Genetic diversity and virulence of *Colletotrichum lupini* isolates collected in Chile
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**ABSTRACT**

All nineteen *Colletotrichum* isolates causing anthracnose in lupin plants growing in southern Chile belong to *Colletotrichum lupini*, confirming an absence of interspecific variation in the causal agent of anthracnose. Nevertheless, intraspecific genetic diversity was detected with random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers. Based on a multiloci analysis, 14 molecular phenotypes were described among the local *C. lupini* isolates. The largest genetic distance between two isolates was 0.57. The analyzed isolates showed clear differences in virulence on susceptible cultivar ’Kiev Mutant’ with disease severity ranging from 15 to 75% of seedlings. The high degree of DNA polymorphism, the large number of different molecular phenotypes, and the variation in virulence suggest the existence of different strains. Study of strain virulence and diversity may aid in the development of more efficient genetic improvement programs for anthracnose tolerance.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The further expansion of lupin (*Lupinus* spp.) cultivation depends on the development of cultivars that tolerate anthracnose, the most important and devastating disease in this crop (Cowling et al., 1998). This fungal disease was first described in 1939 in *Lupinus angustifolius* of North America, with the causal agent being identified as *Glomerella cingulata* (anamorph *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*) (Weimer, 1943). By the end of the 1970s, anthracnose was described on lupins in Europe, and by the end of the 1980s, on lupins in New Zealand. In 1994, it was detected in Western Australia (Sweetingham et al., 1995). Using traditional taxonomy, the anthracnose affecting *L. angustifolius* in Chile was officially described in 1988 as *C. gloeosporioides* (Peredo & Valenzuela, 1988). The taxonomy of *Colletotrichum*, which causes anthracnose in lupins, has been debated over the last few years. Some authors have described *C. gloeosporioides* as the phytopathogen of this disease, while others argue for *C. acutatum* or an entirely different *Colletotrichum* species. Nirenberg et al. (2002) re-described the pathogen causing lupin anthracnose as *C. lupini*. Nevertheless, in recent work, Sreenivasaprasad & Talhinhas (2005) argued to keep the classification as *C. acutatum* and suggested a division of the currently described different populations into groups. The isolates classified as *C. lupini* by Nirenberg et al. (2002) and the majority of the worldwide isolates of lupin anthracnose fit within the highly homogeneous group A1 from *C. acutatum* that was described by Sreenivasaprasad & Talhinhas (2005). After the description given by Nirenberg et al. (2002), *C. lupini* is now accepted as the causal agent of anthracnose in lupins (Lotter & Berger 2005; Tivoli et al., 2006; Thomas et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2008).

Traditionally, *Colletotrichum* species have been identified by morphological characteristics such as conidial and appressorium shape, presence or absence of setae, and growth rate, and also pathogenicity and biochemistry (Gunnell & Gubler, 1992; Muñoz et al. 2000; Nirenberg et al., 2002). Classification by this method requires considerable experience and technical ability but especially so for a complex species like *Colletotrichum*, which has morphological variants that infect diverse hosts (Adaskaveg & Hartin, 1997; Yang & Sweetingham, 1998; Sreenivasaprasad & Talhinhas, 2005). Recently, the conventional biological concept of species in eukaryotes has been discussed and re-analyzed, and methods of classification are constantly being modified to incorporate new ways of obtaining information (Martínez-Culebras et al., 2000). At present, molecular techniques have a crucial role in contributing to classification and, in some cases, the re-defining of taxa. The ribosomal DNA sequences encoding the 5.8S rRNA and the two internal transcribed spacers ITS1 and ITS2 have greatly aided in molecular identification of some *Colletotrichum* species causing anthracnose in diverse crops (Adaskaveg & Hartin, 1997; Martínez-Culebras et al., 2000; Abang et al., 2002; Sreenivasaprasad & Talhinhas, 2005). A technique known as ITS-RFLP is often used for
rapid molecular identification of species. **Specific fragments** of ribosomal DNA are amplified by PCR and analyzed by restriction enzyme digest. This technique has not yet been used to differentiate *Colletotrichum* species causing lupin anthracnose.

