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ABSTRACT

Objective: to assess the agreement among nurses in the application of an institutional assessment protocol with risk classification in a hospital emergency unit.

Method: quantitative and retrospective study of cross-sectional approach, carried out at the adult emergency unit of a general hospital in the State of Santa Catarina, based on medical records. The data collection took place between October and December of 2013. The sample consisted of 380 medical records, selected by simple randomization. The degree of agreement was determined by the Kappa coefficient.

Results: the general agreement among nurses in the application of the institutional protocol was substantial (Kappa=0.786) representing 331 (87.2%) files. Among the declassification levels, there was almost a perfect agreement for all of them (Kappa=1 for the red level, Kappa=0.836 for the orange level, Kappa=0.884 for the yellow level, Kappa=0.865 for the green level and Kappa=1 for the blue level).

Conclusion: the general agreement in the application of the institutional protocol was substantial, showing an almost perfect agreement at all levels of classification. There was an overestimation and underestimation of the risk classification in relation to the protocol. It is suggested that the nurses who perform the risk classification receive training from the institution regarding the applicability of the protocol, in order to minimize the overestimation and underestimation of the risk classification. This study contributes to assess the application of a new implanted protocol, with subsidies for a better agreement in its implementation by nurses.


CONCORDÂNCIA DE UM PROTOCOLO INSTITUCIONAL DE AVALIAÇÃO COM CLASSIFICAÇÃO DE RISCO

RESUMO

Objetivo: avaliar a concordância entre os enfermeiros na aplicação de um protocolo institucional de avaliação com classificação de risco em uma unidade de emergência hospitalar.

Método: estudo quantitativo de abordagem transversal e retrospectivo, realizado na unidade de emergência adulto de um hospital geral do Estado de Santa Catarina, baseado em fichas de atendimento. A coleta de dados ocorreu entre outubro e dezembro de 2013. A amostra foi constituída de 380 fichas de atendimento, selecionadas por aleatorização simples. O grau de concordância se determinou pelo coeficiente Kappa.

Resultados: a concordância geral entre os enfermeiros na aplicação do protocolo institucional foi substancial (Kappa=0,786) representando 331 (87,2%) fichas. Entre os níveis de classificação houve concordância quase perfeita para todos eles (Kappa=1 para o nível vermelho, Kappa=0,836 para o nível laranja, Kappa=0,884 para o nível amarelo, Kappa=0,865 para o nível verde e Kappa=1 para o nível azul).

Conclusão: a concordância geral na aplicação do protocolo institucional se mostrou substancial, sendo evidenciada uma concordância quase perfeita em todos os níveis de classificação. Houve superestimação e subestimação da classificação de risco em relação ao protocolo. Sugere-se que os enfermeiros que realizam a classificação de risco recebam treinamento por parte da instituição quanto à aplicabilidade do protocolo, a fim de minimizar a superestimação e subestimação da classificação de risco. Este trabalho contribui ao avaliar a aplicação de um protocolo novo implantado, com subsídios para uma melhor concordância na sua implementação por enfermeiros.

CONCordancia de un protocolo institucional de evaluación con clasificación de riesgo

INTROducción

El tiempo de espera de atención en unidades de urgencias es un problema global y tiene un impacto negativo, especialmente en pacientes de alto riesgo que requieren atención inmediata.1 A revisión sistemática que demostró que el sobreabasto en las unidades de emergencia es un condición que afecta el tiempo de espera, con implicaciones para la seguridad del paciente y la calidad de la atención. La revisión demostró que hay una correlación positiva entre el sobreabasto y el tiempo de espera, y un aumento de la mortalidad, en adición a ver que muchos pacientes abandonan el servicio sin recibir atención debido a la falta de un profesional de salud.2

En Brasil, este problema se evidencia en muchos servicios de emergencia, en los que hay largas filas y personas disputando la atención, cuyos criterios son el tiempo de llegada. El no-distinción de los riesgos y la falta de priorización de la atención pueden llevan a casos que empeoran en el salón de espera, causando muerte debido a la falta de atención en el momento oportuno.3

