors, protocols can be designed in text format, observing essential aspects such as: introduction, justification, objectives, constraints, determinants, magnitude, transcendence, vulnerability, effects, activities, and accountability. For these authors, the protocols can also be constructed in the form of tables, based on a sequence description of the steps to be followed with appropriate recommendations for the professionals. Another form of graphical representation is the use of flowcharts with algorithms, which can qualify the representation and facilitate professionals’ understanding.

Ribeiro also points out steps for developing protocols and indicates options for instruments that guarantee an evaluation of the quality of such protocols. In summary, eight important points must be observed to do so: defining topics or issues; carrying out a systematic review; preparing recommendations for various scenarios; scheduling a guideline/protocol update; a review by experts; organizations and professionals; planning dissemination of the guideline/protocol; approval of the text; and implementation. Among the most reliable, tested and recommended instruments for evaluating protocols are the ‘Appraisal of Guidelines for Research and Evaluation’ (AGREE), the ‘checklist’ designed by the World Health Organization (WHO), and another prepared by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ)/National Guideline Clearinghouse (NGC).

It becomes clear that these references contain variations in the way protocols should be developed. However, this development should not hinder their quality, and in order to avoid this, the protocol should always seek to meet the needs of those to whom it is intended so that health care can be more effective.

On the other hand, the present study found that despite variations in the methods, there are common steps such as: revision of scientific literature; the use of professionals as an important member for protocol elaboration; the researcher’s experience/background; the participation of target patients of the protocol to assist in its elaboration; and validation by judges specialized in the protocol’s theme.

Integration of the best available evidence in the literature and professional’s clinical experience, patient preferences and resources available at the institution are characteristics of Evidence Based Practice (EBP), which focuses on solving problems, thereby seeking to improve care and seeking to identify and promote efficient practices for patient care.

Seeking better scientific evidence that justifies proposed actions is essential for protocol development. Professional’s experience and competence through which the decision-making process is improved should also be considered. Moreover, in order to ensure that the protocol is accepted and useful, service users cannot be excluded, which may occur during the elaboration phase and during validation by the professionals who will use it.

A protocol only becomes effective when it covers the specific needs of the public to which it is intended and when it corresponds to health professionals’ expectations. An evaluation by the professionals who will use the protocol reflect the need for a consistent health technology, effective with the reality rather than just a generalist instrument.

Another point discussed is the professional qualification and the number of judges during a validation of a technology. The literature presents controversies on this issue; however, the character-
istics of the instrument, training, and qualification, whether being related to clinical experience, the research or knowledge production in the subject and the knowledge about the conceptual and methodological structure of the construction process of technologies, in addition to the availability of the necessary professionals, must be taken into account.66

Regarding the required number of judges for content validation, the ideal number for this process should be between three and ten.47 Six judges are sufficient to carry out such an examination.48 AGREE49 recommends that each guideline be evaluated by at least two judges, and preferably by four evaluators in order to increase the assessment’s reliability.

Regarding the validation process analysis, we found a higher prevalence of agreement between judges and adoption of CVI. Publications have presented different ways of quantifying the degree of agreement between judges during the process of assessing an instrument’s validity.

Among these, we can highlight the interobserver agreement percentage, which is useful and easily calculated, where a 90% agreement between the judges can be considered acceptable, however this percentage may vary. CVI is a widely used method in the health area that allows for initially analyzing each item individually, and then a Likert scale to analyze the instrument as a whole should also be considered. According to this method, the acceptable agreement rate between the judges can vary between 0.78 and 1.00, depending on the number of participants.46

In summary, the present review is useful for an overview of the most used construction and validation methods in nursing research in Brazil. However, as a limitation we can point out restricting the search to only the nursing context, as it is also relevant to know the methods employed by other areas in this type of production. Another limitation was the unavailability of complete dissertations and theses which answered the guiding question, restricting access to only the abstract which reduces the evidence of data produced in studies with this scope.

We hope that the elucidated results can serve as subsidies to direct studies on construction and validation of clinical protocols, not only in the Nursing area, but also in several other health areas.

CONCLUSION

After analyzing the dissertations/theses, we found that different methods are chosen for constructing and validating clinical guidelines or protocols, which means that there is no uniformity. This is due to the use of a wide variety of conducts, which confirms the absence of a standard methodological approach.

However, we found that the most common steps among all the analyzed studies for constructing protocols were a review of scientific literature and the use of professionals to assist in this process - 12 (52.2%) for each one. Next, we verified prevalence of reality observation and use of target patients - six (26.1%) in each one; researcher’s experience/background - four (17.4%). One of the studies, 4.3%, did not include it.

Regarding validation studies, the presence of groups of experts/judges was verified. The number of individuals in these groups varied between three and 16, where six groups (66.7%) had up to 10 judges, and three (33.3%) had more than 10.

Concerning the validation process analysis, we found a higher prevalence of agreement between judges and CVI adoption - four (44.4%) each. Next, we observed the presence of categorization and interpretation of data - two (22.2%), binomial testing and Cronbach’s alpha coefficient - one (11.1%) each.

Therefore, it should be noted that most of the studies present their own methodology for elaborating guidelines, and we conclude that development of this type of technology constitutes a complex and multifaceted area adapted to researchers’ expectations and their objectives.
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