In general, ribosomal genes and spacers remain highly conserved within species; therefore it cannot be used to assess intraspecific genetic diversity. In contrast, random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers (Williams et al., 1990) have been successfully used to analyze the diversity of isolates belonging to a variety of *Colletotrichum* species (Kelemu et al., 1999; Martinez-Culebras et al. 2002; Afanador-Kafuri et al., 2003). For the causal agent of anthracnose in lupins, RAPD analysis was carried out mainly to support the assignment of the pathogen into a specific species and subspecies. A wide range of isolates of varying geographical origin have been analyzed (Yang & Sweetingham, 1998; Nirenberg et al., 2002) but the genetic diversity of the anamorph of this phytopathogen in a reduced distribution area is not known. The objective of this study was to determine if there were differences in virulence and inter- and/or intraspecific genetic diversity in fungal isolates causing anthracnose in lupins in a small geographic area of Chile. To determine the interspecific differentiation between *C. lupini*, *C. gloeosporioides*, and *C. acutatum*, it was necessary to develop a reliable and easy molecular method.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Fungal isolates**

Nineteen isolates of *Colletotrichum* spp. were obtained from leaves, pods, or stems of different lupin species affected by anthracnose. Isolates were collected in different regions of southern Chile (Table 1), within a north-south transect of 120 Km. Fungal isolates were cultured initially on a solid medium of potato-dextrose-agar (PDA) from which monosporic cultures were obtained. To validate analyses, four reference strains were used belonging to *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*, *C. acutatum*, *C. lupini* var. *lupini* and *C. lupini* var. *setosum*. These strains were provided by the Federal Biological Research Center for Agriculture and Forestry, Berlin, Germany.

**DNA extraction**

The mycelial growth was re-activated and incubated in 25 ml of liquid potato-dextrose media (CPD; 200 g of peeled potato with 12.5 g glucose per liter of solution) at
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**TABLE 1 - Source of Colletotrichum spp. isolates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate</th>
<th>Location/Country</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-1</td>
<td>Gorbea / Chile</td>
<td>L. albus</td>
<td>accession (sweet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-2</td>
<td>Cajón / Chile</td>
<td>L. albus</td>
<td>cultivar TypTop (sweet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-3</td>
<td>Cajón / Chile</td>
<td>L. albus</td>
<td>accession (sweet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-4</td>
<td>Cajón / Chile</td>
<td>L. angustifolius</td>
<td>accession (sweet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-5</td>
<td>Traiguén / Chile</td>
<td>L. albus</td>
<td>cultivar Rumbo (sweet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-6</td>
<td>Temuco / Chile</td>
<td>L. albus</td>
<td>cultivar Rumbo (sweet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-7</td>
<td>Boroa / Chile</td>
<td>L. albus</td>
<td>accession (bitter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-8</td>
<td>Boroa / Chile</td>
<td>L. albus</td>
<td>accession (bitter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-9</td>
<td>Boroa / Chile</td>
<td>L. albus</td>
<td>cultivar Perla (sweet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-10</td>
<td>Cajón / Chile</td>
<td>L. angustifolius</td>
<td>cultivar Russo (sweet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-11</td>
<td>Cajón / Chile</td>
<td>L. angustifolius</td>
<td>accession (sweet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-13</td>
<td>Perquenco / Chile</td>
<td>L. albus</td>
<td>cultivar Rumbo (sweet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-14</td>
<td>Cajón / Chile</td>
<td>L. polypyllus</td>
<td>accession (bitter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-15</td>
<td>Victoria / Chile</td>
<td>L. angustifolius</td>
<td>accession (bitter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-16</td>
<td>Victoria / Chile</td>
<td>L. albus</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-17</td>
<td>Allipen / Chile</td>
<td>L. albus</td>
<td>cultivar Rumbo (sweet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-19</td>
<td>Lautaro / Chile</td>
<td>L. albus</td>
<td>accession (bitter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-1</td>
<td>Gorbea / Chile</td>
<td>L. albus</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-2</td>
<td>Vilcún / Chile</td>
<td>L. albus</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBA70071</td>
<td>/ Thailand</td>
<td>Citrus</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBA71292</td>
<td>/ Portugal</td>
<td>L. albus</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBA63879</td>
<td>/ Bolivia</td>
<td>L. mutabilis</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBA70358</td>
<td>/ Germany</td>
<td>L. albus</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* - information not available; 1, strains for validation provided by the Federal Biological Research Center for Agriculture and Forestry, Berlin, Germany and cited by Nirenberg et al. (2002).
22±2°C for seven days in the dark while stirring at 150 rpm (Kuramae-Izioka et al., 1997). Total genomic DNA was isolated from the mycelia using the method described by Goodwin et al. (1992). Isolated DNA was purified using 5 µL RNase A (10 mg/mL); the DNA concentration was measured by spectrometry, and dilutions were made to a final concentration of 30 ng/µL.