En un intento de abordar los desafíos relacionados con la organización de servicios de emergencia y reducir el tiempo de espera, las acciones del Ministry of Health (MS - Ministério da Saúde) se han enfocado en la reorganización del proceso de trabajo, con el objetivo de enfrentar los diferentes niveles de especificidad de los pacientes con agudizaciones agudas.4

Desde este punto de vista, el país tiene ahora el protocolo de clasificación de riesgo ACCR - Acollimento com Classificação de Risco), cuyo objetivo es operar los procesos de trabajo en salud de manera que proporcione atención para todos aquellos que buscan la atención sanitaria, y al igualizar la atención.5

La igualización de los ACCR tiene como objetivo optimizar el tiempo de espera de acuerdo con la severidad de la condición del paciente. El MS recomienda que la clasificación de riesgos siga un guía protocolo y que sea un asunto privado del profesional.6

Hay varios protocolos internacionales de clasificación de riesgos, entre los que se incluyen: Emergency Severity Index (ESI); Australasian Triage Scale (ATS); Canadian Triage and Acuity Scale (CTAS); Manchester Triage System (MTS); Andorran Síndrome de triaje e sistema español de triagem.7 En Brasil, estas protocolos también se utilizan, aunque el MS ofrece los servicios de salud la posibilidad de desarrollar sus propios protocolos basados en los ya existentes, pero adaptados a la personalidad y contexto de sus propios protocolos.8

A pesar de esta alternativa, en Brasil se ha venido utilizando protocolos institucionales para el ACCR. Entre los pioneros, el Hospital Municipal de Paulínia y el Hospital Municipal Dr. Mario Gatti son ejemplo, utilizando el modelo canadiense adaptado a las realidades locales.9

La implementación de los protocolos adaptados es esencial para evaluar el acuerdo de los profesionales, en orden a garantizar la seguridad del paciente. No obstante, los estudios nacionales de clasificación de riesgos de los profesionales no logran evaluar el acuerdo.10

Por lo tanto, considerando que los protocolos ACCR son guías para la evaluación de la severidad de los usuarios, y que el acuerdo entre los profesionales y los protocolos institucionales...
The population was composed of 27,292 medical records, provided by the statistical care bulletin of the adult emergency unit, which correspond to all the care provided between August 1, 2011 and August 31, 2012, a temporal cut-off chosen to con- template the first year after the implantation of the institution’s ACCR protocol, which had not been assessed until this study was carried out.

The sample calculation was performed by SEstatNet11 website and adopted a 95% confidence interval. The sample consisted of 380 medical records and the sampling was randomized through a simple draw. As inclusion criteria, the patients’ medical records that passed the risk classification and which contained the description of the assessment and the classification attributed by the nurse have been considered. The medical records that were incomplete, without risk classification, and those used in the pre-test of the data collection instrument were excluded.

For the data collection, a check-list type instrument was elaborated with the following information: classification of the nurse; flowchart chosen by the nurse; reclassification flowchart; and, reclassification. In order to identify the need for adjustments in the instrument, a pre-test was performed with 20 medical records, chosen at random and excluded later.

The data collection took place from October to December of 2013. The reclassification was performed by the researchers using the same flowchart chosen by the nurse at the time of the embrace. According to the items described in the flowchart and existing records of complaints, it was possible to identify if the classification (obtainment of the priority level), established at the time of embrace, corresponded to that obtained in the reclassification, or if the flowchart used to define the level of priority was consistent with the complaint.

The statistical software R Core Team version 3.0.1 was used for treatment of the data. The descriptive analysis was carried out and the degree of general agreement was obtained, as well as the levels of risk classification of the nurse and the reclassification obtained by the study.

The degree of agreement between two or more independent observers or coders regarding the scores of an instrument is one of its reliability measures. The agreement index is calculated to assess the strength of the relation between the classifications. Thus, when the scores given by two independent observers to the same phenomenon are congruent, it means that these scores are probably accurate and reliable.12

The Kappa coefficient assesses the degree of agreement beyond what would be expected only by chance. This measure of agreement is graded on a scale of values where 1 means total agreement and
values close to or below 0 indicate no agreement. For this study, it was considered Kappa < 0 no agreement; 0-0.20, poor; 0.21-0.40, weak; 0.41-0.60, regular; 0.61-0.80, strong; 0.81-1.00, almost perfect.\textsuperscript{13}

The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, protocol No.355.461/13 and CAAE No. 15741513.9.0000.0121, and it complies with the Resolution No. 466/12 of the National Health Council.

