Crisis interventions in online psychological counseling

Intervenções em crise nos atendimentos psicológicos online

Juliana Amaral Medeiros da Silva,¹ Gerson Siegmund,² Juliana Bredemeier³

Abstract

Introduction: The world’s population is often assailed by crises of various orders. Disasters caused by nature and by humans themselves also impact on people’s mental health. Psychological crises, such as suicide attempts, represent a growing problem in mental health. When faced with such scenarios, specific strategies of crisis intervention are both appropriate and necessary.

Objective: To conduct a systematic review of the literature dealing with online psychological crisis intervention, describing and discussing their operational design, specific characteristics and applications.


Results: The searches identified 17 empirical studies about online crisis interventions which were reviewed. Three crisis contexts emerged: 1) disasters, 2) risk/prevention of suicide, and 3) trauma. Eleven different intervention programs were described and the predominant treatment approach was cognitive behavioral therapy. The results showed that research into online psychological crisis intervention has been conducted in several different countries, especially the Netherlands and Australia, and that the users of these tools benefit from them.

Conclusion: Online crisis interventions have been developed and researched in many countries around the world. In Brazil, there is still a lack of investment and research in this area.
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Resumo

Introdução: Frequentemente a população mundial tem sido atingida por crises de diversas ordens. Desastres provocados pela natureza e também pelo homem têm impactado na saúde mental das pessoas. Crises de ordem psicológica, como tentativas de suicídio, têm representado um problema crescente em termos de saúde mental. Frente a esse panorama, estratégias diferenciadas de intervenção em crises são oportunas e necessárias.

Objetivo: Revisar sistematicamente a literatura existente sobre intervenções psicológicas em crises no contexto online, e com isso descrever seu funcionamento e discutir suas particularidades e possibilidades.

Método: Realizou-se uma revisão sistemática de literatura, através das bases de dados PubMed, PsycINFO e SciELO, no período de janeiro a junho de 2014.

Resultados: A presente revisão resultou em 17 estudos empíricos que tratam sobre intervenções em crise no contexto online. Destes, três contextos de crise emergiram: 1) desastres, 2) risco/prevenção de suicídio e 3) trauma. Foram localizados 11 programas de intervenção, nos quais a abordagem de tratamento predominante foi a terapia cognitivo-comportamental. Os resultados mostraram que vários países têm desenvolvido pesquisas sobre intervenções psicológicas em crise no contexto virtual, em especial a Holanda e a Austrália, apresentando benefícios para quem se favorece dessa ferramenta.

Conclusão: Intervenções em crise no contexto online existem e têm sido vastamente desenvolvidas ao redor do mundo. No Brasil, este tema ainda necessita investimento.

Descritores: Intervenções em crise, terapia online, manejo de crises.
and most recently, increasing rates of violence. As a consequence, people seem to live under a constant threat of imminent crises and emergencies. Collective critical incidents of all kinds have affected people from different countries, epochs and cultures. The increasing rates of violence seen nowadays are another factor that could account for the rise in crisis events. There are also another class of crises which, unlike external events, have causes intrinsic to individual people. These crises are related to individual issues, such as changes in personal relationships.

In all of the examples mentioned, whether collective or individual, it is undeniable that there is a high risk of psychological distress and so negative consequences for individuals and society are inevitable. It is therefore necessary that the psychology community takes a stand, designing interventions that can support people in the many different types of emergency situations. The importance of effective approaches that can be made available to as many people as possible is increasingly evident.

**Definition of crisis**

The term crisis has its origin in the Greek word *krisis*, which meant decision and comes from the verb *krino*, which in turn signified to decide, to separate, to judge. The expression crisis usually refers to negative life events, such as those termed emergencies: disasters driven by natural causes (earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, droughts, floods, tornadoes, hurricanes, etc.); technological disasters like fires, toxic leaks and explosions; and even situations caused directly by man, such as armed conflicts, terrorist attacks, kidnappings, urban violence, drug dealing, and so on. All of these represent threats to people’s physical and emotional health.