**ITS-RFLP assays and sequencing**

To identify the species of *Colletotrichum* for each collected isolate, we performed the ITS-RFLP molecular technique. To detect point mutations, which allows species level distinction, the sequences of two internal transcribed spacers (ITS1 and ITS2) were aligned from 21 strains of different *Colletotrichum* species. These sequences were AJ301908 and AJ301986 of *C. gloeosporioides*; AJ301911, AJ301915, AJ301920, AJ301921, AJ301922, AJ301950, and AJ301964 of *C. acutatum*; and AJ301916, AJ301918, AJ301923, AJ301927, AJ301928, AJ301930, AJ301933, AJ301934, AJ301935, AJ301948, AJ301959, and AJ301968 of *C. lupini*; (Nirenberg et al., 2002). After identifying occasional intraspecific mutations, specific restriction enzymes were selected that could recognize these sites.

For the isolates used in this study, the ITS1 and ITS2 regions were amplified by PCR using the universal primers ITS5+ITS2 and ITS3+ITS4, respectively (White et al., 1990). All PCR experiments were performed in a total volume of 25 µL, containing 30 ng of DNA, 1X PCR buffer (Tris-HCl at pH 8.4, 50 mM KCl), 2.4 mM of MgCl₂, 2 mM of each dNTP, 0.5 U Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen), and 0.4 µM of each universal primer (MWG Biotech, Germany) programmed for 3 min at 94°C for initial denaturation, followed by 39 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 45 s, 35°C for 45 s, 72°C for 1.5 min, and one final cycle at 72°C for 5 min. The PCR profiles were resolved by electrophoresis using 2% agarose gels at 50 V for 3 to 4 hours. The same methodology that was described for the ITS-RFLP technique was used for analysis.

DNA fingerprints generated by RAPD for every *Colletotrichum* isolate were scored for the presence “1” or absence “0” of bands of particular sizes. Positional homology of amplified fragments was assumed, and only polymorphic bands were considered in the final analyses. The resulting binary matrix was used as the basis for determining Nei’s (1973) genetic diversity (POPGENE ver. 1.31; Yeh and Boyle, 1999) and genetic distance, according to Nei and Li (1979) (WINBOOT; Yap and Nelson, 1996). Dissimilarity coefficients were clustered to generate a tree using the unweighted pair-group method with arithmetic average (UPGMA) algorithm in PHYLIP (Felsenstein, 1989). The confidence limits of the groups produced by the dendrograms were evaluated using a bootstrap analysis of 1000 replications (WINBOOT; Yap & Nelson, 1996).

**Virulence analysis**

Based on the genetic distance obtained with RAPD markers, nine representative isolates of *C. lupini* collected in Chile were chosen for a virulence assay. Inoculation, which simulates a primary infection, was performed according to Feiler & Nirenberg (2004). In brief, to each liter of a peat-straw-sand (3:1:1) mixture, 2.7 g of lime, 7 g of malt extract, and 10 pieces of 1 cm² of PDA media with mycelium were added. After the mycelium colonized the entire substrate (approx. three days at room temperature), it was mixed with soil at a ratio of 1:2 and transferred to 1.5 liter pots.