RESULTS

A total of 380 medical records were assessed, which were completed by the 17 nurses who performed the ACCR in the emergency unit. These professionals have an average age of 32 years old and an average service time of four years unit. As for complementary training: two nurses are Ph.D. in nursing; seven have master’s degree in nursing; six have specialization; and, two have the nursing graduation.

Of the 380 medical records assessed, those classified as yellow (n=191, 50.3%) and green (n=156, 41.1%) prevail, which correspond to ACCR Priority Degrees III and IV, respectively. Regarding the agreement among the nurses in the classification and reclassification of the records, there was almost perfect agreement in all degrees of priority, being highlighted the degrees I and V (Kappa=1; p<0.001), which represent the colors red and blue, in sequence.

It was identified that the greatest disagreement (Kappa=0.865; p<0.001) among nurses occurred in the priority degree IV (green color), corresponding to 26 (6.8%) records (Table 1), reclassified to priorities III (n=5; 1.3%) and V (n=21; 5.5%). Of the other reclassified records (n=23; 6.1%), 21 (5.5%) classified in Degree III (yellow color) moved to the priorities II (n=6; 1.6%) and IV (n=15; 3.9%), and 02 (0.5%) of Degree II (orange color) were reclassified to priority III.

Regarding the general agreement among the nurses in the classification and reclassification of risk, it should be highlighted that of the 380 (100%) medical records assessed, 331 (87.2%) presented agreement (Kappa=0.786; p<0.05) (Table 1), being considered substantial according to the Kappa value.

Table 1 - Agreement among nurses in the classification and reclassification of risk in a hospital emergency unit. Florianópolis, SC, Brazil, 2013. (n=380)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority degree</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Classified medical records</th>
<th>Classification with disagreement</th>
<th>Kappa</th>
<th>p* Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>380</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Kappa Test.

DISCUSSION

Based on the characterization data of the nurses, the qualification of these professionals was evidenced. It should be emphasized that nurses are not required to have any specialization as a prerequisite to act in risk classification and this fact may be related to the functional career plan that encompasses additional monetary to salary with incentive to undertake postgraduate and courses. Another study\textsuperscript{14} has found the same participants’ profile in an institution that is similar to the one investigated.

In this study, it should be highlighted that the risk classifications of the medical records were classified as Priority Degree III, yellow, followed by the Priority Degree IV, green, that is, case of lower severity from an emergency point of view. This may be justified since it is a hospital in a context in which healthcare networks still lack the structuring to absorb these less immediate demands. A similar result was identified in a
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Thus, the constant search for emergency services for cases of lesser severity may indicate obstacles in the use of the healthcare network and/or the vulnerability of people who need care repeatedly. The lack of knowledge of the population regarding the provision of health services or the inadequate use of these services can make the classification of risk ineffective in some aspects, since the care provided to users classified as less serious becomes superficial and inadequate.

Regarding the agreement, there was a substantial agreement in the assessment with risk classification carried out by nurses and it was almost perfect, as analyzed among the different levels of priority. This outcome may be related, in part, to the nurses’ ability to make decisions and clinical experience, attributes that help to obtain the correct level of priority. This study corroborates that classification is a complex activity that depends on the skills and competences of nurses. The insertion of nurses during and after risk classification requires choices and attitudes that reinforce their professional autonomy and personal abilities, not limited to the registration and classification of the user through discriminators.

The results referring to agreement resemble those found and proved in other studies regarding different protocols. In a study carried out with 69 nurses in four Swiss hospitals, it was possible to observe that the agreement among nurses who used the American screening protocol (ESI) was good, despite the low agreement evidenced. In a study developed in Australia with the objective of assessing the agreement through a protocol of classification of risk, it was obtained a degree of agreement that varied from regular to almost perfect.