In addition to the definitions related to external events, a crisis can also be associated with situations in which there is a threat to an individual person’s life, home or property and even to one’s wellbeing. There is an aspect of this type crisis that is intrinsic to the individual concerned, such as losses (or the risk of loss), significant changes in a relationship, being diagnosed with a chronic or terminal disease, suicidal thoughts, etc. The most important characteristic of a psychological crisis is not the event per se, but the arrangement of circumstances and contingencies in which the person finds themselves, and their perceptions about the event and the resources they have to deal with it. As a rule, a crisis occurs when the stress and tension in a person’s life exceed his or her capacity to cope with the critical event.

However, in psychological terms, a crisis can also be understood as the reaction to a situation that threatens a person’s physical and/or mental health and people may exhibit clinical symptoms that are a consequence of the emotional state caused by the crisis and demand psychological assistance and care. Anxiety and depression are the most common responses presented by people who experience crises. Depending on the seriousness of the trauma, people can experience confusion, disruption on all levels, and impairment in problem solving. In severe cases, there is a chance that the patient will develop trauma-related psychopathologies, such as posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) or acute stress disorder (ASD).

Crises (returning once more to the critical events themselves rather than the reaction to them) are restricted to limited periods of time. They are therefore immediate, transitory and temporary episodes, although some people are under constant exposure to distressing situations. This is probably the case of a portion of the Brazilian population who live in circumstances of social vulnerability and experience violence and insecurity day to day. However, in psychological terms, a single crisis might ultimately cause a person great suffering, staying with him or her for a long time and even becoming part of everyday life.

It is important to note that for certain authors the term crisis has a positive meaning. For instance, in his Psychosocial Development Theory, Erikson has posited that everyone should undergo crises throughout their lives, because they are chances to evolve. Erikson assumes that resolution – whether it be positive or negative – of such crises will determine the subject’s coping repertoire. Therefore, a crisis can be seen as something that is not exclusively prejudicial, but also as an opportunity to grow.

In the present study, the term crisis is understood to refer both to a critical event that takes place during a limited period of time and to a person’s psychological reaction to a critical event.

**Crises as an emergent problem in public healthcare**

According to data from the Pan-American Health Organization (PAHO), the rates of natural and technological disasters in Brazil and other countries have increased exponentially in recent years. The main causes identified by PAHO are the increase in world population, unregulated urban sprawl and intensification of urbanization and industrialization processes.

It is common for healthcare institutions to focus their crisis support services solely on emergency medical care and so they are often unprepared to provide a wider range of assistance. In emergency situations such as natural disasters, existing services prove outdated and
become overloaded and are thus unable to properly deal with the occurrences, often resulting in public calamity.³

Currently, the World Health Organization (WHO) has a structured program to provide support and aid to countries in situations of crisis, particularly those considered underdeveloped. Generally, they have a wide variety of resources. However, to date, mental health support programs rely exclusively on face-to-face intervention.²

The Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters (CRED) reports that there were 321 disasters around the world in 2008, taking the lives of 235,816 people. These figures represent a total cost of US$ 181 billion (about R$ 407 billion) for the global economy.⁹ In Brazil, a total of 376 natural disasters were registered in 2012, causing 93 deaths and affecting the lives of 16,977,614 people. The disasters registered included droughts, forest fires, landmass movements (mudslides, landslides), floods, hailstorms and tornadoes.¹⁰

This evidence highlights the importance of developing mental health interventions for crises that can be of use when critical events occur. The criteria for the usefulness of interventions are that they must be brief and inexpensive and must reach as many people as possible.¹¹

Concerning crises of a psychological nature, there are alarming data on suicidal crises, compelling many countries to pay extra attention to suicide deaths, including Brazil. As reported by the Brazilian Ministry of Health, in 2011 there were a total of 9,852 deaths by suicide for every 100,000 inhabitants, which equates to an average of 27 deaths per day.¹² Crisis situations can often result in a person suffering a breakdown, involving feelings of abandonment, incapacity, and exhaustion. People going through crises may also fail to see any solutions, leading them to believe that there is no better way out than death, which is the characteristic of a suicidal crisis.¹³

Unfortunately, mental health disorders and psychological distress very often go undetected or are not treated appropriately. The general population could benefit significantly were they to be provided with information on the subject, i.e. how to acknowledge mental disorders/distress, which treatments are available and their effectiveness and where to look for emotional support. It is likely that many people in crisis could be motivated to seek help. If the taboos and social stigma related to suicidal behavior were weakened, a lot of people could be encouraged to seek professional psychological assistance.¹