A-6, A-7, A-10, A-14, A-15). Four replications per treatment were conducted. Each replication consisted of one pot and five seeds per pot. Additionally, for each genotype a control without inoculation was performed.

Pots containing the inoculated substrate and seeds were kept in a greenhouse at a day temperature that ranged between 20 and 25ºC and an average humidity of 65%. Plants were rated at three weeks after inoculation based on presence or absence of symptoms like cotyledon lesions, necrosis or bending of the hypocotyl (Feiler & Nirenberg, 2004). The percentage of affected plants in each pot was transformed to arcosine (Zar, 1999) for doing a factorial ANOVA, considering lupin genotypes and fungus isolation as fixed factors. The Tukey HSD test was performed in cases of significance. Since genotypes x isolate interaction was not significant, one-way ANOVA was performed for analyzing the effects of isolation on survival of each variety separately.

RESULTS

Interspecific diversity

It was possible to detect species-specific point mutations based on alignment of ITS1 and ITS2 sequences with currently available databases of different strains belonging to C. gloeosporioides, C. acutatum and C. lupini. Two of these mutations were adequate for the development of an ITS-RFLP assay. Within the ITS1 region, a Bsh 1236I restriction site is only present in the strains of C. acutatum and C. lupini and absent from C. gloeosporioides (Table 2). Accordingly, the ITS1 fragments from C. acutatum and C. lupini were cut by this enzyme, producing two fragments of 125 and 160 bp, whereas the fragment from C. gloeosporioides remained uncut with 275 bp. In the ITS2 region, the enzyme Tru II has two sites in C. acutatum and only one in C. gloeosporioides and C. lupini. As a result, the digest of ITS2 fragments created three fragments (23, 141, and 182 bp) in the C. acutatum strain and only two fragments in C. gloeosporioides and C. lupini (23 and 321 bp). Together, the analysis of restriction profiles of both ITS1 and ITS2 regions enabled a clear identification of the three studied species of Colletotrichum. This result was confirmed by the validation strains and is presented in Figure 1. The described ITS-RFLP technique was applied to the 19 Colletotrichum isolates collected from lupins in Chile. In all cases, the characteristic fragment profile of C. lupini was detected (Figure 1) and confirmed by sequencing. For all local isolates, the same ITS region sequence was obtained (DNA sequence accession EU589451), which was identical to C. lupini var. setosum described by Nirenberg et al. (2002).

Intraspecific diversity

Of the 20 screened RAPD primers, five were selected (OPA-10, OPD-05, OPE-20, PSM-No 72 (Figure 2), PSM-No 86) for further analysis of all Colletotrichum isolates. Each reaction was performed at least twice, and good reproducibility of banding patterns was obtained. The five primers produced 48 polymorphic bands. On average, 9.6 fragments were scored per primer, with a range of 7 (OPD 05) to 15 fragments (PSM-No 86) per primer.

In the 19 local isolates analyzed, 14 molecular phenotypes (haplotypes) were detected, of which 12 occurred only once. The most frequent molecular phenotype was shared by the isolates V-2, A-8, A-10, A-11, and A-13. These different isolates, with the same RAPD banding patterns, were obtained in different localities from L. angustifolius and L. albus (sweet and bitter). Only the isolates A-10 and A-11 were from the same location and host species. The isolate V-2 was collected three years earlier than the rest of the isolates of the same haplotype. Isolates A-7 and A-9, which had a similar origin, also presented the same molecular phenotype.