In Brazil, a similar research revealed that nurses’ agreement on the assignment of priority levels regarding the institutional protocol was regular. Another study that verified the agreement between an institutional protocol and the MTS obtained agreement ranging from regular to substantial between the protocols.

Regarding the nurses’ agreement on the different levels of priority, there was complete agreement at the opposite levels (Grade I, red color; and Grade V, blue color), which can be attributed to the clarity in identifying these situational extremes of care (emergency versus non-urgent) and to the nurse’s easy interpretation of the discriminators of the institutional protocol. Differently, the implementation of the Canadian protocol in Saudi Arabia showed that the highest agreement among nurses was assigned in Grade III of the screening scale.

Regarding the disagreements, the majority were in classifications of lower complexity, that is, patients should have been classified at lower levels of priority, which characterizes a super classification of risk.

The super classification phenomenon observed in another study showed that there is a greater probability of disagreements in the classification between neighboring colors than between opposing colors. This overestimation of risk, also known as overtriage, may be associated to the fact that the professional identifies slowness in the delay for care, and assigns a higher level of priority so the cases can be attended in a timely manner and the flow of care can be improved. It should be highlighted that the overestimation of the risk determines the emergency services charge due to an increase in the demand for care. In addition, it entails unnecessary bed occupancy, generating material expenses, workers’ amounts, financial losses, exposure of the user to unnecessary procedures, and consequent worsening of the quality of care provided.

The super classification is corroborated by the literature dealing with the different screening systems known worldwide. Studies involving the MTS also identified a high rate of super classification, ranging from 7.6 to 54%. It shows that many patients tend to be unnecessarily screened with higher priority and few patients screened with low urgency, producing a significant impact on the waiting time of the patients in the emergency after screening, with a probable delay for those classified as more urgent. Regarding the ATS, another study showed super classification in the patients’ screening, but with a less representative percentage (20.7%).

In the present study, although less frequent, cases of underestimation of the complaint were also identified, in which users should be classified into higher levels of care, a phenomenon known as undertriage. These are considered potentially serious errors, whereby patients may be adversely affected by the postponement to the beginning of healthcare while awaiting erroneously, or that they may even die while waiting for a long time.

The percentage of underestimation identified in this investigation is close to the ones of other studies. In a MTS research, the undertriage rate ranged from 11 to 25%, with patients in urgent conditions...
being classified as low urgency, results that have raised concern regarding the application of the protocol as a screening tool for emergency conditions and that indicate the relevance of new studies to confirm the findings. Another analysis showed that the percentage of undertriage in Degrees I and II of ATS was 18.5%, relevant data since it is endangering the life of the critical patient.

It should be highlighted that the sub-classification of the priority level can lead to loss and increase in the time spent on patient care. An incompletely assessed complaint may underestimate the risk involved and its safety. A study comparing subclassification and super classification rates after the adequacy of an ACCR protocol showed that the super-classification rates improved from 53% to 38%, and sub-classification rates improved from 47% to 16%, respectively.

Thus, the search for reliable classification instruments is important to guarantee nurses’ support in their decision-making. One factor that must be considered for the success of determining the risk priority is to follow the recommendations of the guiding protocol in full.

It should be mentioned that this study presents as limitations the use of only the records to determine the agreement and the difference of two years between the time of the clinical assessment and the registration, which could bring different results if they were consecutive or simultaneous. It is also pointed out the need for other statistical tests to complement the agreement. The temporal clipping of the data regarding the dynamism of the emergency sectors also makes it impossible to generalize the findings. However, it is believed that the present work of assessing the application of a new protocol implemented, contributes with subsidies for a better agreement in its implementation by nurses.

**CONCLUSION**

The agreement among the nurses in the application of an institutional protocol of assessment with risk classification proved to be substantial, being evidenced at all levels of priority an almost perfect agreement, with emphasis on the Degrees I and V, despite the difference of two years between the assessment moments. Although professional experience and specific training are not required to act in the risk classification, it is suggested that nurses who perform the ACCR receive training from the institution regarding the applicability of the protocol, in order to minimize the overestimation and the underestimation of the risk classification identified in the study.
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