**Crisis interventions**

There are currently several approaches that are used to provide the theoretical and empirical foundations for face-to-face crisis interventions. The most widely used approach, and one that is evidence-based, is cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT).⁵ Notwithstanding, all of the therapeutic approaches taken to crisis intervention, including CBT, are focused on treatment or prevention of the trauma.⁷

Dattilio & Freeman have proposed a CBT treatment protocol that could be employed in crisis situations in general.⁵ Initially, the aim is to perform a complete assessment of the patient and his or her situation. The next stage consists of challenging the patient’s dysfunctional beliefs, creating options in a cooperative way and, finally, establishing hope. The therapist will explore the patient’s strengths for coping with the critical situation and understanding the positive potential of a crisis. By doing this, the therapist will be able to provide a feeling of security and generate in the patient the impulse to take control of his or her life, accomplishing the changes needed to move on.⁴,⁵ The protocol is divided into five stages: 1) development of the therapeutic relationship and establishment of rapport; 2) initial assessment of the severity of the situation; 3) supporting the patient to evaluate and activate his or her strengths and resources; 4) cooperative work between patient and therapist to develop a plan of positive action and 5) testing novel behaviors and thoughts.⁴,⁵

Forbes et al.¹⁴ have compiled some recommendations for intervention in recent crises, as follows: 1) psychological aid must be delivered, i.e., continuously monitoring the patient’s mental state, assuring emotional support, safety, information and assistance, as well as encouraging active use of social support and self-care strategies; 2) excessively structured strategies, such as psychological debriefing, are not endorsed, but those patients who actually wish to talk about their experience and show the ability to handle the distress should be encouraged to do so; 3) pharmacotherapy is not recommended as a preventive strategy after being exposed to a traumatic situation, unless the patient exhibits significant symptoms, such as acute insomnia. Regarding the different psychotherapeutic approaches to trauma, exposure therapy (ET) has achieved positive results for treatment of both PTSD and ASD.¹⁴ ET consists of exposing the patient to his or her traumatic memories and/or to situations being avoided in a secure and controlled way. The objective is to reduce levels of anxiety and restore the patient’s functioning. Usually, ET includes four main components: psychoeducation, breathing training, imaginal exposure and in vivo exposure.¹⁴,¹⁵

Stress inoculation training (SIT) is another intervention focused on trauma and it basically consists
of a program of anxiety management. The patient is trained in general techniques of anxiety management, to enable him or her to confront three mechanisms of anxiety: cognitive, behavioral and physiological. After an initial stage of psychoeducation, other elements may be included in SIT: relaxation training, role-playing, covert modeling, guided discovery and the “stop and think” strategy.15

When it comes to interventions for suicide risk, there is another range of possibilities, although the literature on this particular topic is scant.13 The Brazilian Ministry of Health has published a suicide prevention handbook providing guidance for mental health professionals on how to handle and guide patients in crisis.14 Furthermore, effective treatment protocols based on approaches such as CBT and dialectical behavior therapy (DBT) have also been developed.17 Initially, DBT proved its efficacy with borderline personality disorder patients, but since it is focused on helping patients to reduce and tolerate stress, it has also shown promise for treating suicide risk in other disorders.18

Litz et al.11 have stated that the limited abilities of therapists could be a barrier to optimum mental health care when a mass casualty incident occurs. In view of this, low-cost short-term interventions that can reach the largest number of victims ought to be designed. It is therefore reasonable to consider increasing the active role of the patient in therapy while reducing the therapist’s role. In turn, the therapist could use the Internet or telephone to provide support to a larger number of people and to ensure adherence to self-management strategies.

Online psychological treatments and their link with crisis interventions

Online psychological treatments comprise a range of interventions. Some examples are: computerized therapies, which are usually software-based; Internet-based therapies using web tools like audio or video conferencing and forums; and text-based therapies, which are generally conducted by e-mail.19-21 One criterion for classification of different modalities is by degree of synchronicity: communication via chat, audio and video are classified as synchronous methods, because contact between therapist and patient is simultaneous. In contrast, e-mail, texting and forums are asynchronous, because messages may be answered at a different time.22 Additionally, expressions such as therapist-guided, self-guided or self-administered have been used to denote whether there is any contact between therapist and patient and, if so, to what degree.23 There is no consensus on terms or definitions, although some guidelines have been established to determine directions for future research.24