The mean genetic diversity in the Chilean haplotypes of C. lupini was 0.24. The greatest genetic distance, 0.57, was observed between A-3 and A-5, which were taken from Cajón and Traiguén, respectively, from hosts of different L. albus genotypes. The highest genetic distance (0.53) between two isolates from the same genotype of L. albus (cultivar Rumbo) was found between isolates A-5 and A-
FIGURE 1 - DNA fragment patterns of the internal transcribed spacer ITS1 digested with the endonuclease *Bsh* 1236I (top) and the ITS2 region digested with the enzyme *Tru* II (bottom). Isolates in lanes 2-20 belong to *C. lupini* collected in Chile (Table 1). Lanes 21-24 correspond to the reference strains *C. lupini* var. *lupini* (BBA63879), *C. lupini* var. *setosum* (BBA70358), *C. gloeosporioides* (BBA70071), and *C. acutatum* (BBA71292). L: 50 bp ladder used as molecular size standard. Arrows show the length of expected fragments.

FIGURE 2 - Randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) patterns of 23 isolates using primers PSM-No 72. Isolates in lanes 1-19 belong to *C. lupini* collected in Chile. Lanes 20-23 correspond to the validation strains *C. gloeosporioides* (BBA70071), *C. acutatum* (BBA71292), *C. lupini* var. *lupini* (BBA63879), and *C. lupini* var. *setosum* (BBA70358) (Table 1). L: 100 bp ladder used as molecular size standard.

13. The isolates A-2 and A-3 presented the greatest genetic distance among isolates obtained from the same region (0.4).

The genetic distances, based on all polymorphic RAPD fragments, were used to group isolates by the UPGMA method. The genetic relationship between isolates is presented in the form of a dendrogram in Figure 3. As with the ITS-RFLP technique, the data obtained from RAPDs markers clearly differentiated the three species *C. acutatum*, *C. gloeosporioides*, and *C. lupini*. The cluster analysis resolved the species into different groups, which was supported by high bootstrap values.

In the dendrogram, the isolates of *C. lupini* formed different groups that do not coincide with the existing classification of the special forms of *C. lupini* var. *lupini* and *C. lupini* var. *setosum*. The two reference strains of *C. lupini* separated into a different genetic group than the local isolates. Seventeen of the nineteen local isolates fell within one major cluster (Figure 3). Isolates A-2 and A-5 fell outside of this major cluster, forming different groups supported by high bootstrap values. Considering only the local isolates and setting aside the reference strains (BBA 70358 and BBA 63879), the definition of the major cluster was supported by a bootstrap of 88% (data not shown).
Within this cluster were isolates obtained from different hosts and diverse localities.

**Virulence analysis**

The pathogenicity of all isolates was confirmed. Characteristic symptoms of anthracnose were easy to score on diseased plants. The severity of the disease was variable and dependent on the isolate and the lupin genotype (Table 3). No significant interaction was found between the nine isolates and the three lupin genotypes tested. On average, the less virulent isolates A-1, A-2, and A-6 caused symptoms in less than 13% of the plants; however, the highly virulent isolates A-10, A-14, and A-15 visibly affected more than 45% of the seedlings. The highest difference in virulence could be detected in the cultivar ‘Kiev Mutant’ between isolates A-2 with 15% and A-10 with 75% infection. As expected from previous reports (Feiler & Nirenberg, 2004; von Baer et al., 2009), the cultivar ‘Pecosa-Baer’ was more tolerant than ‘Kiev Mutant’.

**DISCUSSION**

Adaskaveg & Harting (1997) developed specific ITS-PCR primers for differentiating *C. gloeosporioides* from *C. acutatum* at the molecular level and demonstrated their effectiveness on different anthracnose strains isolated from strawberry, almond, peach, and papaya. Yang & Sweetingham (1998) used the same method for strains of lupin anthracnose, and while it identified the strains as *C. acutatum*, they preferred traditional taxonomy and instead characterized the strains as *C. gloeosporioides* by morphology. In contrast, Talhinhas et al. (2002) argued that most morphologic characteristics, with the exception of spore morphology and mycelium growth speed, are not adequate for differentiating *C. gloeosporioides* from *C. acutatum*. Using these morphological parameters and molecular data, Talhinhas et al. (2002) and Sreenivasaprasad & Talhinhas (2005) determined that most of the analyzed lupin anthracnose isolates from around the world belonged to a homogeneous group within *C. acutatum*. Nirenberg et al. (2002) attempted to resolve the big discussion and confusion that arose around the classification of this phytopathogen by redefining the causal agent of the anthracnose in lupins as a new species, *C. lupini*, based on morphologic and molecular parameters. Considering the partial host specificity, homogeneity within conserved DNA sequences, and differentiation from other *C. acutatum* groups, we adopted the nomenclature used by Nirenberg et al. (2002).

Since *C. lupini* shares more molecular similarity with *C. acutatum* than with *C. gloeosporioides*, we inferred that the species-specific primers developed by Adaskaveg...
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**TABLE 3 - Virulence analysis of Colletotrichum lupini isolates on different white lupin (Lupinus albus) genotypes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolates</th>
<th>cv. ‘Kiev Mutant’</th>
<th>cv. ‘Pecosa-Baer’</th>
<th>Line ’246-9’</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-1</td>
<td>20 a(^1)</td>
<td>0 a</td>
<td>15 ab</td>
<td>12a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-2</td>
<td>15 a</td>
<td>0 a</td>
<td>5 a</td>
<td>8a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-4</td>
<td>45 abc</td>
<td>15 abc</td>
<td>20 abc</td>
<td>27ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-5</td>
<td>55 abc</td>
<td>30 bc</td>
<td>35 bcd</td>
<td>40 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-6</td>
<td>30 ab</td>
<td>0 a</td>
<td>10 ab</td>
<td>13a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-7</td>
<td>55 abc</td>
<td>35 c</td>
<td>35 bcd</td>
<td>42 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-10</td>
<td>75 c</td>
<td>10 ab</td>
<td>55 d</td>
<td>45 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-14</td>
<td>70 bc</td>
<td>20 abc</td>
<td>45 cd</td>
<td>47 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-15</td>
<td>60 bc</td>
<td>30 bc</td>
<td>60 d</td>
<td>50 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>47 A</td>
<td>16 C</td>
<td>31 B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)Percentage of seedlings with symptoms of anthracnose infection (cotyledon lesions, necrosis or bending of the hypocotyl). Values followed by the same lower case letter within a column and upper case letters in the average row are not significantly different at P<0.05 according to Tukey’s test.

& Harting (1997) and later used by Yang & Sweetingham (1998) and Talhinhas et al. (2002) could discriminate between *C. gloeosporioides* and *C. lupini* but not *C. lupini* and *C. acutatum*. With the ITS-RFLP assay developed in our study, this problem was resolved. The amplification of rDNA segments (ITS1 and ITS2) and their restriction with the enzymes *Bsh 1236I* and *Tru II* allowed a rapid and unmistakable identification of the three species under discussion. Although the ITS-RFLP technique is more labor-intensive than the use of species-specific primers, it is more robust and reproducible, since there is no need for primer optimization.

In Chile, the main areas of lupin cultivation were sampled, and only *C. lupini* was detected as the causal agent of anthracnose. The absence of interspecific diversity is in accordance with most of the previously published worldwide studies (Yang & Sweetingham, 1998; Talhinhas et al., 2002). In the Azores, *C. lupini* and *C. acutatum* were found in lupins; however, it was suggested that *C. acutatum* colonization on lupin was a saprophytic colonization that would occur only occasionally (Nirenberg et al., 2002). As in Nirenberg et al. (2002), the multioci analysis of RAPD data clearly distinguished between *C. lupini*, *C. acutatum*, and *C. gloeosporioides*. Even the *C. lupini* isolate A-5, which presents the lowest genetic distance from *C. acutatum*, differs in 48% of the evaluated RAPD bands.