The Federal Psychology Council has not yet permitted online psychotherapy to be practiced in Brazil, but it has been accepted as a subject of research. Other types of online treatment are allowed, such as online counseling (up to 20 meetings), the first steps of selection processes, virtual psychological testing, and counseling for patients who are traveling on an occasional basis and/or temporarily unable to attend in person,20,25,26

To date, there is only one Brazilian online intervention service for crisis contexts: the Centro de Valorização da Vida (www.cvv.org.br), which provides voluntary support to people facing crises, especially suicidal ideation. The service is primarily provided via telephone (hotlines), but there are other contact options too: Skype and e-mail. However, no studies investigating this service were found. We were only able to locate a passing reference to creation of its e-mail channel in a study by Dockhorn & Werlang.27

Although online therapy is still not allowed for professional practice in Brazil, the likelihood of future applications can be gauged on the basis of data on Internet use in the country. According to data from the Brazilian Institute for Geography and Statistics (IBGE), the proportion of people aged 10 or over who have access to the Internet was 20.9% (equivalent to 31.9 million people) in 2005 and increased to 46.5% (77.7 million) in 2011.28 In a similar manner, the proportion of people aged 10 or over who had a cellphone for personal use rose from 36.6% (55.7 million people) in 2005 to 69.1% (115.4 million) in 2011.29 In 2005, another survey conducted by IBGE found that 71.7% of the Brazilian population accessed the Internet for education and learning.29

In the United States, several mental health institutes began to realize that mobile applications (popularly known as Apps) could help to solve problems in both the individual and collective spheres, including in crisis situations. These may represent an important way of reaching patients who are familiar with technology, such as adolescents and young adults.30

Cuijpers et al.31 consider that Internet-based interventions offer many advantages over other kinds of interventions: they could save time and commuting for both patients and therapists and reduce the length of waiting-lists and the stigma related to visiting a psychologist or psychiatrist, among others. Adherence problems in self-guided interventions could be addressed by using audiovisual resources that are attractive to users and tailored to their culture. The scope of online interventions encompasses a large set of people who otherwise would have limited access to psychological
Method

Search method

We conducted a review of the literature on online psychological treatments for crises. Drawing on the concept of crisis, the descriptors chosen for the search were: suicide prevention, psychological first aid, crisis intervention, crisis management, disaster mental health assistance, and crisis coping. The corresponding terms in Portuguese were also used. These descriptors were combined with expressions specifying the treatment delivery method: online, Internet and computerized, and, once more, the corresponding terms in Portuguese. These searches were run on the PubMed, PsycINFO and SciELO databases from January to June of 2014. As a supplementary strategy, the reference lists of the articles selected were also checked for additional studies that were related to the subject, but that had not appeared in the initial searches.

Inclusion criteria

Articles were included in the review if they met the following criteria: a) reporting any online crisis intervention, even if concurrent with other interventions; b) full text of the article written in Portuguese, English or Spanish; c) based on empirical data; and d) published between 2000 and 2014. If two different studies reported on the same intervention, they were both included as long as they contained complementary data.

Exclusion criteria

Articles were excluded if they: a) were not based on empirical data; b) described online interventions not focused on crises; c) were related to non-online distance treatment methods (e.g. via telephone); d) the full text was not available; or e) were repeats or duplicates.

Procedures and data analysis

First, the abstracts of all articles were read, both from the databases search and from the additional sources. The inclusion and exclusion criteria were then applied and the full texts of eligible articles were read. Next, the articles were arranged in tables and categories for data analysis. Figure 1 illustrates the steps of the search strategy. The results of the review are presented below.
Results and discussion

The search strategy identified 17 empirical studies which were included in the systematic review. Eleven of these were randomized clinical trials or pilots for randomized clinical trials, and three of them were reports of experience. Several different crisis contexts were identified and so the articles were allocated to three categories to provide a framework for organizing the results, as follows (Table 1): interventions in disasters, interventions in suicide risk and suicide prevention, and interventions in traumas.