Yang & Sweetingham (1998) analyzed different isolates of *Colletotrichum* obtained from infected lupins with 11 RAPDs primers. They obtained three clearly differentiable groups that coincided with the vegetative compatibility group classification (VCG). The most important group, COL-2, later classified by Nirenberg et al. (2002) as *C. lupini* var. *setosum*, had isolates from all over the world; these isolates, however, were very homogeneous and possessed no molecular inter-isolate variation. The presence of only one haplotype within the group COL-2 led the authors to propose a clonal origin for these isolates, which would have dispersed rapidly around the world through the interchange of infected lupin seeds. Talhinhas et al. (2002) also reported a very low genetic diversity among *Colletotrichum* isolates causing anthracnose in different countries. The global low variation in the pathogen contrasts with the high diversity among isolates present in southern Chile. Although there is a recognizable group that encompasses most of the local isolates (17 out of 19), this group includes 12 different haplotypes and, overall, 14 molecular phenotypes were present. Furthermore, the broad virulence spectrum that was detected argues for the existence of different strains. Even after extensive studies carried out on *C. lupini*, the teleomorph *Glomerella* has never been found in Europe, where introduction of this phytopathogen is assumed (Nirenberg et al., 2002). The absence of the teleomorph would explain the low diversity reported among isolates (Yang & Sweetingham, 1998; Nirenberg et al., 2002). Similarly, the teleomorph of this species has not been observed in South America, supposedly the origin of *C. lupini*. Thus, it has been stated that the species would not produce the perfect phase (Nirenberg et al., 2002). The high genetic diversity that we identified in the isolates from a small geographic area in Chile supports the theory of a South American origin of this phytopathogen.

According to our findings, the same fungus haplotype can infect different lupin cultivars independent of their alkaloid content, confirming earlier reports that anthracnose is completely tolerant to the presence of alkaloids without virulence differences on bitter and sweet isogenic lines of lupins (Huyghe, 1997). Furthermore, some of the same fungus haplotypes attacked different species of the genus *Lupinus*, a finding that is not unexpected since it is known that species of the genus *Colletotrichum* have a wide host range (Adaskaveg & Harting, 1997; Yang & Sweetingham, 1998; Sreenivasaprasad & Talhinhas, 2005). In this study, different haplotypes infecting cultivated lupins are genetically similar to the isolate A-14 obtained from *L. polyphyllus*. Yang & Sweetingham (1998) also isolated *C. lupini* from *L. arboresus*. These findings would indicate that...
L. polyphyllus, a perennial species present both in gardens and in a wild form, and L. arboresus, a wild species of wide distribution, constitute a native source of inoculum and variability of the fungus, where the presence of the sexual stage will not be ruled out. Future studies will be required to identify the strains that affect this host and their role in secondary infections of lupin cultivars. Theoretically, if other host options do not exist and only inoculum-free lupin seeds are planted, it would be possible to control this disease (Cowling et al., 1998).

Since the 1990s, the major challenge in lupin improvement has been increasing anthracnose resistance (Cowling et al., 1998). Inoculation assays to detect anthracnose-tolerant genotypes have only utilized one strain of C. lupini because low pathogen diversity was assumed (Yang et al., 2004; Feiler & Nirenberg, 2004). However, this approach does not contribute to a durable tolerance of the cultivars when you consider the existence of diverse haplotypes of C. lupini. Pecosa-Baer, a cultivar selected in Chile under natural conditions with high anthracnose pressure (von Baer et al., 2009), has a good tolerance for most tested isolates. This cultivar is derived from TypTop-Baer, one of the most tolerant cultivars tested by Feiler & Nirenberg (2004). However, if Pecosa-Baer is inoculated with isolate A-7, up to 35% of seedlings are affected. Recently, it has been shown that anthracnose resistance in lupin cultivars is temperature dependent (Thomas et al., 2008). This environmental factor can also affect the virulence of different C. lupini strains. It is obvious that at least in Chile, no single isolate will suffice for resistance screening. The genetic diversity and variability in virulence identified among isolates through this work could be exploited to develop breeding methods that better detect effective, more durable resistance.
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