Table 1 - Article categorization by crisis context and method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disasters (1 article)</th>
<th>Suicide risk/prevention (6 articles)</th>
<th>Trauma (10 articles)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bae et al.9</td>
<td>van Spijker et al.35</td>
<td>Hirai &amp; Clum46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>van Spijker et al.32</td>
<td>Lange et al.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>van Spijker et al.43</td>
<td>Knaevelsrud &amp; Maercker47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Barak44</td>
<td>Wagner et al.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gilat &amp; Shahar45</td>
<td>Litz et al.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Christensen et al.42</td>
<td>Litz et al.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mouthaan et al.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mouthaan et al.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cox et al.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Robinson et al.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

judged worthy of being kept active. Neither the results of these studies nor their effect sizes will be presented here, rather this study will focus on describing the designs of the various different interventions they investigated.

The Netherlands was the country in which the largest number of studies were conducted (n = 6), followed by the United States (n = 3) and Australia (n = 3), as can be seen in Table 3. The most recent studies are from 2013 and 2014 and were conducted in the Netherlands and Australia. All interventions employed native languages, but in several studies the researchers declared an interest in expanding the intervention platforms to other languages, aiming to expand access to include users from other locations.

Studies by Wagner et al.44 and Knaevelsrud & Maercker47 investigate interventions resulting from such efforts after a Dutch intervention (Interapy) was translated into Arabic and German respectively. This illustrates a characteristic unique to online treatments, because whereas traditional interventions have to concentrate on a specific place, online interventions can overcome physical limitations and focus their strategies on broadening reach of treatments and access to them.

Crisis intervention based on online environments

The majority of the interventions reviewed focused on treating patients after onset of crisis (psychologically speaking). A small number of studies described interventions that focused on
### Table 2 - Descriptions of online crisis interventions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/year</th>
<th>Design of the service/intervention</th>
<th>Population/audience</th>
<th>Intervention name/website</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barak34</td>
<td>Consists of: 1) informative sessions (articles, hotline links, related websites), 2) SC individual communication (LivePerson chat software or ICQ instant messaging software), 3) AS individual communication (e-mail), 4) AS group communication (online forums in Tapuz portal), divided into young, adults, enlisted soldiers, and creative support.</td>
<td>A/Ad</td>
<td>Sahar/<a href="http://www.sahar.org.il">http://www.sahar.org.il</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilat &amp; Shahar45</td>
<td>Individual SC counseling through ICQ and AS support group.</td>
<td>A/Ad</td>
<td>ERAN - Israeli Association for Emotional First Aid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van Spijker et al.32, van Spijker et al.43, van Spijker et al.35</td>
<td>Six modules, focused on: 1) recurrent nature of SDs, 2) regulation of intense emotions, 3) detection of automatic thoughts, 4) thought patterns, 5) challenging thoughts, and 6) relapse prevention. Each module comprises: 1) theoretical session, 2) weekly tasks (cognitive and/or behavioral), 3) fundamental exercises, and 4) optional exercises.</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>113 Online/www.113Online.nl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirai &amp; Clum46</td>
<td>Eight weeks of intervention comprising: 1) information, 2) relaxation training (including breathing techniques, PMR and IIR), 3) cognitive restructuring, and 4) exposure modules (written).</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>SHTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lange et al.38</td>
<td>Five weeks of intervention (written) divided into three stages: 1) self-confrontation (P about ET and beginning of exposure), 2) cognitive reappraisal (P about the cognitive model, &quot;letter of support to a friend&quot; task), and 3) sharing and farewell ritual (P about the positive effects of sharing the experience, and &quot;letter of farewell to trauma&quot; task).</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Interapy (Netherlands)/www.interapy.nl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knaevelsrud &amp; Maercker47</td>
<td>The intervention is identical to the study by Lange et al.,38 but translated into German.</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Interapy (Germany)/www.interapy.nl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wagner et al.44</td>
<td>The intervention is similar to the study by Lange et al.38 but was translated and tailored to the Iraqi culture. The Ilajnafsy website, which means psychological support, provides online assessment and information about PTSD and about the treatment program.</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Interapy (Iraq)/www.ilajnafsy.org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litz et al.39, Litz et al.11</td>
<td>A platform containing information about PTSD, strategies of anger control and sleep hygiene. Eight weeks of intervention consisting of: 1) FtF interview for P, generation of an early hierarchy of triggers, early training in SMS and early counseling about cognitive resignification, 2) self-monitoring of triggers, 3) generation of an expanded hierarchy of triggers, 4) training in SMS (DR, PMR and DB), 5) graded exposure to the hierarchy items, 6) seven sessions of narrative writing about the traumatic situation (exposure), and 7) evaluation of progress, relapse prevention and design of a plan for future challenges.</td>
<td>USA DDM</td>
<td>DE-STRESS - DElivery of Self-TRaining and Education for Stressful Situations/www.de-stress.org &amp; SIT - Stress Inoculation Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouthaan et al.40</td>
<td>Preventive intervention. Consists of six steps including P, in-vivo exposure, SMS, and social support: 1) introduction and log-in (program aims and basic instructions), 2) assessment of anxiety and arousal levels, 3) trauma and experiences (users watch two videos: one with a trauma specialist explaining the procedures, and one with three patients sharing their experiences after the trauma). At the end of the third step there is a summary with five tips for handling the usual physical and psychological reactions post-trauma, then 4) two audio clips with instructions about SMS (PMR and SPT), 5) another assessment of anxiety and arousal, and 6) program assessment. Patients get a link to access a web forum where they can share experiences and find mutual support.</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Trauma TIPS/www.trumatips.nl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bae et al.9</td>
<td>An educational website about disasters, emphasizing the relevance of prevention. It works as an online psychological intervention.</td>
<td>GP</td>
<td>National Emergency Management Agency (NEMA) - Jaenan Pihaeja Simlijiwon Center/www.dmhs.go.kr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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studies underlined the need to explore adaptation of CBT to the online environment in detail, i.e., whether any pertinent adaptations could improve the intervention. Some authors consider that self-guided CBT is a potential solution for a variety of needs, in particular because CBT is a low-cost treatment, because it can reduce the stigma related to seeking for help and because it is effective for treatment of PTSD, especially in the contexts of war, disasters and the emergency services. This approach should be considered and evaluated in depth.

With regard to the practice of psychoeducation, this was included as a supplementary treatment in almost all interventions (n = 16). Although not all articles explicitly used the word psychoeducation, similar strategies were present in almost all studies. For example, providing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 - Number of studies by country

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Number of articles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive behavioral therapy</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive behavioral therapy + exposure therapy</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive behavioral therapy + stress inoculation training</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychoeducation + debriefing and defusing</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information not provided</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 - Clinical intervention approaches

A = adults; Ad = adolescents; AS = asynchronous; C = children; CBT = cognitive behavioral therapy; DB = diaphragmatic breathing; DR = deep relaxation; ET = exposure therapy; FtF = face-to-face; GP = general population; IIR = image induced relaxation; P = psychoeducation; PMR = progressive muscular relaxation; PST = problem solving training; PTSD = posttraumatic stress disorder; SC = synchronous; SDs = suicidal thoughts; SHTC = self-help program for traumatic event-related consequences; SMS = stress management strategies; SPT = safe place technique; USA DDM = Members of the USA Department of Defense who were exposed to the September 11 attacks on the Pentagon or who fought in Iraq/Afghanistan.
general information, information about psychological disorders or about how to manage stress, explaining psychological functioning in crisis situations, and so forth. This data illustrates a major characteristic of CBT approaches and also of online interventions, specifically that the objective of psychological treatments is more and more to train the patients themselves to deal with their problems, widening the reach of a single therapist to provide care to a larger number of patients, with lower costs for both sides, which is very relevant in the context of crises.

With regard to target populations, the majority of interventions (n = 10) were aimed at adults (18 years or over). Just one study was designed for children.41 Although this is the only such study, it raises the possibility of a unique type of intervention, since it employs audiovisual resources to offer an attractive environment to children. This intervention suggests that it is possible to act preventatively and target a wide range of groups of people, underscoring the versatility of online interventions.

Likewise, just one study was aimed exclusively at adolescents (up to 18 years old), although another two articles included adolescents in their samples.34,45 As highlighted in the literature, members of this particular section of the population have a high degree of familiarity with technology and the nuances of digital communication, often choosing this environment to express their feelings.28,30 It is clear that when it comes to crises, possibilities for providing support for children and adolescents are still underexplored.

Only one intervention dealt directly with disasters, although other studies mentioned people hit by disasters or catastrophes. A study by Bae et al.9 explains that their intervention was designed on the basis of research with the population of South Korea, which is constantly hit by disasters and where there is a clear need for specific interventions. This study has a multidisciplinary approach and the whole team must undertake rigorous specific training about how to act in disaster situations, both in conventional and online contexts. Even though the literature emphasizes the importance of creating strategies that enable mental health services to reach the victims of disasters,11 it remains to be answered how this would be achieved in regions where, in addition to many other problems, telecommunications may be inoperative. The need for more studies in this area is evident. Notwithstanding, a consideration made by Wagner et al.44 is noteworthy, since they explain that native psychiatrists and psychologists who are geographically separated from their patients may still be able to provide a treatment option to post-conflict or post-disaster areas, where there is an urgent need for psychological support, but where face-to-face treatment may not be available.

Several studies emphasize the point that online interventions can be inexpensive when compared to traditional interventions,9,37 which is one of the main issues to be considered when discussing whether online interventions should be allowed in Brazil by the Federal Council of Psychology. In a pioneering study, van Spijker et al.43 analyzed the cost-effectiveness of an online treatment for suicide prevention, pointing out that economic assessments of suicide interventions are almost nonexistent. This has been attributed to a lack of substantial evidence regarding the efficacy of such programs and so it is reasonable to suggest that this aspect merits further investigation.

**Specific characteristics of the online intervention technologies**

Most studies (n = 13) mentioned the possibility of anonymity and either permitted it or explained the reasons why anonymity was not allowed (Table 5). Discussions of online treatments often stress anonymity. For example, a study by van Spijker et al.35 explained that anonymity was considered impracticable because of ethical aspects of the context of the intervention, in which the patient was at risk of suicide, had to be taken into account. However, this position contrasted with the stance taken by other authors,34,42,44,45 who considered that it was worth taking the opportunity of providing psychological support, even anonymously, even if this involved accepting some risk of the patient committing suicide. In return, the intervention is available and accessible to a lot of people. Some studies also discussed anonymity of the therapists/professionals, such as studies by Barak34 and Gilat & Shahar.46 Barak44 points out that anonymity can be both an advantage and a disadvantage, since therapists are subject to shammers willing to disturb their work. Other weaknesses highlighted are related to problems with technology which, even more than in other types of online interventions, cannot happen in a crisis intervention.34,38

Wagner et al.44 also note the importance of anonymity, but mainly stress the chance of delivering the treatment in a private and reserved way, even when the therapist is geographically distant. These authors consider that victims of trauma, such as those who live in conflict areas like Iraq, may benefit from this kind of intervention, since it reduces barriers such as moral and religion values related to the trauma and the stigma of therapy, which can make it hard for a patient to open up in person.
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and Australia are emerging at the forefront of this kind of intervention. In Brazil, practice and research in this area are still incipient and therefore in need of investment. Further studies of online crisis interventions are necessary and could provide a foundation for approval of online psychotherapies by the Brazilian Federal Council of Psychology, since this practice is not yet permitted in Brazil.

Online interventions can provide instant, effective and low-cost access to treatments that is not limited to business hours, which is extremely important because crises do not choose time or place. In the absence of conventional and well-accepted methods, technology-based approaches may be able to provide solutions to reduce people’s suffering. It is worth underlying once more that online interventions are not intended to replace face-to-face treatment, but to offer alternatives that are adapted to the varying different human needs, working both as early strategies and as complementary approaches.

It appears that there are appropriate conditions for the use of online interventions in crises. The articles reviewed have provided evidence in favor of an option that had been previously discarded, since patients in crisis were typically excluded from online therapy studies, maybe because there were no methodological guidelines on how to proceed. It also turns out to be significant that future studies should explore the ethical questions involved in this kind of intervention.

One article related to the use of Apps in crisis interventions was not included in the review because of its methodology. This article mentioned several applications that have already been released to the public, but provided no evidence from research. This situation illustrates the need to continue investing in new technologies for psychology, but also to test their effectiveness, providing consistent and reliable data about this class of intervention.30

To our knowledge, this is the first review in Brazil to systematically address crisis interventions delivered online. Consequently, a great deal of information, such as the results of the interventions reported by the articles, was not focused on here, and therefore is still in need of attention. Future studies should explore the results in terms of the cost-effectiveness of these interventions. The present study adds to knowledge on online psychological work in general and provides preliminary information about emerging interventions.
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